



CLARK'S

FOREIGN .

THEOLOGICAL LIBRARY.

FOURTH SERIES.

VOL. XXIX.

Belitzsch's Commentary on the Psalms.

T. & T. CLARK, 38, GEORGE STREET.

MDCCCLXXI.

T. & T. CLARK, EDINBURGH.

LONDON, HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO.

DUBLIN, . . . JOHN ROBERTSON AND CO.

NEW YORK, . . . C. SCRIBNER AND CO.

BIBLICAL COMMENTARY

ON

THE PSALMS.

BY

FRANZ DELITZSCH, D.D.,
PROFESSOR OF OLD AND NEW TESTAMENT EXEGESIS, LEIPSIC.

111892

Cranslated from the German (FROM THE SECOND EDITION, REVISED THROUGHOUT)

BY THE

REV. FRANCIS BOLTON, B.A.,
PRIZEMAN IN HEBREW AND NEW TESTAMENT GREEK IN THE UNIVERSITY OF LONDON.

VOL. I.

EDINBURGH:
T. & T. CLARK, 38, GEORGE STREET.

MDCCCLXXI.



PREFACE.

CEVEN whole years have passed since the publication of my Commentar über den Psalter (2 vols. 1859-60), and during this period large and important contributions have been made towards the exposition of the Psalms. Of Hupfeld's Commentary the last two volumes (vol. iii., 1860; vol. iv., 1862) have appeared since the completion of my own. (1835-36) has appeared in a new form (2 vols., 1863-65), enriched by the fruit of nearly thirty years' progressive study. And the Commentary of Ewald has taken the field for the third time (1866), with proud words scorning down all fellowworkers, in order that all honour may be given to itself alone. In addition to these, Böttcher's Neue Kritische Aehrenlese, -issued by Mühlau after the author's death, has furnished valuable contributions towards the exposition of the Psalms (Abth. 2, 1864); Von Ortenberg in the department of textual criticism (Zur Textkritik der Psalmen, 1861), and Kurtz in that of theology (Zur Theologie der Psalmen, in the Dorpater Zeitschrift, 1864-65), have promoted the interpretation of the Psalms; and side by side with these, Böhl's Zwölf Messianische Psalmen ("Twelve Messianic Psalms," 1862) and Kamphausen's exposition of the Psalms in Bunsen's Bibelwerk (1863) also claim attention.

I had therefore no lack of external inducements for the revision of my own Commentary; but I was also not unconscious of its defects. Despite all this, Hupfeld's inconsiderate and condemnatory judgment caused me pain. In an essay

vi PREFACE.

on the faithful representation of the text of the Old Testament according to the Masora (Lutherische Zeitschrift, 1863) I incidentally gave expression to this feeling. On the 20th of October 1863 Hupfeld wrote to me, "I have only just seen your complaint of my judgment at the close of my work on the Psalms. The complaint is so gentle in its tone, it partakes so little of the bitterness of my verdict, and at the same time strikes chords that are not yet deadened within me, and which have not yet forgotten how to bring back the echo of happier times of common research and to revive the feeling of gratitude for faithful companionship, that it has touched my heart and conscience." He closes his letter with the hope that he may one day have an opportunity of expressing publicly how that harsh and untempered judgment is now repugnant to his own feelings. Up to the present time I have made no use whatever of this letter. I regarded it as a private matter between ourselves. Since, however, Riehm has transferred that judgment unaltered to the second edition of the first volume of the Commentary of Hupfeld, I owe it not to myself alone, but also to him who is since deceased, to explain that this has not been done in accordance with his wish.

Hitzig's new Commentary has been of the greatest service to me in the revision and re-working of my own. In it I found mine uniformly taken into account from beginning to end, either with or without direct mention, and subjected to severe but kindly-disposed criticism; and here and there not without a ready recognition of the scientific advance which could not but be observed in it. In comparison with such an unmerciful judgment as that which Hupfeld pronounced upon me, and which Ewald a few years later with very similar language pronounced upon him, I here met with reasonable criticism of the matter, and, notwithstanding the full consciousness of the thoroughly original inquirer, an appreciation of the toil bestowed by others upon their work.

I am the more encouraged to hope that all those who do not

hold scientific love of truth and progress to be the exclusive privilege of their own tendencies, will find in this new thoroughly revised edition of my Commentary much that is instructive, and much that is more correctly apprehended. The fact that I have still further pressed the Oriental learning of Fleischer and Wetzstein into the service of Biblical science will not be unwelcome to my readers. But that I have also laid Jewish investigators under contribution is due to my desire to see the partition wall between Synagogue and Church broken down. The exposition of Scripture has not only to serve the Church of the present, but also to help in building up the Church of the future. In this spirit I commend the present work to the grace and blessing of the God of the history of redemption.

Delitzsch.

ERLANGEN, 7th July 1867.

Note on יהוה.

Jahve is (1) the traditional pronunciation, and (2) the pronunciation to be presupposed in accordance with the laws of formation and of vowel sounds. It is the traditional, for Theodoret and Epiphanius transcribe $Ia\beta \dot{\epsilon}$. The mode of pronunciation $Ia\dot{\epsilon}$ (not $Ia\beta \dot{\epsilon}$), on the contrary, is the reproduction of the form of the name Ia, and the mode of pronunciation $Ia\dot{\epsilon}$ of the form of the name Ia, which although occurring only in the Old Testament in composition, had once, according to traces that can be relied on, an independent existence. Also the testimonies of the Talmud and post-talmudical writings require the final sound to be Ia, and the corresponding name by which God calls Himself, Ia, is authentic security for this ending. When it is further con-

sidered that '' (whence '') according to analogous contractions has grown out of '', and not out of '', and that the Hebrew language exhibits no proof of any transition from '- to '- which would not at the same time be a transition from the masculine to the feminine, it must be conceded that the pronunciation Jahve is to be regarded as the original pronunciation. The mode of pronunciation Jehova has only come up within the last three hundred years; our own "Jahavā" [in the first edition] was an innovation. We now acknowledge the patristic 'Iaβé, and hope to have another opportunity of substantiating in detail what is maintained in this prefatory note.

NOTE BY THE TRANSLATOR. •

Any justification of the retention of the exact orthography of the author, explained above, ought to be needless. The J has been retained, inasmuch as this representative of the Hebrew Jod or Yod is become thoroughly naturalized in our Scripture names although wrongly pronounced (compare as an exception to this the y sound of the j in the word "Hallelujah," which may perhaps be accounted for by the Greek form of the word adopted in our version of the New Testament). Although the quiescent final h (He) has been, with Dr. Delitzsch, omitted here, it is still retained in other Scripture names in accordance with the customary orthography.

The Hebrew numbering of the verses is followed in the text of each Psalm, and in the references generally. In a few instances only, where the difference between the Hebrew and the English divisions might prove perplexing to the English reader, both are given; e.g. Lev. vi. 5 [12], Joel iv. [iii.] 3. To the student Baer's critical text of the Psalter (Liber Psalmorum Hebraicus. Textum masorethicum accuratius quam adhuc factum est expressit, brevem de accentibus metricis institutionem pramisit, notas criticas adjecit S. Baer. Prafatus est Fr. Delitzsch. 1861. Lipsiæ, Dörffling et Franke. Cr. 8vo, pp. xiv. 134), often referred to by Dr. Delitzsch, will be found to be a useful companion to this Commentary, and more particularly as illustrating the pointings and accentuation adopted or mentioned in the notes.

It is almost superfluous to say that it has been altogether impracticable to follow Dr. Delitzsch in his acrostic reproduction of the Alphabetical Psalms.

F. B.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

		INTRODUCTION 1	ΤÇ	HE]	PSA.	LTEF	ե.		
		Desition of the Desites assessed	41	TT		. 1			PAG
S	1.	Position of the Psalter among especially among the Poetics		_		ona, a	ina n	ore .	3
§	2.	Names of the Psalter, .							5
Ş	3.	The History of Psalm Composit	ion,						7
ş	4.	Origin of the Collection, .							14
\$	5.	Arrangement and Inscriptions,							19
§	6.	The Strophe-system of the Psali	ms,						23
§	7.	Temple Music and Psalmody,			:				30
§	8.	Translations of the Psalms,							37
\$	9,	History of the Exposition of the	e Psa	ılms,					47
§	10.	Theological Preliminary Consid	erati	ons,		•	•	٠	64
					-				
		EXPOSITION OF	TH	E PS	ALT	ER.			
		FIRST BOOK OF THE	Psal	TER,	Ps.	I.–XLI			
		Psalm i. to xxxv						. 81	_498

INTRODUCTION

TO THE PSALTER.

Πάντα ὅσπερ ἐν μεγάλφ τινὶ χαὶ χοινῷ ταμιείφ τῷ βίβλφ τῶν ψαλμῶν τεθησαύριστα..

Basil.

I. POSITION OF THE PSALTER AMONG THE HAGIOGRAPHA, AND MORE ESPECIALLY AMONG THE POETICAL BOOKS.

The Psalter is everywhere regarded as an essential part of the Kethubîm or Hagiographa; but its position among these varies. It seems to follow from Luke xxiv. 44 that it opened the Kethubim in the earliest period of the Christian era.* The order of the books in the Hebrew MSS. of the German class, upon which our printed editions in general use are based, is actually this: Psalms, Proverbs, Job, and the five Megilloth. But the Masora and the MSS. of the Spanish class begin the Kethubim with the Chronicles which they awkwardly separate from Ezra and Nehemiah, and then range the Psalms, Job, Proverbs and the five Megilloth next.** And according to the Talmud (Baba Bathra 14b)

^{*} Also from 2 Macc. ii. 13, where τὰ τοῦ Δαυίδ appears to be the designation of the Child according to their beginning; and from Philo, De vita contempl. (Opp. II. 475 ed. Mangey), where he makes the following distinction νόμους καὶ λόγια θεσπισθέντα διὰ προφητών καὶ δμνους καὶ τὰ ἄλλα οῖς ἐπιστήμη καὶ εὐσέβεια συναύξονται καὶ τελειοῦνται.

^{**} In all the Masoretic lists the twenty four books are arranged in the following order: 1) וידבר (10 (אוקרא (10 (אולה שמות (2 (בראשית (10 (אלה שמות (10 (אלה הדברים (11 (אישעיה (12 (איבה) (12 (איבה) (13 (איבה) (14 (איבה) (14 (איבה) (15 (איבה) (15 (איבה) (16 (איבה) (17 (איבה) (18 (איבה) (19 (א

the following is the right order: Ruth, Psalms, Job, Proverbs; the Book of Ruth precedes the Psalter as its prologue, for Ruth is the ancestor of him to whom the sacred lyric owes its richest and most flourishing era. It is undoubtedly the most natural order that the Psalter should open the division of the Kethubim, and for this reason: that, according to the stock which forms the basis of it, it represents the time of David, and then afterwards in like manner the Proverbs and Job represent the Chokma-literature of the age of Solomon. But it is at once evident that it could have no other place but among the Kethubim.

The codex of the giving of the Law, which is the foundation of the old covenant and of the nationality of Israel, as also of all its subsequent literature, occupies the first place in the canon. Under the collective title of בנאים. a series of historical writings of a prophetic character, which trace the history of Israel from the occupation of Canaan to the first gleam of light in the gloomy retributive condition of the Babylonish Exile (Prophetæ priores) is first attached to these five books of the Thora; and then a series of strictly prophetical writings by the prophets themselves which extend to the time of Darius Nothus, and indeed to the time of Nehemiah's second sojourn in Jerusalem under this Persian king (Prophetæ posteriores). Regarded chronologically, the first series would better correspond to the second if the historical books of the Persian period (Chronicles with Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther) were joined to it; but for a very good reason this has not been done. The Israelitish literature has marked out two sharply defined and distinct methods of writing history, viz. the annalistic and the prophetic. The so-called Elohistic and so-called Jehovistic form of historical writing in the Pentateuch might serve as general types of these. The historical books of the Persian period are, however, of the annalistic, not of the prophetic character (although the Chronicles have taken up and incorporated many remnants of the prophetic form of historical writing, and the Books of the Kings, vice versa, many remnants of the annalistic): they could not therefore stand among the Prophetæ priores. But with the Book of Ruth it is different. This short book is so like the end of the Book of the Judges (ch. xvii-xxi), that it might

very well stand between Judges and Samuel; and it did originally stand after the Book of the Judges, just as the Lamentations of Jeremiah stood after his prophecies. is only on liturgical grounds that they have both been placed with the so-called Megilloth (Canticles, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, and Esther, as they are arranged in our ordinary copies according to the calendar of the festivals). All the remaining books could manifestly only be classed under the third division of the canon, which (as could hardly have been otherwise in connection with הורה and נביאם) has been entitled, in the most general way, כתובים,—a title which, as the grandson of Ben-Sira renders it in his prologue [to Ecclesiasticus], means simply τὰ ἄλλα πάτρια βιβλία, or τὰ λοιπὰ τῶν βιβλίων, and nothing more. For if it were intended to mean writings, written ברוח הקרש, — as the third degree of inspiration which is combined with the greatest spontaneity of spirit, is styled according to the synagogue notion of inspiration, — then the words ברוח הקבש would and ought to stand with it.

II. NAMES OF THE PSALTER.

At the close of the seventy-second Psalm (ver. 20) we find the subscription: "Are ended the prayers of David, the Son of Jesse." The whole of the preceding Psalms are here comprehended under the name תַּפלוֹת. This strikes one as strange, because with the exception of Ps. xvii (and further on Ps. lxxxvi, xc, cii, cxlii) they are all inscribed otherwise; and because in part, as e.g. Ps. i and ii, they contain no supplicatory address to God and have therefore not the form of prayers. Nevertheless the collective name Tephilloth is suitable to all Psalms. The essence of prayer is a direct and undiverted looking towards God, and the absorption of the mind in the thought of Him. Of this nature of prayer all Psalms partake; even the didactic and laudatory, though containing no supplicatory address, - like Hannah's song of praise which is introduced with ותחפלל (1 Sam. ii. 1). The title inscribed on the Psalter is הַהַלִּים for which הַלִּים (apocopated יהלי) is also commonly used, as Hippolytus (ed.

de Lagarde p. 188) testifies: Έρραῖοι περιέγραψαν την βίρλον Σέφρα θελείμ.* This name may also seem strange, for the Psalms for the most part are hardly hymns in the proper sense: the majority are elegiac or didactic; and only a solitary one, Ps. cxlv, is directly inscribed החלבות. But even this collective name of the Psalms is admissible, for they all partake of the nature of the hymn, to wit the purpose of the hymn, the glorifying of God. The narrative Psalms praise the magnalia Dei, the plaintive likewise praise Him, since they are directed to Him as the only helper, and close with grateful confidence that He will hear and answer. The verb includes both the Magnificat and the De profundis.

The language of the Masora gives the preference to the feminine form of the name, instead of מהלים, and throughout calls the Psalter ספר חהלות (e. g. on 2 Sam. xxii. 5).** In the Syriac it is styled ketobo demazmûre, in the Koran zabûr (not as Golius and Freytag point it, zubûr), which in the usage of the Arabic language signifies nothing more than "writing" (synon. kitâb: vid. on iii. 1), but is perhaps a corruption of mizmor from which a plural mezâmîr is formed, by a change of vowels, in Jewish-Oriental MSS. In the Old Testament writings a plural of mizamor does not occur. Also in the post-biblical usage mizmorîm or mizmoroth is found only in solitary instances as the name for the Psalms. In Hellenistic Greek the corresponding word ψαλμοί (from ψάλλειν = שון) is the more common; the Psalm collection is called βίβλος ψαλμῶν (Lk. xx. 42, Acts i. 20) or ψαλτήριον, the name of the instrument (psanterîn in the Book of Daniel) *** being

^{*} In Eusebius, vi. 25: Σέφηρ Θιλλήν; Jerome (in the Preface to his translation of the Psalms juxta Hebraicam veritatem) points it still differently: SEPHAR THALLIM quod interpretatur volumen hymnorum. Accordingly at the end of the Psalterium ex Hebræo, Cod. 19 in the Convent Library of St. Gall we find the subscription: Sephar Tallim Quod interpretatur volumen Ymnorum explicit.

^{**} It is an erroneous opinion of Buxtorf in his Tiberias and also of Jewish Masoretes, that the Masora calls the Psalter (hallela). It is only the so-called Hallel, Ps. exiii—exix, that bears this name, for in the Masora on 2 Sam. xxii. 5, Ps. exvi. 3a is called הברו דהלילא (the similar passage in the Hallel) in relation to xviii. 5a.

^{***} Νάβλα — say Eusebius and others of the Greek Fathers — παρ' Εβραίοις λέγεται τὸ ψαλτήριον, δ δη μόνον τῶν μουσικῶν ὀργάνων ὀρθότατον

transferred metaphorically to the songs that are sung with its accompaniment. Psalms are songs for the lyre, and therefore lyric poems in the strictest sense.

III. THE HISTORY OF PSALM COMPOSITION.

Before we can seek to obtain a clear idea of the origin of the Psalm-collection we must take a general survey of the course of the development of psalm writing. The lyric is the earliest kind of poetry in general, and the Hebrew poetry, the oldest example of the poetry of antiquity that has come down to us, is therefore essentially lyric. Neither the Epos nor the Drama, but only the Mashal, has branched off from it and attained an independent form. Even prophecy, which is distinguished from psalmody by a higher impulse which the mind of the writer receives from the power of the divine mind, shares with the latter the common designation of (1 Chron. xxv. 1 — 3), and the psalm-singer, משרר, is also as such called הוה (1 Chron. xxv. 5; 2 Chron. xxix. 30, xxxv. 15, cf. 1 Chron. xv. 19 and freq.); for just as the sacred lyric often rises to the height of prophetic vision, so the prophetic epic of the future, because it is not entirely freed from the sub-

καὶ μὴ συνεργούμενον εἰς ቭχον ἐκ τῶν κατωτάτω μερῶν, ἀλλ' ἄνωθεν ἔχων τὸν ὑπηχοῦντα γαλκόν. Augustine describes this instrument still more clearly in Ps. xlii and elsewhere: Psalterium istud organum dicitur quod de superiore parte habet testudinem, illud scilicet tympanum et concavum lignum cui chordæ innitentes resonant, cithara vero id ipsum lignum cavum et sonorum ex inferiore parte habet. In the cithern the strings pass over the sound-board, in the harp and lyre the vibrating body runs round the strings which are left free (without a bridge) and is either curved or angular as in the case of the harp, or encompasses the strings as in the lyre. Harps with an upper sounding body (whether of metal or wood, viz. lignum concavum i. e. with a hollow and hence sonorous wood, which protects the strings like a testudo and serves as a tympanum) are found both on Egyptian and on Assyrian monuments. By the psalterium described by Augustine, Cassiodorus and Isidorus understand the trigonum, which is in the form of an inverted sharp-cornered triangle; but it cannot be this that is intended because the horizontal strings of this instrument are surrounded by a three-sided sounding body, so that it must be a triangular lyre. Moreover there is also a trigon belonging to the Macedonian era which is formed like a harp (vid. Weiss' Kostümkunde, Fig. 347) and this further tends to support our view.

jectivity of the prophet, frequently passes into the strain of the psalm.

The time of Moses was the period of Israel's birth as a nation and also of its national lyric. The Israelites brought instruments with them out of Egypt and these were the accompaniments of their first song (Ex. xv.) — the oldest hymn, which re-echoes through all hymns of the following ages and also through the Psalter (comp. ver. 2 with Ps. cxviii. 14; ver. 3 with Ps. xxiv. 8; ver. 4, xiv. 27 with Ps. cxxxvi. 15; ver. 8 with Ps. lxxviii. 13; ver. 11 with Ps. lxxvii. 14, lxxxvi. 8, lxxxix. 7 sq.; ver. 13, 17 with Ps. lxxviii. 54, and other parallels of a similar kind). If we add to these, Ps. xc and Deut. xxxii, we then have the prototypes of all Psalms, the hymnic, elegiac, and prophetico-didactic. All three classes of songs are still wanting in the strophic symmetry which characterises the later art. But even Deborah's song of victory, arranged in hexastichs, — a song of triumph composed eight centuries before Pindar and far outstripping him, — exhibits to us the strophic art approximating to its perfect development. It has been thought strange that the very beginnings of the poesy of Israel are so perfect, but the history of Israel, and also the history of its literature, comes under a different law from that of a constant development from a lower to a higher grade. The redemptive period of Moses, unique in its way, influences as a creative beginning, every future development. There is a constant progression, but of such a kind as only to develope that which had begun in the Mosaic age with all the primal force and fulness of a divine creation. We see, however, how closely the stages of this progress are linked together, from the fact that Hannah the singer of the Old Testament Magnificat, was the mother of him who anointed, as King, the sweet singer of Israel, on whose tongue was the word of the Lord.

In David the sacred lyric attained its full maturity. Many things combined to make the time of David its golden age. Samuel had laid the foundation of this both by his energetic reforms in general, and by founding the schools of the prophets in particular, in which under his guidance (1 Sam. xix. 19 sq.), in conjunction with the awakening and fostering of the prophetic gift, music and song were taught. Through these cænobia, whence sprang a spiritual awakening hitherto

(

unknown in Israel, David also passed. Here his poetic talent, if not awakened, was however cultivated. He was a musician and poet born. Even as a Bethlehemite shepherd he played upon the harp, and with his natural gift he combined a heart deeply imbued with religious feeling. But the Psalter contains as few traces of David's Psalms before his anointing (vid. on Ps. viii, cxliv.) as the New Testament does of the writings of the Apostles before the time of Pentecost. It was only from the time when the Spirit of Jahve came upon him at his anointing as king of Israel, and raised him to the dignity of his calling in connection with the covenant of redemption, that he sang Psalms, which have become an integral part of the canon. They are the fruit not only of his high gifts and the inspiration of the Spirit of God (2 Sam. xxiii. 2), but also of his own experience and of the experience of his people interwoven with his own. David's path from his anointing onwards, lay through affliction to Song however, as a Hindu proverb says, is the offspring of suffering, the cloka springs from the coka. life was marked by vicissitudes which at one time prompted him to elegiac strains, at another to praise and thanksgiving; at the same time he was the founder of the kingship of promise, a prophecy of the future Christ, and his life, thus typically moulded, could not express itself otherwise than in typical or even consciously prophetic language. Raised to the throne, he did not forget the harp which had been his companion and solace when he fled before Saul, but rewarded it with all honour. He appointed 4000 Levites, the fourth division of the whole Levitical order, as singers and musicians in connection with the service in the tabernacle on Zion and partly in Gibeon, the place of the Mosaic tabernacle. These he divided into 24 classes under the Precentors, Asaph, Heman, and Ethan Jeduthun (1 Chron. xxv. comp.xv. 17 sqq.), and multiplied the instruments, particularly the stringed instruments, by his own invention (1 Chron. xxiii. 5, Neh. xii. 36*). In David's time there were three places of sacrifice:

[&]quot; I tended, says David in the Greek Psalter, at the close of Ps. cl., my father's sheep, my hands made pipes (δργανον = ΣΙΙΙ) and my fingers put together (or: tuned) harps (ψαλτήριον = ΣΙΙ) cf. Numeri Rabba c. xv (f. 264a) and the Targum on Am. vi. 5.

on Zion beside the ark (2 Sam. vi. 17 sq.), in Gibeon beside the Mosaic tabernacle (1 Chron. xvi. 39 sq.) and later, on the threshing-floor of Ornan, afterwards the Temple-hill (1 Chron. xxi. 28-30). Thus others also were stimulated in many ways to consecrate their offerings to the God of Israel. Beside the 73 Psalms bearing the inscription לדוד, — Psalms the direct Davidic authorship of which is attested, at least in the case of some fifty, by their creative originality, their impassioned and predominantly plaintive strain, their graceful flow and movement, their ancient but clear language, which becomes harsh and obscure only when describing the dissolute conduct of the ungodly, - the collection contains the following which are named after cotemporary singers appointed by David: 12 לאסף (Ps. l. lxxiii—lxxxiii) of which the contents and spirit are chiefly prophetic, and 12 by the Levite family of singers, the בניקרה (Ps. xlii -- xlix, lxxxiv, lxxxv, lxxxvii, lxxxviii, including Ps. xliii), bearing a predominantly regal and priestly impress. Both the Psalms of the Ezrahites, Ps. lxxxviii by Heman and lxxxix by Ethan, belong to the time of Solomon whose name, with the exception of Ps. lxxii, is borne only by Ps. cxxvii. Under Solomon psalm-poesy began to decline; all the existing productions of the mind of that age bear the mark of thoughtful contemplation rather than of direct conception, for restless eagerness had yielded to enjoyable contentment, national concentration to cosmopolitan expansion. It was the age of the Chokma, which brought the apophthegm to its artistic perfection, and also produced a species of drama. Solomon himself is the perfecter of the Mashal, that form of poetic composition belonging strictly to the Chokma. Certainly according to 1 Kings v. 12 [Hebr.; iv. 32, Engl.] he was also the author of 1005 songs, but in the canon we only find two Psalms by him and the dramatic Song of Songs. This may perhaps be explained by the fact that he spake of trees from the cedar to the hyssop, that his poems, mostly of a worldly character, pertained rather to the realm of nature than to the kingdom of grace.

Only twice after this did psalm-poesy rise to any height and then only for a short period: viz.under Jehoshaphat and under Hezekiah. Under both these kings the glorious services of the Temple rose from the desecration and decay into which they had fallen to the full splendour of their ancient glory. Moreover there were two great and marvellous deliverances which aroused the spirit of poesy during the reigns of these kings: under Jehoshaphat, the overthrow of the neighbouring nations when they had banded together for the exstirpation of Judah, predicted by Jahaziel, the Asaphite; under Hezekiah the overthrow of Sennacherib's host foretold by Isaiah. These kings also rendered great service to the cause of social progress. Jehoshaphat by an institution designed to raise the educational status of the people, which reminds one of the Carlovingian missi (2 Chron. xvii. 7-9); Hezekiah, whom one may regard as the Pisistratus of Israelitish literature, by the establishment of a commission charged with collecting the relics of the early literature (Prov. xxv. 1); he also revived the ancient sacred music and restored the Psalms of David and Asaph to their liturgical use (2 Chron. xxix. 25 sqq). And he was himself a poet, as his בתחם (בתחם?) (Isa. xxxviii) shews, though certainly a reproductive rather than a creative poet. Both from the time of Jehoshaphat and from the time of Hezekiah we possess in the Psalter not a few Psalms, chiefly Asaphic and Korahitic, which, although bearing no historical heading, unmistakeably confront us with the peculiar circumstances of those times.* With the exception of these two periods of revival the latter part of the regal period produced scarcely any psalm writers, but is all the more rich in prophets. When the lyric became mute, prophecy raised its trumpet voice in order to revive the religious life of the nation, which previously had expressed itself in psalms. In the writings of the prophets, which represent the λείμμα χάριτος in Israel, we do indeed find even psalms, as Jon. ch. ii, Isa. xii, Hab. iii, but these are more imitations of the ancient congregational hymns than original compositions. It was not until after the Exile that a time of new creations set in.

As the Reformation gave birth to the German churchhymn, and the Thirty years' war, without which perhaps there might have been no Paul Gerhardt, called it into life afresh, so the Davidic age gave birth to psalm-poesy and the

^{*} With regard to the time of Jehoshaphat even Nic. Nonne has acknowledged this in his *Diss. de Tzippor et Deror* (Bremen 1741, 4to.) which has reference to Ps. lxxxiv. 4.

Exile brought back to life again that which had become dead. The divine chastisement did not fail to produce the effect designed. Even though it should not admit of proof, that many of the Psalms have had portions added to them, from which it would be manifest how constantly they were then used as forms of supplication, still it is placed beyond all doubt, that the Psalter contains many psalms belonging to the time of the Exile, as e. g. Ps. cii. Still far more new psalms were composed after the Return. When those who returned from exile, among whom were many Asaphites, * again felt themselves to be a nation, and after the restoration of the Temple to be also a church, the harps which in Babylon hung upon the willows, were tuned afresh and a rich new flow of song was the fruit of this re-awakened first love. But this did not continue long. A sanctity founded on good works and the service of the letter took the place of that outward, coarse idolatry from which the people, now returned to their fatherland, had been weaned while undergoing punishment in the land of the stranger. Nevertheless in the era of the Seleucidæ the oppressed and injured national feeling revived under the Maccabees in its old life and vigour. Prophecy had then long been dumb, a fact lamented in many passages in the 1st Book of the Maccabees. It cannot be maintained that psalm-poesy flourished again at that time. Hitzig has recently endeavoured to bring forward positive proof, that it is Maccabean psalms, which form the proper groundwork of the Psalter. He regards the Maccabean prince Alexander Jannæus as the writer of Ps. i and ii, refers Ps. xliv. to 1 Macc. v. 56-62, and maintains both in his Commentary of 1835—36 and in the later edition of 1863 - 65 that from Ps. lxxiii onwards there is not a single pre-Maccabean psalm in the collection and that, from that point, the Psalter mirrors the prominent events of the time of the Maccabees in chronological order. Hitzig has been followed by von Lengerke and Olshausen. They both mark the reign of John Hyrcanus (B.C. 135 - 107) as the time when the latest psalms were composed and when the collec-

^{*} In Barhebræus on Job and in his Chronikon several traditions are referred to "Asaph the Hebrew priest, the brother of Ezra the writer of the Scriptures."

tion as we now have it was made: whereas Hitzig going somewhat deeper ascribes Ps.i.ii.cl. with others, and the arrangement of the whole, to Hyrcanus' son, Alexander Jannæus.

On the other hand both the existence and possibility of Maccabean psalms is disputed not only by Hengstenberg, Hävernick, and Keil but also by Gesenius, Hassler, Ewald, Thenius, Böttcher, and Dillmann. For our own part we admit the possibility. It has been said that the ardent enthusiasm of the Maccabean period was more human than divine, more nationally patriotic than theocratically national in its character, but the Book of Daniel exhibits to us, in a prophetic representation of that period, a holy people of the Most High contending with the god-opposing power in the world, and claims for this contest the highest significance in relation to the history of redemption. The history of the canon, also, does not exclude the possibility of there being Maccabean psalms. For although the chronicler by 1 Chron. xvi. 36 brings us to the safe conclusion that in his day the Psalter (comp. τά τοῦ Δαυίδ, 2 Macc. ii. 13*) was already a whole divided into five books (vid. on Ps. xcvi. cv. cvi): it might nevertheless, after having been completely arranged still remain open for later insertions (just as the כפר הישר cited in the Book of Joshua and 2 Sam. i., was an anthology which had grown together in the course of time). When Judas Maccabæus, by gathering together the national literature, followed in the footsteps of Nehemiah (2 Macc. ii. 14: ώσαύτως δὲ καὶ Ἰούδας τὰ διεσκορπισμένα διὰ τὸν πόλεμον τὸν γεγονότα ἡμῖν ἐπισυνήγαγε πάντα, καὶ ἔστι παρ' ἡμῖν), we might perhaps suppose that the Psalter was at that time enriched by some additions. And when Jewish tradition assigns to the so-called Great Synagogue (כנסת a share in the compilation of the canon, this is not unfavourable to the supposition of Maccabean psalms, since this συναγωγή μεγάλη was still in existence under the domination of the Seleucidæ (1 Macc. xiv. 28).

It is utterly at variance with historical fact to maintain that the Maccabean period was altogether incapable of producing psalms worthy of incorporation in the canon. Al-

^{*} In the early phraseology of the Eastern and Western churches the Psalter is simply called David, e.g. in Chrysostom: ἐκμαθόντες ὅλον τὸν Δαβίδ, and at the close of the Æthiopic Psalter: "David is ended".

though the Maccabean period had no prophets, it is nevertheless to be supposed that many possessed the gift of poesy, and that the Spirit of faith, which is essentially one and the same with the Spirit of prophecy, might sanctify this gift and cause it to bear fruit. An actual proof of this is furnished by the so-called Psalter of Solomon (Ψαλτήριον Σαλομῶντος in distinction from the canonical Psalter of David)* consisting of 18 psalms, which certainly come far behind the originality and artistic beauty of the canonical Psalms; but they shew at the same time, that the feelings of believers, even throughout the whole time of the Maccabees, found utterance in expressive spiritual songs. Maccabean psalms are therefore not an absolute impossibility - no doubt they were many; and that some of them were incorporated in the Psalter, cannot be denied à priori. But still the history of the canon does not favour this supposition. And the circumstance of the LXX version of the Psalms (according to which citations are made even in the first Book of the Maccabees), inscribing several Psalms 'Αγγαίου καὶ Ζαγαρίου, while however it does not assign the date of the later period to any, is against it. And if Maccabean psalms be supposed to exist in the Psalter they can at any rate only be few, because they must have been inserted in a collection which was already arranged. And since the Maccabean movement, though beginning with lofty aspirations, gravitated, in its onward course, towards things carnal, we can no longer expect to find psalms relating to it, or at least none belonging to the period after Judas Maccabæus; and from all that we know of the character and disposition of Alexander Jannaus it is morally impossible that this despot should be the author of the first and second Psalms and should have closed the collection.

IV. ORIGIN OF THE COLLECTION.

The Psalter, as we now have it, consists of five books.**
Τοῦτό σε μὴ παρέλθοι, ῷ φιλόλογε — says Hippolytus, whose

** The Karaite Jerocham (about 950 A. D.) says מגלוח (rolls) instead

of ספרים.

^{*} First made known by De la Cerda in his Adversaria sacra (1626) and afterwards incorporated by Fabricius in his Codex Pseudepigraphus V. T. pp. 914 sqq. (1713).

words are afterwards quoted by Epiphanius — δτι καὶ τὸ ψαλ-. τήριον εἰς πέντε διεῖλον βιβλία οἱ Εβραῖοι, ὥστε εἶναι καὶ αὐτὸ ἄλλον πεντάτευχον. This accords with the Midrash on Ps. i. 1: Moses gave the Israelites the five books of the Thora and corresponding to these (כנגרם) David gave them the book of Psalms which consists of five books (ספר תהלים שיש בו חמשה ספרים). The division of the Psalter into five parts makes it the copy and echo of the Thôra, which it also resembles in this particular: that as in the Thôra Elohistic and Jehovistic sections alternate, so here a group of Elohistic Psalms (xlii—lxxxiv) is surrounded on both sides by groups of Jehovistic (i-xli, lxxxv—cl). The five books are as follow: — i—xli, xlii lxxii, lxxiii—lxxxix, xc—cvi, cvii—cl.* Each of the first four books closes with a doxology, which one might erroneously regard as a part of the preceding Psalm (xli. 14, lxxii. 18 sq., lxxxix. 53, cvi. 48), and the place of the fifth doxology is occupied by Ps. cl. as a full toned finale to the whole (like the relation of Ps. cxxxiv to the so-called Songs of degrees). These doxologies very much resemble the language of the liturgical Beracha of the second Temple. The אמן ואמן coupled with 1 (cf. on the contrary Num. v. 22 and also Neh. viii. 6) is exclusively peculiar to them in Old Testament writings. Even in the time of the writer of the Chronicles the Psalter was a whole divided into five parts, which were indicated by these landmarks. We infer this from 1 Chron. xvi. 36. chronicler in the free manner which characterises Thucydides or Livy in reporting a speech, there reproduces David's festal hymn that resounded in Israel after the bringing home of the ark; and he does it in such a way that after he has once fallen into the track of Ps. cvi., he also puts into the mouth of David the beracha which follows that Ps. From this we see that the Psalter was already divided into books at that period; the closing doxologies had already become thoroughly grafted upon the body of the Psalms after which they stand. The chronicler however wrote under the pontificate of Johanan, the son of Eliashib, the predecessor of Jaddua, towards the end of the Persian supremacy, but a considerable time before the commencement of the Grecian.

^{*} The Karaite Jefeth ben Eli calls them כאיל, ספר אשרי &c.

Next to this application of the beracha of the Fourth book by the chronicler, Ps. lxxii. 20 is a significant mark for determining the history of the origin of the Psalter. The words: "are ended the prayers of David the son of Jesse", are without doubt the subscription to the oldest psalm-collection, which. preceded the present psalm-pentateuch. The collector certainly has removed this subscription from its original place close after lxxii.17, by the interpolation of the beracha lxxii.18sq., but left it, at the same time, untouched. The collectors and those who worked up the older documents within the range of the Biblical literature appear to have been extremely conscientious in this respect and they thereby make it easier for us to gain an insight into the origin of their works, -as, e.g. the composer of the Books of Samuel gives intact the list of officers from a later document 2Sam.viii.16—18 (which closed with that, so far as we at present have it in its incorporated state), as well as the list from an older document (2 Sam. xx. 23-26); or, as not merely the author of the Book of Kings in the middle of the Exile, but also the chronicler towards the end of the Persian period, have transferred unaltered, to their pages, the statement that the staves of the ark are to be found in the rings of the ark "to this day", which has its origin in some annalistic document (1 Kings viii. 8, 2 Chron. v. 9). But unfortunately that subscription, which has been so faithfully preserved, furnishes us less help than we could wish. We only gather from it that the present collection was preceded by a primary collection of very much more limited compass which formed its basis and that this closed with the Salomonic Ps. lxxii; for the collector would surely not have placed the subscription, referring only to the prayers of David, after this Psalm if he had not found it there already. And from this point it becomes natural to suppose that Solomon himself, prompted perhaps by the liturgical requirements of the new Temple, compiled this primary collection, and by the addition of Ps. lxxii may have caused it to be understood that he was the originator of the collection.

But to the question whether the primary collection also contained only Davidic songs properly so called or whether the subscribed designation is only intended $\dot{\alpha}$ potiori, the answer is entirely wanting. If we adopt the latter supposition, one is at a loss to understand for what reason

only Ps. 1. of the Psalms of Asaph was inserted in it. For this psalm is really one of the old Asaphic psalms and might therefore have been an integral part of the primary collection. On the other hand it is altogether impossible for all the Korahitic psalms xlii - xlix to have belonged to it, for some of them, and most undoubtedly xlvii and xlviii were composed in the time of Jehoshaphat, the most remarkable event of which, as the chronicler narrates, was foretold by an Asaphite and celebrated by Korahitic singers. It is therefore, apart from other psalms which bring us down to the Assyrian period (as lxvi, lxvii) and the time of Jeremiah (as lxxi) and bear in themselves traces of the time of the Exile (as lxix, 35 sqq.), absolutely impossible that the primary collection should have consisted of Ps. ii - lxxii, or rather (since Ps. ii appears as though it ought to be assigned to the later time of the kings, perhaps the time of Isaiah) of Ps. iii-lxxii. And if we leave the later insertions out of consideration, there is no arrangement left for the Psalms of David and his cotemporaries, which should in any way bear the impress of the Davidic and Salomonic mind. Even the old Jewish teachers were struck by this, and in the Midrash on Ps.iii we are told, that when Joshua ben Levi was endeavouring to put the Ps. in order, a voice from heaven cried out to him; arouse not the slumberer (אל־חפיתי i. e. do not disturb David in his grave! Why Ps. iii follows directly upon Ps.ii, or as it is expressed in the Midrash פרשת אבשלום follows פרשת, may certainly be more satisfactorily explained than is done there: but to speak generally the mode of the arrangement of the first two books of the Psalms is of a similar nature to that of the last three, viz., that which in my Symbolæ ad Psalmos illustrandos isagogicæ (1846) is shewn to run through the entire Psalter, more according to external than internal points of contact.*

^{*} The right view has been long since perceived by Eusebius, who in his exposition of Ps. lxiii (LXX. lxii), among other things expresses himself thus: έγὼ δὲ ἡγοῦμαι τῆς τῶν ἐγγεγραμμένων διανοίας ἔνεκεν ἐφεξῆς ἀλλήλων τοὺς ψαλμοὺς κεῖσθαι κατὰ τὸ πλεῖστον, οῦτως ἐν πολλοῖς ἐπιτηρήσας καὶ εὐρών, διὸ καὶ συνῆφθαι αὐτοὺς ώσανεὶ συγγένειαν ἔχοντας καὶ ἀκολουθίαν πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔνθεν μὴ κατὰ τοὺς χρόνους ἐμφέρεσθαι, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν τῆς διανοίας ἀκολουθίαν (in Montfaucon's Collectio Nova, t. i. p. 300). This ἀκολουθία διανοίας is however not always central and deep. The attempts of Luther (Walch, iv. col. 646 sqq.) and especially of So-

convincing.

On the other side it cannot be denied that the groundwork of the collection that formed the basis of the present Psalter must lie within the limits of Ps. iii-lxxii, for nowhere else do old Davidic psalms stand so closely and numerously together as here. The Third book (Ps. lxxiii—lxxxix) exhibits a marked difference in this respect. We may therefore suppose that the chief bulk of the oldest hymn book of the Israelitish church is contained in Ps. iii—lxxii. But we must at the same time admit, that its contents have been dispersed and newly arranged in later redactions and more especially in the last of all; and yet, amidst these changes the connection of the subscription, lxxii. 20, with the psalm of Solomon was preserved. The two groups iii-lxxii, lxxiii-lxxxix, although not preserved in the original arrangement, and augmented by several kinds of interpolations, at least represent the first two stages of the growth of the Psalter. The primary collection may be Salomonic. The after portion of the second group was, at the earliest, added in the time of Jehoshaphat, at which time probably the book of the Proverbs of Solomon was also compiled. But with a greater probability of being in the right we incline to assign them to the time of Hezekiah, not merely because some of the psalms among them seem as though they ought to be referred to the overthrow of Assyria under Hezekiah rather than to the overthrow of the allied neighbouring nations under Jehoshaphat, but chiefly because just in the same manner "the men of Hezekiah" appended an after gleaning to the older Salomonic book of Proverbs (Prov. xxv. 1), and because of Hezekiah it is recorded, that he brought the Psalms of David and of Asaph (the bulk of which are contained in the Third book of the Psalms) into use again (2 Chron. xxix. 30). In the time of Ezra and Nehemiah the collection was next extended by the songs composed during and (which are still more numerous) after the Exile. But a gleaning of old songs also had been reserved for this time. A psalm of Moses was placed first, in order to give a pleasing relief to the beginning of the new psalter by this glance back into the earliest time. And to the 56 Davidic psalms of the first three books, there are lomon Gesner, to prove a link of internal progress in the Psalter are not seventeen more added here in the last two. They are certainly not all directly Davidic, but partly the result of the writer throwing himself into David's temper of mind and circumstances. One chief store of such older psalms were perhaps the historical works of an annalistic or even prophetic character, rescued from the age before the Exile. It is from such sources that the historical notes prefixed to the Davidic hymns (and also to one in the Fifth book; Ps. cxlii) come. On the whole there is unmistakeably an advance from the earliest to the latest; and we may say, with Ewald, that in Ps. i-xli the real bulk of the Davidic and, in general, of the older songs is contained, in Ps. xlii-lxxxix predominantly songs of the middle period, in Ps. xc-cl the large mass of later and very late songs. But moreover it is with the Psalmcollection as with the collection of the prophecies of Isaiah. Jeremiah, and Ezekiel: the chronological order and the arrangement according to the matter are at variance; and in many places the former is intentionally and significantly disregarded in favour of the latter. We have often already referred to one chief point of view of this arrangement according to matter, viz., the imitation of the Thôra; it was perhaps this which led to the opening of the Fourth book, which corresponds to the Book of Numbers, with a psalm of Moses of this character.

V. ARRANGEMENT AND INSCRIPTIONS.

Among the Fathers, Gregory of Nyssa has attempted to shew that the Psalter in its five books leads upward as by five steps to moral perfection, ἀεὶ πρὸς τὸ ὑψηλότερον τὴν ψυχὴν ὁπερτιθεὶς, ὡς ἄν ἐπὶ τὸ ἀκρότατον ἐφίκηται τῶν ἀγαθῶν;* and down to the most recent times attempts have been made to trace in the five books a gradation of principal thoughts, which influence and run through the whole collection.** We fear that in this direction, investigation has set before itself an unattainable end. Nevertheless, as we shall see, the collection bears the impress of one ordering mind. For its opening is

э.

^{*} Opp. ed. Paris, (1638) t. i. p. 288.

^{**} Thus especially Stähelin, Zur Einleitung in die Psalmen, 1859, 4to.

formed by a didactic-prophetic couplet of psalms (Ps. i. ii), introductory to the whole Psalter and therefore in the earliest times regarded as one psalm, which opens and closes with אשרי; and its close is formed by four psalms (Ps. cxlvi --- cxlix) which begin and end with הללודיה. We do not include Ps. cl. for this psalm takes the place of the beracha of the Fifth book, exactly as the recurring verse Isa. xlviii. 22 is repeated in lvii. 21 with fuller emphasis, but is omitted at the close of the third part of this address of Isaiah to the exiles, its place being occupied by a terrifying description of the hopeless end of the wicked. The opening of the Psalter celebrates the blessedness of those who walk according to the will of God in redemption, which has been revealed in the law and in history; the close of the Psalter calls upon all creatures to praise this God of redemption, as it were on the ground of the completion of this great work. Bede has already called attention to the fact that the Psalter from Ps. cxlvi ends in a complete strain of praise; the end of the Psalter soars upward to a happy climax. The assumption that there was an evident predilection for attempting to make the number 150 complete, as Ewald supposes, cannot be established; the reckoning 147 (according to a Haggadah book mentioned in Jer. Sabbath xvi, parallel with the years of Jacob's life), and the reckoning 149, which frequently occurs both in Karaitic and Rabbinic MSS., have also been adopted; the numbering of the whole and of particular psalms varies.*

There are in the Psalter 73 psalms bearing the inscription לדרה, viz. (reckoning exactly) 37 in book i; 18 in book ii; 1 in book iii; 2 in book iv; 15 in book v. The redaction has designed the pleasing effect of closing the collection with an imposing group of Davidic psalms, just as it begins with the bulk of the Davidic psalms. And the Hallelujahs which begin with Ps. cxlvi (after the 15 Davidic psalms) are the preludes of the closing doxology.

^{*} The LXX, like our Hebrew text, reckons 150 psalms, but with variations in separate instances, by making ix and x, and cxiv and cxv into one, and in place of these, dividing cxvi and cxlvii each into two. The combination of ix and x, of cxiv and cxv into one has also been adopted by others; cxxxiv and cxxxv, but especially i and ii, appear here and there as one psalm. Kimchi reckons 149 by making Ps. cxiv and cxv into one. The ancient Syriac version combines Ps. cxiv and cxv as one, but reckons 150 by dividing Ps. cxlvii.

The Korahitic and Asaphic psalms are found exclusively in the Second and Third books. There are 12 Asaphic psalms: l. lxxiii—lxxxiii, and also 12 Korahitic: xlii. xliii. xliv—xlix. lxxxiv. lxxxv. lxxxvii. lxxxviii, assuming that Ps. xliii is to be regarded as an independent twin psalm to xlii and that Ps. lxxxviii is to be reckoned among the Korahitic psalms. In both of these divisions we find psalms belonging to the time of the Exile and to the time after the Exile (lxxiv. lxxix. lxxxv). The fact of their being found exclusively in the Second and Third books cannot therefore be explained on purely chronological grounds. Korahitic psalms, followed by an Asaphic, open the Second book; Asaphic psalms, followed by four Korahitic, open the Third book.

The way in which Davidic psalms are interspersed clearly sets before us the principle by which the arrangement according to the matter, which the collector has chosen, is governed. It is the principle of homogeneousness, which is the old Semitic mode of arranging things: for in the alphabet, the hand and the hollow of the hand, water and fish, the eye and the mouth, the back and front of the head have been placed together. In like manner also the psalms follow one another according to their relationship as manifested by prominent external and internal marks. The Asaphic psalm, Ps. 1, is followed by the Davidic psalm, li., because they both similarly disparage the material animal sacrifice, as compared with that which is personal and spiritual. And the Davidic psalm lxxxvi is inserted between the Korahitic psalms lxxxv and lxxxvii, because it is related both to Ps. lxxxv. 8 by the prayer: "Shew me Thy way, O Jahve" and "give Thy conquering strength unto Thy servant", and to Ps. lxxxvii by the prospect of the conversion of the heathen to the God of Israel. This phenomenon, that psalms with similar prominent thoughts, or even with only markedly similar passages, especially at the beginning and the end, are thus strung together, may be observed throughout the whole collection. Thus e.g. Ps. Ivi with the inscription, "after (the melody): the mute dove among strangers", is placed after Ps. ly on account of the occurrence of the words: "Oh that I had wings like a dove!" &c., in that psalm; thus Ps. xxxiv and xxxv stand together as being the only psalms in which "the Angel of Jahve" occurs; and just so Ps. ix and x which coincide in the expression .עתות בצרה.

Closely connected with this principle of arrangement is the circumstance that the Elohimic psalms (i. e., those which, according to a peculiar style of composition as I have shewn in my Symbolæ, not from the caprice of an editor, * almost exclusively call God אלהים, and beside this make use of such compound names of God as יהוה צבאות, יהוה צבאות and the like) are placed together without any intermixture of Jehovic psalms. In Ps. i-xli the divine name הווה predominates; it occurs 272 times and אלהים only 15 times, and for the most part under circumstances where יהוה was not admissible. With Ps. xlii the Elohimic style begins; the last psalm of this kind is the Korahitic psalm lxxxiv, which for this very reason is placed after the Elohimic psalms of Asaph. In the Ps. lxxxv — cl יהוה again becomes prominent, with such exclusiveness, that in the psalms of the Fourth and Fifth books יהוה occurs 339 times (not 239 as in Symbolæ p. 5), and of the true God only once (cxliv. 9). Among the psalms of David 18 are Elohimic, among the Korahitic 9, and the Asaphic are all Elohimic. Including one psalm of Solomon and four anonymous psalms, there are 44 in all (reckoning Ps. xlii and xliii as two). They form the middle portion of the Psalter, and have on their right 41 and on their left 65 Jahve-psalms.

Community in species of composition also belongs to the manifold grounds on which the order according to the subject-matter is determined. Thus the מַשְבֵּיל (xlii—xliii. xliv. xlv. lii—lv) and מְבָּהָם (lvi—lx) stand together among the Elohim-psalms. In like manner we have in the last two books the him-psalms. In like manner we have in the last two books the ning with (cxx—cxxxiv) and, divided into groups, those beginning with (cv—cvii) and those beginning and ending with הַלְּלִינְיִה (cxi—cxvii, cxlvi—cl) — whence it follows that these titles to the psalms are older than the final redaction of the collection.

It could not possibly be otherwise than that the inscriptions of the psalms, after the harmless position which the mono-

^{*} This is Ewald's view (which is also supported by Riehm in Stud. u. Kril. 1857 S. 168). A closer insight into the characteristic peculiarity of the Elohim-psalms, which is manifest in other respects also, proves it to be superficial and erroneous.

graphs of Sonntag (1687), Celsius (1718), Irhof (1728) take with regard to them, should at length become a subject for criticism; but the custom which has gained ground since the last decade of the past century of rejecting what has been historically handed down, has at present grown into a despicable habit of forming a decision too hastily, which in any other department of literature where the judgment is not so prejudiced by the drift of the enquiry, would be regarded as folly. Instances like Hab. iii. 1 and 2 Sam. i. 18, comp. Ps. lx. 1, shew that David and other psalm-writers might have appended their names to their psalms and the definition of their purport. And the great antiquity of these and similar inscriptions also follows from the fact that the LXX found them already in . existence and did not understand them; that they also cannot be explained from the Books of the Chronicles (including the Book of Ezra, which belongs to these) in which much is said about music, and appear in these books, like much besides, as an old treasure of the language revived, so that the key to the understanding of them must have been lost very early, as also appears from the fact that in the last two books of the. Psalter they are of more rare, and in the first three of more frequent occurrence.

VI. THE STROPHE-SYSTEM OF THE PSALMS.

The early Hebrew poetry has neither rhyme nor metre, both of which (first rhyme and then afterwards metre) were first adopted by Jewish poesy in the seventh century after Christ. True, attempts at rhyme are not wanting in the poetry and prophecy of the Old Testament, especially in the tephilla style, Ps. cvi. 4—7 cf. Jer. iii. 21—25, where the earnestness of the prayer naturally causes the heaping up of similar flexional endings; but this assonance, in the transition state towards rhyme proper, had not yet assumed such an established form as is found in Syriac.* It is also just as difficult to point out verses of four lines only, which have a uniform or mixed metre running through them. Notwithstanding, Augustine, Ep. cxiii ad Memorium, is perfectly warranted in saying of the Psalms: certis eos constare numeris

^{*} Vid. Zingerle in the Deutsch. Morgenländ. Zeitschrift. X. 110 ff.

credo illis qui eam linguam probe callent, and it is not a mere fancy when Philo, Josephus, Eusebius, Jerome and others have detected in the Old Testament songs, and especially in the Psalms, something resembling the Greek and Latin metres. For the Hebrew poetry indeed had a certain syllabic measure, since, - apart from the audible Shebâ and the Chateph, both of which represent the primitive shortenings, - all syllables with a full vowel are intermediate, and in ascending become long, in descending short, or in other words, in one position are strongly accented, in another more or less slurred over. Hence the most manifold rhythms arise, e. g. the anapæstic wenashlîcha miménnu abothêmo (ii. 3) or the dactylic άz jedabber elêmo beappο (ii. 5). The poetic discourse is freer in its movement than the Syriac poetry with its constant ascending (-1) or descending spondees (1); it represents all kinds of syllabic movements and thus obtains the appearance of a lively mixture of the Greek and Latin metres. But it is only an appearance - for the forms of verse, which conform to the laws of quantity, are altogether foreign to early Hebrew poetry, as also to the oldest poetry; and these rhythms which vary according to the emotions are not metres, for, as Augustine says in his work De Musica, "Omne metrum rhythmus, non omnis rhythmus etiam metrum est." Yet there is not a single instance of a definite rhythm running through the whole in a shorter or longer poem, but the rhythms always vary according to the thoughts and feelings; as e. g. the evening song Ps. iv towards the end rises to the anapæstic measure: kiatta Jahané lebadad, in order then quietly to subside in the iambic: labetach tôshibeni.* With this alternation of rise and

^{*} Bellermann's Versuch über die Metrik der Hebrüer (1813) is comparatively the best on this subject even down to the present time; for Saalschütz (Von der Form der hebr. Poesie, 1825, and elsewhere) proceeds on the erroneous assumption that the present system of accentuation does not indicate the actual strong toned syllable of the words — by following the pronunciation of the German and Polish Jews he perceives, almost throughout, a spondæo-dactylic rhythm (e. g. Judg. xiv. 18 lille charáshtem beegláthi). But the traditional accentuation is proved to be a faithful continuation of the ancient proper pronunciation of the Hebrew; the trochaic pronunciation is more Syrian, and the tendency to draw the accent from the final syllable to the penult, regardless of the conditions originally governing it, is a phenomenon which belongs only

fall, long and short syllables, harmonizing in lively passages with the subject, there is combined, in Hebrew poetry, an expressiveness of accent which is hardly to be found anywhere else to such an extent. Thus e. g. Ps. ii. 5a sounds like pealing thunder, and 5b corresponds to it as the flashing lightning. And there are a number of dull toned Psalms as xvii. xlix. lviii. lix. lxxiii, in which the description drags heavily on and is hard to be understood, and in which more particularly the suffixes in mo are heaped up, because the indignant mood of the writer impresses itself upon the style and makes itself heard in the very sound of the words. The non plus ultra of such poetry, whose very tones heighten the expression, is the cycle of the prophecies of Jeremiah chap. xxiv—xxvii.

Under the point of view of rhythm the so-called parallelismus membrorum has also been rightly placed: that fundamental law of the higher, especially poetic, style for which this appropriate name has been coined, not very long since.* The relation of the two parallel members does not really differ from that of the two halves on either side of the principal cæsura of the hexameter and pentameter; and this is particularly manifest in the double long line of the cæsural schema (more correctly: the diærètic schema) e. g. Ps. xlviii. 6, 7: They beheld, straightway they marvelled, \ bewildered they took to flight. Trembling took hold upon them there | anguish, as a woman in travail. Here the one thought is expanded in the same verse in two parallel members. But from the fact of the rhythmical organization being carried out without reference to the logical requirements of the sentence, as in the same psalm vers. 4,8: Elohim in her palaces | was known as a refuge. With an east wind Thou breakest | the ships of Tarshish, we

to the later period of the language (vid. Hupfeld in the Deutsch. Morgent. Zeitschr. vi. 187).

^{*} Abenezra calls it אַבֶּבֶל עֵנְין בְּעָה duplicatum, and Kimchi אַבָּבָל עַנִין בְּעָה duplicatio sententiæ verbis variatis; both regard it as an elegant form of expression (הרך צחוו). Even the punctuation does not proceed from a real understanding of the rhythmical relation of the members of the verse to one another, and when it divides every verse that is marked off by Silluk wherever it is possible into two parts, it must not be inferred that this rhythmical relation is actually always one consisting of two members merely, although (as Hupfeld has shewn in his admirable treatise on the two-fold law of the rhythm and accent, in the D. M. Z. 1852), wherever it exists it always consists of at least two members.

see that the rhythm is not called into existence as a necessity of such expansion of the thought, but vice versa this mode of expanding the thought results from the requirements of the rhythm. Here is neither synonymous or identical (tautological), nor antithetical, nor synthetical parallelism, but merely that which De Wette calls rhythmical, merely the rhythmical rise and fall, the diastole and systole, which poetry is otherwise (without binding itself) wont to accomplish by two different kinds of ascending and descending logical organi-The ascending and descending rhythm does not usually exist within the compass of one line, but it is distributed over two lines which bear the relation to one another of rhythmical antecedent and consequent, of προφδός and ἐπφδός. This distich is the simplest ground-form of the strophe, which is visible in the earliest song, handed down to us, Gen. iv. 23 sq. The whole Ps. cxix is composed in such distichs, which is the usual form of the apophthegm; the acrostic letter stands there at the head of each distich, just as at the head of each line in the likewise distichic pair, Ps. cxi, cxii. The tristich is an outgrowth from the distich, the ascending rhythm being prolonged through two lines and the fall commencing only in the third, e. g. xxv. 7 (the 7 of this alphabetical Psalm):

Have not the sins of my youth and my transgressions in remembrance. According to Thy mercy remember Thou me

For Thy goodness' sake, O Jahve!

This at least is the natural origin of the tristich, which moreover in connection with a most varied logical organization still has the inalienable peculiarity, that the full fall is reserved until the third line, e. g. in the first two strophes of the Lamentations of Jeremiah, where each line is a long line in two parts consisting of rise and fall, the principal fall, however, after the casura of the third long line, closes the strophe:

Ah! how doth the city sit solitary, otherwise full of people! She is become as a widow, The princess among provinces, By night she weepeth sore There is not one to comfort her

the great one among nations, she is become tributary. and her tears are upon her cheeks; of all her lovers,

they are become her enemies.

All her friends have betrayed her, If we now further enquire, whether Hebrew poesy goes beyond these simplest beginnings of the strophe-formation and even

extends the network of the rhythmical period, by combining the two and three line strophe with ascending and descending rhythm into greater strophic wholes rounded off into themselves, the alphabetical Psalm xxxvii furnishes us with a safe answer to the question, for this is almost entirely tetrastichic, e. g.

About evil-doers fret not thyself, About the workers of iniquity be thou not envious. For as grass they shall soon be cut down, And as the green herb they shall wither,

but it admits of the compass of the strophe increasing even to the pentastich, (ver. 25, 26) since the unmistakeable landmarks of the order, the letters, allow a freer movement:

> Now I, who once was young, am become old, Yet have I not seen the righteous forsaken And his seed begging bread. He ever giveth and lendeth And his seed is blessed.

From this point the sure guidance of the alphabetical Psalms* fails us in investigating the Hebrew strophe-system. But in our further confirmatory investigations we will take with us from these Psalms, the important conclusion that the verse bounded by $S\hat{o}ph\ pas\hat{u}k$, the placing of which harmonizes with the accentuation first mentioned in the post-Talmudic tractate Sofrim,** is by no means (as, since Köster, 1831, it has been almost universally supposed) the original form of the strophe but that strophes are a whole consisting of an equal or symmetrical number of stichs.*** Hupfeld (Ps. iv.

^{*} Even the older critics now and then supposed that we were to make these Ps. the starting point of our enquiries. For instance, Serpilius says: "It may perhaps strike some one whether an opinion as to some of the modes of the Davidic species of verse and poetry might not be formed from his, so-to-speak, alphabetical psalms."

^{**} Even if, and this is what Hupfeld and Riehm (Luth. Zeitschr. 1866, S. 300) advance, the Old Testament books were divided into verses, DPDD, even before the time of the Masoretes, still the division into verses, as we now have it and especially that of the three poetical books, is Masoretic.

^{***} It was these stichs, of which the Talmud (B. Kiddushin 30 a) counts eight more in the Psalter than in the Thôra, viz. 5896, which were originally called DIPID. Also in Augustine we find versus thus used like otixos. With him the words Papulus ejus et oves pascuæ ejus are one versus. There is no Hebrew MS. which could have formed the basis of

450) has objected against this, that "this is diametrically opposed to the nature of rhythm = parallelism, which cannot stand on one leg, but needs two, that the distich is therefore the rhythmical unit."

But does it therefore follow, that a strophe is to be measured according to the number of distichs? The distich is itself only the smallest strophe, viz. one consisting of two lines. And it is even forbidden to measure a greater strophe by the number of distichs, because the rhythmical unit, of which the distich is the ground-form, can just as well be tristichic, and consequently these so-called rhythmical units form neither according to time nor space parts of equal value. But this applies still less to the Masoretic verses. True, we have shewn in our larger Commentary on the Psalms, ii. 522 sq., in agreement with Hupfeld, and in opposition to Ewald, that the accentuation proceeds upon the law of dichotomy. But the Masoretic division of the verses is not only obliged sometimes to give up the law of dichotomy, because the verse (as e. g. xviii. 2, xxv. 1, xcii. 9,) does not admit of being properly divided into two parts; and it subjects not only verses of three members (as e. g. i. 1, ii. 2) in which the third member is embellishingly or synthetically related to the other two — both are phenomena which in themselves furnish proof in favour of the relative independence of the lines of the verse — but also verses of four members where the sense requires it (as i. 3, xviii. 16) and where it does not require it (as xxii. 15, xl. 6), to the law of dichotomy. And these Masoretic verses of such various compass

the arrangement of the Psalms in stichs; those which we possess only break the Masoretic verse, (if the space of the line admits of it) for ease of writing into the two halves, without even regarding the general injunction in c. xiv of the tractate Sofrim and that of Ben-Bileam in his Horajoth ha-Kore, that the breaks are to be regulated by the beginnings of the verses and the two great pausal accents. Nowhere in the MSS., which divide and break up the words most capriciously, is there to be seen any trace of the recognition of those old D'PIDD being preserved. These were not merely lines determined by the space, as were chiefly also the στίχοι οι ἔπη according to the number of which, the compass of Greek works was recorded, but lines determined by the sense, xῶλα (Suidas: xῶλον ὁ ἀπηρτισμένην ἔννοιαν ἔχων στίχος), as Jerome wrote his Latin translation of the Old Testament after the model of the Greek and Roman orators (e. g. the MSS. of Demosthenes), per cola et commata i, e. in lines breaking off according to the sense.

are to be the constituent parts according to which strophes of a like cipher shall be measured! A strophe only becomes a strophe by virtue of its symmetrical relation to others, to the ear it must have the same time, to the eye the same form and it must consequently represent the same number of lines (clauses). The fact of these clauses, according to the special characteristic of Hebrew poetry, moving on with that rising and falling movement which we call parallelism until they come to the close of the strophe where it gently falls to rest, is a thing sui generis, and, within the province of the strophe, somewhat of a substitute for metre; but the strophe itself is a section which comes to thorough repose by this species of rhythmical movement. So far, then, from placing the rhythm on one leg only, we give it its two: but measure the strophe not by the two feet of the Masoretic verses or even couplets of verses, but by the equal, or symmetrically alternating number of the members present, which consist mostly of two feet, often enough however of three, and sometimes even of four feet.

Whether and how a psalm is laid out in strophes, is shewn by seeing first of all what its pauses are, where the flow of thoughts and feelings falls in order to rise anew, and then by trying whether these pauses have a like or symmetrically correspondent number of stichs (e. g. 6. 6. 6. 6 or 6. 7. 6. 7) or, if their compass is too great for them to be at once regarded as one strophe, whether they cannot be divided into smaller wholes of an equal or symmetrical number of stichs. For the peculiarity of the Hebrew strophe does not consist in a run of definite metres closely united to form one harmonious whole (for instance, like the Sapphic strophe, which the four membered verses, Isa. xvi. 9, 10, with their short closing lines corresponding to the Adonic verse, strikingly resemble), but in a closed train of thought which is unrolled after the distichic and tristichic ground-form of the rhythmical period. The strophe-schemata, which are thus evolved, are very diverse. We find not only that all the strophes of a poem are of the same compass (e. g. 4. 4. 4. 4), but also that the poem is made up of symmetrical relations formed of strophes of different compass. The condition laid down by some, * that only a poem that consists of strophes of equal

^{*} For instance Meier in his Geschichte der poetischen Nationallitera-

length can be regarded as strophic, is refuted not only by the Syriac* but also by the post-biblical Jewish poetry. ** We find the following variations: strophes of the same compass followed by those of different compass (e. g. 4. 4. 6. 6); as in the chiasmus, the outer and inner strophes of the same compass (e. g. 4. 6. 6. 4); the first and third, the second and fourth corresponding to one another (e.g. 4.6.4.6); the mingling of the strophes repeated antistrophically, i. e. in the inverted order (e. g. 4. 6. 7. 7. 6. 4); strophes of equal compass surrounding one of much greater compass (e. g. 4. 4. 10. 4. 4.), what Köster calls the pyramidal schema; strophes of equal compass followed by a short closing stanza (e. g. 3. 3. 2); a longer strophe forming the base of the whole (e.g.5. 3. 3. 7), and these are far from being all the different figures, which the Old Testament songs and more especially the Psalms present to us, when we arrange their contents in stichs.

With regard to the compass of the strophe, we may expect to find it consisting of as many as twelve lines according to the Syrian and the synagogue poetry. The line usually consists of three words, or at least only of three larger words; in this respect the Hebrew exhibits a capacity for short but emphatic expressions, which are inadmissible in German [or English]. This measure is often most uniformly preserved throughout a considerable length, not only in the Psalms but also in the Book of Job. For there is far more reason for saying that the strophe lies at the basis of the arrangement of the Book of Job, than for G. Hermann's observation of strophic arrangement in the Bucolic writers and Köchly's in the older portions of Homer.

VII. TEMPLE MUSIC AND PSALMODY.

The Thôra contains no directions respecting the use of song and music in divine worship except the commands con-

tur der Hebräer, S. 67, who maintains that strophes of unequal length are opposed to the simplest laws of the lyric song and melody. But the demands which melody imposes on the formation of the verse and the strophe were not so stringent among the ancients as now, and moreover—is not the sonnet a lyric poem?

^{*} vid. Zingerle in the D. M. Z. x. 123, 124.

^{**} vid. Zunz, Synagogale Poesie des Mittelalters, S. 92-94.

cerning the ritualistic use of silver trumpets to be blown by the priests (Numb. ch. x). David is really the creator of liturgical music, and to his arrangements, as we see from the Chronicles, every thing was afterwards referred, and in times when it had fallen into disuse, restored. So long as David lived, the superintendence of the liturgical music was in his hands (1 Chron. xxv. 2). The instrument by means of which the three choir-masters (Heman, Asaph, and Ethan-Jeduthun) directed the choir was the cymbals (צלצלים or צלפים*) which served instead of wands for beating time; the harps (מבים) represented the soprano, and the bass (the male voice in opposition to the female) was represented by the citherns an octave lower (1 Chron. xv. 17-21), which, to infer from the word ינצה used there, were used at the practice of the pieces by the מלה appointed. In a Psalm where מלה is appended (vid. on Ps. iii), the stringed instruments (which הגיון סלה ix. 17 definitely expresses), and the instruments generally, are to join in ** in such a way as to give intensity to that which is being sung. To these instruments, besides those mentioned in Ps. cl, 2 Sam. vi. 5, belonged also the flute, the liturgical use of which (vid. on v. 1) in the time of the first as of the second Temple is undoubted: it formed the peculiar musical accompaniment of the hallel (vid. Ps. exiii) and of the nightly torch-light festival on the semi-festival days of the Feast of Tabernacles (Succa 15 a). The trumpets (הַצֹּצֶרְוֹה) were blown exclusively by the priests to whom no part was assigned in the singing (as probably also the horn שופר lxxxi. 4, xcviii. 6, cl. 3), and according to 2 Chron. v. 12 sq. (where the number of the two Mosaic trumpets appears to be raised to 120) took their turn unisono with the singing and the music of the Levites. At the dedication of Solomon's Temple the Levites sing and play and the priests sound trumpets גולם, 2 Chron. vii. 6, and at

^{*} Talmudic الْكِارِّةِ. The usual Levitic orchestra of the temple of Herod consisted of 2 Nabla players, 9 Cithern players and one who struck the Zelazal, viz. Ben-Arza (Erachin 10 a, &c.; Tamid vii. 3), who also had the oversight of the duchan (Tosiphta to Shekalim ii).

^{**} Comp. Mattheson's "Erläutertes Selah" 1745: Selah is a word marking a prelude, interlude, or after-piece with instruments, a sign indicating the places where the instruments play alone, in short a so-called ritornello.

the inauguration of the purified Temple under Hezekiah the music of the Levites and priests sound in concert until all the burnt offerings are laid upon the altar fire, and then (probably as the wine is being poured on) began (without any further thought of the priests) the song of the Levites, 2 Chron. xxix. 26-30. In the second Temple it was otherwise: the sounding of the trumpets by the priests and the Levitical song with its accompanying music alternated, they were not simultaneous. The congregation did not usually sing with the choir, but only uttered their Amen; nevertheless they joined in the Hallel and in some psalms after the first clause with its repetition, after the second with hallelujah (Maimonides, Hilchoth Megilla, 3). 1 Chron. xvi. 36 points to a similar arrangement in the time of the first Temple. Just so does Jer. xxxiii. 11 in reference to the "Give thanks unto the Lord. for He is good". Antiphonal singing on the part of the congregation is also to be inferred from Ezra iii. 10 sq. The Psalter itself is moreover acquainted with an allotment of the עלמות comp. משררות Ezra ii. 65 (whose treble was represented by the Levite boys in the second Temple, vid. on xlvi 1) in choral worship and speaks of a praising of God "in full choirs", xxvi. 12, Îxviii. 27. And responsive singing is of ancient date in Israel: even Miriam with the women answered the men (DT) Ex. xv. 21) in alternating song, and Nehemiah (ch. xii. 27 sqq.) at the dedication of the city walls placed the Levites in two great companies which are there called חובות. in the midst of the procession moving towards the Temple. In the time of the second Temple each day of the week had its psalm. The psalm for Sunday was xxiv, for Monday xlviii, Tuesday lxxxii, Wednesday xciv, Thursday lxxxi, Friday xciii, the Sabbath xcii. This arrangement is at least as old as the time of the Ptolemies and the Seleucidæ, for the statements of the Talmud are supported by the inscriptions of Ps. xxiv, xlviii, xciv, xciii in the LXX, and as respects the connection of the daily psalms with the drink-offering, by Sir. 1. 14-16. The psalms for the days of the week were sung, to wit, at the time of the drink-offering (70) which was joined with the morning Tamîd*: two priests, who stood on

^{*} According to the maxim אין אומר שירה אלא על היין, "no one singeth except over the wine."

the right and left of the player upon the cymbal (Zelazal) by whom the signal was given, sounded the trumpets at the nine pauses (פֿרקים), into which it was divided when sung by the Levites, and the people bowed down and worshipped.* The Levites standing upon the suggestus (דּוֹכֵן), — i. e. upon a broad staircase consisting of a few steps, which led up from the court of the laity to that of the priests, - who were both singers and musicians, and consequently played only on stringed instruments and instruments of percussion, not windinstruments, were at least twelve in number, with 9 citherns, 2 harps, and one cymbal: on certain days the flute was added to this number.** The usual suggestus on the steps at the side of the altar was changed for another only in a few cases; for it is noticed as something special that the singers had a different position at the festival of water-drawing during the Feast of Tabernacles (vid. introduction to Ps. cxx—cxxxiv), and that the flute-players who accompanied the Hallel stood before the altar, לפני המובה (Erachin 10 a). The treble was taken

^{*} B. Rosh ha-Shana, 31a. Tamîd vii. 3, comp. the introduction to Ps. xxiv. xcii and xeiv.

^{**} According to B. Erachin 10 a the following were the customary accompaniments of the daily service: 1) 21 trumpet blasts, to as many as 48; 2) 2 nablas, to 6 at most; 2 flutes (וחלילין), to 12 at most. Blowing the flute is called striking the flute, הבה החליל. On 12 days of the year the flute was played before the altar: on the 14th of Nisan at the slaying of the Passover (at which the Hallel was sung), on the 14th of Ijar at the slaying of the little Passover, on the 1st and 7th days of the Passover and on the eight days of the Feast of Tabernacles. The mouth-piece (אבור according to the explanation of Maimonides) was not of metal but a reed (comp. Arab. anbûb, the blade of the reed), because it sounds more melodious. And it was never more than one flute (אבוב יחידי, playing a solo), which continued at the end of a strain and closed it, because this produces the finest close (P1711). On the 12 days mentioned, the Hallel was sung with flute accompaniment. On other days, the Psalm appointed for the day was accompanied by nablas, cymbals and citherns. This passage of the treatise Erachin also tells who were the flute-players. On the flute-playing at the festival of water-drawing, vid. my Geschichte der jüdischen Poesie S. 195. In the Temple of Herod, according to Erachin 10 b, there was also an organ. This was however not a water-organ (הדרולים, hydraulis), but a windorgan (מיני ומר) with a hundred different tones (מיני ומר), whose thunderlike sound, according to Jerome (Opp. ed. Mart. v. 191), was heard ab Jerusalem usque ad montem Oliveti et amplius, vid. Saalschütz, Archäol. i. 281-284.

by the Levite youths, who stood below the suggestus at the feet of the Levites (vid. on Ps. xlvi). The daily שיר הכרבן (i. e. the week-day psalm which concluded the morning sacrifice) was sung in nine (or perhaps more correctly 3*) pauses, and the pauses were indicated by the trumpet-blasts of the priests (vid. on Ps. xxxviii. lxxxi. 4). Beside the seven Psalms which were sung week by week, there were others appointed for the services of the festivals and intervening days (vid. on Ps. lxxxi), and in Biccurim 3, 4 we read that when a procession bearing the firstfruits accompanied by flute playing had reached the hill on which the Temple stood and the firstfruits had been brought up in baskets, at the entrance of the offerers into the Azara, Ps. xxx was struck up by the Levites. This singing was distinct from the mode of delivering the Tefilla (vid. on Ps. xliv ad fin.) and the benediction of the priests (vid. on Ps. lxvii), both of which were unaccompanied by music. Distinct also, as it seems, from the mode of delivering the Hallel, which was more as a recitative, than sung (Pesachim 64a, קראו את ההלל). It was probably similar to the Arabic, which delights in shricking, long-winded, trilling, and especially also nasal tones. For it is related of one of the chief singers that in order to multiply the tones, he placed his thumb in his mouth and his fore finger בין הנימין (between the hairs, i. e. according to Rashi: on the furrow of the upper lip against the partition of the nostrils), and thus (by forming mouth and nose into a trumpet) produced sounds, before the volume of which the priests started back in astonishment.** This mode of psalm-singing in the Temple of Herod was no longer the original mode, and if the present accentuation of the Psalms represents the fixed form of the Temple song, it nevertheless does not convey to us any im-

^{*} This is the view of Maimonides, who distributes the 9 trumpet-blasts by which the morning sacrifice, according to Succa 53b, was accompanied, over the 3 pauses of the song. The hymn Haazinu, Deut. xxxii, which is called שׁרח הלוים par excellence, was sung at the Sabbath Musaph-sacrifice—each Sabbath a division of the hymn, which was divided into six parts—so that it began anew on every seventh Sabbath, vid. J. Megilla, sect. iii, ad fin.

^{**} vid. B. Joma 38 b and J. Shekalim v. 3, comp. Canticum Rabba on Canticles iii, 6.

pression of that before the Exile. It does, however, neither the one nor the other.

The accents are only musical, and indirectly interpunctional, signs for the chanting pronunciation of the synagogue. And moreover we no longer possess the key to the accents of the three metrical (i. e. consisting of symmetrical stichs and strophes) books as musical signs. For the so-called Sarkatables (which give the value of the accents as notes, beginning with Zarka, ווכא, e. g. at the end of the second edition of Nägelsbach's Gramm., relate only to the reading of the pentateuchal and prophetic pericope, - consequently to the system of prose accents. In the German synagogue there is no tradition concerning the value of the so-called metrical accents as notes, for the Psalms were not recited according to the accents; but for all the Psalms, there are only two different modes, at least in the German ritual, viz. 1) the customary one according to which verse after verse is recited by the leader and the congregation, as e. g. Ps. xcv - xcix. xxix. every Friday evening; and 2) that peculiar to Ps. cxix in which the first seven verses of the eight are recited alternately by the leader and the congregation, but the eighth as a concluding verse is always closed by the congregation with a cadence. This psalmody does not always follow the accents. We can only by supposition approximately determine how the Psalms were to be recited according to them. For we still possess at least a few statements of Ben-Asher, Shemtob and Moses Provenzalo (in his grammatical didactic poem בשם קדמון) concerning the intonation of single metrical accents. Pazer and Shalsheleth have a like intonation, which rises with a trill; though Shalshéleth is more prolonged, about a third longer than that of the prose books. Legarme (in form Mahpach or Azla followed by Psik) has a clear high pitch, before Zinnor, however, a deeper and more broken tone; Rebia magnum a soft tone tending to repose. By Silluk the tone first rises and then diminishes. The tone of Mercha is according to its name andante and sinking into the depths; the tone of Tarcha cor-, responds to adagio. Further hints cannot be traced: though we may infer with respect to Ole we-jored (Mercha mahpachatum) and Athnach, that their intonation ought to form a cadence, as that Rebia parvum and Zinnor (Zarka) had an

intonation hurrying on to the following distinctive accent. Further, if we place *Dechi (Tiphcha initiale)* and *Rebia gereshatum* beside the remaining six *servi* among the notes, we may indeed produce a sarka-table of the metrical accentuation, although we cannot guarantee its exact agreement with the original manner of singing.

Following Gerbert (De musica sacra) and Martini (Storia della musica), the view is at present very general that in the eight Gregorian tones together with the extra tone (tonus peregrinus),* used only for Ps. cxiii (= cxiv-cxv in the Hebrew numeration), we have a remnant of the ancient Temple song; and this in itself is by no means improbable in connection with the Jewish nationality of the primitive church and its gradual severance at the first from the Temple and synagogue. In the convents of Bethlehem, which St. Paula founded, psalms were sung at six hours of prayer from early morn till midnight, and she herself was so well versed in Hebrew, ut Psalmos hebraice caneret et sermonem absque ulla Latinæ linguæ proprietate personaret (Ep. 108 ad Eustoch. c. 26). This points to a connection between the church and synagogue psalmmelodies in the mos orientalium partium, the oriental psalmody, which was introduced by Ambrose into the Milanese church. Nevertheless, at the same time the Jewish element has undergone scarcely any change; it has been developed under the influence of the Greek style, but is, notwithstanding, still recognizable.** Pethachja of Ratisbon, the Jewish traveller in the 12th century, when in Bagdad, the ancient seat of the Geonim (גאונים), heard the Psalms sung in a manner altogether peculiar; *** and Benjamin of Tudela, in the same century, became acquainted in Bagdad with a skilful singer of the Psalms used in divine worship. Saadia on Ps. vi. 1,

^{*} vid. Friedr. Hommel's Psalter nach der deutschen Uebersetzung D. M. Luthers für den Gesang eingerichtet, 1859. The Psalms are there arranged in stichs, rightly assuming it to be the original mode and the most appropriate, that antiphonal song ought to alternate not according to the verses, as at the present day in the Romish and English church, but according to the two members of the verse.

^{**} vid. Saalschütz, Geschichte und Würdigung der Musik bei den Hebrüern, 1829, S. 121, and Otto Strauss, Geschichtliche Betrachtung über den Psaller als Gesang- und Gebetbuch, 1859.

^{***} vid. Literaturblatt des Orients, 4th year, col. 541.

infers from על-השתנית that there were eight different melodies (ולבשוט). And eight נגינות are also mentioned elsewhere;* perhaps not without reference to those eight church-tones, which are also found among the Armenians.** Moreover the two modes of using the accents in chanting, which are attested in the ancient service-books,*** may perhaps be not altogether unconnected with the distinction between the festival and the simpler ferial manner in the Gregorian style of church-music.

VIII. TRANSLATIONS OF THE PSALMS.

The earliest translation of the Psalms is the Greek Alexandrine version. When the grandson of the son of Sirach came to Egypt in the year 132 B. C., not only the Law and the Prophets, but also the Hagiographa were already translated into the Greek: of course therefore also the Psalms, by which the Hagiographa are directly named in Luke xxiv. 44. The story of the LXX (LXXII) translators, in its original form, refers only to the Thôra; the translations of the other books are later and by different authors. All these translators used a text consisting only of consonants, and these moreover were here and there more or less indistinct; this text had numerous glosses, and was certainly not yet, as later, settled on the Masoretic basis. This they translated literally, in ignorance of the higher exegetical and artistic functions of the translator, and frequently the translation itself is obscure. From Philo, Josephus and the New Testament we see that we possess the text of this translation substantially in its original form, so that criticism, which since the middle of the last century has acquired many hitherto unknown helps, + more especially also in the province of the Psalms, will not need to reverse its judgment of the character of the

^{*} Steinschneider, Jewish Literature p. 336 sq.

^{**} Petermann, Ueber die Musik der Armenier in the Deutsche Morgent. Zeischrift v. 368 f.

^{***} Zunz, Synagogale Poesie, S. 115.

[†] To this period belong 1) the Psalterium Veronense published by Blanchini 1740, the Greek text in Roman characters with the Italic at the side belonging to the 5th or 6th century (vid. Tischendorf's edition of the LXX, 1856, Prolegg. p. lviii sq.); 2) the Psalterium Turicense pur-

work. Nevertheless, this translation, as being the oldest key to the understanding of the language of the Old Testament writings, as being the oldest mirror of the Old Testament text, which is not to be exempted from modest critical investigation, and as an important check upon the interpretation of Scripture handed down in the Talmud, in the Midrash, and in that portion of the national literature in general, not originating in Egypt, — is invaluable.

In one other respect this version claims a still greater significance. Next to the Book of Isaiah, no book is so frequently cited in the New Testament as the Psalter. Epistle to the Hebrews has grown up entirely from the roots of the language of the Old Testament psalms. The Apocalypse, the only book which does not admit of being referred back to any earlier formula as its basis, is nevertheless not without references to the Psalter: Ps. ii in particular has a significant part in the moulding of the apocalyptic conceptions and language. These New Testament citations, with few exceptions (as John xiii. 18), are based upon the LXX, even where this translation (as. e. g., Ps. xix. 5, li. 6, cxvi. 10), only in a general way, correctly reproduces the original text. The explanation of this New Testament use of the LXX is to be found in the high esteem in which this translation was held among the Jewish people: it was accounted, not only by the Hellenistic, but also by the Palestinian Jews, as a providential and almost miraculous production; and this esteem was justified by the fact, that, although altogether of unequal birth with the canonical writ-

pureum described by Breitinger 1748, Greek Text likewise of the 5th or 6th century (vid. ibid. p. lix sq.); 3) Palmorum Fragmenta papyracea Londinensia (in the British Museum), Ps. x. 2—xviii. 6, xx. 14—xxxiv. 6, of the 4th century, given in Tischendorf's Monumenta Sacra Inedita. Nova Collectio t. i; 4) Fragmenta Psalmorum Tischendorfiana Ps. cxli (ii). 7—8, cxlii (iii). 1—3, cxliv (v). 7—13, of the 5th or 4th century in the Monumenta t. ii. There still remain unused to the present time 1) the Psalterium Græco-Latinum of the Library at St. Gall, Cod. 17 in 4to, Greek text in uncial characters with the Latin at the side; 2) Psalterium Gallico-Romano-Hebraico-Græcum of the year 909, Cod. 230 in the public library at Bamberg (vid. a description of this MS. by Schönfelder in the Serapeum, 1865, No. 21) written by Solomon, abbot of St. Gall and bishop of Constance (d. 920), and brought to Bamberg by the emperor Henry II (d. 1024), who had received it as a gift when in St. Gall; as regards the criticism of the text of the LXX it is of like importance with the Veronense which it resembles.

ings, it nevertheless occupies a position in the history of divine revelation which forms a distinct epoch. For it was the first opportunity afforded to the gentile world of becoming acquainted with the Old Testament revelation, and thus the first introduction of Japheth into the tents of Shem. At the same time therewith, a distinct breaking down of the barriers of the Old Testament particularism was effected. The Alexandrine translation was, therefore, an event which prepared the way for that Christianity, in which the appointment of the religion of Israel to be the religion of the world is perfected. This version, at the outset, created for Christianity the language which it was to use; for the New Testament Scriptures are written in the popular Greek dialect (χοινή) with an Alexandrine colouring. And in a general way we may say that Alexandrinism moulded the forms beforehand, which Christianity was afterwards to fill up with the substance of the gospel. As the way of Jesus Christ lay by Egypt (Matth. ii. 15), so the way of Christianity also lay by Egypt, and Alexandria in particular.

Equally worthy of respecton account of its antiquity and independence, though not of the same importance as the LXX from a religio-historical point of view, is the Targum or Chaldee version of the Psalms: a version which only in a few passages assumes the form of a paraphrase with reference to Midrash interpretations. The date of its composition is uncertain. But as there was a written Targum to the Book of Job* even during the time of the Temple, there was also a Targum of the Psalms though bearing in itself traces of manifold revisions, which probably had its origin during the duration of the Temple. In distinction from the Targums of Onkelos to the Pentateuch and of Jonathan to the minor Prophets the Targum of the Psalms belongs to the so-called Jerusalem group, ** for the Aramaic idiom in which it is written, - while, as the Jerusalem Talmud shews, it is always distinguished in no small degree from the Palestinian popular dialect as being the language of the literature abounds in the same manner as the former in Greek words

^{*} vid. Tosefta to Sabb. xvi, Jer. Sabb. xiv, §. 1, Bab. Sabb. 115 a, Sofrim v, 15.

^{**} vid. Geiger, Urschrift und Uebersetzungen der Bibel, S. 166 f.

(as קירִים, ἄγγελοι, קירִים, ἔξέδραι, קירִים, κύριος), and like it also closely approximates, in sound and formation, to the Syriac. From this translation which excels the LXX in grammatical accuracy and has at its basis a more settled and stricter text, we learn the meaning of the Psalms as understood in the synagogue, as the interpretation became fixed, under the influence of early tradition, in the first centuries of the Christian era. The text of the Targum itself is at the present day in a very neglected condition. The most correct texts are to be found in Buxtorf and Norzi's Bibles. Critical observations on the Targums of the Hagiographa are given in the treatise און שומה און by Benzion Berkowitz (Wilna, 1843).

The third most important translation of the Psalms is the Peshîto, the old version of the Syrian church, which was made not later than in the second century. Its author translated from the original text, which he had without the vowel points, and perhaps also in a rather incorrect form: as is seen from such errors as xvii. 15 אמונחך instead of המונהך), lxxxiii. 12 שרמן ואברמי) dele eos et perde eos instead of שרמן נדיבמו), cxxxix. 16 (גלמי retributionem mean instead of נגלמי). In other errors he is influenced by the LXX, as lvi. 9 בנגדן LXX ἐνώπιον σου instead of כנאדך), he follows this version in such departures from the better text sometimes not without additional reason, as xc. 5 (generationes eorum annus erunt, i. e. ורעותיו שנה יהיו, LXX τὰ ἐξουδενώματα αὐτῶν ἔτη ἔσονται), cx. 3 (populus tuus gloriosus, i. e. עמך נרבות in the sense of נדיבה, Job xxx. 15, nobility, rank, LXX μετά σοῦ ἡ ἀρχή). The fact that he had the LXX before him beside the original text is manifest, and cannot be done away by the supposition that the text of the Peshito has been greatly distorted out of the later Hexaplarian translation; although even this is probable, for the LXX won such universal respect in the church that the Syrians were almost ashamed of their ancient version, which disagreed with it in many points, and it was this very circumstance which gave rise in the year 617 A. D. to the preparation of a new Syriac translation from the Hexaplarian LXX-text. It is not however merely between the Peshîto and the LXX, but also between the Peshîto and the Targum. that a not accidental mutual relation exists, which becomes at once apparent in Ps. i (e. g. in the translation of לצים by

and of ממיקני and of נמוסא by ממיקני) and hardly admits of explanation by the use of the Christian Peshîto on the part of the Jewish Targumist.* It may be more readily supposed that the old Syriac translator of the Psalms, of whom we are now speaking, was a Jewish Christian and did not despise the welcome assistance of the Targum, which was already at hand, in whatever form it might be. It is evident that he was a Christian from passages like xix. 5, cx. 3, also from lxviii. 19 comp. with Ephes. iv. 8, Jer. xxxi. 31 comp. with Hebr. viii. 8; and his knowledge of the Hebrew language, with which, as was then generally the case, the knowledge of Greek was united, shews that he was a Jewish Christian. Moreover the translation has its peculiar Targum characteristics: tropical expressions are rendered literally, and by a remarkable process of reasoning interrogative clauses are turned into express declarations: lxxxviii. 11-13 is an instance of this with a bold inversion of the true meaning to its opposite. In general the author shuns no violence in order to give a pleasing sense to a difficult passage e. g. xii. 6b, lx. 6. The musical and historical inscriptions, and consequently also the add (including הגיון סלה ix. 17) he leaves untranslated, and the division of verses he adopts is not the later Masoretic. All these peculiarities make the Peshîto all the more interesting as a memorial in exegetico-historical and critical enquiry: and yet, since Dathe's edition, 1768, who took the text of Erpenius as his ground-work and added valuable notes, ** scarcely anything has been done in this direction.

In the second century new Greek translations were also made. The high veneration which the LXX had hitherto enjoyed was completely reversed when the rupture between the synagogue and the church took place, so that the day when this translation was completed was no longer compared to the day of the giving of the Law, but to the day of

^{*} Although more recently we are told, Hai Gaon (in Babylonia) when he came upon a difficult passage in his Academical lectures on the Psalms enquired of the patriarch of the Eastern church how he interpreted it, vid. Steinschneider, Jewish Literature, p. 125 sq.

^{**} The fragments of the translation of the Ps., which are cited under the name δ 25005, Dathe has also there collected in his preface.

the golden calf. Nor was it possible that it should be otherwise than that its defects should become more and more percep-Even the New Testament writer's found it requiring correction here and there, or altogether unfit for use, for the Palestinian text of the Old Testament which had been handed down, was not merely as regards the consonants but also as to pronunciation substantially the same as that which has been fixed by the Masoretes since the sixth century. Consequently Aguila of Pontus (a proselyte from heathenism to Judaism) in the first half of the 2nd century, made a Greek translation of the Old Testament, which imitated the original text word for word even at the risk of un-Greek expressions, and in the choice of the Greek words used is determined by the etymology of the Hebrew words. Not to lose any of the weighty words he translates the first sentence of the Thôra thus: Έν κεφαλαίο έκτισεν ὁ Θεός σὸν (Πκ) τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ σὸν רא) איז אָזי. In the fragments of the translation of the Psalms. one of which has been preserved in the Talmudic literature (vid. on Ps. xlviii. 15), we do not meet with such instances of violence in favour of literalness, although also even there he forces the Greek into the form of the Hebrew, and always renders the words according to their primary meaning (e.g. אמן אסחועמדוסדאָסוסי, בנלח בנלחועם מיסוץאם, בחר אסחועם, דביר אמן אמן החרב אסחועם להוב איסוץאם בחר אמן πεπιστευμένως), sometimes unhappily and misled by the usage the language had acquired in his time. In some passages he reads the text differently from our present pointing (e.g. x. 4 ὅταν ὑψωθῆ), but he moreover follows the tradition (e. g. מך ב לאמיט שרו במשר במבחם τοῦ ταπεινόφρονος καὶ άπλοῦ במר מכחם מחם) and also does not despise whatever the LXX may offer that is of any worth (e. g. במנים צי γορδαῖς), as his translation throughout, although an independent one, relies more or less upon the pioneering work of its predecessor, the LXX. His talent as a translator is unmistakeable. He has perfect command of the Hebrew, and handles the treasures of the Greek with a master-hand. For instance, in the causative forms he is never in difficulty for a corresponding Greek word (הפיל הדש החריץ, אריין הריץ הדשביל בהוסד בהוסד and the like). The fact that he translated for the synagogue in opposition to the church is betrayed by passages like ii. 12, xxii. 17, cx. 3 and perhaps also lxxxiv. 10; comp. Dan. ix. 26, where he prefers ἢλειμμένου to Χριστοῦ: nevertheless one must not in this respect charge him with evil intentions throughout. Even Jerome, on calmer reflection, moderated his indignation against Aquila's translation to a less harsh judgment: ut amicæ menti fatear, quæ ad nostram fidem pertineant roborændam plura reperio, and praised it even at the expense of the translations of Theodotion and Symmachus: Isti Semichristiani Judaice transtulerunt, et Judæus Aquila interpretatus est ut Christianus.

The translation of Theodotion is not an original work. It is based upon the LXX and brings this version, which was still the most widely used, into closer relation to the original text, by making use of Aquila's translation. The fragments that are preserved to us of passages independently translated contain nothing pre-eminently characteristic. Symmachus also takes the LXX as his basis, but in re-moulding it according to the original text he acts far more decidedly and independently than Theodotion, and distinguishes himself from Aquila by endeavouring to unite literalness with clearness and verbal accuracy: his translation of the Psalms has even a poetic inspiration about it. Both Aquila and Symmachus issued their translations twice, so that some passages are extant translated in a twofold form (vid. cx. 3).

Beside the LXX. Aq. Symm. and Theod. there are also a fifth, sixth and seventh Greek translation of the Psalms. The fifth is said to have been found in Jericho under the emperor Caracalla, the sixth in Nicopolis under the emperor Alexander Severus. The former, in its remains, shews a knowledge of the language and tradition, the latter is sometimes (xxxvii. 35, Hab. iii. 13) paraphrastic. A seventh is also mentioned besides, it is most like Theodotion. In the Hexapla of Origen, which properly contains only six columns (the Hebrew text, the Hebr. text in Greek characters, Aq., Symm., LXX, Theod.), in the Ps. and elsewhere a Quinta (E), Sexta (c), and Septima (Z) are added to these six columns: thus the Hexapla (apart from the Seventh) became an Octapla. the remains of these old versions as compiled by Origen, after the labours of his predecessors Nobilius and Drusius, the most complete collection is that of Bernard de Montfaucon in his Hexaplorum Origenis quæ supersunt (2 vols. folio, Paris 1713); the rich gleanings since handed down from many

different quarters* are unfortunately still scattered and uncollated.

Euthymius Zigadenus mentions beside the LXX, Aq., Symm., Theod., V., and VI., as a Seventh version that of Lucian which attempts to restore the original Septuagint-text by a comparison with the original text. Lucian died as a martyr 311 A. D. in Nicomedia, whither he had been dragged from Antioch. The autograph of this translation was found in Nicomedia, hidden in a small rough-plastered tower.** We are as little able to form a conception of this Septuagint-recension of Lucian as of that of the cotemporary Egyptian bishop Hesychius, since not a single specimen of either is extant. It would be interesting to know the difference of treatment of the two critics from that of Origen, who corrected the text of the κοινή after the Hebrew original by means of Theodotion's, obelis jugulans quæ abundare videbantur, et quæ deerant sub asteriscis interserens, which produced a confusion that might easily have been foreseen.

From the old Latin translation, the so-called *Itala*, made from the LXX, we possess the Psalter complete: Blanchini has published this translation of the Psalms (1740) from the Veronese Psalter, and Sabbatier in the second volume of his *Latinæ Versiones Antiquæ* (1751) from the Psalter of the monastery of St. Germain. The text in Faber Stapulensis' *Quincuplex Psalterium* (1509) is compiled from Augustine; for Augustine, like Hilary, Ambrose, Prosper, and Cassiodorus, expounds the Psalms according to the old Latin text. Jerome first of all carefully revised this in Rome, and thus originated the *Psalterium Romanum*, which has been the longest retained

ί

^{*} Thus e. g. Montfaucon was only able to make use of the Psalter-MS. Cod. Vat. 754 for 16 Psalms; Adler has compared it to the end and found in it valuable Hexapla fragments (vid. Repert. für Bibl. u. Morgenl. Lit. xiv. S. 183 f.). The Psalm-commentary of Barhebræus and the Psalterium Mediolanense have also been begun to be worked with this object; but as yet, not the Syriac Psalter of the Medici library mentioned by Montfaucon, Bibliotheca Bibliothecarum i. 240 and supposed to be based upon the Quinta.

^{**} Comp. the Athanasian synopsis in Montfaucon, Hexapla t. 1 p. 59 and the contribution from a Syriac MS. in the Repertorium für Bibl. u. Morgenl. Lit. ib. (1784) S. 48 f.

by the church of Milan and the Basilica of the Vatican. He then in Bethlehem prepared a second more carefully revised edition, according to the Hexaplarian Septuagint-text* with daggers (as a sign of additions in the LXX contrary to the original) and asterisks (a sign of additions in the LXX from Theodotion in accordance with the original), and this second edition which was first adopted by the Gallican churches obtained the name of the Psalterium Gallicanum. It is not essentially different from the Psalter of the Vulgate, and appeared, with its critical signs, from a MS. of Bruno, bishop of Würzburg (died 1045), for the first time in the year 1494 (then edited by Cochleus, 1533): both Psalters, the Romish and the Gallican, are placed opposite one another in Faber's Quincuplex Psalterium, in t. x. p. 1 of the Opp. Hieronymi, ed. Vallarsi and elsewhere.

The Latin Psalters, springing from the common or from the Hexaplarian Septuagint-text, as also the Hexapla-Syriac and the remaining Oriental versions based upon the LXX and the Peshito, have only an indirectly exegetico-historical value. On the contrary Jerome's translation of the Psalter, juxta Hebraicam veritatem, is the first scientific work of translation, and, like the whole of his independent translation of the Old Testament from the original text, a bold act by which he has rendered an invaluable service to the church, without allowing himself to be deterred by the cry raised against such This independent translation of Jerome has innovations. become the Vulgate of the church: but in a text in many ways estranged from its original form, with the simple exception of the Psalter. For the new translation of this book was opposed by the inflexible liturgical use it had attained; the texts of the Psalterium Romanum and Gallicanum maintained their ground and became (with the omission of the critical signs) an essential portion of the Vulgate. On this account it is the more to be desired that Jerome's Latin Psalter

^{*} Illud breviter admoneo — says Jerome, Ep. cvi. ad Sunniam et Frete-lam — ut sciatis, aliam esse editionem, quam Origenes et Cæsareensis Eusebius omnesque Græciæ tractatores Konny, id est, Communem appellant atque Vulgatam et a plerisque nunc Aonnands dicitur; aliam Septuaginta Interpretum, quæ in Exandois codicibus reperitur et a nobis in Latinum sermonem fideliter versa est et Hierosolymæ atque in Orientis ecclesiis decantatur.

ex Hebræo (Opp. ed. Vallarsi t. ix. p. iii) were made more generally known and accessible by a critical edition published separately. It is not necessary to search far for critical helps for such an undertaking. There is an excellent MS., Cod. 19, in the library of St. Gall, presented by the abbot Hartmot (died 895).

Origen and Jerome learnt the language of the Old Testament from Jewish teachers. All the advantages of Origen's philological learning are lost to us, excepting a few insignificant remains, with his Hexapla: this gigantic bible which would be the oldest direct monument of the Old Testament text if it were but extant. Whereas in Jerome's Old Testament translated from the original text (canon Hebraicæ veritatis) we have the maturest fruit of the philological attainments of this indefatigable, steady investigator inspired with a zeal for knowledge. It is a work of the greatest critical and historical value in reference to language and exegesis. The translation of the Psalter is dedicated to Sophronius who had promised to translate it into Greek: this Greek translation is not preserved to us.

Jerome's translation of the Psalter has not its equal either in the synagogue or the church until the time of Saadia Gaon of Fajum, the Arabian translator of the Psalms. Two MSS. of his translation of the Psalms are to be found at Oxford: but the most important, which also contains his annotations complete, is in Munich. Schnurrer (1791) contributed Ps. xvi, xl and cx to Eichhorn's Biblioth. der Bibl. Lit. iii, from Cod. Pocock. 281, then Haneberg (1840) Ps. lxviii and several others from the Munich Cod.; the most extensive excerpts from Cod. Pocock. 281 and Cod. Huntingt. 416 (with various readings from Cod. Mon. appended) are given by Ewald in the first vol. of his Beiträge zur ältesten Ausleg. u. Spracherklärung des A. T. 1844. The gain which can be drawn from Saadia for the interpretation of the Psalms, according to the requirements of the present day, is very limited; but he promises a more interesting and rich advantage to philology and the history of exegesis. Saadia stands in the midst of the still ever mysterious process of development out of which the finally established and pointed text of the Old Testament came forth. He has written a freatise on the punctuation (יוקוי) to which Rashi refers in Ps. xlv. 10, but in his treatment of the Old Testament text shews himself to be unfettered by its established punctuation. His translation is the first scientific work on the Psalms in the synagogue. The translation of Jerome is five hundred years older, but only the translation of Luther has been able to stand side by side with it and that because he was the first to go back to the fountain head of the original text.

The task, which is assigned to the translator of the sacred Scriptures, was recognised by Luther as by no one before him, and he has discharged it as no one up to the present day since his time has done. What Cicero said of his translation of the two controversial speeches of Demosthenes and Æschines holds good also of Luther: Non converti ut interpres, sed ut orator, sententiis iisdem et earum formis tanquam figuris, verbis ad nostram consuetudinem aptis: in quibus non verbum pro verbo necesse habui reddere, sed genus omnium verborum vimque servavi; non enim ea me adnumerare lectori putavi oportere, sed tanguam adpendere — he has lived in thought and feeling in the original text in order not to reproduce it literally with a slavish adherence to its form, but to re-mould it into good and yet spiritually renewed German and at the same time to preserve its spirit free and true to its deepest meaning. This is especially the case with his translation of the Psalms, in which even Moses Mendelssohn has thought it to his advantage to follow him. To deny that here and there it is capable of improvement by a more correct understanding of the sense and in general by greater faithfulness to the original (without departing from the spirit of the German language), would indicate an ungrateful indifference to the advance which has been made in biblical interpretation — an advance not merely promised, but which we see actually achieved.

IX. HISTORY OF THE EXPOSITION OF THE PSALMS.

If we now take a glance over the history of the exposition of the Psalms, we shall see from it how late it was before the proper function of scientific exposition was recognised. We begin with the apostolic exposition. The Old Testament according to its very nature tends towards and centres in

Christ. Therefore the innermost truth of the Old Testament has been revealed in the revelation of Jesus Christ. But not all at once: His passion, resurrection, and ascension are three steps of this progressive opening up of the Old Testament, and of the Psalms in particular. Our Lord himself, both before and after His resurrection, unfolded the meaning of the Psalms from His own life and its vicissitudes: He shewed how what was written in the Law of Moses, in the Prophets and in the Psalms was fulfilled in Him; He revealed to His disciples the meaning τοῦ συνιέναι τὰς γραφάς Luke xxiv. 44 sq. Jesus Christ's exposition of the Psalms is the beginning and the goal of Christian Psalm-interpretation. This began, as that of the Christian church, and in fact first of all that of the Apostles, at Pentecost when the Spirit, whose instrument David acknowledges himself to have been (2 Sam. xxiii. 2), descended upon the Apostles as the Spirit of Jesus, the fulfiller and fulfilment of prophecy. This Spirit of the glorified Jesus completed what, in His humiliation and after His resurrection, he had begun: He opened up to the disciples the meaning of the Psalms. How strongly they were drawn to the Psalms is seen from the fact that they are quoted about seventy times in the New Testament, which, next to Isaiah, is more frequently than any other Old Testament book. From these interpretations of the Psalms the church will have to draw to the end of time. For only the end will be like the beginning and even surpass it. But we must not seek in the New Testament Scriptures what they are not designed to furnish, viz., an answer to questions belonging to the lower grades of knowledge, to grammar, to cotemporary history and to criticism. The highest and final questions of the spiritual meaning of Scripture find their answer here; the grammatico-historicocritical under-structure, - as it were, the candlestick of the new light, - it was left for succeeding ages to produce.

The post-apostolic, patristic exposition was not capable of this. The interpreters of the early church with the exception of Origen and Jerome possessed no knowledge of the Hebrew tongue, and even these two not sufficient to be able to rise to freedom from a dependence upon the LXX which only led them into frequent error. Of Origen's Commentary and Homilies on the Ps. we possess only fragments translated

by Rufinus, and his ὁπόμνημα εἰς τοὸς ψαλμούς (edited complete by Kleopas, 1855, from a MS. in the monastery of Mar-Saba). Jerome, contra Rufinum i. § 19, indeed mentions Commentarioli on the Ps. by himself, but the Breviarium in Psalterium (in t. vii. p. ii of his Opp. ed. Vallarsi) bearing his name is allowed not to be genuine, and is worthless as regards the history of the text and the language. The almost complete Commentary (on Ps. i-exix according to the Hebrew reckoning) of Eusebius, made known by Montfaucon (Collectio nova Patrum et Scriptorum Græc. t. i) is unsuspected. Eusebius, though living in Palestine and having a valuable library at command, is nevertheless so ignorant of the Hebrew, that he considers it is possible Mapiam (מרהם) in Ps. ex may refer to Mary. But by contributions from the Hexapla he has preserved many acceptable treasures of historical value in connection with the translation, but of little worth in other respects, for the interpretation is superficial, and capriciously allegorical and forced. Athanasius in his short explanation of the Psalms (in t. i p. ii of the Benedictine edition) is entirely dependent on Philo for the meaning of the Hebrew names and words. His book: πρός Μαρχελλίνον εἰς την έρμηνείαν τῶν ψαλμῶν (in the same vol. of the Benedictine edition) is a very beautiful essay. It treats of the riches contained in the Psalms, classifies them according to their different points of view, and gives directions how to use them profitably in the manifold circumstances and moods of the outward and inner life. Johann Reuchlin has translated this little book of Athanasius into Latin, and Jörg Spalatin from the Latin of Reuchlin into German (1516. 4to.). Of a similar kind are the two books of Gregory of Nyssa εἰς τὴν ἐπιγραφὴν τῶν ψαλμῶν (Opp. Paris, t. i), which treat of the arrangement and inscriptions; but in respect of the latter he is so led astray by the LXX, that he sets down the want of titles of 12 Ps. (this is the number according to Gregory), which have titles in the LXX, to Jewish ἀπιστία and κακία. Nevertheless there are several valuable observations in this introduction of the great Nyssene. About cotemporaneously with Athanasius, Hilarius Pictaviensis, in the Western church, wrote his allegorizing (after Origen's example) Tractatus in librum Psalmorum with an extensive prologue, which strongly reminds one of Hippolytus' VOL. I.

We still have his exposition of Ps. i. ii. ix. xiii. xiv. li. lii. liii-lxix. xci. cxviii-cl (according to the numbering of the LXX); according to Jerome (Ep. ad Augustin. cxii*) it is transferred from Origen and Eusebius. It is throughout ingenious and pithy, but more useful to the dogmatic theologian than to the exegete (t. xxvii. xxviii of the Collectio Patrum by Caillau and Guillon).** Somewhat later, but yet within the last twenty years of the fourth century (about 386 - 397), come Ambrose's Enarrationes in Ps. i. xxxv-xl. xliii. xlv. xlvii. xlviii. lxi. cxviii (in t. ii of the Benedictine edition). The exposition of Ps. i is likewise an introduction to the whole Psalter, taken partly from Basil. He and Ambrose have pronounced the highest eulogiums on the Psalter. The latter says: Psalmus enim benedictio populi est, Dei laus, plebis laudatio, plausus omnium, sermo universorum, vox Ecclesiæ, fidei canora confessio, auctoritatis plena devotio, libertatis lætitia, clamor jucunditatis, lætitiæ resultatio. Ab iracundia mitigat, a sollicitudine abdicat, a mærore allevat. Nocturna arma, diurna magisteria: scutum in timore, festum in sanctitate, imago tranquillitatis, pignus pacis atque concordiæ, citharæ modo ex diversis et disparibus vocibus unam exprimens cantilenam. Diei ortus psalmum resultat, psalmum resonat occasus. After such and similar prefatory language we are led to expect from the exposition great fervour and depth of perception: and such are really its characteristics, but not to so large an extent as might have been the case had Ambrose - whose style of writing is as musical as that of Hilary is stiff and angular - worked

^{*} The following Greek expositors of the Psalms are mentioned there:

1) Origen, 2) Eusebius of Cæsarea, 3) Theodore of Heraclea (the Anonymus in Corderius' Catena), 4) Asterius of Scythopolis, 5) Apollinaris (Apolinarios) of Laodicea, 6) Didymus of Alexandria. Then the following Latin expositors: 1) Hilary of Poictiers, who translated or rather remodelled Origen's Homilies on the Psalms (Jerome himself says of him, Ep. lvii ad Pammach.: captivos sensus in suam linguam victoris jure transposuit), 2) Eusebius of Vercelli, translator of the commentary of Eusebius of Cæsarea, and 3) Ambrose, who was partly dependent upon Origen. Of Apollinaris the elder, we have a Μετάφρασις τοῦ ψαλτῆρος διὰ στίχων ήρωϊαῶν preserved to us. He has also translated the Pentateuch and other Old Testament books into heroic verse.

^{**} vid. the characteristics of this commentary in Reinkens, Hilarius von Poiliers (1864) S. 291—308.

out these expositions, which were partly delivered as sermons, partly dictated, with his own hand.

The most comprehensive work of the early church on the Psalms was that of Chrysostom, which was probably written while at Antioch. We possess only the exposition of 58 Ps. or (including Ps. iii and xli, which in their present form do not belong to this work) 60 Ps. (in t. v of Montfaucon's edition). Photius and Suidas place this commentary on the Psalms in the highest rank among the works of Chrysostom. It is composed in the form of sermons, the style is brilliant, and the contents more ethical than dogmatic. Sometimes the Hebrew text according to the Hexapla is quoted, and the Greek versions which depart from the original are frequently compared, but, unfortunately, generally without any name. There is hardly any trace in it of the renowned philologicohistorical tendency of the school of Antioch. Theodoret (in t. ii p. ii of the Halle edition) was the first to set before himself the middle course between an extravagant allegorising and an unspiritual adherence to the literal historical sense (by which he doubtless has reference to Theodore of Mopsuestia), and thus to a certain extent he makes a beginning in distinguishing between the province of exegesis and practical application. But this scientific commencement, with even more of the grammatico-historical tendency, is still defective and wanting in independence. For example, the question · whether all the Psalms are by David or not, is briefly decided in the affirmative, with πρατείτω τῶν πλειόνων ἡ ψῆφος.* The designed, minute comparison of the Greek translators is most thankworthy; in other respects, this expositor, like the Syrians generally, is wanting in the mystic depth which might compensate for the want of scientific insight. All this may be also said of Euthymius Zigadenus (Zigabenus): his commentary on the

^{*} In the Talmud R. Meir, Pesachim 117 a, adopts the view that David is the author of all the Ps.: בל חשבחות שבספר חהלים כולן דוד אמרן בסר משבחות שבספר חהלים על ידי while in Bathra 14 b ten authors are supposed: ערשה וקנים, vid. on this, Midrash to Cant. iv. 4 and Eccl. vii. 19. In the former passage לחלפיון is explained as an emblematic name of the Psalter: שאמרוהו לו פיות הרבה the book of David, to which the mouths of many have contributed. And there are two modern commentaries, viz. by Klauss, 1832, and Randegger, 1841, which are written with the design of proving all the Psalms to be Davidic.

Psalms (in Greek in t. iv of the Venetian edition of the Opp. Theophylacti), written at the desire of the emperor Alexius Comnenus, is nothing but a skilful compilation, in the preparation of which he made good use of the Psalm-catena, likewise a compilation, of the somewhat earlier Νικήτας Σερρῶν*, which is to be found on Mount Athos and is still unprinted.

The Western counterpart to Chrysostom's commentary are Augustine's Enarrationes in Psalmos (in t. iv of the Benedictine edition). The psalm-singing in the Milanese church had contributed greatly to Augustine's conversion. But his love to his Lord was fired still more by the reading of the Psalms when he was preparing himself in solitude for his baptism. His commentary consists of sermons which he wrote down in part himself and in part dictated. Only the thirtytwo sermones on Ps. cxviii (cxix), which he ventured upon last of all, were not actually delivered. He does not adopt the text of Jerome as his basis, but makes use of the older Latin version, the original text of which he sought to establish, and here and there to correct, by the LXX; whereas Arnobius, the Semi-Pelagian, in his paraphrastic Africano-Latin commentary on the Psalms (first edition by Erasmus, Basileæ, Froben. 1522, who, as also Trithemius, erroneously regarded the author as one and the same with the Apologist) no longer uses the so-called Itala, but takes Jerome's translation as his · basis. The work of Augustine far surpassing that of Chrysostom in richness and depth of thought, has become, in the Western church, the chief mine of all later exposition of the Psalms. Cassiodorus in his Expositiones in omnes Psalmos (in t. ii of the Bened. ed.) draws largely from Augustine, though not devoid of independence.

What the Greek church has done for the exposition of the Psalms has been garnered up many times since Photius in so-called Serpai, Catenæ. That of Nicetas archbishop of Serra in Macedonia (about 1070), is still unprinted. One, extending only to Ps. 1, appeared at Venice 1569, and a complete one, edited by Corderius, at Antwerp 1643 (3 vols., from

^{*} This information is found in the modern Greek edition of Euthemius' Commentary on the Ps. by Nicodemos the Agiorite (2 vols. Constantinople 1819—21), which also contains extracts from this catena of Nicetas Serronius.

Vienna and Munich MSS.). Folkmann (1601) made extracts from the Catena of Nicetas Heracleota, and Aloysius Lippomanus began a Catena from Greek and Latin writers on the largest scale (one folio vol. on Ps. i-x, Romæ 1585). The defects to be found in the ancient exposition of the Psalms are in general the same in the Greek and in the Western expositors. To their want of acquaintance with the text of the original was added their unmethodical, irregular mode of procedure, their arbitrary straining of the prophetic character of the Psalms (as e. g. Tertullian, De spectaculis, takes the whole of Ps. i as a prophecy concerning Joseph of Arimathea), their unhistorical perception, before which all differences between the two Testaments vanish, and their misleading predilection for the allegorical method. In all this, the meaning of the Psalms, as understood by the apostles, remains unused; they appropriate it without rightly apprehending it, and do not place the Psalms in the light of the New Testament fulfilment of them, but at once turn them into New Testament language and thoughts. But the church has never found such rapturous delight in the Psalms, which it was never weary of singing day and night, never used them with richer results even to martyrdom, than at that period. Instead of profane popular songs, as one passed through the country one might hear psalms resounding over the fields and vineyards. Quocunque te verteris, writes Jerome to the widow of Marcellus from the Holy Land, arator stivam tenens Alleluja decantat, sudans messor psalmis se avocat et curva attondens vitem falce vinitor aliquid Davidicum canit. Hæc sunt in hac provincia carmina, hæ (ut vulgo dicitur) amatoriæ cantiones, hic pastorum sibilus, hæc arma culturæ. The delights country life he commends to Marcella in the following among other words: Vere ager floribus pingitur et inter querulas aves Psalmi dulcius cantabuntur. In Sidonius Apollinaris we find even psalm-singing in the mouth of the men who tow the boats, and the poet takes from this a beautiful admonition for Christians in their voyage and journey through this life:

Curvorum hinc chorus helciariorum Responsantibus Alleluja ripis Ad Christum levat amicum celeusma. Sic, sic psallite, nauta et viator! And how many martyrs have endured every form of martyrdom with psalms upon their lips! That which the church in those days failed to furnish in writing towards the exposition of the Psalms, it more than compensated for by preserving the vitality of the Psalms with its blood. Practice made far more rapid progress than theory.* These patristic works are patterns for every age of the true fervour which should characterise the expositor of the Psalms.

The mediæval church exposition did not make any essential advance upon the patristic. After Cassiodorus, came Haymo (d. 853) and Remigius of Auxerre (d. about 900), still less independent compilers; the commentary of the former, edited by Erasmus, appeared Trib. 1531, of the latter, first Colon. 1536, and then in the Bibl. maxima Lugdunensis. That of Petrus Lombardus (d. about 1160) is a catena taken directly from earlier expositors from Jerome to Alcuin. more independent character are the commentaries of Thomas Aguinas, who however only completed 51 Ps., and Alexander of Hales, if the Commentary which appeared under his name (Venet. 1496) is not rather to be attributed to cardinal Hugo. Besides these, Bonaventura (d. 1274) and Albertus Magnus (d. 1280) stand out prominently in the Middle Ages as expositors of the Psalms; and on the border of the Middle Ages Michael Ayguanus (about 1400) whose commentary has been frequently reprinted since its first appearance, Mediol. 1510. If you know one of these expositors, you know them all. The most that they have to offer us is an echo of the earlier writers. By their dependence on the letter of the Vulgate, and consequently indirectly of the LXX, they only too frequently light upon a false track and miss the meaning. The literalis sensus is completely buried in mystica intelligentia. Without observing the distinction between the two economies, the conversion of the Psalms into New Testament language and

^{*} vid. besides the essay by Otto Strauss, already mentioned: Arm-knecht, Die heilige Psalmodie oder der psalmodirende König David und die singende Urkirche, 1855; and W. von Gülick, Das Psalterium nach seinem Hauptinhalte in seiner missenschaftlichen und praktischen Bedeutung (a Catholic prize essay) 1858; partly also Rudelbach's Hymnologische Studien in the Luther. Zeitschrift 1855, 4, 1856, 2. and especiallyno penitential psalm-singing Zöckler's Geschichte der Askese (1863) S. 256—264.

thought, regardless of the intermediate steps of development, is here continued. Thus, for example, Albertus Magnus in his commentary (Opp. t. vii), on the principle: Constat, quod totus liber iste de Christo, at once expounds Beatus vir (Ps. i. 1), and the whole Ps., de Christo et ejus corpore ecclesia. But as we find in the Fathers occasional instances of deep insight into the meaning of passages, and occasional flashes of thought of lasting value, so even here the reading, especially of the mystics, will repay one. - The greatest authority in psalmexposition for the Middle Ages was Augustine. From Augustine, and perhaps we may add from Cassiodorus, Notker Labeo (d. 1022), the monk of St. Gall, drew the short annotations which, verse by verse, accompany his German translation of the Psalms (vol. ii of H. Hattemer's Denkmahle des Mittelalters). In like manner the Latin Psalter-catena of bishop Bruno of Würzburg (d. 1045), mentioned above, is compiled from Augustine and Cassiodorus, but also from Jerome, Bede and Gregory. And the Syriac annotations to the Psalms of Gregory Barhebræus (d. 1286), - of which Tullberg and Koraen, Upsala 1842, and Schröter, Breslau 1857, have published specimens, - are merely of importance in connection with the history of exposition, and are moreover in no way distinguished from the mediæval method.

The mediæval synagogue exposition is wanting in the recognition of Christ, and consequently in the fundamental condition required for a spiritual understanding of the Psalms. But as we are indebted to the Jews for the transmission of the codex of the Old Testament, we also owe the transmission of the knowledge of Hebrew to them. So far the Jewish interpreters give us what the Christian interpreters of the same period were not able to tender. The interpretations of passages from the Psalms scattered up and down in the Talmud are mostly unsound, arbitrary, and strange. And the Midrash on the Ps., bearing the title שוחר מום (vid. Zunz, Vorträge, §. 266 ff.), and the Midrash-catenze entitled ילקוט, of which at present only ילקוט שמעוני (by Simeon Kara ha-Darshan) is known, and ילקוט מבירי (by Machir b. Abba-Mari), contain far more that is limitlessly digressive than what is to the point and usable. This class of psalm-exposition was always employed for the thoroughly practical end

of stimulating and edifying discourse. It is only since about 900 A. D., when indirectly under Syro-Arabian influence, the study of grammar began to be cultivated among the Jews, that the exposition and the application of Scripture began to be disentangled. At the head of this new era of Jewish exegesis stands Saadia Gaon (d. 941-2), from whose Arabic translation and annotations of the Ps. Haneberg (1840) and Ewald (1844) have published extracts. The Karaites, Salmon b. Jerocham and Jefeth, both of whom have also expounded the Psalms, are warm opponents of Saadia; but Jefeth whose commentary on the Psalms* has been in part made known by Bargès (since 1846), nevertheless already recognises the influence of grammar, which Saadia raised to the dignity of a science, but which Salmon utterly discards. The next great expositor of the Psalms is Rashi (i. e. Rabbi Salomo Isaaki) of Troyes (d. 1105), who has interpreted the whole of the Old Testament (except the Chronicles) and the whole of the Talmud: ** and he has not only treasured up with pithy brevity the traditional interpretations scattered about in the Talmud and Midrash, but also (especially in the Psalms) made use of every existing grammatico-lexical help. Aben-Ezra of Toledo (d. 1167) and David Kimchi of Narbonne (d. about 1250) are less dependent upon tradition, which for the most part expended itself upon strange interpretations. The former is the more independent and genial, but seldom happy in his characteristic fancies; the latter is less original, but gifted with a keener appreciation of that which is simple and natural, and of all the Jewish expositors he is the preeminently grammatico-historical interpreter. Gecatilia's (Mose ha-Cohen Chiquitilla) commentary on the Psalms written in Arabic is only known to us from quotations, principally in Aben-Ezra. In later commentaries, as those of Mose Alshêch (Venice 1601) and Joel Shoëb (Salonica 1569), the simplicity and elegance of the older expositors degenerates into the most repulsive scholasticism. The commentary of

C

^{*} It is to be found in MS. partly in Paris, partly in St. Petersburg: the former having been brought thither from Egypt by Munk in 1841 and the latter by Tischendorf in 1853.

^{**} But on some parts of the Talmud, e. g. the tractate Maccoth, we have not any commentary by Rashi.

Obadia Sforno (d. at Bologna 1550), Reuchlin's teacher, is too much given to philosophising, but is at least withal clear Their knowledge of the Hebrew gives all these and brief. expositors a marked advantage over their Christian cotemporaries, but the veil of Moses over their eves is thicker in proportion to their conscious opposition to Christianity. Nevertheless the church has not left these preparatory works unused. The Jewish Christians, Nicolaus de Lyra (d. about 1340), the author of the Postillæ perpetuæ, and Archbishop Paul de Santa Maria of Burgos (d. 1435), the author of the Additiones ad Lyram, took the lead in this respect. Independently, like the last mentioned writers, Augustinus Justinianus of Genoa, in his Octaplus Psalterii (Genoa, 1516, folio), drew chiefly from the Midrash and Sohar. The preference however was generally given to the use of Aben-Ezra and Kimchi; e. g. Bucer, who acknowledges his obligation to these, says: neque enim candidi ingenii est dissimulare, per quos profeceris. Justinianus, Pagninus, and Felix were the three highest authorities on the original text at the commencement of the Reformation. The first two had gained their knowledge of the original from Jewish sources and Felix Pratensis, whose Psalterium ex hebreo diligentissime ad verbum fere translatum, 1522, appeared under Leo X., was a proselyte.

We have now reached the threshold of the Reformation Psalmody in the reigning church had sunk to a lifeless form of service. The exposition of the Psalms lost itself in the dependency of compilation and the chaos of the schools. Et ipsa quamvis frigida tractatione Psalmorum - says Luther in his preface to Bugenhagen's Latin Psalter — aliquis tamen odor vitae oblatus est plerisque bonæ mentis hominibus, et utcunque ex verbis illis etiam non intellectis semper aliquid consolationis et aurulæ senserunt e Psalmis pii, veluti ex roseto leniter spirantis. Now, however, when a new light dawned upon the church through the Reformation - the light of a grammatical and deeply spiritual understanding of Scripture, represented in Germany by Reuchlin and in France by Vatablus — then the rose-garden of the Psalter began to breathe forth its perfumes as with the renewed freshness of a May day; and born again from the Psalter, German hymns resounded from the shores of the Baltic to the foot of the Alps

with all the fervour of a newly quickened first-love. "It is marvellous"—says the Spanish Carmelite Thomas à Jesu,— "how greatly the hymns of Luther helped forward the Lutheran cause. Not only the churches and schools echo with them, but even the private houses, the workshops, the markets, streets, and fields." For converted into imperishable hymns (by Luther, Albinus, Franck, Gerhardt, Jonas, Musculus, Poliander, Ringwaldt, and many more) the ancient Psalms were transferred anew into the psalmody of the German as of the Scandinavian* Lutheran church. In the French church Clément Marot translated into verse 30 Ps., then 19 more (1541-43) and Theodore Beza added the rest (1562).** Calvin introduced the Psalms in Marot's version as early as 1542 into the service of the Geneva church, and the Psalms have since continued to be the favorite hymns of the Reformed church. Goudinel, the martyr of St. Bartholemew's night and teacher of Palestrina, composed the melodies and chorales. The English Established church adopted the Psalms direct as they are, as a portion of its liturgy, the Congregational church followed the example of the sister-churches of the Continent. And how industriously the Psalter was moulded into Greek verse, as by Olympia Morata (d. 1555)*** and under the influence of Melanthon † into Latin! The paraphrases of Helius Eoban Hesse (of whom Martin Herz, 1860, has given a biographical sketch)††, Joh. Major, Jacob Micyllus (whose life Classen has written, 1859), Joh. Stigel (whose memory has been revived by Paulus Cassel 1860), Gre. Bersmann (d. 1611), and also that begun by Geo. Buchanan during his sojourn in a Portuguese monastery, are not only learned performances, but productions of an inward

^{*} The Swedish hymns taken from the Psalms have been recently remodelled for congregational use and augmented by Runeberg (Oerebro 1858).

^{**} vid. Félix Bovet, Les Psaumes de Marot et de Bèze, in the Lausanne magazine, Le Chrétien Evangélique, 1866, No. 4.

^{***} vid. examples in Bonnet's life of Olympia Morata. Germ. transl. by Merschmann 1860 S. 131-135.

[†] vid. Wilhelm Thilo, Melanchthon im Dienste an heil. Schrift (Berlin, 1859), S. 28.

^{††} His Psalms (to which Veit Dietrich wrote notes) passed through forty editions in seventy years.

spiritual need; although one must assent to the judgment expressed by Harless, that the best attempts of this kind only satisfy one in proportion as we are able first of all to banish the remembrance of the original from our mind.

But since the time of the Reformation the exegetical functions of psalm-exposition have been more clearly apprehended and more happily discharged than ever before. In Luther, who opened his academical lectures in 1514 with the Ps. (in Latin in Luther's own hand writing in Wolfenbüttel) and began to publish a part of them in 1519 under the title · Operationes in duas Psalmorum decades, the depth of experience of the Fathers is united to the Pauline recognition (which he gave back to the church) of the doctrine of free grace. It is true, he is not entirely free from the allegorising which he rejected in thesi, and, in general, from a departure a sensu literæ, and there is also still wanting in Luther the historical insight into the distinctive character of the two Testaments: but with respect to experimental, mystical, and withal sound, understanding he is incomparable. His interpretations of the Psalms, especially of the penitential Ps. and of Ps. xc, excel every thing hitherto produced, and are still a perpetual mine of wealth. Bugenhagen's exposition of the Psalms (Basel 1524, 4to. and freq.) continued the interrupted work of Luther, who in a brief but forcible preface says in its praise, that it is the first worthy of the name of an exposition. Penetration and delicacy of judgment distinguish the interpretation of the five books of the Psalms by Aretius Felinus i. e. Martin Bucer (1529, 4to. and freq.). The Autophyes (= a se et per se Existens), by which throughout he translates יהוה, gives it a remarkable appearance. But about the same time, as an exegete, Calvin came forward at the side of the German reformer. His commentary (first published at Geneva 1564) combines with great psychological penetration more discernment of the types and greater freedom of historical perception, but is not without many. errors arising from this freedom. Calvin's strict historical method of interpretation becomes a caricature in Esrom Rüdinger, the schoolmaster of the Moravian brethren, who died at Altorf in 1591 without being able, as he had intended, to issue his commentary, which appeared in 1580 - 81, in a

new and revised form. His is an original work which, after trying many conjectures, at last assigns even the first Psalm to the era of the Seleucidæ.

Within the range of the post-Reformation exposition the first that meets us is Reinhard Bakius, the persevering and talented pastor of Magdeburg and Grimma during the Thirtyyears' war, whose Comm. exegetico-practicus on the Ps. (in the first edition by his son 1664) is a work of extensive reading and good sense, in many respects a welcome supplement to Luther, crammed full of all kinds of notable things about the Psalms, under which, however, the thread of simple exposition is lost. Martin. Geier keeps the work of the exposition most distinctly before him, adhering more closely to it and restraining himself from digression. His lectures on the Psalms delivered at Leipzig extended over a period of eighteen years. Deep piety and extensive learning adorn his commentary (1668), but the free spirit of the men of the Reformation is no longer here. Geier is not capable of turning from dogmatics, and throwing himself into the exegesis: a traditional standard of exegesis had become fixed, to overstep which was accounted as heterodox. In the Reformed church Cocceius stands prominently forward (d. 1669). He was an original and gifted man, but starting from false principles of hermeneutics, too fond of an eschatological literalness of interpretation.

Not only the two Protestant churches, but also the Romish church took part in the advancing work of psalmexposition. Its most prominent expositors from 1550—1650 are Genebrardus, Agellius, and De Muis, all of whom possessing a knowledge of the Semitic languages, go back to the original, and Bellarmin, who brings to the work not merely . uncommon natural talents, but, within the limits of papistical restraint, a deep spiritual penetration. Later on psalmexposition in the Romish church degenerated into scholasticism. This is at its height in Le Blanc's Psalmorum Davidi-.corum Analysis and in Joh. Lorinus' Commentaria in Psalmos (6 folio vols. 1665 - 1676). In the protestant churches, however, a lamentable decline from the spirit of the men of the Reformation in like manner manifested itself. notationes uberiores in Hagiographa (t. i. 1745, 4to: Ps. and Prov.) of Joh. Heinrich Michaelis are a mass of raw materials:

the glossarial annotations groan beneath the burden of numberless unsifted examples and parallel passages. What had been done during the past sixteen hundred years remains almost entirely unnoticed; Luther is not explored, even Calvin within the pale of his own church no longer exerts any influence over the exposition of Scripture. After 1750, the exposition of Scripture lost that spiritual and ecclesiastical character which had gained strength in the seventeenth century, but had also gradually become torpid; whereas in the Romish church, as the Psalm-expositions of De Sacy, Berthier and La Harpe shew, it never sank so low as to deny the existence of revealed religion. That love for the Ps., which produced the evangelical hymn-psalter of that truly Christian poet and minister Christoph Karl Ludwig von Pfeil (1747),* prefaced by Bengel, degenerated to a merely literary, or at most poetical, interest, - exegesis became carnal and unspiritual. The remnant of what was spiritual in this age of decline, is represented by Burk in his Gnomon to the Ps. (1760) which follows the model of Bengel, and by Chr. A. Crusius in the second part of his Hypomnemata ad Theologiam Propheticam (1761), a work which follows the track newly opened up by Bengel, and is rich in germs of progressive knowledge (vid. my Biblisch-prophetische Theologie, 1845). We may see the character of the theology of that age from Joh. Day. Michaelis' translation of the Old Testament, with notes for the unlearned (1771), and his writings on separate Psalms. From a linguistic and historical point of view we may find something of value here; but besides, only wordy, discursive, tasteless trifling and spiritual deadness. It has been the honour of Herder that he has freed psalm-exposition from this want of taste, and the merit of Hengstenberg (first of all in his Lectures), that he has brought it back out of this want of spirituality to the believing consciousness of the church.

The transition to modern exposition is marked by Rosenmüller's *Scholia* to the Ps. (first published in 1798—1804), a compilation written in pure clear language with exegetical tact and with a thankworthy use of older expositors who had become unknown, as Rüdinger, Bucer, and

^{*} vid. his Life by Heinr. Merz (1863), S. 111-117.

Agellius, and also of Jewish writers. De Wette's commentary on the Psalms (first published in 1811, 5th edition by Gustav Baur, 1856) was far more independent and forms an epoch in exegesis. De Wette is precise and clear, and also not without a perception of the beautiful; but his position in relation to the Scripture writers is too much like that of a reviewer, his research too sceptical, and his estimate of the Ps. does not sufficiently recognise their place in the history of redemption. He regards them as national hymns, partly in the most ordinary patriotic sense, and when his theological perception fails him, he helps himself out with sarcasm against the theocratic element, which he carries to the extreme of Nevertheless, De Wette's commentary opens up a new epoch so far as it has first of all set in order the hitherto existing chaos of psalm-exposition, and introduced into it taste and grammatical accuracy, after the example of Herder and under the influence of Gesenius. He is far more independent than Rosenmüller, who though not wanting in taste and tact, is only a compiler. In investigating the historical circumstances which gave rise to the composition of the different psalms. De Wette is more negative than assumptive. Hitzig in his historical and critical commentary (1835. 36), which has appeared recently in a revised form (Bd. 1, 1863, Bd. 2. Abth. 1, 1864, Abth. 2, 1865), has sought to supplement positively the negative criticism of De Wette, by ascribing to David fourteen Ps. of the seventy three that bear the inscription לדוך, assigning all the Ps. from the lxxiii onwards, together with i. ii. lx (these three, as also cxlii-cxliv, cl, by Alexander Jannæus) to the Maccabean period (e. g. cxxxviii -cxli to Alexander's father, John Hyrcanus), and also inferring the authors (Zechariah, 2 Chron. xxvi. 5; Isaiah, Jeremiah) or at least the date of composition of all the rest.

Von Lengerke, in his commentary compiled half from Hengstenberg, half from Hitzig (1847), has attached himself to this so-called positive criticism, which always arrives at positive results and regards Maccabean psalms as the primary stock of the Psalter. Von Lengerke maintains that not a single Ps. can with certainty be ascribed to David. Olshausen (in his Comment. 1853), who only leaves a few Ps., as ii. xx. xxi, to the time of the kings prior to the Exile, and with a propens-

ity, which he is not able to resist, brings down all the others to the time of the Maccabees, even to the beginning of the reign of John Hyrcanus, also belongs to the positive school. Whereas Hupfeld in his commentary, 1855—1862 (4 vols.), considers it unworthy of earnest investigation, to lower one's self to such "childish trifling with hypotheses" and remains true to De Wette's negative criticism: but he seeks to carry it out in a different way. He also maintains that none of the Ps. admit of being with certainty ascribed to David; and proceeds on the assumption, that although only a part of the inscriptions are false, for that very reason none of them can be used by us.

We stand neither on the side of this scepticism, which everywhere negatives tradition, nor on the side of that selfconfidence, which mostly negatives it and places in opposition to it its own positive counter-assumptions; but we do not on this account fail to recognise the great merit which Olshausen, Hupfeld and Hitzig have acquired by their expositions of the Psalms. In Olshausen we prize his prominent talent for critical conjectures; in Hupfeld grammatical thoroughness, and solid study so far as it is carried; in Hitzig the stimulating originality everywhere manifest, his happy perspicacity in tracing out the connection of the thoughts, and the marvellous amount of reading which is displayed in support of the usage of language and of that which is admissible according to syntax. The commentary of Ewald (Poetische Bücher, 1839, 40. 2nd edition 1866), apart from the introductory portion, according to its plan only fragmentarily meets the requirements of exposition, but in the argument which precedes each Ps. gives evidence of a special gift for perceiving the emotions and throbbings of the heart and entering into the changes of feeling.

None of these expositors are in truly spiritual rapport with the spirit of the psalmists. The much abused commentary of Hengstenberg 1842—1847 (4 vols. 2nd edition 1849—1852) consequently opened a new track, in as much as it primarily set the exposition of the Psalms in its right relation to the church once more, and was not confined to the historico-grammatical function of exposition. The kindred spirited works of Umbreit (Christ-liche Erbauung aus dem Psalter 1835) and Stier (Siebenzig Psalmen 1834.36), which extend only to a selection from the Psalms, may

be regarded as its forerunners, and the commentary of Tholuck (1847) who excludes verbal criticism and seeks to present the results of exegetical progress in a practical form for the use of the people, as its counterpart. For the sake of completeness we may also mention the commentary of Köster (1837) which has become of importance for its appreciation of the artistic form of the Psalms, especially the strophe-system, and Vaihinger's (1845). Out of Germany, no work on the Psalms has appeared which could be placed side by side with those of Hengstenberg, Hupfeld and Hitzig. And yet the inexhaustible task demands the combined work of many hands. Would that the examples set by Björk, by Perret-Gentil, Armand de Mestral and J. F. Thrupp, of noble rivalry with German scholarship might find many imitators in the countries of the Scandinavian, Latin, and English tongues! Would that the zealous industry of Bade and Reinke, the noble endeavours of Schegg and König, might set an example to many in the Romish church! Would that also the Greek church on the basis of the criticism of the LXX defended by Pharmakides against Oikonomos, far surpassing the works on the Ps. of Nicodimos and Anthimos, which are drawn from the Fathers, might continue in that rival connection with German scholarship of which the Prolegomena to the Psalm-commentary of the Jerusalem patriarch Anthimos, by Dionysios Kleopas (Jerusalem 1855. 4to.) give evidence! Non plus ultra is the watchword of the church with regard to the word of God, and plus ultra is its watchword with regard to the understanding of that word. Common work upon the Scriptures is the finest union of the severed churches and the surest harbinger of their future unity. The exposition of Scripture will rear the Church of the Future.

X. THEOLOGICAL PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

The expositor of the Psalms can place himself on the standpoint of the poet, or the standpoint of the Old Testament church, or the standpoint of the church of the present dispensation — a primary condition of exegetical progress is the keeping of these three standpoints distinct, and, in accordance therewith, the distinguishing between the two

Testaments, and in general, between the different steps in the development of the revelation, and in the perception of the plan, of redemption. For as redemption itself has a progressive history, so has the revelation and growing perception of it a progressive history also, which extends from paradise, through time, on into eternity. Redemption realizes itself in a system of facts, in which the divine purpose of love for the deliverance of sinful humanity unfolds itself, and the revelation of salvation is given in advance of this gradually developing course of events in order to guarantee its divine authorship and as a means by which it may be rightly understood. In the Psalms we have five centuries and more of this progressive realizing, disclosing, and perception of salvation laid open before us. If we add to this the fact that one psalm is by Moses, and that the retrospective portions of the historical psalms refer back even to the patriarchal age, then, from the call of Abraham down to the restoration of Israel's position among the nations after the Exile, there is scarcely a single event of importance in sacred history which does not find some expression in the Psalter. And it is not merely facts external to it, which echo therein in lyric strains, but, because David, - next to Abraham undoubtedly the most significant character of sacred history in the Old Testament, - is its chief composer, it is itself a direct integral part of the history of redemption. And it is also a source of information for the history of the revelation of redemption, in as much as it flowed not from the Spirit of faith merely, but mainly also from the Spirit of prophecy: but, pre-eminently, it is the most important memorial of the progressive recognition of the plan of salvation, since it shews how, between the giving of the Law from Sinai and the proclamation of the Gospel from Sion, the final, great salvation was heralded in the consciousness and life of the Jewish church.

We will consider 1) the relation of the Psalms to the prophecy of the future Christ. When man whom God had created, had corrupted himself by sin, God did not leave him to that doom of wrath which he had chosen for himself, but visited him on the evening of that most unfortunate of all days, in order to make that doom the disciplinary medium of His love. This visitation of Jahve Elohim was the first step in the history of redemption towards the goal of the incarnation, and the so-called protevangelium was the first laying of the foundation of His verbal revelation of law and gospel - a revelation in accordance with the plan of salvation, and preparing the way towards this goal of the incarnation and the recovery of man. The way of this salvation, which opens up its own historical course, and at the same time announces itself in a form adapted to the human consciousness, runs all through Israel, and the Psalms shew us how this seed-corn of words and acts of divine love has expanded with a vital energy in the believing hearts of Israel. They bear the impress of the period, during which the preparation of the way of salvation was centred in Israel and the hope of redemption was a national hope. For after mankind was separated into different nations, salvation was confined within the limits of a chosen nation, that it might mature there, and then bursting its bounds become the property of the human race. At that period the promise of the future Mediator was in its third stage. The hope of overcoming the tendency in mankind to be led astray into evil was attached to the seed of the woman, and the hope of a blessing for all peoples, to the seed of Abraham: but, at this period, when David became the creator of psalm-poesy for the sanctuary service, the promise had assumed a Messianic character and pointed the hope of the believing ones towards the king of Israel, and in fact to David and his seed: the salvation and glory of Israel first, and indirectly of the nations, was looked for from the mediatorship of Jahve's Anointed.

The fact that among all the Davidic psalms there is only a single one, viz. Ps. cx, in which David (as in his last words 2 Sam. xxiii. 1—7) looks forth into the future of his seed and has the Messiah definitely before his mind, can only be explained by the consideration, that he was hitherto himself the object of Messianic hope, and that this hope was first gradually (especially in consequence of his deep fall) separated from himself individually, and transferred to the future. Therefore when Solomon came to the throne the Messianic desires and hopes of Israel were directed towards him, as Ps. lxxii shews; they belonged only to the one final Christ of God, but they clung for a long time enquiringly and with

a perfect right (on the ground of 2 Sam. vii) to the direct son of David. Also in Ps. xlv it is a son of David, cotemporary with the Korahite singer, to whom the Messianic promise is applied as a marriage benediction, wishing that the promise may be realized in him.

But it soon became evident that He, in whom the full realization of the idea of the Messiah is to be found, had not yet appeared either in the person of this king or of Solomon. And when in the later time of the kings the Davidic line became more and more inconsistent with its vocation in the sacred history, then the hope of the Messiah was completely weaned of its expectation of immediate fulfilment, and the present became merely the dark ground from which the image of the Messiah, as purely future, stood forth in relief. The בורדוך, in whom the prophecy of the later time of the kings centres. and whom also Ps. ii sets forth before the kings of the earth that they may render homage to Him, is an eschatological character (although the אחרית was looked for as dawning close upon the border of the present). In the mouth of the congregation Ps. xlv and cxxxii, since their contents referred to the future, have become too prophetically and eschatologically Messianic. But it is remarkable that the number of these psalms which are not merely typically Messianic is so small, and that the church of the period after the Exile has not enriched the Psalter with a single psalm that is Messianic in the stricter sense. In the later portion of the Psalter, in distinction from the strictly Messianic psalms, the theocratic psalms are more numerously represented, i. e. those psalms which do not speak of the kingdom of Jahve's Anointed which shall conquer and bless the world, not of the Christocracy, in which the theocracy reaches the pinnacle of its representation, but of the theocracy as such, which is complete inwardly and outwardly in its own representation of itself, - not of the advent of a human king, but of Jahve Himself, with the kingdom of God manifest in all its glory. For the announcement of salvation in the Old Testament runs on in two parallel lines: the one has as its termination the Anointed of Jahve, who rules all nations out of Zion, the other, the LORD Himself sitting above the Cherubim, to whom all the earth does homage. These two lines do not

. 5*

meet in the Old Testament; it is only the fulfilment that makes it plain, that the advent of the Anointed one and the advent of Jahve is one and the same. And of these two lines the divine is the one that preponderates in the Psalter; the hope of Israel, especially after the kingship had ceased in Israel, is directed generally beyond the human mediation directly towards Jahve, the Author of salvation. The fundamental article of the Old Testament faith runs שמעהה ליהוה (Ps. iii. 9, Jon. ii. 10). The Messiah is not yet recognised as a God-man. Consequently the Psalms contain neither prayer to Him, nor prayer in His name. But prayer to Jahve and for Jahve's sake is essentially the same. For Jesus is in Jahve. Jahve is the Saviour. And the Saviour when he shall appear, is nothing but the visible manifestation of the ישועה of this God (Isa. xlix. 6).

In considering the goal of the Old Testament history in its relation to the God-man, we distinguish five classes of psalms which are directed towards this goal. After 2 Sam. vii the Messianic promise is no longer in a general way connected with the tribe of Judah, but with David; and is referred not merely to the endless duration of his kingdom, but also to one scion of his house, in whom that to which God has appointed the seed of David in its relation to Israel first, and from Israel to all the other nations, shall be fully realised, and without whom the kingdom of David is like a headless trunk. Psalms in which the poet, looking beyond his own age, comforts himself with the vision of this king in whom the promise is finally fulfilled, we call eschatological psalms, and in fact directly eschatologically Messianic psalms. These connect themselves not merely with the already existing prophetic utterances, but carry them even further, and are only distinguished from prophecy proper by their lyric form; for prophecy is a discourse and the psalms are spiritual songs.

The Messianic character of the Psalms is, however, not confined to prophecy proper, the subject of which is that which is future. Just as nature exhibits a series of stages of life in which the lower order of existence points to the next order above it and indirectly to the highest, so that, for instance, in the globular form of a drop we read the intimation of the struggle after organism, as it were, in the

simplest barest outline: so also the progress of history is typical, and not only as a whole, but also most surprisingly in single traits, the life of David is a vaticinium reale of the life of Him, whom prophecy calls directly עברי דור Ezek. xxxiv. 23 sq. xxxvii. 24 sq. and רוד מלכם Hos. iii. 5, Jer. xxx. 9, as the David who is, as it were, raised from the dead in a glorified form. Those psalms in which David himself (or even a poet throwing himself into David's position and mood) gives expression in lyric verse to prominent typical events and features of his life, we call typically Messianic psalms. This class, however, is not confined to those, of which David is directly or indirectly the subject, for the course of suffering of all the Old Testament saints, and especially of the prophets in their calling (vid. on xxxiv. 20 sq. and Ps. lxix), was to a certain extent a τύπος τοῦ μέλλοντος. All these psalms, not less than those of the first class, may be quoted in the New Testament with the words ίνα πληρωθή, with this difference only, that in the former it is the prophetic word, in the latter the prophetic history, that is fulfilled. The older theologians, especially the Lutheran, contended against the supposition of such typological citations of the Old Testament in the New: they were destitute of that perception of the organic element in history granted to our age, and consequently were lacking in the true counterpoise to their rigid notions of inspiration.

But there is also a class of Psalms which we call typico-prophetically Messianic, viz. those in which David, describing his outward and inward experiences, — experiences even in themselves typical, — is carried beyond the limits of his individuality and present condition, and utters concerning himself that which, transcending human experience, is intended to become historically true only in Christ. Such psalms are typical, in as much as their contents is grounded in the individual, but typical, history of David; they are, however, at the same time prophetic, in as much as they express present individual experience in laments, hopes, and descriptions which point far forward beyond the present and are only fully realised in Christ. The psychological possibility of such psalms has been called in question; but they would only be psychologically impossible, if one were obliged

to suppose that David's self-consciousness must under such circumstances pass over into that of his antitype; but it is in reality quite otherwise. As the poet in order to describe his experiences in verse, idealises them, i. e. seizes the idea of them at the very root, and, stripping off all that is adventitious and insignificant, rises into the region of the ideal: so David also in these psalms idealises his experiences, which even in itself results in the reduction of them to all that is essential to their continuance as types. This he does, however, not from his own poetic impulse, but under the inspiration of the Spirit of God; and a still further result which follows from this is, that the description of his typical fortunes and their corresponding states of feeling is moulded into the prophetic description of the fortunes and feelings of his antitype.

Beside these three classes of Messianic psalms one may regard psalms like xlv and lxxii as a fourth class of indirectly eschatologically Messianic psalms. They are those in which, according to the time of their composition, Messianic hopes are referred to a cotemporary king, but without having been fulfilled in him; so that, in the mouth of the church, still expecting their final accomplishment, these psalms have become eschatological hymns and their exposition as such, by the side of their chronological interpretation, is fully warranted.

A fifth class is formed by the eschatologically Jehovic psalms, which are taken up with describing the advent of Jahve and the consummation of His kingdom, which is all through brought about by judgment (vid. Ps. xciii). The number of these psalms in the Psalter greatly preponderates. They contain the other premiss to the divine-human end of the history of salvation. There are sudden flashes of light thrown upon this end in the prophets. But it remains reserved to the history itself to draw the inference of the unio personalis from these human and divine premises. The Redeemer, in whom the Old Testament faith reposed, is Jahve. The centre of the hope lay in the divine not in the human king. That the Redeemer, when He should appear, would be God and man in one person was alien to the mind of the Old Testament church. And the perception of the fact

that He would be sacrifice and priest in one person, only penetrates in single rays into the Old Testament darkness, the cynosure of which is יהוה, and יהוה only.

Coming now to consider 2) the relation of the Psalms to the legal sacrifice, we shall find this also different from what we might expect from the stand-point of fulfilment. Passages certainly are not wanting where the outward legal sacrifice is acknowledged as an act of worship on the part of the individual and of the congregation (lxvi. 15, li. 21); but those occur more frequently, in which in comparison with the logich latrecia it is so lightly esteemed, that without respect to its divine institution it appears as something not at all desired by God, as a shell to be cast away, and as a form to be broken in pieces (xl. 7 sq. l. li. 18 sq.). But it is not this that surprises us. It is just in this respect that the psalms contribute their share towards the progress of sacred history. It is that process of spiritualisation which begins even in Deuteronomy, and which is continued by reason of the memorable words of Samuel, 1 Sam. xv. 22 sq. It is the spirit of the New Testament, growing more and more in strength, which here and in other parts of the Psalter shakes the legal barriers and casts off the στοιχεῖα τοῦ χόσμου as a butterfly does its chrysalis shell. But what is substituted for the sacrifice thus criticised and rejected? Contrition, prayer, thankgiving, yielding one's self to God in the doing of His will, as Prov. xxi. 3 to do justly, Hos. vi. 6 kindness, Mic. vi. 6-8 acting justly, love, and humility, Jer. vii. 21 - 23 obedience. This it is that surprises one. The disparaged sacrifice is regarded only as a symbol not as a type; it is only considered in its ethical character, not in its relation to the history of redemption. Its nature is unfolded only so far as it is a gift to God (קרבו), not so far as the offering is appointed for atonement (בפרה); in one word: the mystery of the blood remains undisclosed. Where the New Testament mind is obliged to think of the sprinkling with the blood of Jesus Christ, it is, in Ps. li. 9, the sprinkling of the legal ritual of purification and atonement that is mentioned, and that manifestly figuratively but yet without the significance of the figure. Whence is it? - Because the sacrifice with blood, as such, in the Old Testament remains a question

to which Isaiah, in ch. liii, gives almost the only distinct answer in accordance with its historical fulfilment; for passages like Dan. ix. 24 sqq. Zech. xii. 10, xiii. 7 are themselves questionable and enigmatical. The prophetic representation of the passion and sacrifice of Christ is only given in direct prophetic language thus late on, and it is only the evangelic history of the fulfilment that shews, how exactly the Spirit which spoke by David has moulded that which he says concerning himself, the type, into correspondence with the antitype. The confidence of faith under the Old Testament, as it finds expression in the Psalms, rested upon Jahve even in reference to the atonement, as in reference to redemption in general. As He is the Saviour, so is He also the one who makes the atonement (מבפר), from whom expiation is earnestly sought and hoped for (lxxix. 9, lxv. 4, lxxviii. 38, lxxxv. 3 and other passages). It is Jahve who at the end of His course of the redemptive history is the God-man, and the blood given by Him as the medium of atonement (Lev. xvii. 11) is, in the antitype, His own blood.

Advancing from this point, we come to examine 3) the relation of the Psalms to the New Testament righteousness of faith and to the New Testament morality which flows from the primary command of infinite love. Both with respect to the atonement and to redemption the Psalms undergo a complete metamorphosis in the consciousness of the praying New Testament churcha metamorphosis, rendered possible by the unveiling and particularising of salvation that has since taken place, and to which they can without any reserve be accommodated. There are only two points in which the prayers of the Psalms appear to be difficult of amalgamation with the Christian consciousness. These are the moral self-confidence bordering on self-righteousness, which is frequently maintained before God in the Psalms, and the warmth of feeling against enemies and persecutors which finds vent in fearful cursings. self-righteousness here is a mere appearance; for the righteousness to which the psalmists appeal is not the merit of works, not a sum of good works, which are reckoned up before God as claiming a reward, but a godly direction of the will and a godly form of life, which has its root in the surrender of

one's whole self to God and regards itself as the operation and work of justifying, sanctifying, preserving and ruling grace (lxxiii. 25 sq. xxv. 5—7, xix. 14 and other passages). There is not wanting an acknowledgement of the innate sinfulness of our nature (li. 7), of the man's exposure to punishment before God apart from His grace (cxliii. 2), of the many, and for the most part unperceived, sins of the converted (xix. 13), of the forgiveness of sins as a fundamental condition to the attainment of happiness (xxxii. 1 sq.), of the necessity of a new divinely-created heart (li. 12), in short, of the way of salvation which consists of penitential contrition, pardon, and newness of life.

On the other hand it is not less true, that in the light of the vicarious atonement and of the Spirit of regeneration it becomes possible to form a far more penetrating and subtle moral judgment of one's self; it is not less true, that the tribulation, which the New Testament believer experiences, though it does not produce such a strong and overwhelming sense of divine wrath as that which is often expressed in the psalms, nevertheless sinks deeper into his inmost nature in the presence of the cross on Golgotha and of the heaven that is opened up to him, in as much as it appears to him to be sent by a love that chastens, proves, and prepares him for the future; and it is not less true, that after the righteousness of God - which takes over our unrighteousness and is accounted even in the Old Testament as a gift of grace - lies before us for believing appropriation as a righteousness redemptively wrought out by the active and passive obedience of Jesus, the distinctive as well as the reciprocally conditioned character of righteousness of faith and of righteousness of life is become a more clearly perceived fact of the inner life, and one which exercises a more powerful influence over the conduct of that life.* Nevertheless even such personal testimonies, as Ps. xvii. 1-5, do not

^{*} cf. Kurtz, Zur Theologie der Psalmen, III: The sclf-righteousness of the psalmists, in the Dorpater Zeitschrift 1865 S. 352—358: "The Old Testament righteousness of faith, represented by the evangelium visibile of the sacrificial worship, had not as yet the fundamental and primary, helpful position assigned to it, especially by Paul, in the New Testament,

resist conversion into New Testament forms of thought and experience, for they do not hinder the mind from thinking specially, at the same time, of righteousness of faith, of God's acts which are performed through the medium of sacraments, and of that life resulting from the new birth, which maintains itself victorious in the old man; moreover the Christian ought to be himself earnestly warned by them to examine himself whether his faith is really manifest as an energising power of a new life; and the difference between the two Testaments loses its harshness even here, in the presence of the great verities which condemn all moral infirmity, viz. that the church of Christ is a community of the holy, that the blood of Jesus Christ cleanseth us from all sin, and that whosever is born of God doth not commit sin.

But as to the so-called imprecatory psalms,* in the position occupied by the Christian and by the church towards the enemies of Christ, the desire for their removal is certainly outweighed by the desire for their conversion: but assuming, that they will not be converted and will not anticipate their punishment by penitence, the transition from a feeling of love to that of wrath is warranted in the New Testament (e. g. Gal. v. 12), and assuming their absolute Satanic hardness of heart the Christian even may not shrink from praying for their final overthrow. For the kingdom of God comes not only by the way of mercy but also of judgment; and the coming of the kingdom of God is the goal of the Old as well as of the New Testament saint (vid. ix. 21, lix. 14 and other passages), and every wish that judgment may descend upon those who oppose the coming of the kingdom of God is cherished even in the Psalms on the assumption of their lasting impenitence (vid. vii. 13 sq. cix. 17). Where, however, as in Ps. lxix and cix, the imprecations go into particulars and extend to the descendents of the unfortunate one

but only a more secondary position; justification is conceived not as a condition of the sanctification which is to be striven after, but as a supplementing of that which is wanting in the sanctification thus defectively striven after.

^{*} cf. Kurtz, ibid. IV: The imprecatory Psalms, ibid. S. 359-372 and our discussions in the introductions to Ps. xxxv and cix, which belong to this class.

and even on to eternity, the only justification of them is this, that they flow from the prophetic spirit, and for the Christian they admit of no other adoption, except as, reiterating them, he gives the glory to the justice of God, and commends himself the more earnestly to His favour.

Also 4) the relation of the Psalms to the Last Things is such, that in order to be used as prayers expressive of the New Testament faith they require deepening and adjusting. For what Julius Africanus says of the Old Testament: οὐδέπω δέδοτο ἐλπὶς ἀναστάσεως σαφής, holds good at least of the time before Isaiah. For Isaiah is the first to foretell, in one of his latest apocalyptic cycles (ch. xxiv-xxvii), the first resurrection, i. e. the re-quickening of the martyr-church that has succumbed to death (ch. xxvi. 19), just as with an extended vision he foretells the termination of death itself (ch. xxv. 8); and the Book of Daniel - that Old Testament apocalypse, sealed until the time of its fulfilment — first foretells the general resurrection, i. e. the awakening of some to life and others to judgment (ch. xii. 2). Between these two prophecies comes Ezekiel's vision of Israel's return from the Exile under the figure of a creative quickening of a vast field of corpses (ch. xxxvii) - a figure which at least assumes that what is represented is not impossible to the wonder-working power of God, which is true to His promises. But also in the latest psalms the perception of salvation nowhere appears to have made such advance, that these words of prophecy foretelling the resurrection should have been converted into a dogmatic element of the church's belief. The hope, that the bones committed, like seed, to the ground would spring forth again, finds expression first only in a bold, but differently expressed figure (cxli. 7); the hopeless darkness of Sheôl (vi. 6, xxx. 10, lxxxviii. 11-13) remained unillumined, and where deliverance from death and Hades is spoken of, what is meant is the preservation of the living, either experienced (e. g. lxxxvi. 13) or hoped for (e. g. cxviii. 17) from falling a prev to death and Hades, and we find in connection with it other passages which express the impossibility of escaping this universal final destiny (lxxxix. 49). The hope of eternal life after death is nowhere definitely expressed, as even in the Book of Job the longing for it is never able to expand into a hope, because

no light of promise shines into that night, which reigns over Job's mind, — a night, which the conflict of temptation through which he is passing makes darker than it is in itself. The pearl which appears above the waves of temptation is only too quickly swallowed up again by them.

Also in the Psalms we find passages in which the hope of not falling a prey to death is expressed so broadly, that the thought of the final destiny of all men being inevitable is completely swallowed up by the living one's confidence of living in the strength of God (lvi. 14 and esp. xvi. 9-11); passages in which the covenant relation with Jahve is contrasted with this present life and its possession, in such a manner that the opposite of a life extending beyond the present time is implied (xvii. 14 sq., lxiii. 4); passages in which the end of the ungodly is compared with the end of the righteous as death and life, defeat and triumph (xlix. 15), so that the inference forces itself upon one, that the former die although they seem to live for ever, and the latter live for ever although they die at once; and passages in which the psalmist, though only by way of allusion, looks forward to a being borne away to God, like Enoch and Elijah (xlix. 16, lxxiii. 24). Nowhere, however, is there any general creed to be found, but we see how the belief in a future life struggles to be free, at first only, as an individual conclusion of the believing mind from premises which experience has established. And far from the grave being penetrated by a glimpse of heaven, it has, on the contrary, to the ecstasy of the life derived from God, as it were altogether vanished; for life in opposition to death only appears as the lengthening of the line of the present ad infinitum. Hence it is that we no more find in the Psalms than in the Book of Job a perfectly satisfactory theodicy with reference to that distribution of human fortunes in this world, which is incompatible with God's justice. -Ps. vii. xlix. lxxiii. certainly border on the right solution of the mystery, but it stops short at mere hint and presage, so that the utterances that touch upon it admit of different interpretation.*

^{*} vid. Kurtz, ibid. II: The doctrine of retribution in the Psalms, ibid. S. 316-352.

But on the other hand, death and life in the mind of the psalmists are such deep-rooted notions (i. e. taken hold of at the very roots, which are grounded in the principles of divine wrath and divine love), that it is easy for the New Testament faith, to which they have become clear even to their back ground of hell and heaven, to adjust and deepen the meaning of all utterances in the Psalms that refer to them. It is by no means contrary to the meaning of the psalmist when, as in passages like Ps. vi. 6, Gehenna is substituted for Hades to adapt it to the New Testament saint: for since the descent of Jesus Christ into Hades there is no longer any limbus patrum, the way of all who die in the Lord is not earthwards but upwards, Hades exists only as the vestibule of hell. The psalmists indeed dread it, but only as the realm of wrath or of seclusion from God's love, which is the true life of man. Nor is it contrary to the idea of the poets to think of the future vision of God's face in all its glory in Ps. xvii. 15 and of the resurrection morn in Ps. xlix. 15; for the hopes expressed there, though to the Old Testament consciousness they referred to this side the grave, are future according to their New Testament fulfilment, which is the only truly satisfying one. There is, as Oetinger says, no essential New Testament truth not contained in the Psalms either vot (according to its unfolded meaning), or at least πνεύματι. The Old Testament barrier encompasses the germinating New Testament life, which at a future time shall burst The eschatology of the Old Testament leaves a dark background, which, as is designed, is divided by the New Testament revelation into light and darkness, and is to be illumined into a wide perspective extending into the eternity beyond time. Everywhere, where it begins to dawn in this eschatological darkness of the Old Testament, it is the first morning rays of the New Testament sun-rise which is already announcing itself. The Christian also here cannot refrain from leaping the barrier of the psalmists, and understanding the Psalms according to the mind of the Spirit whose purpose in the midst of the development of salvation and of the perception of it, is directed towards its goal and consummation. Thus understood the Psalms are the hymns of the New Testament Israel as of the Old. The church by using

the language of the Psalms in supplication celebrates the unity of the two Testaments, and scholarship in expounding them honours their distinctiveness. Both are in the right; the former in regarding the Psalms in the light of the one great salvation, the latter in carefully distinguishing the eras in the history, and the steps in the perception, of this salvation.

EXPOSITION

OF THE PSALTER.

Cum consummaverit homo, tunc incipiet, et cum quieverit, aporiabitur (novis aporiis urgebitur).

Sir. xviii. 6 (applied by Augustine to the expositor of the Psalter).

FIRST BOOK OF THE PSALTER.

Ps. I.—XLI.

PSALM I.

THE RADICALLY DISTINCT LOT OF THE PIOUS AND THE UNGODLY.

1 BLESSED is the man who walketh not in the counsel of the ungodly,

And standeth not in the way of sinners, And sitteth not in the company of scorners,

- 2 But his delight is in the Law of Jahve
 And in His Law doth he meditate day and night —
- 3 And he is like a tree planted by the water-courses, Which bringeth forth its fruit in its season, And its leaf withereth not, And whatsoever he doeth, he carrieth through.
- 4 Not thus are the ungodly,
 But they are like the chaff which the wind driveth away.
- 5 Therefore the ungodly cannot stand in the judgment, Nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous;
- 6 For Jahve knoweth the way of the righteous, But the way of the ungodly perisheth.

The collection of the Psalms and that of the prophecies of Isaiah resemble one another in the fact, that the one begins with a discourse that bears no superscription, and the other vol. 1.

82 PSALM I.

with a Psalm of the same character; and these form the prologues to the two collections. From Acts xiii. 33, where the words: Thou art My Son... are quoted as being found ἐν τῷ πρώτφ ψαλμῷ, we see that in early times Ps. i. was regarded as the prologue to the collection. The reading ἐν τῷ ψαλμῷ τῷ δευτέρῳ, rejected by Griesbach, is an old correction. But this way of numbering the Psalms is based upon tradition. A scholium from Origen and Eusebius says of Ps. i. and ii.: ἐν τῷ Ἑβραϊχῷ συνημμένοι, and just so Apollinaris:

'Επιγραφής ό ψαλμός εύρέθη δίχα, 'Ηνωμένος δὲ τοῖς παρ' 'Εβραίοις στίχοις.

For it is an old Jewish way of looking at it, as Albertus Magnus observes: Psalmus primus incipit a beatitudine et terminatur a beatitudine, i. e. it begins with אשרי i. 1 and ends with וֹאשרי ii. 12, so that consequently Ps. i. and ii., as is said in B. Berachoth 9b (cf. Jer. Taanith ii. 2), form one Psalm (אורא) פרשה). As regards the subject-matter this is certainly not so. It is true Ps. i. and ii. coincide in some respects (in the former יהגה, in the latter יהגו; in the former יהגה, in the latter והאבדן דרך; in the former אשרי at the beginning, in the latter, at the end), but these coincidences of phraseology are not sufficient to justify the conclusion of unity of authorship (Hitz.), much less that the two Psalms are so intimately connected as to form one whole. These two anonymous hymns are only so far related, as that the one is adapted to form the proæmium of the Psalter from its ethical, the other from its prophetic character. The question, however, arises whether this was in the mind of the collector. Perhaps Ps. ii. is only attached to Ps. i. on account of those coincidences; Ps. i. being the proper prologue of the Psalter in its pentateuchal arrangement after the pattern of the Tôra. For the Psalter is the Yea and Amen in the form of hymns to the word of God given in the Tôra. Therefore it begins with a Psalm which contrasts the lot of him who loves the Tôra with the lot of the ungodly, - an echo of that exhortation, Josh. i. 8, in which, after the death of Moses, Jahve charges his successor Joshua to do all that is written in the book of the Tôra. As the New Testament sermon on the Mount, as a sermon on the spiritualized Law,

begins with μακάριοι, so the Old Testament Psalter, directed entirely to the application of the Law to the inner life, begins with אשריא. The First book of the Psalms begins with אשריא i. 1, ii. 12, and closes with two אשרי גו. 5, גוו. 2. A number of Psalms begin with אשריא, Ps. xxxii. xli. cxii. cxix. cxxviii.; but we must not therefore suppose the existence of a special kind of ashrê-psalms; for, e. g., Ps. xxxii. is a שיר המעלות, Ps. cxii. a Hallelujah, Ps. cxxviii. a הששלות.

As regards the time of the composition of the Psalm, we do not wish to lay any stress on the fact that 2 Chron. xxii. 5 sounds like an allusion to it. But 1st, it is earlier than the time of Jeremiah; for Jeremiah was acquainted with it. The words of curse and blessing, Jer. xvii. 5-8, are like an expository and embellished paraphrase of it. It is customary with Jeremiah to reproduce the prophecies of his predecessors, and more especially the words of the Psalms, in the flow of his discourse and to transform their style to his own. In the present instance the following circumstance also favours the priority of the Psalm: Jeremiah refers the curse corresponding to the blessing to Jehoiakim and thus applies the Psalm to the history of his own times. It is 2ndly, not earlier than the time of Solomon. For לצים occurring only here in the whole Psalter, a word which came into use. for the unbelievers, in the time of the Chokma (vid. the definition of the word, Prov. xxi. 24), points us to the time of Solomon and onwards. But since it contains no indications of cotemporary history whatever, we give up the attempt to define more minutely the date of its composition, and say with St. Columba (against the reference of the Psalm to Joash the protegé of Jehoiada, which some incline to): Non audiendi sunt hi, qui ad excludendam Psalmorum veram expositionem falsas similitudines ab historia petitas conantur inducere.*

Vers. 1—3. The exclamatory אָשֶרי, as also xxxii. 2, xl. 5, Prov. viii. 34, has Gaja (Metheg) by the Aleph, and in some

^{*} vid. Zeuss, Grammatica Celtica (1853) ii. 1065. The Commentary of Columba on the Psalms, with Irish explanations, and coming from the monastery of Bobbio, is among the treasures of the Ambrosiana.

Codd. even a second by w, because it is intended to be read ash'rê as an exception, on account of the significance of the word (Baer, in Comm. ii. 495). It is the construct of the pluralet. אשר (from אשר, cogn. בשר, ישר, to be straight, right, well-ordered), and always in the form אָשׁרָי, even before the light suffixes (Olsh. § 135, c), as an exclamation: O the blessedness of so and so. The man who is characterised as blessed is first described according to the things he does not do, then (which is the chief thought of the whole Ps.) according to what he actually does: he is not a companion of the unrighteous, but he abides by the revealed word of God. רשעים are the godless, whose moral condition is lax, devoid of stay, and as it were gone beyond the reasonable bounds of a true unity (wanting in stability of character), so that they are like a tossed and stormy sea, Isa. lvii. 20 sq.;* השאים (from the sing. אָשַה, instead of which אוֹה is usually found) sinners, άμαρτωλοί, who pass their lives in sin, especially coarse and manifest sin; לצים (from לוץ, as מה from מות) scoffers, who make that which is divine, holy, and true a subject of frivolous jesting. The three appellations form a climax: impii corde, peccatores opere, illusores ore, in accordance with which עצה (from יעץ figere, statuere), resolution, bias of the will, and thus way of thinking, is used in reference to the first, as in Job xxi. 16, xxii. 18; in reference

to the second, and mode of conduct, action, life; in reference to the third, I'm which like the Arabic meglis signifies both seat (Job xxix. 7) and assembling (cvii. 32), be it official or social (cf. xxvi. 4 sq., Jer. xv. 17). On הלה ב, in an ethical sense, cf. Mic. vi. 16, Jer. vii. 24. Therefore: Blessed is he who does not walk in the state of mind which the ungodly cherish, much less that he should associate with the vicious life of sinners, or even delight in the company of those who scoff at religion. The description now continues with בי אם (imo si, Ges. § 155, 2, i): but (if) his delight is, =(substantival instead of the verbal clause:) he delights (קַבַּץ) of. خفض f. i. with the primary notion of firmly adhering, vid. on Job xl. 17) in חורת הי, the teaching of Jahve, which is become Israel's νόμος, rule of life; in this he meditates profoundly by day and night (two acc. with the old accusative terminations am and ah). The perff. in ver. 1 describe what he all along has never done, the fut. יהוה, what he is always striving to do; הְנָה of a deep (cf. מבה depressum esse), dull sound, as if vibrating between within and without, here signifies the quiet soliloquy (cf. mussitando secum loqui) of one who is searching and thinking.

With יְהְיָהְ in ver. 3, the development of the יְהָיָה now begins; it is the præt. consec.: he becomes in consequence of this, he is thereby, like a tree planted beside the water-courses, which yields its fruit at the proper season and its leaf does not fall off. In distinction from מָּמִישׁ, according to Jalkut § 614, שַׁמִישׁ means firmly planted, so that no winds that may rage around it are able to remove it from its place (מִמְשִׁ מִיִּמוֹ מִיִּמִי מִיִּם חֹל בּּלְּבֵּי מִיִּם חֹל hoth מַלְּבֵּי מִיִּם to divide, Job xxxviii. 25) means the brook meandering

^{*} By the Shebā stands Metheg (Gaja), as it does wherever a word, with Shebā in the first syllable, has Olemejored, Rebia magnum, or Dechī without a conjunctive preceding, in case at least one vowel and no Metheg—except perhaps that standing before Shebā compos.—lies between the Shebā and the tone, e. g. apples (with Dechī) ii. 3, will xci. 15 and the like. The intonation of the accent is said in these instances to begin, by anticipation, with the fugitive ě.

and cleaving its course for itself through the soil and stones; the plur. denotes either one brook regarded from its abundance of water, or even several which from different directions supply the tree with nourishing and refreshing moisture. In the relative clause the whole emphasis does not rest on אָבֶּן (Calvin: impii, licet præcoces fructus ostentent, nihil tamen producunt nisi abortivum), but בַּלְּהוֹ is the first, nihil tamen producunt nisi abortivum), but בַּלְּהוֹ is the first, nihil tamen producunt nisi abortivum), but בַּלְּהוֹ is the second tone-word: the fruit which one expects from it, it yields (equivalent to בַּעָּהְוֹ it produces, elsewhere), and that at its appointed, proper time (בַּעָּהְהַ הַּלָּהְ וֹנְעָּהַ הַּתְּהַ וֹנִי אָבֶּה וֹנְעָּבְּהְ וֹנִי בְּעָּהְ וֹנִי בְּעָּהְ וֹנִי בְּעָּהְ וֹנִי בִּעְּהָ וֹנִי בִּעְּהָ וֹנִי בִּעְּהָ וֹנִי בִּעְּהָ וֹנִי וֹי וֹנִי וֹנִי

The green foliage is an emblem of faith, which converts the water of life of the divine word into sap and strength, and the fruit, an emblem of works, which gradually ripen and scatter their blessings around; a tree that has lost its leaves, does not bring its fruit to maturity. It is only with לכל, where the language becomes unemblematic, that the man who loves the Law of God again becomes the direct subject. The accentuation treats this member of the verse as the third member of the relative clause; one may, however, say of a thriving plant אָלֵהָ, but not הַצְּלִיהָ. This Hiph. (from שלא, to divide, press forward, press through, vid. xlv. 5) signifies both causative: to cause anything to go through, or prosper (Gen. xxxiv. 23), and transitive: to carry through, and intransitive: to succeed, prosper (Judg. xviii. 5). With the first meaning, Jahve would be the subject; with the third, the project of the righteous; with the middle one, the righteous man himself. This last is the most natural: everything he takes in hand he brings to a successful issue (an expression like 2 Chron. vii. 11, xxxi. 21, Dan. viii. 24). What a richly flowing brook is to the tree that is planted on its bank, such is the word of God to him who devotes himself to it: it makes him, according to his position and calling, ever fruitful in good and well-timed deeds and keeps him fresh

in his inner and outward life, and whatseever such an one undertakes, he brings to a successful issue, for the might of the word and of the blessing of God is in his actions.

Vers. 4—6. The ungodly (הרשעים, with the demonstrative art.) are the opposite of a tree planted by the watercourses: they are מיץ, like chaff (from מיץ to press out), which the wind drives away, viz. from the loftily situated threshing-floor (Isa. xvii. 13), i.e. without root below, without fruit above, devoid of all the vigour and freshness of life, lying loose upon the threshing-floor and a prey of the slightest breeze, - thus utterly worthless and unstable. With מליכן an inference is drawn from this moral characteristic of the ungodly: just on account of their inner worthlessness and instability they do not stand power. This is the word for the judgment of just recompense to which God brings each individual man and all without exception with all their works (Eccl. xii. 14), - His righteous government, which takes cognisance of the whole life of each individual and the history of nations and recompenses according to desert. In this judgment the ungodly cannot stand (op to continue to stand, like my cxxx. 3 to keep one's self erect), nor sinners בַּעְרַת צַּרִיקִים. The congregation (אָרָת צַרִּיקִים - 'idah, from יער, וער) of the righteous is the congregation of Jahve (ערת הי), which, according to its nature which is ordained and inwrought by God, is a congregation of the righteous, to which consequently the unrighteous belong only outwardly and visibly: οὐ γὰρ πάντες οἱ ἐξ Ἰσραἡλ, οὖτοι Ἰσραήλ, Rom. ix. 6. God's judgment, when and wheresoever he may hold it, shall trace back this appearance to its nothingness. When the time of the divine decision shall come, which also separates outwardly that which is now inwardly separate, viz. righteous and unrighteous, wheat and chaff, then shall the unrighteous be driven away like chaff before the storm, and their temporary prosperity, which had no divine roots, come to a fearful end. For Jahve knoweth the way of the righteous, אורע as in xxxvii. 18, Mat. vii. 23, 2 Tim. ii. 19, and frequently. What is intended is, as the schoolmen say, a nosse con affectu et effectu, a knowledge which is in living, intimate relationship to its subject and at the same time is inclined

to it and bound to it by love. The way, i. e. the life's course, of the righteous has God as its goal; God knows this way, which on this very account also unfailingly reaches its goal. On the contrary, the way of the ungodly המכון, perishes, because left to itself, — goes down to מַּבְּרוֹן, loses itself, without reaching the goal set before it, in darkest night. The way of the righteous only is בַּרְרָ עוֹלְכֹּן, cxxxix. 24, a way that ends in eternal life. Ps. cxii. which begins with משרי ends with the same fearful

PSALM II.

THE KINGDOM OF GOD AND OF HIS CHRIST, TO WHICH EVERYTHING MUST BOW.

- 1 WHY do the people rage, And the nations imagine a vain thing?!
- 2 The kings of the earth rise in rebellion, And the rulers take counsel together — Against Jahve and against His Anointed.
- 3 "Up! let us burst their bands asunder, And cast away their cords from us!"
- 4 He who is enthroned in the heavens laughs, The Lord hath them in derision.
- 5 Then shall He speak to them in His wrath, And thunder them down in His hot displeasure:
- 6 "— And yet have I set My King Upon Zion, My holy hill."

(The Divine King:)

- 7 "I will speak concerning a decree!
 Jahve saith unto me: Thou art My Son,
 This day have I begotten Thee.
- 8 Demand of Me, and I will give Thee the nations for Thine inheritance,
 - And the ends of the earth for Thy possession.
- 9 Thou shalt break them with an iron sceptre, Like a potter's vessel shalt Thou dash them in pieces."

- 10 And now, O ye kings, be wise, Be admonished, ye judges of the earth!
- 11 Serve Jahve with fear, And rejoice with trembling.
- 12 Kiss the Son, lest He be angry and ye perish, For His wrath may kindle suddenly—
 Blessed are all they who hide in Him!

The didactic Ps. i. which began with אשרי, is now followed by a prophetic Psalm, which closes with אשרי. It coincides also in other respects with Ps. i., but still more with Psalms of the earlier time of the kings (lix. 9, lxxxiii. 3-9) and with Isaiah's prophetic style. The rising of the confederate nations and their rulers against Jahve and His Anointed will be dashed to pieces against the imperturbable all-conquering power of dominion, which Jahve has entrusted to His King set upon Zion, His Son. This is the fundamental thought, which is worked out with the vivid directness of dramatic representation. The words of the singer and seer begin and end the Psalm. The rebels, Jahve, and His Anointed come forward, and speak for themselves; but the framework is formed by the composer's discourse, which, like the chorus of the Greek drama, expresses the reflexions and feelings which are produced on the spectators and hearers. The poem before us is not purely lyric. The personality of the poet is kept in the back-ground. The Lord's Anointed who speaks in the middle of the Psalm is not the anonymous poet himself. It may, however, be a king of the time, who is here regarded in the light of the Messianic promise, or that King of the future, in whom at a future period the mission' of the Davidic kingship in the world shall be fulfilled: at all events this Lord's Anointed comes forward with the divine power and glory, with which the Messiah appears in the prophets.

The Psalm is anonymous. For this very reason we may not assign it to David (Hofm.) nor to Solomon (Ew.); for nothing is to be inferred from Acts iv. 25, since in the New Testament "hymn of David" and "psalm" are co-ordinate ideas, and it is always far more hazardous to ascribe an

anonymous Psalm to David or Solomon, than to deny to one inscribed לשלמה or לישלמה direct authorship from David or Solomon. But the subject of the Psalm is neither David (Kurtz) nor Solomon (Bleek). It might be David, for in his reign there is at least one coalition of the peoples like that from which our Psalm takes its rise, vid. 2 Sam. x. 6: on the contrary it cannot be Solomon, because in his reign, though troubled towards its close (1 Kings xi. 14 sqq.), no such event occurs. but would then have to be inferred to have happened from this Psalm. We might rather guess at Uzziah (Meier) or Hezekiah (Maurer), both of whom inherited the kingdom in a weakened condition and found the neighbouring peoples alienated from the house of David. The situation might correspond to these times, for the rebellious peoples, which are brought before us, have been hitherto subject to Jahve and His Anointed. But all historical indications which might support the one supposition or the other are wanting. If the God-ancinted one, who speaks in ver. 7, were the psalmist himself, we should at least know the Psalm was composed by a king filled with a lofty Messianic consciousness. But the dramatic movement of the Psalm up to the ועתה (ver. 10) which follows. is opposed to such an identification of the God-anointed one with the poet. But that Alexander Janneus (Hitz.), that blood-thirsty ruler, so justly hated by his people, who inaugurated his reign by fratricide, may be both at the same time, is a supposition which turns the moral and covenant character of the Psalm into detestable falsehood. The Old Testament knows no kingship to which is promised the dominion of the world and to which sonship is ascribed (2 Sam. vii. 14, Ps. lxxxix. 28), but the Davidic. The events of his own time, which influenced the mind of the poet, are no longer clear to us. But from these he is carried away into those tumults of the peoples which shall end in all kingdoms becoming the kingdom of God and of His Christ (Apoc. xi. 15, xii. 10).

In the New Testament this Psalm is cited more frequently than any other. According to Acts iv. 25—28, vers. 1 and 2 have been fulfilled in the confederate hostility of Israel and the Gentiles against Jesus the holy servant of God and

against His confessors. In the Epistle to the Hebrews, Ps. cx. and ii. stand side by side, the former as a witness of the eternal priesthood of Jesus after the order of Melchisedek, the latter as a witness of His sonship, which is superior to that of the angels. Paul teaches us in Acts xiii. 33, comp. Rom. i. 4, how the "to-day" is to be understood. The "to-day" according to its proper fulfilment, is the day of Jesus' resurrection. Born from the dead to the life at the right hand of God, He entered on this day, which the church therefore calls dies regalis, upon His eternal kingship.

The New Testament echo of this Psalm however goes still deeper and further. The two names of the future One in use in the time of Jesus, & Χριστός and & υίδς τοῦ θεοῦ, John i. 50, Mat. xxvi. 63 (in the mouth of Nathanael and of the High Priest) refer back to this Ps. and Dan. ix. 25, just as ό υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου incontrovertibly refers to Ps. viii. 5 and Dan. vii. 13. The view maintained by De Wette and Hupfeld, that the Psalm is not applicable to the Christian conceptions of the Messiah, seems almost as though these were to be gauged according to the authoritative utterances of the professorial chair and not according to the language of the Apostles. Even in the Apocalypse, ch. xix. 15, xii. 5, Jesus appears exactly as this Psalm represents Him, as ποιμαίνων τὰ ἔθνη ἐν ῥάβδω σιδηρά. The office of the Messiah is not only that of Saviour but also of Judge. Redemption is the beginning and the judgment the end of His work. It is to this end that the Psalm refers. The Lord himself frequently refers in the Gospels to the fact of His bearing side by side with the sceptre of peace and the shepherd's staff, the sceptre of iron also, Mat. xxiv. 50 sq., xxi. 44, Luke xix. 27. The day of His coming is indeed a day of judgment - the great day of the δργή τοῦ ἀγνίου, Apoc. vi. 17, before which the ultra-spiritual Messianic creations of enlightened exegetes will melt away, just as the carnal Messianic hopes of the Jews did before His first coming.

Vers. 1—3. The Psalm begins with a seven line strophe, ruled by an interrogative Wherefore. The mischievous under-

taking condemns itself. It it groundless and fruitless. This certainty is expressed, with a tinge of involuntary astonishment, in the question. למה followed by a præt. enquires the ground of such lawlessness: wherefore have the peoples banded together so tumultuously (Aquila: ἐθορυβήθησαν)? and followed by a fut., the aim of this ineffectual action: wherefore do they imagine emptiness? ריק might be adverbial and equivalent to לֵרִיק, but it is here, as in iv. 3, a governed accusative; for הוה which signifies in itself only quiet inward musing and yearning, expressing itself by a dull muttering (here: something deceitful, as in xxxviii. 13), requires an object. By this דיק the involuntary astonishment of the question justifies itself: to what purpose is this empty affair, i.e. devoid of reason and continuance? For the psalmist, himself a subject and member of the divine kingdom, is too well acquainted with Jahve and His Anointed not to recognise beforehand the unwarrantableness and impotency of such rebellion. That these two things are kept in view, is implied by ver. 2, which further depicts the position of affairs without being subordinated to the למה. The fut. describes what is going on at the present time: they set themselves in position, they take up a defiant position (הַתַנֶּצֶב as in 1 Sam. xvii. 16), after which we again (comp. the reverse order in lxxxiii. 6) have a transition to the perf. which is the more uncoloured expression of the actual: (with as the exponent of reciprocity) prop. to press close and firm upon one another, then (like 5, which, according to the correct observation of the Turkish Kamus, in its signification clam cum aliquo locutus est, starts from the very same primary meaning of pressing close to any object): to deliberate confidentially together (as xxxi. 14 and נועץ lxxi. 10). The subjects רוֹגִים and רוְגִים (according to the Arabic razuna, to be weighty: the grave, dignitaries, σεμνοί, augusti) are only in accordance with the poetic style without the article. It is a general rising of the people of the earth against Jahve and His משות, Χριστός, the king anointed by Him by means of the holy oil and most intimately allied to Him. The psalmist hears (ver. 3) the decision of the deliberating

princes. The pathetic suff. êmō instead of êhêm refers back to Jahve and His Anointed. The cohortatives express the mutual kindling of feeling; the sound and rhythm of the exclamation correspond to the dull murmur of hatred and threatening defiance: the rhythm is iambic, and then anapæstic. First they determine to break asunder the fetters (מאסרות = מוסרות) to which the את, which is significant in the poetical style, points, then to cast away the cords from them (nobis, this is the Palestinian mode of writing, whereas the Babylonians said and wrote ממנו a nobis in distinction from ממנו ab eo, B. Sota 35 a) partly with the vexation of captives, partly with the triumph of freedmen. They are, therefore, at present subjects of Jahve and His Anointed, and not merely because the whole world is Jahve's, but because He has helped His Anointed to obtain dominion over them. It is a battle for freedom, upon which they are entering, but a freedom that is opposed to God.

Vers. 4—6. Above the scene of this wild tumult of battle and imperious arrogance the psalmist in this six line strophe beholds Jahve, and in spirit hears His voice of thunder against the rebels. In contrast to earthly rulers and events Jahve is called יושב בשמים: He is enthroned above them in unapproachable majesty and ever-abiding glory; He is called as He who controls whatever takes place below with absolute power according to the plan His wisdom has devised, which brooks no hindrance in execution. The futt. describe not what He will do, but what He does continually (cf. Isa. xviii. 4 sq.). למו also belongs, according to lix. 9, xxxvii. 13, to ישהק which is more usual in the post-pentateuchal language = צהקם). He laughs at the defiant ones, for between them and Him there is an infinite distance; He derides them by allowing the boundless stupidity of the infinitely little one to come to a climax and then He thrusts him down to the earth undeceived. This climax, the extreme limit of the divine forbearance, is determined by the in, as in Deut. xxix. 19, cf. Duxiv. 5, xxxvi. 13, which is a "then" referring to the future and pointing towards the crisis which then supervenes. Then He begins at once to utter the actual language of His wrath to his foes and confounds them in the heat of His

anger, disconcerts them utterly, both outwardly and in spirit. בָּלָהָ, cogn. בָּלָהְ, means originally to let loose, let go, then in Hebrew sometimes, externally, to overthrow, sometimes, of the mind, to confound and disconcert.

Ver. 5a is like a peal of thunder (cf. Isa. x. 33); בחרונו, 5 b, like the lightning's destructive flash. And as the first strophe closed with the words of the rebels, so this second closes with Jahve's own words. With נאני begins an adverbial clause like Gen. xv. 2, xviii. 13, Ps. 1. 17. The suppressed principal clause (cf. Isa. iii. 14; Ew. § 341, c) is easily supplied: ye are revolting, whilst notwithstanding I... With ואני He opposes His irresistible will to their vain untertaking. It has been shewn by Böttcher, that we must not translate "I have anointed" (Targ., Symm.). نسك , نسك , certainly means to pour out, but not to pour upon, and the meaning of pouring wide and firm (of casting metal, libation, anointing) then, as in הציק, הציק, goes over into the meaning of setting firmly in any place (fundere into fundare, constituere, as LXX., Syr., Jer., and Luther translate), so that consequently the word for prince cannot be compared with משוה, but with נמניתיה.* The Targum rightly inserts ומניתיה (et præfeci eum) after ביתי (unxi), for the place of the anointing is not על־ציון. History makes no mention of a king of Israel being anointed on Zion. Zion is mentioned as the royal seat of the Anointed One; there He is installed, that He may reign there, and rule from thence, cx. 2. It is the hill of the city of David (2 Sam. v. 7, 9, 1 Kings viii. 1) including Moriah, that is intended. That hill of holiness, i. e. holy hill, which is the resting-place of the divine presence and therefore excels all the heights of the earth, is assigned to Him as the seat of His throne.

^{*} Even the Jalkut on the Psalms, § 620, wavers in the explanation of ideal between אמשרות I have anointed him, (after Dan. x. 3), I have cast him (after Exod. xxxii. 4 and freq.), and און I have made him great (after Mic. v. 4). Aquila, by rendering it καὶ ἐδιασάμην (from διάζεσθαι — ὑφαίνειν), adds a fourth possible rendering. A fifth is און purify, consecrate (Hitz.), which does not exist, for the Arabic nasaka obtains this meaning from the primary signification of cleansing by flooding with water (e. g. washing away the briny elements of a field). Also in Prov. viii. 23 און און בארים וואר בארים ווארים וואר בארים ווארים וואר בארים וואר בארים וואר בארים וואר בארים וואר בארים וואר בא

Vers. 7-9. The Anointed One himself now speaks and expresses what he is, and is able to do, by virtue of the divine decree. No transitional word or formula of introduction denotes this sudden transition from the speech of Jahve to that of His Christ. The psalmist is the seer: his Psalm is the mirrored picture of what he saw and the echo of what he heard. As Jahve in opposition to the rebels acknowledges the king upon Zion, so the king on Zion appeals to Him in opposition to the rebels. The name of God, יהוה, has Rebia magnum and, on account of the compass of the full intonation of this accent, a Gaja by the Shebâ (comp. אַלהוֹי xxv. 2, אַלהִים lxviii. 8, אַדני xc. 1).* The construction of שפר with אל (as lxix. 27, comp. אמר Gen. xx. 2, Jer. xxvii. 19, דבר 2 Chron. xxxii. 19, הודיע Isa. xxxviii. 19): to narrate or make an announcement with respect to... is minute, and therefore solemn. Self-confident and fearless, he can and will oppose to those, who now renounce their allegiance to him, a pin, i. e. an authentic, inviolable appointment, which can neither be changed nor shaken. All the ancient versions, with the exception of the Syriac, read הקיהוד together. The line of the strophe becomes thereby more symmetrical, but the expression loses in force. אָל־חֹק rightly has Olewejored. It is the amplificative use of the noun when it is not more precisely determined, known in Arabic grammar: such a decree! majestic as to its author and its matter. Jahve has declared to Him: בָּנִי אָחָה,** and that on the definite day

^{**} Even in pause here TEN remains without a lengthened a (Psalter ii. 468), but the word is become Milel, while out of pause, according to Ben-Asher, it is Milra; but even out of pause (as in lxxxix. 10, 12, xc. 2) it is accented on the penult. by Ben-Naphtali. The Athnach of the books DNN (Ps., Job, Prov.), corresponding to the Zakeph of the 21 other books, has only a half pausal power, and as a rule none at all where it follows Olemejored, cf. ix. 7, xiv. 4, xxv. 7, xxvii. 4, xxxi. 14, xxxv. 15, &c. (Baer, Thorath Emeth p. 37).

on which He has begotten or born him into this relationship of son. The verb $\neg \forall$ (with the changeable vowel i^*) unites in itself, like γενναν, the ideas of begetting and bearing (LXX. γεγέννηκα, Aq. ἔτεκον); what is intended is an operation of divine power exalted above both, and indeed, since it refers to a setting up (נסך) in the kingship, the begetting into a royal existence, which takes place in and by the act of anointing (משה). Whether it be David, or a son of David, or the other David, that is intended, in any case 2 Sam. vii, is to be accounted as the first and oldest proclamation of this decree; for there David, with reference to his own anointing, and at the same time with the promise of everlasting dominion, receives the witness of the eternal sonship to which Jahve has appointed the seed of David in relation to Himself as Father, so that David and his seed can say to Jahve: אָבי אָפַה, Thou art my Father, lxxxix. 27, as Jahve can to him: בני אחה, Thou art My son. From this sonship of the Anointed one to Jahve, the Creator and Possessor of the world, flows His claim to and expectation of the dominion of the world. The cohortative, natural after challenges, follows upon שאל, Ges. § 128, 1. Jahve has appointed the dominion of the world to His Son: on His part therefore it needs only the desire for it, to appropriate to Himself that which is allotted to Him. He needs only to be willing, and that He is willing is shewn by His appealing to the authority delegated to Him by Jahve against the rebels. This authority has a supplement in ver. 9, which is most terrible for the rebellious ones. The suff. refer to the Δη, the ἔθνη, sunk in heathenism. For these his sceptre of dominion (cx. 2) becomes a rod of iron, which will shatter them into a thousand pieces like a brittle image of clay (Jer. xix. 11). With נפץ alternates בעץ (= דעץ frangere), fut. יְּהֶרְעָ; whereas the LXX. (Syr., Jer.), which renders ποιμανείς αὐτοὺς ἐν ῥάβδω (as 1 Cor. iv. 21) σιδηρά, points it בעה from בעה. The staff of iron, according to the Hebrew text the instrument of punitive power, becomes thus with

^{*} The changeable i goes back either to a primary form אָשָׁאִלּי,יַרִשׁ יָיֵלִשׁ for it originates directly from Pathach; forms like יְרֵשׁוּהָ and שְׁאֵלְּךְּ favour the former, ë in a closed syllable generally going over into Segol favours the latter.

reference to upw as the shepherd's staff xxiii. 4, Mic. vii. 14, an instrument of despotism.

Vers. 10—12. The poet closes with a practical application to the great of the earth of that which he has seen and heard. With וְעָהַה, אמוֹ νοῦν (1 John ii. 28), itaque, appropriate conclusions are drawn from some general moral matter of fact (e. g. Prov. v. 7) or some fact connected with the history of redemption (e. g. Isa. xxviii. 22). The exhortation is not addressed to those whom he has seen in a state of rebellion, but to kings in general with reference to what he has prophetically seen and heard. שֹפשׁי אֵרֶץ are not those who judge the earth, but the judges, i. e. rulers (Amos ii. 3, cf. i. 8), belonging to the earth, throughout its length or breadth. The Hiph. השכיל signifies to shew intelligence or discernment; the Niph. מוֹסר as a so-called Niph. tolerativum, to let one's self be chastened or instructed, like נועץ Prov. xiii. 10, to allow one's self to be advised, נדרש Ezek. xiv. 3, to allow one's self to be sought, נמצא to allow one's self to be found, 1 Chron. xxviii. 9, and frequently. This general call to reflection is followed, in ver. 11, by a special exhortation in reference to Jahve, and in ver. 12, in reference to the Son. אברו answer to each other: the latter is not according to Hos. x. 5 in the sense of ארילו xcvi. 9, but, — since "to shake with trembling" (Hitz.) is a tautology, and as an imperative גילו everywhere else signifies: rejoice, — according to c. 2, in the sense of rapturous manifestation of joy at the happiness and honour of being permitted to be servants of such a God. The LXX. correctly renders it: ἀγαλλιᾶσθε αὐτῷ ἐν τρόμφ. Their rejoicing, in order that it may not run to the excess of security and haughtiness, is to be blended with trembling (2 as Zeph. iii. 17), viz. with the trembling of reverence and self-control, for God is a consuming fire, Hebr. xii. 28.

The second exhortation, which now follows, having reference to their relationship to the Anointed One, has been missed by all the ancient versions except the Syriac, as though its clearness had blinded the translators, since they render 72, either 72 purity, chastity, discipline (LXX., Targ., Ital., Vulg.), or 72 pure, unmixed (Aq., Symm., Jer.: vol. 1.

adorate pure). Thus also Hupfeld renders it "yield sincerely", whereas it is rendered by Ewald "receive wholesome warning", and by Hitzig "submit to duty" (בון like the Arabic birr = 72); Olshausen even thinks, there may be some mistake in a, and Diestel decides for instead of a. But the context and the usage of the language require osculamini filium. The Piel נשק means to kiss, and never anything else: and while in Hebrew means purity and nothing more, and as an adverb, pure, cannot be supported, nothing is more natural here, after Jahve has acknowledged His Anointed One as His Son, than that בר (Prov. xxxi. 2, even בני = ברי - which has nothing strange about it when found in solemn discourse, and here helps one over the dissonance of בן פון should, in a like absolute manner to pin, denote the unique son, and in fact the Son of God.* The exhortation to submit to Jahve is followed, as Aben-Ezra has observed, by the exhortation to do homage to Jahve's Son. To kiss is equivalent to to do homage. Samuel kisses Saul (1 Sam. x. 1), saying that thereby he does homage to him.**

The subject to what follows is now, however, not the Son, but Jahve. It is certainly at least quite as natural to the New Testament consciousness to refer "lest He be angry" to the Son (vid. Apoc. vi. 16 sq.), and since the warning against putting trust (הַּמַחַ) in princes, cxviii. 9, cxlvi. 3, cannot be applied to the Christ of God, the reference of בּ to Him (Hengst.) cannot be regarded as impossible. But since הַּמָּה is the usual word for taking confiding refuge in Jahve, and

** On this vid. Scacchi Myrothecium, t. iii. (1637) c. 35.

^{*} Apart from the fact of א חול חול חול חול חול הא חול הא הא וויים וויים

the future day of wrath is always referred to in the Old Testament (e. g. cx. 5) as the day of the wrath of God, we refer the ne irascatur to Him whose son the Anointed One is; therefore it is to be rendered: lest Jahve be angry and ye perish קרק. This קרק is the accus. of more exact definition. If the way of any one perish, i. 6, he himself is lost with regard to the way, since this leads him into the abyss. It is questionable whether bymameans "for a little" in the sense of brevi or facile. The usus loquendi and position of the words favour the latter (Hupf.). Everywhere else by means by itself (without such additions as in Ezr. ix. 8, Isa. xxvi. 20, Ezek. xvi. 47) "for a little, nearly, easily." At least this meaning is secured to it when it occurs after hypothetical antecedent clauses as in lxxxi. 15, 2 Sam. xix. 37, Job xxxii. 22. Therefore it is to be rendered: for His wrath might kindle easily, or might kindle suddenly. The poet warns the rulers in their own highest interest not to challenge the wrathful zeal of Jahve for His Christ, which according to ver. 5 is inevitable. Well is it with all those who have nothing to fear from this outburst of wrath, because they hide themselves in Jahve as their refuge. The construct state הוְמֵּר connects בְ, without a genitive relation, with itself as forming together one notion, Ges. § 116, 1. הסה the usual word for fleeing confidingly to Jahve, means according to its radical notion not so much refugere, confugere, as se abdere, condere, and is therefore never combined with , but always with \$\tau\$. *

^{*} On old names of towns, which this ancient ADD shews, vid. Wetzstein's remark on Job xxiv. 8 [ii. p. 22 n. 2]. The Arabic still has in the reference of the primary meaning to water which, sucked in and hidden, flows under the sand and only comes to sight on digging. The rocky bottom on which it collects beneath the surface of the sand and by which it is prevented from oozing away or drying up is called hisâ a hiding-place or place of protection, and a fountain dug there is called

PSALM III.

MORNING HYMN OF ONE IN DISTRESS, BUT CONFIDENT IN GOD.

- 2 JAHVE, how many are my oppressors! Many rise up against me,
- 3 Many say of my soul:
 "There is no help for him in God". (Sela)
- 4 But Thou, O Jahve, art a shield for me, My glory and the lifter up of my head.
- 5 I cried unto Jahve with my voice And He answered me from His holy hill. (Sela)
- 6 I laid me down, and slept; I awaked, for Jahve sustaineth me.
- 7 I will not be afraid of ten thousands of the people Who have set themselves against me round about.
- 8 Arise, O Jahve, help me, O my God! For Thou smitest all mine enemies on the cheek, Thou breakest the teeth of the ungodly.
- 9 To Jahve belongeth salvation Upon Thy people be Thy blessing! (Sela)

The two Psalms forming the prologue, which treat of cognate themes, the one ethical, from the standpoint of the TRIDI, and the other related to the history of redemption from the standpoint of the TRIDI, are now followed by a morning prayer; for morning and evening prayers are surely the first that one expects to find in a prayer- and hymnbook. The morning hymn, Ps. iii., which has the mention of the "holy hill" in common with Ps. ii., naturally precedes the evening hymn Ps. iv.; for that Ps. iii. is an evening hymn as some are of opinion, rests on grammatical misconception.

With Ps. iii., begin, as already stated, the hymns arranged for music. By מְּמְמֵר מְּרָבוֹר, a Psalm of David, the hymn which follows is marked as one designed for musical accompaniment. Since סממר occurs exclusively in the inscriptions of the Psalms, it is no doubt a technical expression coined by David. אָמָר (root בוֹ) is an onomatopoetic word, which in Kal signifies to cut off, and in fact to prune or lop

(the vine) (cf. Arabic زبر to write, from the buzzing noise of the style or reed on the writing material). The signification of singing and playing proper to the Piel are not connected with the signification "to nip". For neithertherhythmical division (Schultens) nor the articulated speaking (Hitz.) furnish a probable explanation, since the cæsura and syllable are not natural but artificial notions, nor also the nipping of the strings (Böttch., Ges.), for which the language has coined the word נגן (of like root with נגן). Moreover, the earliest passages in which ומרה and מכנו (Gen. xliii. 11, Exod. xv. 2, Judges v. 3), speak rather of song than music and both words frequently denote song in distinction from music, e. g. xeviii. 5, lxxxi. 3, cf. Cant. ii. 12. Also, if מכן originally means, like ψάλλειν, carpere (pulsare) fides, such names of instruments as Arab. zemr the hautboy and zummâra the pipe would not be formed. But ומר means, as Hupfeld has shewn, as indirect an onomatope as canere, "to make music" in the widest sense; the more accurate usage of the language, however, distinguishes שיר and שיר as to play and to sing. With ב of the instrument ממר denotes song with musical accompaniment (like the Æthiopic ומר instru-י mento canere) and וְמֵרָה (Aram. וְמֵרָה) is sometimes, as in Amos v. 23, absolutely: music. Accordingly מומור signifies technically the music and שיר the words. And therefore we translate the former by "Psalm", for δ ψαλμός ἐστιν — says Gregory of Nyssa - ή διά τοῦ δργάνου τοῦ μουσικοῦ μελωδία, ώδη δὲ ἡ διὰ στόματος γενομένου τοῦ μέλους μετὰ ῥημάτων ἐχφώνησις.

That Ps. iii. is a hymn arranged for music is also manifest from the סֵלְה which occurs here 3 times. It is found in the Psalter, as Bruno has correctly calculated, 71 times (17 times in the 1st book, 30 in the 2nd, 20 in the 3rd, 4 in the 4th) and, with the exception of the anonymous Ps. lxvi. lxvii., always in those that are inscribed by the name of David and of the psalmists famed from the time of David. That it is a marginal note referring to the Davidic Temple-music is clearly seen from the fact, that all the Psalms with אונה בו שונה למנבי which relates to the musical execution, with the exception of eight (xxxii. xlviii. l. lxxxii. lxxxiii. lxxxvii. lxxxxii. lxxxxii. lxxxxii. lxxxxii.

are at least manifestly designed for music. The Tephilla of Habbakuk, ch. iii., the only portion of Scripture in which occurs out of the Psalter, as an exception has the dutin at the end. Including the three סלה of this tephilla, the word does not occur less than 74 times in the Old Testament.

Now as to the meaning of this musical nota bene. 1st. every explanation as an abbreviation, - the best of which is = מב למעלה השר (turn thyself towards above i. e. towards the front, O Singer! therefore: da capo), — is to be rejected, because such abbreviations fail of any further support in the Old Testament. Also 2ndly, the derivation from סלה שלה silere, according to which it denotes a pause, or orders the singers to be silent while the music strikes up, is inadmissible, because in this sense is neither Hebrew nor Aramaic and moreover in Hebrew itself the interchange of v with סביון, שריון) is extremely rare. There is but one verbal stem with which סֵלָה can be combined, viz. סַלָה or סַלָה (מַלֵּא). The primary notion of this verbal stem is that of lifting up, from which, with reference to the derivatives a ladder and מְכֵלָה in the signification an ascent, or steps, 2 Chron. ix. 11, comes the general meaning for סלה, of a musical rise. When the tradition of the Mishna explains the word as a synonym of run and the Targum, the Quinta, and the Sexta (and although variously Aquila and sometimes the Syriac version) render it in accordance therewith "for ever (always)", - in favour of which Jerome also at last decides, Ep. ad Marcellam "quid sit Sela"), — the original musical signification is converted into a corresponding logical or lexical one. But it is apparent from the διάψαλμα of the LXX. (adopted by Symm., Theod., and the Syr.), that the musical meaning amounts to a strengthening of some kind or other; for διάψαλμα signifies, according to its formation (- μα = - μενον), not the pause as Gregory of Nyssa defines it: ή μεταξύ τῆς ψαλμφδίας γενομένη κατά τὸ άθρόον ἐπηρέμησις πρός ύποδοχήν τοῦ θεόθεν ἐπικρινομένου νοήματος, but either the interlude, especially of the stringed instruments, (like διαύλιον (διαύλειον), according to Hesychius the interlude of the flutes between the choruses), or an intensified playing (as διαψάλλειν τριγώνοις is found in a fragment of the comedian

Eupolis in Atheneus of the strong play of triangular harps).* According to the pointing of the word as we now have it, it ought apparently to be regarded as a noun or with the ah of direction (synonymous with 713, up! Job xxii. 29); for the omission of the Dagesh beside the ah of direction is not without example (cf. 1 Kings ii. 40 אות which is the proper reading, instead of מְּהָה, and referred to by Ewald) and the ..., with Dag. forte implicitum, is usual before liquids instead of _, as פּרָנה Gen. xxviii. 2, הַרָה Gen. xiv. 10 instead of paddannah, harrah, as also בַרְמֵלָה Sam. xxv. 5 instead of בַּרְמֵלָה. But the present pointing of this word, which is uniformly included in the accentuation of the Masoretic verse, is scarcely the genuine pointing: it looks like an imitation of נצה. The word may originally have been pronounced מַלָּה (elevatio after the form הבון מלה (דלה, בחה). The combination הגיון סלה ix. 17, in which refers to the playing of the stringed instruments (xcii. 4) leads one to infer that and is a note which refers not to the singing but to the instrumental accompaniment. But to understand by this a heaping up of weighty expressive accords and powerful harmonies in general, would be to confound ancient with modern music. What is meant is the joining in of the orchestra, or a reinforcement of the instruments, or even a transition from piano to forte.

Three times in this Psalm we meet with this Hebrew forte. In sixteen Psalms (vii. xx. xxi. xliv. xlvii. xlviii. l. liv. lx. lxi. lxxv. lxxxi. lxxxii. lxxxii. lxxxv. cxliii.) we find it only once; in fifteen Psalms (iv. ix. xxiv. xxxix. xlix. lii. lv. lvii. lix. lxii. lxvii. lxxvi. lxxxvii. lxxxviii.), twice; in but seven Psalms (iii. xxxii. xlvi. lxvii. lxviii. lxxviii. cxl. and also Hab. iii.), three times; and only in one (lxxxix.), four times. It never stands at the beginning of a Psalm, for the ancient music was not as yet so fully developed, that had should absolutely correspond to the ritornello. Moreover, it does not always stand at the close of a strophe so as to be the sign of a regular interlude, but it is always placed where the instruments are to join in simultaneously and take up

^{*} On the explanations of διάψαλμα in the Fathers and the old lexicographers. vid. Suicer's Thes. Eccl. and Augusti's Christl. Archäologie, Th. ii.

the melody — a thing which frequently happens in the midst of the strophe. In the Psalm before us it stands at the close of the 1st, 2nd, and 4th strophes. The reason of its omission after the third is evident.

Not a few of the Psalms bear the date of the time of the persecution under Saul, but only this and probably Ps. lxiii. have that of Absolom. The Psalter however contains other Psalms which reflect this second time of persecution. It is therefore all the more easy to accept as tradition the inscription: when he fled before Absolom, his son. And what is there in the contents of the Psalm against this statement? All the leading features of the Psalm accord with it. viz. the mockery of one who is rejected of God 2 Sam. xvi. 7 sq., the danger by night 2 Sam. xvii. 1, the multitudes of the people 2 Sam. xv. 13, xvii. 11, and the high position of honour held by the psalmist. Hitzig prefers to refer this and the following Psalm to the surprize by the Amalekites during David's settlement in Ziklag. But since at that time Zion and Jerusalem were not free some different interpretation of ver. 5 b becomes necessary. And the fact that the Psalm does not contain any reference to Absolom does not militate against the inscription. It is explained by the tone of 2 Sam. xix. 1 [xviii. 33 Engl.]. And if Psalms belonging to the time of Absolom's rebellion required any such reference to make them known, then we should have none at all-

Vers. 2—3. The first strophe contains the lament concerning the existing distress. From its combination with the exclamative בו בו בו is accented on the ultima (and also in civ. 24); the accentuation of the perf. of verbs y very frequently (even without the Wan consec.) follows the example of the strong verb, Ges. § 67 rem. 12. A declaration then takes the place of the summons and the בו implied in the predicate בו now becomes the subject of participial predicates, which more minutely describe the continuing condition of affairs. The of יוֹם לֹבְּילֵים signifies "in the direction of", followed by an address in xi. 1 (= "to"), or, as here and frequently (e. g. Gen. xxi. 7) followed by narration (= "of",

concerning). לנפשר instead of לי implies that the words of the adversaries pronounce a judgment upon his inmost life, or upon his personal relationship to God. ישועחה is an intensive form for ישועה, whether it be with a double feminine termination (Ges., Ew., Olsh.), or, with an original (accusative) ah of the direction: we regard this latter view, with Hupfeld, as more in accordance with the usage and analogy of the language (comp. xliv. 27 with lxxx. 3, and prop. νύκτα, then as common Greek ή νόκτα, νύχθα). God is the ground of help; to have no more help in Him is equivalent to being rooted out of favour with God. Open enemies as well as disconcerted friends look upon him as one henceforth cast away. David had plunged himself into the deepest abyss of wretchedness by his adultery with Bathsheba, at the beginning of the very year in which, by the renewal of the Syro-Ammonitish war, he had reached the pinnacle of worldly power. The rebellion of Absolom belonged to the series of dire calamities which began to come upon him from that time. Plausible reasons were not wanting for such words as these which give up his cause as lost.

Vers. 4—5. But cleansed by penitence he stands in a totally different relationship to God and God to him from that which men suppose. Every hour he has reason to fear some overwhelming attack but Jahve is the shield which covers

him behind and before (קבר constr. of בער prop. pone, post). His kingdom is taken from him, but Jahve is his glory. With covered head and dejected countenance he ascended the Mount of Olives (2 Sam. xv. 30), but Jahve is the "lifter up of his head", inasmuch as He comforts and helps him. The primary passage of this believing utterance "God is a shield" is Gen. xv. 1 (cf. Deut. xxxiii. 29). Very far from praying in vain, he is assured, that when he prays his prayer will be heard and answered. The rendering "I cried and He answered me" is erroneous here where אַקא does not stand in an historical connection. The future of sequence does not require it, as is evident from lv. 17 sq. (comp. on cxx. 1); it is only an expression of confidence in the answer on God's part, which will follow his prayer. In constructions

like קולי אָקרָא אָקרָא, Hitzig and Hupfeld regard קולי אָקרָא אָקרָא, Hitzig and Hupfeld regard סוף as the narrower subject-notion beside the more general one (as xliv. 3, lxix. 11, lxxxiii. 19): my voice — I cried; but the position of the words is not favourable to this in the passage before us and in xvii. 10, xxvii. 7, lvii. 5, lxvi. 17, cxlii. 2, Isa. xxvi. 9, though it may be in lxix. 11, cviii. 2. According to Ew. § 281, c, קולי is an accusative of more precise definition, as without doubt in Isa. x. 30 cf. Ps. lx. 7, xvii. 13 sq.; the cry is thereby described as a loud cry.* To this cry, as יישני as being a pure mood of sequence implies, succeeds the answer, or, which better corresponds to the original meaning of comp. ... to meet, stand opposite) reply; ** and it

it comes from the place whither it was directed: שַּרָהָע. He had removed the ark from Kirjath Jearim to Zion. He had not taken it with him when he left Jerusalem and fled before Absolom, 2 Sam. xv. 25. He was therefore separated by a hostile power from the resting-place of the divine presence. But his prayer urged its way on to the cherubim-throne; and to the answer of Him who is enthroned there, there is no separating barrier of space or created things.

Vers. 6—7. That this God will protect him, His protection during the past night is now a pledge to him in the early morning. It is a violation of the rules of grammar to translate אַמְּאַלְּעָה: I shall go to sleep, or: I am going to sleep. The 1 pers. fut. consec. which is indicated by the 1, is fond of taking an ah of direction, which gives subjective intensity to the idea of sequence: "and thus I then fell asleep", cf. vii. 5, cxix. 55, and frequently, Gen. xxxii. 6, and more especially

^{*} Böttcher, Collectanea pp. 166 sq., also adopts the view, that 'PP', 'P, 'P, 'P' are each appositum vicarium subjecti and therefore nomin. in such passages. But 1) the fact that no never stands beside them is explained by the consideration that it is not suited to an adverbial collateral definition. And 2) that elsewhere the same notions appear as direct subjects, just as 3) that elsewhere they alternate with the verbal subject-notion in the parallel member of the verse (cxxx. 5, Prov. viii. 4) — these last two admit of no inference. The controverted question of the syntax is, moreover, an old one and has been treated of at length by Kimchi in his Book of Roots s. r. nin.

^{**} vid. Redslob in his treatise: Die Integrität der Stelle Hos. vii. 4—10 in Frage gestellt S. 7.

so in the later style, Ezra ix. 3, Neh. xiii. 21, vid. Ges. § 49, 2, Böttcher, Neue Achrenlese, No. 412. It is a retrospective glance at the past night. Awaking in health and safety, he feels grateful to Him to whom he owes it: יהוה יִסמכני. It is the result of the fact that Jahve supports him, and that God's hand is his pillow.* Because this loving, almighty hand is beneath his head (Cant. ii. 6) he is inaccessible and therefore also devoid of fear. שות) carries its object in itself: to take up one's position, as in Isa. xxii. 7, synon. הנה xxviii. 3 and τίνι 1 Kings xx. 12, cf. ἐπιτιθέναι τινί. David does not put a merely possible case. All Israel, that is to say ten thousands, myriads, were gone over to Absolom. Here, at the close of the third strophe, מלה is wanting because the לא אירא (I will not fear) is not uttered in a tone of triumph, but is only a quiet, meek expression of believing confidence. If the instruments struck up boldly and suddenly here, then a cry for help, urged forth by the difficulties that still continually surrounded him, would not be able to follow.

Vers. 8—9. The bold קמָת is taken from the mouth of Moses, Num. x. 35. God is said to arise when He takes a decisive part in what takes place in this world. Instead of kûmah it is accented kumah as Milra, in order (since the reading יהוה is assumed) that the final āh may be sharply cut off from the guttural initial of the next word, and thus render a clear, exact pronunciation of the latter possible (Hitz., Ew. § 228, b).** Beside יהוה we have

^{*} Referred to the other David, ver. 6 has become an Easter-morning call, vid. Val. Herberger's Paradies-Blümlein aus dem Lustgarten der Psalmen (Neue Ausg. 1857) S. 25.

suff. of appropriating faith. The cry for help is then substantiated by and the retrospective perf. They are not such perff. of prophetically certain hope as in vi. 9, vii. 7, ix. 5 sq., for the logical connection requires an appeal to previous experience in the present passage: they express facts of experience, which are taken from many single events (hence לב) down to the present time. The verb הבה is construed with a double accusative, as e. g., Iliad xvi. 597 τον μεν άρα Γλαῦχος στήθος μέσον ούτασε δουρί. The idea of contempt (Job xvi. 10) is combined with that of rendering harmless in this "smiting upon the cheek". What is meant is a striking in of the jaw-bone and therewith a breaking of the teeth in pieces (שבר). David means, an ignominious end has always come upon the ungodly who rose up against him and against God's order in general, as their punishment. The enemies are conceived of as monsters given to biting, and the picture of their fate is fashioned according to this conception. Jahve has the power and the will to defend His Anointed against their host-

ility: יָשׁלָשׁרָה from יָשׁרָשׁר (from יָשׁרָשׁר (from יָשׁרָשׁר (from יָשׁר (from יָשׁר (from יָשׁר (from יַשׁר (from יַשׁר (from יַשׁר (from יַשׁר (from יַשׁר (from יַשׁר (from val))))) signifies breadth as applied to perfect freedom of motion, removal of all straitness and oppression, prosperity without exposure to danger and unbeclouded. In the of possession lies the idea of the exclusiveness of the possession and of perfect freedom of disposal. At Jahve's free disposal stands רְּיִשׁרְשׁר, salvation, in all its fulness (just so in Jon. ii. 10, Apoc. vii. 10). In connection therewith David first of all thinks of his own need of deliverance. But as a true king he cannot before God think of himself, without connecting himself with his people. Therefore he closes with the intercessory inference: עַרְבֶּהְרָּ בַּרְבֶּהָרְ Upon Thy people be Thy blessing! We may supply עַרְבֶּהָר Instead of cursing his faithless people he implores a blessing upon

for by its being separated from the following אֶלְבְּרֶלְיָה by Pazer; a real exception, however (Michlol 112 b), — and not as Norzi from misapprehension observes, a controverted one, — is אָבָה Milel before קָּעִיר 2 Sam. xv. 27, but it is by no means sufficient to oppose the purely orthophonic (not hythmical) ground of this ultima-accentuation. Even the semi-guttural א sometimes has a like influence over the tone: ribáh ribi xliii. 1, exix. 154.

those who have been piteously led astray and deceived. This "upon Thy people be Thy blessing!" has its counterpart in the "Father forgive them" of the other David, whom His people crucified. The one concluding word of the Psalm — observes Ewald — casts a bright light into the very depths of his noble soul.

PSALM IV.

EVENING HYMN OF ONE WHO IS UNMOVED BEFORE BACK-BITERS AND MEN OF LITTLE FAITH.

- 2 WHEN I call answer me, O God of my righteousness, Who hast made space for me in straitness; Be merciful unto me and hear my prayer!
- 3 Ye sons of men, how long shall my honour become shame, Since ye love appearance, ye seek after leasing?! (Sela)
- 4 Know then, that Jahve hath marked out the godly man for Himself;

Jahve heareth when I call to Him.

- 5 Be ye angry, yet sin ye not! —
 Commune with your own heart upon your bed and be
 still! (Sela.)
- 6 Offer the sacrifices of righteousness, And put your trust in Jahve!
- 7 Many say: "How can we experience good!?"
 O lift up the light of Thy countenance upon us, Jahve!
- 8 Thou hast put gladness into my heart,
 More than in the time when their corn and wine abound.
- 9 In peace will I lay me down and forthwith sleep, For Thou, O Jahve, in seclusion Makest me to dwell securely.

The Davidic morning hymn is now followed by a Davidic evening hymn. It is evident that they belong together from the mutual relation of iv. 7 with iii. 3, and iii. 6 with iv. 9. They are the only two Psalms in which the direct words of others are taken up into a prayer with the formula "many say", בכים אמרים. The history and chronological position of the one is explained from the inscription of the other. From

the quousque iv. 3, and the words of the feeble-faiths iv. 7, it follows that Ps. iv. is the later of the two.

It is at the head of this Psalm that we are first met by reside (or reside) with Gaja, Hab. iii. 19), which still calls for investigation. It is found fifty five times in the Psalter, not 54 as is usually reckoned: viz. 19 times in book i., 25 times in book ii., 8 times in book iii., 3 times in book v. Only two of the Psalms, at the head of which it is found, are anonymous: viz. lxvi., lxvii. All the others bear the names of David and of the psalmists celebrated from David's time, viz. 39 of David, 9 of the Korahites, 5 of Asaph. No fewer than 30 of these Psalms are Elohimic. The days the first word of the inscription; only in Ps. lxxxviii., which is easily liable to be overlooked in reckoning, is it otherwise, because there two different inscriptions are put together.

The meaning of the verb נצח is evident from the Chronicles and the Book of Ezra, which belongs to them. The predilection of the chronicler for the history of religious worship and antiquarian lore is also of use in reference to this word. He uses it in the history of the time of David, of Solomon, of Josiah, of Zerubbabel and Joshua, and always in connection with the accounts of the Temple-service and the building of single parts of the Temple. To discharge the official duties of the Temple-service is called נצה על-מלאכת 1 Chron. xxiii. 4 (comp. 28—32), and the expression is used in Ezra iii. 8 sq. of the oversight of the work and workmen for the building of the Temple. The same 3300 (3600) overseers, who are called הרדים בעם העשים בפלאכה in 1 Kings v. 30 are described by the chronicler (2 Chron. ii. 1) as מנצחים עליהם. In connection with the repair of the Temple under Josiah we read that Levites were appointed לנצה (ver. 13), לכל עשה מלאכה (ver. 13), ממאכה (לנצה (ver. 13), instead of which we find it said in ii. 17 להעביר, to keep the people at their work. The primary notion of נצה is that of shining, and in fact of the purest and most dazzling brightness; this then passes over to the notion of shining over or outshining, and in fact both of uninterrupted continuance and of excellence and superiority (vid. Ithpa. Dan. vi. 4, and cf. 1 Chron. xxiii. 4 with ix. 13; 1 Cor. xv. 54 with Isa. xxv. 8).

Thus, therefore, מנצה is one who shews eminent ability in any departement, and then it gains the general signification of master, director, chief overseer. At the head of the Psalms it is commonly understood of the director of the Temple-music, per dux cantus — Luther says in one place - quem nos dicimus den Kapellenmeister [the band-master], qui orditur et gubernat cantum, έξαρχος (Opp. lat. xvii. 134 ed. Erl.). But 1st, even the Psalms of Asaph have this ממנצה at the beginning, and he was himself a director of the Temple-music, and in fact the chief-director (מראש) 1 Chron. xvi. 5, or at any rate he was one of the three (Heman, Asaph, Ethan), to whom the 24 classes of the 4000 Levite singers under the Davidico-Salomonic sanctuary were subordinate; 2ndly, the passage of the chronicler (1 Chron. xv. 17-21) which is most prominent in reference to this question, does not accord with this explanation. According to this passage the three directors of the Temple-music managed the cymbals להשמיע, to sound aloud; eight other musicians of high rank the nablas and six others the citherns לנצה. This expression cannot mean "to direct", for the direction belonged to the three, and the cymbals were also better adapted to it than the citherns. It means "to take the lead in the playing": the cymbals directed and the citherns, better adapted to take the lead in the playing, were related to them, somewhat as the violins to the clarinets now-a-days. Hence מַנֵּצה is not the director of the Temple-music but in general the master of song, and למנצה addresses the Psalm to him whose duty it is to arrange it and to train the Levite choristers; it therefore defines the Psalm as belonging to the songs of the Temple worship that require musical accompaniment. The translation of the Targum (Luther) also corresponds to this general sense of the expression: לְשַבַּקָא "to be sung liturgically", and the LXX.: εἰς τὸ τέλος, if this signifies "to the execution" and does not on the contrary ascribe an eschatological meaning to the Psalm.*

The בנועות which is added is not governed by it. This can be seen at once from Hab. iii. 19: to the chief singer, with an accompaniment of my stringed instruments (vid. my Commentary), which Hitzig renders: to the chief singer of my musical pieces; but a not a phrase that can be supported, and נגינה does not mean a piece of music. The Piel, נגן, complete with כור, signifies to touch the strings (cogn. y1), to play a stringed instrument. Whence comes נגינות (lxxvii. 7, Isa. xxxviii. 20) which is almost always used as a pluralet.: the play of the stringed instruments, and the superscribed בנגינות Ps. iv. vi. liv. lv. lxvii. lxxvi.: with an accompaniment of the stringed instruments; and 2 is used as in xlix. 5, Isa. xxx. 29, 32. The hymn is to be sung in company with, probably with the sole accompaniment of, the stringed instruments. The fact of the inscribed words preceding מומור לדור probably arises from the fact of their being written originally at the top over the chief title which gave the generic name of the hymn and the author.

Psalms with Πυλλ and Πιτική refer to the last days. Gregory of Nyssa combines the different translations by rendering: εἰς τέλος, ὅπερ ἐστιν ἡ νίαη. Ewald's view, that τέλος in this formula means consecration, celebration, worship, is improbable; in this signification it is not a Septuagint word.

to such exclamatory utterances as Job xxi. 16 (which see). He bases his prayer on two things, viz. on his fellowship with God, the righteous God, and on His justifying grace which he has already experienced. He has been many times in a strait already, and God has made a broad place for him. The idea of the expansion of the breathing (of the stream of air) and of space is attached to the not of and, root not (Deutsch. Morgent. Zeitschr. xii. 657). What is meant is the expansion of the straitened heart, xxv. 17. Isa. lx. 5, and the widening of a straitened position, xviii. 20, cxviii. 5. On the Dag. in vivid. on lxxxiv. 4.

Vers. 3—4. Righteous in his relation to God he turns rebukingly towards those who contemn him whose honour is God's honour, viz. to the partisans of Absolom. In contrast with בני איש, men who are lost in the multitude, בני איש denotes such as stand prominently forward out of the multitude; passages like xlix. 3, lxii. 10, Prov. viii. 4, Isa. ii. 9, v. 15, shew this distinction. In this and the preceding Psalm David makes as little mention of his degenerate son as he does of the deluded king in the Psalms belonging to the period of his persecution by Saul. The address is directed to the aristocratic party, whose tool Absolom has become. To these he says: till when (קרמה beside the non-guttural which follows with Segol, without any manifest reason, as in x. 13, Isa. i. 5, Jer. xvi. 10), i. e. how long shall my honour become a mockery, namely to you and by you, just as we can also say in Latin quousque tandem dignitas mea ludibrio? The two following members are circumstantial clauses subordinate to the principal clause with ער־מה (similar to Isa. i. 5 a; Ew. § 341, b). The energetic fut. with Nun parag. does not usually stand at the head of independent clauses; it is therefore to be rendered: since ye love ריק, that which is empty - the proper name for their high rank is hollow appearance - how long will ye pursue after 212, falsehood? - they seek to find out every possible lying pretext, in order to trail the honour of the legitimate king in the The assertion that the personal honour of David. not his kingly dignity, is meant by כבודי, separates what is inseparable. They are eager to injure his official at the

same time as his personal reputation. Therefore David appeals in opposition to them (ver. 4) not only to the divine choice, but also to his personal relationship to God, on which that choice is based. The יורעו is, as in 2 Kings iv. 41, the i of sequence: so know then. The Hiph. הָפַלָה (from פלה בפלה, cogn. לַלֵּלְם, prop. to divide) to make a separation, make a distinction Exod. ix. 4, xi. 7, then to distinguish in an extraordinary and remarkable way Exod. viii. 18, and to shew Ps. xvii. 7, cf. xxxi. 22, so that consequently what is meant is not the mere selection (בחר), but the remarkable selection to a remarkable position of honour (LXX., Vulg. mirificavit, Windberg translation of the Psalms gewunderlichet). 15 belongs to the verb, as in cxxxv. 4, and the principal accent lies on הסיד: he whom Jahve Himself, not men, has thus remarkably distinguished is a חָסִיר, a pious man, i. e. either, like the Syriac רְהִימֵא = הַסִירָא: God's favourite, or, according to the biblical usage of the language (cf. xii 2 with Isa. lvii. 1), in an active signification like פַּרִיץ, פָּלִיש and the like: a lover of God, from קסָר (root באון stringere, whence hassa to curry, mahassa a curry-comb) prop. to feel one's self drawn, i. e. strongly affected (comp. hiss a mental impression), in Hebrew, of a strong ardent affection. As a חסיד he does not call upon God in vain, but finds a ready hearing. Their undertaking consequently runs counter to the miraculously evidenced will of God and must fail by reason of the loving relationship in which the dethroned and debased one stands to God.

Vers. 5—6. The address is continued: they are to repent and cleave to Jahve instead of allowing themselves to be carried away by arrogance and discontent. The LXX. has rendered it correctly: ὀργίζεσθε καὶ μἡ ἀμαρτάνετε (cf. Ephes. iv. 26): if ye will be angry beware of sinning, viz. backbiting and rebellion (cf. the similar paratactic combinations xxviii. 1, Josh. vi. 18, Isa. xii. 1). In connection with the rendering contremiscite we feel to miss any expression of that before which they are to tremble (viz. the sure punishment which God decrees). He warns his adversaries against blind passion, and counsels them to quiet converse with their own hearts, and solitary meditation, in order that

they may not imperil their own salvation. To commune with one's own heart, without the addition of the object, is equivalent to to think alone by one's self, and the bed or restingplace, without requiring to be understood literally, points to a condition of mind that is favourable to quiet contemplation. The heart is the seat of the conscience, and the Spirit of God (as Hamann, Werke i. 98, observes on this subject) disguises itself as our own voice that we may see His exhortation, His counsel, and His wisdom well up out of our own stony heart. The second *imper*. continues the first: and cease, prop. be still (במם from the sound of the closed mouth checking the discourse), i. e. come to your right mind by self-examination, cease your tumult — a warning coming with the semblance of command by reason of the consciousness of innocence on his part; and this impression has to be rendered here by the striking in of the music. The dehortation passes over into exhortation in ver. 6. Of course the sacrifices were continued in the sanctuary while David, with his faithful followers, was a fugitive from Jerusalem. Referring to this, David cries out to the Absolomites: offer וֹבְחָיצֵרק. Here at least these are not offerings consisting of actions which are in accordance with the will of God, instead of slaughtered animals, but sacrifices offered with a right mind, conformed to the will of God, instead of the hypocritical mind with which they consecrate their evil doings and think to flatter God. In li. 21, Deut. xxxiii. 19 also, "the sacrifices of righteousness" are real sacrifices, not merely symbols of moral acts. Not less full of meaning is the exhortation ובשהו אל-הי. The verb בשה is construed with as in xxxi. 7, lvi. 4, lxxxvi. 2, combining with the notion of trusting that of drawing near to, hanging on, attaching one's self to any one. The Arabic word, expandere, has preserved the primary notion of the word, a notion which, as in the synon. يسط, when referred to the effect which is produced on the heart, countenance and whole nature of the man by a joyous cheerful state of mind, passes over to the notion of this state of mind itself, so that במה (like the Arab. inbasata to be cheerful, fearless, bold, lit. expanded (cf. בהב Isa. lx. 5) = unstraitened) consequently

signifies to be courageous, confident. They are to renounce the self-trust which blinds them in their opposition to the king who is deprived of all human assistance. If they will trustingly submit themselves to God, then at the same time the murmuring and rancorous discontent, from which the rebellion has sprung, will be stilled. Thus far the address to the rebellious magnates goes.

Vers. 7-8. Looking into his own small camp David is conscious of a disheartened feeling which is gaining power over him. The words: who will make us see, i. e. (as in xxxiv. 13) experience any good? can be taken as expressive of a wish according to 2 Sam. xxiii. 15, Isa. xlii. 23; but the situation gives it the character of a despondent question arising from a disheartened view of the future. The gloom has now lasted so long with David's companions in tribulation that their faith is turned to fear, their hope to despair. David therefore prays as he looks upon them: Oh lift upon us (נסה־עלינוי)* the light of Thy countenance. The form of the petition reminds one of the priestly benediction in Num. vi. There it is: יאר ה׳ פֿנין in the second portion, in the third ישא הי פנין, here these two wishes are blended into one prayer; and moreover in it there is an allusion to D; a banner, for the imper. of sw, the regular form of which is sw, will also admit of the form נשא (x. 12), but the mode of writing נסה (without example elsewhere, for Job iv. 2 signifies "to be attempted") is only explained by the mingling of the verbs and יביס, (בס , *extollere* (lx. 6); ה" נפי (cf. lx. 6) is, moreover, a primeval word of the Tôra (Ex. xvii. 15). If we may suppose that this mingling is not merely a mingling of forms in writing, but also a mingling of the ideas in those forms, then we have three thoughts in this prayer which are brought before the eye and ear in the briefest possible expression:

^{*} The Methey which stands in the second syllable before the tone stands by the Shebâ, in the metrical books, if this syllable is the first in a word marked with a greater distinctive without any conjunctive preceding it, and beginning with Shebâ; it is, therefore, not נְּמָהְּיִעְלִינוּ but נֵמָהִישְׁלִינוּ, cr. li. 2 בְּבוֹאֹר בּ, lxix. 28 בְּבָּהִישְׁלִינוּ, lxix. 3 בְּבָּהִישׁ, cxix. 175 הוב. The reason and object are the same as stated in note p. 84 supra.

may Jahve cause His face to shine upon them; may He lift upon them the light of His countenance so that they may have it above them like the sun in the sky, and may that light be a banner promising them the victory, around which they shall rally.

David, however, despite the hopelessness of the present, is even now at peace in His God. The joy which Jahve has put into his heart in the midst of outward trial and adversity is מעת דגנם ותירושם רבו . The expression is as concise as possible: (1) gaudium præ equivalent to gaudium magnum præ = majus quam; then (2) מעת after the analogy of the comparatio . decurtata (e. g. xviii. 34 my feet are like hinds, i. e. like the feet of hinds) is equivalent to משמחת (3) משמחת is omitted after ny according to Ges. § 123, 3, for ny is the construct state, and what follows is the second member of the genitival relation, dependent upon it (cf. xc. 15, Isa. xxix. 1); the plurality of things: corn and new wine, inasmuch as it is the stores of both that are specially meant, is exceptionally joined with the plur. instead of the sing., and the chief word $r\bar{a}bbu$ stands at the end by way of emphasis. The suff. does not refer to the people of the land in general (as in lxv. 10), but, in accordance with the contrast, to the Absolomites, to those of the nation who have fallen away from David. When David came to Mahanaim, while the rebels were encamped in Gilead, the country round about him was hostile, so that he had to receive provisions by stealth, 2 Sam. xvii. 26-29. Perhaps it was at the time of the feast of tabernacles. The harvest and the vintage were over. A rich harvest of corn and new wine was garnered. The followers of Absolom had, in these rich stores which were at their disposal, a powerful reserve upon which to fall back. David and his host were like a band of beggars or marauders. But the king brought down from the sceptre to the beggar's staff is nevertheless happier than they, the rebels against him. What he possesses in his heart is a richer treasure than all that they have in their barns and cellars.

Ver. 9. Thus then he lies down to sleep, cheerfully and peacefully. The hymn closes as it began with a three line verse. المراه (lit. in its unions = collectively, Olshausen, § 135, c,

like בלף altogether, בעהן at the right time) is by no means unemphatic; nor is it so in xix. 10 where it means "all together, without exception". With synonymous verbs it denotes the combination of that which they imply, as Isa. xlii. • 14. It is similar in cxli. 10 where it expresses the coincidence of the fall of his enemies and the escape of the persecuted one. So here: he wishes to go to sleep and also at once he falls asleep (אַלשׁן in a likewise cohortative sense = ואישנה). His God makes him to dwell in seclusion free of care. לברד is a first definition of condition, and בברד a second. The former is not, after Deut. xxxii. 12, equivalent to an addition which would be without any implied, an addition which would be without any antithesis and consequently meaningless. One must therefore, as is indeed required by the situation, understand לבדר according to Num. xxiii. 9, Mic. vii, 14, Deut. xxxiii. 28, Jer. xlix. 31. He needs no guards for he is guarded round about by Jahve and kept in safety. The seclusion, in which he is, is security, במה, because Jahve is near him. Under what a many phases and how sweetly the nature of faith is expressed in this and the foregoing Psalm: his righteousness, exaltation, joy, peace, contentment in God! And how delicately conceived is the rhythm! In the last line the evening hymn itself sinks to rest. The iambics with which it closes are like the last strains of a lullaby which die away softly and as though falling asleep themselves. Dante is right when he says in his Convito, that the sweetness of the music and harmony of the Hebrew Psalter is lost in the Greek and Latin translations.

PSALM V.

MORNING PRAYER BEFORE GOING TO THE HOUSE OF GOD.

- 2 GIVE ear to my words, O Jahve, Consider my meditation!
- 3 Hearken unto my loud cry, my King and my God, For unto Thee do I pray.
- 4 Jahve, in the morning shalt Thou hear my voice In the morning will I prepare an offering for Thee and look forth.

- 5 For Thou art not a God that hath pleasure in wickedness, An evil man cannot dwell with Thee.
- 6 Boasters cannot stand in Thy sight, Thou hatest all workers of iniquity;
- 7 Thou destroyest them that speak lies, The man of blood-guiltiness and malice Jahve abhorreth.
- 8 Yet I, through Thy rich grace, may enter Thy house, I may worship towards Thy holy Temple in Thy fear.
- 9 Jahve, lead me by Thy righteousness, because of them that lie in wait for me,

Make Thy way even before my face —

10 For in his mouth is nothing certain,

their inward part is an abyss,

An open sepulchre is their throat,

with a smooth tongue.

- 11 Punish them, Elohim, let them fall from their counsels, In the multitude of their transgressions cast them away, who defy Thee;
- 12 That all they who trust in Thee may rejoice,
 may ever shout for joy;
 And defend Thou them that they may exult in Thee,
 who love Thy name.
- 13 For Thou, even Thou, dost bless the righteous —
 Jahve! with favour dost Thou compass him as with
 a shield.

The evening prayer is now followed by a second morning prayer, which like the former draws to a close with בְּרַאָּהָּהְּוֹי (iv. 9, v. 13). The situation is different from that in Ps. iii. In that Psalm David is fleeing, here he is in Jerusalem and anticipates going up to the Temple service. If this Psalm also belongs to the time of the rebellion of Absolom, it must have been written when the fire which afterwards broke forth was already smouldering in secret.

The inscription אל־הוכחילות is certainly not a motto indicative of its contents (LXX., Vulg., Luther, Hengstenberg). As such it would stand after מומור. Whatever is connected with אמנצה, always has reference to the music. If נחילות

came from נְחֵלְים it might according to the biblical use of this verb signify "inheritances", or according to its use in the Talmud "swarms", and in fact swarms of bees (בֹב); and ought then to be the beginning of a popular melody to which the Psalm is adapted. Hai Gaon understands it to denote a melody resembling the hum of bees; Reggio a song that sings of bees. Or is חַלִּילִם equivalent to חַלִּילִם (excavatæ) and this a special name for the flutes (חַלִּילִם)? The use of the flute in the service of the sanctuary is attested by Isa. xxx. 29, cf. 1 Sam. x. 5, 1 Kings i. 40.* The præp. אַ was, then, more appropriate than אָנ; because, as Redslob has observed, the singer cannot play the flute at the same time, but can only sing to the playing of another.

The Psalm consists of four six line strophes. The lines of the strophes here and there approximate to the cæsuraschema. They consist of a rising and a sudden lowering. The German language, which uses so many more words, is not adapted to this cæsura-schema [and the same may be said of the English].

Vers. 2—4. The introit: Prayer to be heard. The thoughts are simple but the language is carefully chosen. אמרים is the plur. of אמר), one of the words peculiar to the poetic prophetical style. The denominative והאוץ (like audire = aus, obs, dare) belongs more to poetry than prose. (like מְהֵיב occurs only in two Psalms) (מְהֵיב Occurs only in two לרוד, viz. here and xxxix. 4. It is derived from הנה – הנג (vid. i. 2) and signifies that which is spoken meditatively, here praying in rapt devotion. Beginning thus the prayer gradually rises to a vox clamoris. שוַעי from שָׁוַעי, to be distinguished from ישונע (inf. Pi.) xxviii. 2, xxxi. 23, is one word with the Aram. צות, Æthiop. צוע (to call). On הקשוב used of intent listening, vid. x. 17. The invocation מלכי ואלדי when it is a king who utters it, is all the more significant. David, and in general the theocratic king, is only the representative of the Invisible One, whom he with all Israel adores

^{*} On the use of the flute in the second Temple, vid. Introduction p. 33.

as his King. Prayer to Him is his first work as he begins the day. In the morning, בַּבַּקָר (as in lv. 18 for בַּבַּקַר, lxxxviii. 14), shalt Thou hear my cry, is equivalent to my cry which goes forth with the early morn. Hupfeld considers the mention of the morning as only a "poetical expression" and when getting rid of the meaning prima luce, he also gets rid of the beautiful and obvious reference to the daily sacrifice. The verb אָרָק is the word used of laying the wood in order for the sacrifice, Lev. i. 7, and the pieces of the sacrifice, Lev. i. 8, 12, vi. 5, of putting the sacred lamps in order, Ex. xxvii. 21, Lev. xxiv. 3 sq., and of setting the shew-bread in order, Ex. xl. 23, Lev. xxiv. 8. The laying of the wood in order for the morning offering of a lamb (Lev. vi. 5 [12], cf. Num. xxviii. 4) was one of the first duties of the priest, as soon as the day began to dawn; the lamb was slain before sun-rise and when the sun appeared above the horizon laid piece by piece upon the altar. The morning prayer is compared to this morning sacrifice. This is in its way also a sacrifice. The object which David has in his mind in connection with אערה is חפלחי. As the priests, with the early morning, lay the wood and pieces of the sacrifices of the Tamîd upon the altar, so he brings his prayer before God as a spiritual sacrifice and looks out for an answer (שַפּה speculari as in Hab. ii. 1), perhaps as the priest looks out for fire from heaven to consume the sacrifice, or looks to the smoke to see that it rises up straight towards heaven.

= hōlalîm, or even Poal = hôlalîm (= בְּלָלִים),* are the foolish, and more especially foolish boasters; the primary notion of the verb is not that of being hollow, but that of sounding, then of loud boisterous, non-sensical behaviour. Of such it is said, that they are not able to maintain their position when they become manifest before the eye of God as in ci. 7 manifest before any one, from לנגר) to come forward, be visible far off, be distinctly visible). פֿעַלי אַון are those who work (οἱ ἐργαζόμενοι Mat. vii. 23) iniquity; μη breath (avenos) is sometimes trouble, in connection with which one pants, sometimes wickedness, in which there is not even a trace of any thing noble, true, or pure. Such men Jahve hates; for if He did not hate evil (xi. 5), His love would not be a holy love. In דֹבֶרֵי הָבָרִי כוָב is the usual form in combination when the plur. is used, instead of מדברים. It is the same in lviii. 4. The style of expression is also Davidic in other respects, viz. אִישׁ דָּמִים וּמִרְמָה as in lv. 24, and מבר as in ix. 6, cf. xxi. 11. בער (in Amos, ch. vi. 8 אבר appears to be a secondary formation from אָנוּב, like מַנְּב to desire, from אבה, and therefore to be of a cognate root with the Aram. שיב to despise, treat with indignity, and the Arabic 'aib a stain (cf. on Lam. ii. 1). The fact that, as Hengstenberg has observed, wickedness and the wicked are described in a sevenfold manner is perhaps merely accidental.

Vers. 8—10. Since the Psalm is a morning hymn, the futt. in ver. 8 state what he, on the contrary, may and will do (lxvi. 13). By the greatness and fulness of divine favour (lxix. 14) he has access (acodov, for NID means, according to its root, "to enter") to the sanctuary, and he will accordingly repair thither to-day. It is the tabernacle on Zion in which was the ark of the covenant that is meant here. That

^{*} On the rule, according to which here, as in "יְבִי" ver. 9 and the like, a simple Shebà mobile goes over into Chateph pathach with Gaja preceding it, vid. the observations on giving a faithful representation of the O. T. text according to the Masora in the Luther. Zeitschr. 1863. S. 411. The Babylonian Ben-Naphtali (about 940) prefers the simple Shebà in such cases, as also in others; Ben-Asher of the school of Tiberias, whom the Masora follows, and whom consequently our Masoretic text ought to follow, prefers the Chateph, vid. Psalter ii. 460—467.

daily liturgical service was celebrated there must be assumed. since the ark of the covenant is the sign and pledge of Jahve's presence; and it is, moreover, attested by 1 Chron. xvi. 37 sq. It is also to be supposed that sacrifice was offered daily before the tabernacle. For it is not to be inferred from 1 Chron. xvi, 39 sqq. that sacrifice was only offered regularly on the Bama (high place) in Gibeon before the Mosaic tabernacle.* It is true sacrifice was offered in Gibeon, where the old tabernacle and the old altars (or at least the altar of burnt-offering) were, and also that after the removal of the ark to Zion both David (1 Chron. xxi. 29 sq.) and Solomon (1 Kings iii. 4, 2 Chron. i. 2-6) worshipped and sacrificed in Gibeon. But it is self-evident sacrifices might have been offered where the ark was, and that even with greater right than in Gibeon; and since both David, upon its arrival (2 Sam. vi. 17 sq.), and Solomon after his accession (1 Kings iii. 15), offered sacrifices through the priests who were placed there, it is probable, - and by a comparison of the Davidic Psalms not to be doubted, -that there was a daily service, in conjunction with sacrifices, before the ark on Zion.

But, moreover, is it really the אֹהֶל on Zion which is meant here in ver. 8 by the house of God? It is still maintained by renowned critics that the tabernacle pitched by David over the sacred ark is never called הוכל סי היים סי היכל הי סי היכל הי But why could it not have all these names? We will not appeal to the fact that the house of God at Shilo (1 Sam. i. 9, iii. 3) is called היים and היכל הי since it may be objected that it was really more of a temple than a tabernacle,** although in the same book, ch. ii. 22 it is called אֹהֶל מוֹעֵר, and in connection with the other appellations the poetic colouring of the historical style of 1 Sam.

^{*} Thus, in particular, Stähelin, Zur Krilik der Psalmen in the Deutsch. Morgenl. Zeitschr. vi (1852) S. 108 and Zur Einleitung in die Psalmen. An academical programme, 1859. 4to.

^{**} vid. C. H. Graf, Commentatio de templo Silonensi ad illustrandum locum Jud. xviii. 30, 31. (1855, 4to.), in which he seeks to prove that the sanctuary in Shilo was a temple to Jahve that lasted until the dissolution of the kingdom of Israel.

i.-iii. is to be taken into consideration. Moreover, we put aside passages like Ex. xxiii. 19, xxxiv. 26, since it may be said that the future Temple was present to the mind of the Lawgiver. But in Josh. vi. 24, 2 Sam. xii. 20, the sanctuary is called בית ה׳ without being conceived of as a temple. Why then cannot the tabernacle, which David pitched for the ark of the covenant when removed to Zion (2 Sam. vi. are placed בית and אהל and בית ה' It is only when בית are placed in opposition to one another that the latter has the notion of a dwelling built of more solid materials; but in itself beit (bêt) in Semitic is the generic term for housing of every kind whether it be made of wool, felt, and hair-cloth, or of earth, stone, and wood; consequently it is just as much a tent as a house (in the stricter sense of the word), whether the latter be a hut built of wood and clay or a palace.* If a dwellinghouse is frequently called that any one dwells, then a tent that any one dwells in may the more naturally be called his now. And this we find is actually the case with the dwellings of the patriarchs, which, although they were not generally solid houses (Gen. xxxiii. 17), are called בית (Gen. xxvii. 15). Moreover, היכל (from כול = יכל to hold, capacem esse), although it signifies a palace does not necessarily signify one of stone, for the heavens are also called Jahve's היכל, e. g. xviii. 7, and not necessarily one of gigantic proportions, for even the Holy of holies of Solomon's Temple, and this par excellence, is called and once, I Kings vi. 3, היכל הבית. Of the spaciousness and general character of the Davidic tabernacle we know indeed nothing: it certainly had its splendour, and was not so much a substitute for the original tabernacle, which according

^{*} The Turkish Kamus says: "בונו is a house (Turk. em) in the signification of châne (Persic the same), whether it be made of hair, therefore a tent, or built of stone and tiles". And further on: "Beit originally signified a place specially designed for persons to retire to at night [from הובי he has passed the night, if it does not perhaps come from the אום, Arab. "בי, which stands next to it in this passage, vid. Job ii. 125]; but later on the meaning was extended and the special reference to the night time was lost." Even at the present day the Beduin does not call his tent ahl, but always bêt and in fact bêt sha'r (אור של של ביות של אור), the modern expression for the older bêt wabar (hair-house).

to the testimony of the chronicler remained in Gibeon, as a substitute for the Temple that was still to be built. But, however insignificant it may have been, Jahve had His throne there, and it was therefore the היבל of a great king, just as the wall-less place in the open field where God manifested Himself with His angels to the homeless Jacob was בית אלהים (Gen. xxviii. 17).

Into this tabernacle of God, i. e. into its front court, will David enter (will with acc. as in lxvi. 13) this morning, there will he prostrate himself in worship, προσκυνείν (השתחוה) reflexive of the Pilel words, Ges. § 75, rem. 18), towards (b) as in xxviii. 2, 1 Kings viii. 29, 35, cf. 5 xcix. 5, 9) Jahve's הֵיכֵל קרָש, i. e. the דְבֵיר, the Holy of holies xxviii. 2, and that "in Thy fear", i. e. in reverence before Thee (genit. objectivus). The going into the Temple which David purposes, leads his thoughts on to his way through life, and the special δέησις, which only begins here, moulds itself accordingly: he prays for God's gracious guidance as in xxvii. 11, lxxxvi. 11, and frequently. The direction of God, by which he wishes to be guided he calls צדקה. Such is the general expression for the determination of conduct by an ethical rule. The rule, acting in accordance with which, God is called par excellence צריק, is the order of salvation which opens up the way of mercy to sinners. When God forgives those who walk in this way their sins, and stands near to bless and protect them, He shews Himself not less צריק (just), than when He destroys those who despise Him, in the heat of His rejected love. By this righteousness, which accords with the counsel and order of mercy, David prays to be led למען שוררי, in order that the malicious desire of those who lie in wait for him may not be fulfilled, but put to shame, and that the honour of God may not be sullied by him. משורר is equivalent to משורר (Aquila ἐφοδεύων, Jerome insidiator) from the Pilel שורר to fix one's eyes sharply upon, especially of hostile observation. David further prays that God will make his way (i. e. the way in which a man must walk according to God's will) even and straight before him, the praying one, in order that he may walk therein without going astray and unimpeded. The adj. ישר signifies both

the straightness of a line and the evenness of a surface. The fut. of the Hiph. יישׁיר in Prov. iv. 25, and accordingly the Keri substitutes for the imper. הושר the corresponding form הושר, just as in Isa. xlv. 2 it removes the Hiphil form הוצא (cf. Gen. viii. 17 הוצא Keri הוצא), without any grammatical, but certainly not without some traditional ground.

ילמען שוררי in ver. 10 is closely connected with למען שוררי: on account of my way-layers, for the following are their characteristics. אין is separated by בפיהו (בנוה lxii. 5) from נכונה the word it governs; this was the more easily possible as the usage of the language almost entirely lost sight of the fact that אין is the construct of אין, Ges. § 152, 1. In his mouth is nothing that should stand firm, keep its ground, remain the same (cf. Job xlii. 7 sq.). The singular suffix of has a distributive meaning: in ore uniuscujusque eorum. Hence the sing. at once passes over into the plur.: קרבם הוות their inward part, i. e. that towards which it goes forth and in which it has its rise (vid. xlix. 12) is THIT corruption, from which comes from הַּנֶּה to yawn, gape, χαίνειν, hiare, a yawning abyss and a gaping vacuum, and then, inasmuch as, starting from the primary idea of an empty space, the verbal significations libere ferri (especially from below upwards) and more particularly animo ad or in aliquid ferri are developed, it obtains the pathological sense of strong desire, passion, just as it does also the intellectual sense of a loose way of thinking proceding from a self-willed tendency (vid. Fleischer on Job xxxvii. 6). In Hebrew the prevalent meaning of the word is corruption, lvii. 2, which is a metaphor for the abyss, barathrum, (so far, but only so far Schultens on Prov. x. 3 is right), and proceeding from this meaning it denotes both that which is physically corruptible (Job. vi. 30) and, as in the present passage and frequently, that which is corruptible from an ethical point of view. The meaning strong desire, in which הוה looks as though it only differed from אוה in one letter, occurs only in lii. 9, Prov. x. 3, Mic. vii. 3. The substance of their inward part is that which is corruptible in every way, and their throat, as the organ of

speech, as in cxv. 7, cxlix. 6, cf. lxix. 4, is (perhaps a figure connected with the primary meaning of המות) a grave, which yawns like the jaws, which open and snatch and swallow down whatever comes in their way. To this "they make smooth their tongue" is added as a circumstantial clause. Their throat is thus formed and adapted, while they make smooth their tongue (cf. Prov. ii. 16), in order to conceal their real design beneath flattering language. From this meaning, מוֹנְיִלִּילִי directly signifies to flatter in xxxvi. 3, Prov. xxix. 5. The last two lines of the strophe are formed according to the cæsura schema. This schema is also continued in the concluding strophe.

Vers. 11—13. The verb מַשֶׁם or מַשֶּׁשׁ unites in itself the three closely allied meanings of becoming guilty (e. g. Lev. v. 19), of a feeling of guilt (Lev. v. 4 sq.), and of expiation (Ps. xxxiv. 22 sq.); just as the verbal adj. משים also signifies both liable to punishment and expiating, and the substantive zww both the guilt to be expiated and the expiation. The Hiph. האשים signifies to cause any one to render the expiation due to his fault, to make him do penance. As an exception God is here, in the midst of the Jehovic Psalms, called אל הים, perhaps not altogether unintentionally as being God the Judge. The ממעצותיהם (with Gaja by the מ and a transition of the counter-tone Metheg into Galgal, as in Hos. xi. 6 into Meajla, vid. Psalter ii. 526) is certainly that of the cause in Hos. xi. 6, but here it is to be explained with Olsh. and Hitz. according to Sir. xiv. 2, Judith xi. 6 (cf. Hos. x. 6): may they fall from their own counsels, i. e. founder in the execution of them. Therefore in the sense of "down from, away", a sense which the parallel הדיהמן, thrust them away (cf. דרה from אבא דרה xxxvi. 13), presupposes. The z of zrz is to be understood according to John viii. 21, 24 "ye shall die ἐν ταῖς άμαρτίαις ὁμῶν". The multitude of their transgressions shall remain unforgiven and in this state God is to cast them into hades. The ground of this terrible prayer is set forth by כִּי מַרוּ בַּךְ. The tone of מַרוּ for a well-known reason (cf. e. g., xxxvii. 40, lxiv. 11, lxxii. 17) has retreated to the penult. מר, root מר, prop. to be or hold one's self stiff towards any one, compare

نمار, مار, to press and stiffen against one another in wrestling, تماری, ماری, to struggle against anything, whether with outward or mental and moral opposition. Their obstinacy is not obstinacy against a man, but against God Himself; their sin is, therefore, Satanic and on that account unpardonable. All the prayers of this character are based upon the assumption expressed in vii. 13, that those against whom they are directed do not wish for mercy. Accordingly their removal is prayed for. Their removal will make the ecclesia pressa free and therefore joyous. From this point of view the prayer in ver. 12 is inspired by the prospect of the result of their removal. The futt. do not express a wish, but a consequence. The division of the verse is, however, incorrect. The rise of the first half of the verse closes with [72] (the pausal form by Pazer), its fall is לעולם ירננו; then the rise begins anew in the second half, extending to בך which ought likewise to be pointed בך, and אהבי יוֹחָסֵךְ עָלֵימוּ is its fall. וְחָסֵךְ עָלֵימוּ (from הָסֵדְ Hiph. of בָּבָּענוֹ. 4) is awkward in this sequence of thoughts. Hupfeld and Hitzig render it: "they shall rejoice for ever whom Thou defendest"; but then it ought not only to be pointed יְרָנְנוּ, but the must also be removed, and yet there is nothing to characterise as being virtually a subject. On the other hand it does not harmonise with the other consecutive futures. It must therefore, like יפלי, be the optative: "And do Thou defend them, then shall those who love Thy name rejoice in Thee". And then upon this this joy of those who love the name of Jahve (i, e. God in His revelation of Himself in redemption) lxix. 37, cxix. 132, is based by כייאהה from a fact of universal experience which is the sum of all His historical self-attestations. עליהם is used instead of אַליהם as a graver form of expression, just like הדיהם for הדיהם as an indignant one. The form וְיַעִלְצוּן (Ges. § 63, 3) is chosen instead of the יעלצו found in xxv. 2, lxviii. 4, in order to assist the rhythm. The futt. are continuative. העטרנו, cinges eum, is not a contracted Hiph. according to 1 Sam. xvii. 25, but Kal as in 1 Sam. xxiii. 26; here it is used like the Piel in viii. 6 with a double accusative. The סלי, עָנַן (from סלי, עָנַן med. Wan, Æthiop. צון to hedge round, guard) is a shield of a largest

dimensions; larger than מָבוֹן 1 Kings x. 16 sq. (cf. 1 Sam. xvii. 7, where Goliath has his אַבָּה borne by a shield-bearer). ליבוּ "like a shield" is equivalent to: as with a shield (Ges. § 118, 3, rem.). The name of God, יהוה, is correctly drawn to the second member of the verse by the accentuation, in order to balance it with the first; and for this reason the first clause does not begin with הוה יהוה here as it does elsewhere (iv. 9, xii. 8). בוון delight, goodwill, is also a synonym for the divine blessing in Deut, xxxiii. 23.

PSALM VI.

A CRY FOR MERCY UNDER JUDGMENT.

- 2 JAHVE, not in Thy wrath rebuke me, And not in Thy hot displeasure chasten me!
- 3 Be gracious unto me, for I am fading away; Oh heal me, Jahve, for my bones are affrighted,
- 4 And my soul is affrighted exceedingly • And Thou, O Jahve, how long?!
- 5 Return, Jahve, rescue my soul, Save me for Thy mercy's sake.
- 6 For in death there is no remembrance of Thee, In Sheôl who can give Thee thanks?
- 7 I am exhausted with my groaning, Every night make I my bed to swim — With my tears I flood my couch.
- 8 Sunken is mine eye with grief,
 It is grown old because of all mine oppressors.
- 9 Depart from me all ye who deal wickedly! For Jahve hath heard my loud weeping,
- 10 Jahve hath heard my supplication: Jahve will accept my prayer.
- 11 All mine enemies shall be ashamed and affrighted exceedingly,

They shall turn away ashamed suddenly.

The morning prayer, Ps. v., is followed by a "Psalm of David", which, even if not composed in the morning, looks VOL. I.

back upon a sleepless, tearful night. It consists of three strophes. In the middle one, which is a third longer than the other two, the poet, by means of a calmer outpouring of his heart, struggles on from the cry of distress in the first strophe to the believing confidence of the last. The hostility of men seems to him as a punishment of divine wrath, and consequently (but this is not so clearly expressed as in Ps. xxxviii.. which is its counterpart) as the result of his sin: and this persecution, which to him has God's wrath behind it and sin as the sting of its bitterness, makes him sorrowful and sick even unto death. Because the Psalm contains no confession of sin, one might be inclined to think that the church has wrongly reckoned it as the first of the seven (probably selected with reference to the seven days of the week) Psalmi pænitentiales (vi. xxxii. xxxviii. li. cii. cxxx. exliii.). A. H. Francke in his Introductio in Psalterium says, it is rather Psalmus precatorius hominis gravissimi tentati a pænitente probe distinguendi. But this is a mistake. The man who is tempted is distinguished from a penitent man by this, that the feeling of wrath is with the one perfectly groundless and with the other well-grounded. Job was one who was tempted thus. Our psalmist, however, is a penitent, who accordingly seeks that the punitive chastisement of God, as the just God, may for him be changed into the loving chastisement of God, as the merciful One.

We recognise here the language of penitently believing prayer, which has been coined by David. Compare ver. 2 with xxxviii. 2; 3 with xli. 5; 5 with cix. 26; 6 with xxx. 10; 7 with lxix.4; 8 with xxxi. 10; 11 with xxxv. 4. 26. The language of Heman's Psalm is perceptibly different, comp. ver. 6 with lxxxvii. 11—13; 8 with lxxxviii. 10. And the corresponding strains in Jeremiah (comp. ver. 2, xxxviii. 2 with Jer. x. 24; 3 and 5 with Jer. xvii. 14; 7 with Jer. xlv. 3) are echoes, which to us prove that the Psalm belongs to an earlier age, not that it was composed by the prophet (Hitzig). It is at once probable, from the almost anthological relationship in which Jeremiah stands to the earlier literature, that in the present instance also he is the reproducer. And this idea is confirmed by the fact that in ch. x. 25,

after language resembling the Psalm before us, he continues in words taken from Ps. lxxix. 6 sq. When Hitzig maintains that David could no more have composed this disconcertedly despondent Psalm than Isaiah could the words in Isa. xxi. 3, 4, we refer, in answer to him, to Isa. xxii. 4 and to the many attestations that David did weep, 2 Sam. i. 12, iii. 32, xii. 21, xv. 30, xix. 1.

The accompanying musical direction runs: To the Precentor, with accompaniment of stringed instruments, upon the Octave. The LXX. translates όπερ τῆς ὀγδόης, and the Fathers associate with it the thought of the octave of eternal happiness, ή δγδόη ἐκείνη, as Gregory of Nyssa says, ητίς ἐστιν ὁ ἐφεξῆς αἰών. But there is no doubt whatever that על־השמינית has reference to music. It is also found by Ps. xii., and besides in 1 Chron. xv. 21. From this latter passage it is at least clear that it is not the name of an instrument. An instrument with eight strings could not have been called an octave instead of an octachord. In that passage they played upon nablas על-הישמינית, and with citherns על-הישמינית. If על-מות denotes maidens = maidens' voices i. e. soprano, then, as it seems, על-השמינית is a designation of the bass, and על-השמינית equivalent to all' ottava bassa. The fact that Ps. xlvi., which is accompanied by the direction על-עלמות, is a joyous song, whereas Ps. vi. is a plaintive one and Ps. xii. not less gloomy and sad, accords with this. These two were to be played in the lower octave, that one in the higher.

Vers. 2—4. There is a chastisement which proceeds from God's love to the man as being pardoned and which is designed to purify or to prove him, and a chastisement which proceeds from God's wrath against the man as striving obstinately against, or as fallen away from, favour, and which satisfies divine justice. Ps. xciv. 12, cxviii. 17, Prov. iii. 11 sq. speak of this loving chastisement. The man who should decline it, would act against his own salvation. Accordingly David, like Jeremiah (ch. x. 24), does not pray for the removal of the chastisement but of the chastisement in wrath, or what is the same thing, of the judgment proceed-

ing from wrath [Zorngericht]. בחמתה and בחמתה stand in the middle, between and the verbs, for the sake of emphasis. Hengstenberg indeed finds a different antithesis here. He says: "The contrast is not that of chastisement in love with chastisement in wrath, but that of loving rescue in contrast with chastisement, which always proceeds from the principle of wrath". If what is here meant is, that always when God chastens a man his wrath is the true and proper motive, it is an error, for the refutation of which one whole book of the Bible, viz. the Book of Job, has been written. For there the friends think that God is angry with Job; but we know from the prologue that, so far from being angry with him, he on the contrary glories in him. Here, in this Psalm, assuming David to be its author, and his adultery the occasion of it, it is certainly quite otherwise. The chastisement under which David is brought low, has God's wrath as its motive: it is punitive chastisement and remains such, so long as David remains fallen from favour. But if in sincere penitence he again struggles through to favour, then the punitive becomes a loving chastisement: God's relationship to him becomes an essentially different relationship. The evil, which is the result of his sin and as such indeed originates in the principle of wrath, becomes the means of discipline and purifying which love employs, and this it is that he here implores for himself. And thus Dante Alighieri* correctly and beautifully paraphrases the verse:

> Signor, non mi riprender con furore, E non voler correggermi con ira, Ma con dolcezza e con perfetto amore.

In אָבָּי David prays God to let him experience His loving-kindness and tender mercy in place of the punishment He has a right to inflict; for anguish of soul has already reduced him to the extreme even of bodily sickness: he is withered up and weary. אַבְיל has Pathach, and consequently seems to be the 3 pers. Pul. as in Joel i. 10, Nah. i. 4; but this

^{*} Provided he is the author of I sette Salmi Penitenziali trasportati alla volgar poesia, vid. Dante Alighieri's Lyric poems, translated and annotated by Kannegiesser and Witte (1842) i. 203 sq., ii. 208 sq.

cannot be according to the rules of grammar. It is an adjective, like ישאבן, דענן, with the passive pointing. The formation אמלל (from אמל with the primary meaning to stretch out lengthwise) is analogous to the IX. and XI. forms of the Arabic verb which serve especially to express colours and defects (Caspari § 59). The two words אמלל אני have the double accent Mercha-Mahpach together, and according to the exact mode of writing (vid. Baer in my Psalter ii. 492) the Mahpach, (the sign resembling Mahpach or rather Jethib), ought to stand between the two words, since it at the same time represents the Makkeph. The principal tone of the united pair, therefore, lies on $\bar{a}ni$; and accordingly the adj. is shortened to אמלל (cf. הפַכפַּה, הפַכפַּה, מרמם, and the like)—a contraction which proves that אמלל is not treated as part. Pul. (= מְאַמֵּלֵל), for its characteristic \hat{a} is unchangeable. The prayer for healing is based upon the plea that his bones (Job iv. 14, Isa. xxxviii. 13) are affrighted. We have no German word exactly corresponding to this נבהל which (from the radical notion "to let go", cogn. בלב) expresses a condition of outward overthrow and inward consternation, and is therefore the effect of fright which disconcerts one and of excitement that deprives one of self-control.* His soul is still more shaken than his body. The affliction is therefore not a merely bodily ailment in which only a timorous man loses heart. God's love is hidden from him. God's wrath seems as though it would wear him completely away. It is an affliction beyond all other afflictions. Hence . he enquires: And Thou, O Jahve, how long?! Instead of אתה it is written את, which the Kerî says is to be read אחה, while in three passages (Num. xi. 15, Deut. v. 24, Ezek. xxviii. 14) אא is admitted as masc.

Vers. 5—8. God has turned away from him, hence the prayer אָלי, viz. אָלי. The tone of אַלי is on the ult., because it is assumed to be read שׁוּבֶּה אַרְנִי. The ultima accentuation is intended to secure its distinct pronunciation to the final syllable of שׁוֹבֶה, which is liable to be drowned and

^{*} We have translated Dr. Delitzsch's word erschreckt literally—the vexed of the Authorized Version seems hardly equal to the meaning.

escape notice in connection with the coming together of the two aspirates (vid. on iii. 8). May God turn to him again, rescue (הלץ from הלץ, which is transitive in Hebr. and Aram., to be pure, prop. to be pure, prop. to be loose, free) his soul, in which his affliction has taken deep root, from this affliction, and extend to him salvation on the ground of His mercy towards sinners. He founds this cry for help upon his yearning to be able still longer to praise God, — a happy employ, the possibility of which would be cut off from him if he should die. זֶכֶר, as frequently הוביר, is used of remembering one with reverence and honour; הורה (from שאול, has the dat. honoris after it. שאול, ver. 6b, αρος (Apoc. xx. 13), alternates with num. Such is the name of the underground abode of the dead, the gate of which is the grave, the yawning abyss, into which everything mortal descends (from سال שול = שַׁאַל, to be loose, relaxed, to hang down, sink down: a sinking in, that which is sunken in*, a depth). The writers of the Psalms all (which is no small objection against Maccabean Psalms) know only of one single gathering-place of the dead in the depth of the earth, where they indeed live, but it is only a quasi life, because

they are secluded from the light of this world and, what is the most lamentable, from the light of God's presence. Hence the Christian can only join in the prayer of ver. 6 of this Psalm and similar passages (xxx. 10, lxxxviii. 11—13, cxv. 17, Isa. xxxviii. 18 sq.) so far as he transfers the notion of hades to that of gehenna.** In hell there is really no remembrance and no praising of God. David's fear of death as something in itself unhappy, is also, according to its

^{*} The form corresponds to the Arabic form is, which, though originally a verbal abstract, has carried over the passive meaning into the province of the concrete, e. g. kitâb = maktûb and ilâh, is = ma'lûh = ma'bûd (the feared, revered One).

^{**} An adumbration of this relationship of Christianity to the religion of the Old Testament is the relationship of Islam to the religion of the Arab wandering tribes, which is called the "religion of Abraham" (Din Ibrahim), and knows no life after death; while Islam has taken from the later Judaism and from Christianity the hope of a resurrection and heavenly blessedness.

ultimate ground, nothing but the fear of an unhappy death. In these "pains of hell" he is wearied with (בְּ as in lxix. 4) groaning, and bedews his couch every night with a river of tears. Just as the Hiph. בְּשְׁרָה signifies to cause to swim from הַשְּׁרָה to swim, so the Hiph. בְּמָה signifies to dissolve, cause to melt, from בְּמָה (cogn. בְּמָה) to melt. דְּמְעָה in Arabic a nom. unit. a tear, is in Hebrew a flood of tears.

In ver. 8 עיני does not signify my "appearance" (Num. xi. 7), but, as becomes clear from xxxi. 10, lxxxviii. 10, Job xvii. 7, "my eye"; the eye reflects the whole state of a man's health. The verb שישי appears to be a denominative from שיש: to be moth-eaten.* The signification senescere for the verb בְּבֶּלְ-צוֹנְרָ (cf. Num. x. 9) בְּבֶלִ-צוֹנְרָ the oppressing oppressor, from the root שו היצר היצר היצר אור בין the oppressing oppressor, from the root שיש to press, squeeze, and especially to bind together, constringere, coartare**), in which the writer indicates, partially at least, the cause of his grief (בַּעָשׁ, in Job xviii. 7 שְׁבָּלִי), are as it were the socket into which the following strophe is inserted.

Vers. 9—11. Even before his plaintive prayer is ended the divine light and comfort come quickly into his heart, as Frisch says in his "Neuklingende Harfe Davids". His enemies mock him as one forsaken of God, but even in the face of his enemies he becomes conscious that this is not his condition. Thrice in vers. 9, 10 his confidence that God will answer him flashes forth: He hears his loud sobbing, the voice of his weeping that rises towards heaven, He hears his supplication, and He graciously accepts his prayer. The two-fold ynw expresses the fact and right its consequence. That which he seems to have to suffer, shall in reality be the lot of his enemies, viz. the end of those who are rejected of God:

^{*} Reuchlin in his grammatical analysis of the seven Penitential Psalms, which he published in 1512 after his Ll. III de Rudimentis Hebraicis (1506), explains it thus: השליש Verminavit. Sic a vermibus dictum qui turbant res claras puras et nitidas, and in the Rudim. p. 412: Turbatus est a furore oculus meus, corrosus et obfuscatus, quasi vitro laternæ obductus.

^{**} In Arabic לְּיֹר is the word for a step-mother as the oppressor of the step-children; and אָרְלּ dirr, a concubine as the oppressor of her rival.

they shall be put to shame. The שוֹם, Syr. בתה, Chald. בוְתות, nnz, which we meet with here for the first time, is not connected with the Arab. but (since the Old Arabic as a rule has is as a mediating vowel between w and z, n) with which signifies "to turn up and scatter about things that lie together (either-beside or upon each other)" eruere et diruere, disturbare, - a root which also appears in the reduplicated form بنت to root up and disperse, whence sorrow and anxiety, according to which therefore בוש (בשש (בוש בוש בוש בוש as بَاتَ = بَاتَ prop. signifies disturbare, to be perplexed, lose one's self-control, and denotes shame according to a similar, but somewhat differently applied conception to confundi, סטינעבולם, סטינעטע points back to vers. 2, 3: the lot at which the malicious have rejoiced, shall come upon themselves. As is implied in ישבו יבשו, a higher power turns back the assailants filled with shame (ix. 4, xxxv. 4).

What an impressive finish we have here in these three Milels, jashūbu jebôshu rāga', in relation to the tripping measure of the preceding words addressed to his enemies! And, if not intentional, yet how remarkable is the coincidence, that shame follows the involuntary reverse of the foes, and that shame in its letters and sound is the reverse of the foes, and that music there is in the Psalter! If composers could but understand it!!

PSALM VII.

APPEAL TO THE JUDGE OF THE WHOLE EARTH AGAINST SLANDER AND REQUITING GOOD WITH EVIL.

- 2 JAHVE, my God, in Thee do I hide myself; Save me from all my persecutors, and deliver me!
- 3 Lest he tear my soul like a lion, Rending it in pieces while there is none to deliver.
- 4 Jahve, my God, if I have done this, If iniquity cling to my hands,
- 5 If I have rewarded evil to him that was at peace with me And plundered mine enemy without cause:

- 6 Then let the enemy persecute my soul and take [it], And tread down my life to the earth, And lay my dignity in the dust. (Sela.)
- 7 Arise, Jahve, in Thine anger, Lift up Thyself against the rage of mine oppressors, And awake for me, Thou hast indeed arranged justice!
- 8 And let the host of the nations stand round about Thee And over it do Thou return again on high!
- 9 Jahve shall judge the peoples —
 Jahve, judge me according to my righteousness and my
 innocence in me!
- 10 Oh let the wickedness of the wicked come to an end, establish the righteous,

Thou art He who trieth the hearts and reins, a just God.

- 11 My shield is borne by Elohim, The Saviour of the upright in heart.
- 12 Elohim is a righteous Judge
 And a God threatening day by day.
- 13 If a man will not repent, He whetteth His sword, He hath bent His bow and made it ready,
- 14 And against him He directeth the weapon of death, His arrows He maketh burning arrows.
- 15 Behold, he travaileth with evil: he conceiveth trouble and bringeth forth falsehood.
- 16 He hath digged a pit and hollowed it out, And falleth into the hollow that he is making.
- 17 His trouble cometh back upon his own head, And his violent dealing cometh down upon his own pate.
- 18 I will give thanks to Jahve according to His righteousness, And will sing praise to the name of Jahve, the Most High.

In the second part of Ps. vi. David meets his enemies with strong self-confidence in God. Ps. vii., which even Hitzig ascribes to David, continues this theme and exhibits to us, in a prominent example taken from the time of persecution under Saul, his purity of conscience and joyousness of faith. One need only read 1 Sam. xxiv.—xxvi. to see how this Psalm abounds in unmistakeable references to this por-

tion of David's life. The superscribed statement of the events that gave rise to its composition point to this. Such statements are found exclusively only by the Davidic Psalms.* The inscription runs: Shiggajon of David, which he sang to Jahve on account of the sayings of Cush a Benjamite. על־הַבַרָי is intentionally chosen instead of by which has other functions in these superscriptions. Although דְּבֶר and דְבֶר can mean a thing, business, affairs (Ex. xxii. 8, 1 Sam. x. 2, and freq.) and על־דְּבֶרֵי "in reference to" (Deut. iv. 21, Jer. vii. 22) or "on occasion of" (Jer. xiv. 1), still we must here keep to the most natural signification: "on account of the words (speeches)". Cûsh (LXX. falsely Xoooi = בושוי Luther, likewise under misapprehension, "the Moor") must have been one of the many servants of Saul, his kinsman, one of the talebearers like Doeg and the Ziphites, who shamefully slandered David before Saul, and roused him against David. The epithet ברימיני (as in 1 Sam. ix. 1, 21, cf. איש־ימיני 2 Sam. xx. 1) describes him as "a Benjamite" and does not assume any knowledge of him, as would be the case if it were הַבְּנֵימִינָי, or rather (in accordance with biblical usage) בוֹרהַיִּמִינִי. And this accords with the actual fact, for there is no mention of him elsewhere in Scripture history. The statement על-דברי וגוי is hardly from David's hand, but written by some one else, whether from tradition or from the דברי הימים of David, where this Psalm may have been interwoven with the history of its occasion. Whereas there is nothing against our regarding שניון לְדֵוֶר, or at least שניון לְדֵוֶר, as a note appended by David himself.

Since שְׁנְיוֹן (after the form הַיִּיוֹן a vision) belongs to the same class as superscribed appellations like מַשְׁכִּיל and the Tephilla of Habakkuk, ch. iii. 1 (vid. my Commentary), has the addition שׁנִין, עִל-שִׁנְינוּת must be the name of a kind of lyric composition, and in fact a kind described according to the rhythm of its language or melody. Now since שְׁנֵעוֹן means to go astray, wander, reel, and is cognate with שִׁנַעוֹן (whence comes שִׁנַעוֹן madness, a word formed in the same manner)

^{*} Viz. vii. lix. lvi. xxxiv. lii. lvii. cxlii. liv. (belonging to the time of the persecution under Saul), iii. lxiii (to the persecution under Absolom), li. (David's adultery), lx. (the Syro-Ammonitish war).

שניון may mean in the language of prosody a reeling poem, i. e. one composed in a most excited movement and with a rapid change of the strongest emotions, therefore a dithyrambic poem, and שונות dithyrambic rhythms, variously and violently mixed together. Thus Ewald and Rödiger understand it, and thus even Tarnov, Geier, and other old expositors who translate it cantio erratica. What we therefore look for is that this Psalm shall consist, as Ainsworth expresses it (1627), "of sundry variable and wandering verses", that it shall wander through the most diverse rhythms as in a state of intoxication — an expectation which is in fact realized. The musical accompaniment also had its part in the general effect produced. Moreover, the contents of the Psalm corresponds to this poetic musical style. It is the most solemn pathos of exalted self-consciousness which is expressed in it. And in common with Hab. iii. it gives expression to the joy which arises from zealous anger against the enemies of God and from the contemplation of their speedy overthrow. Painful unrest, defiant self-confidence, triumphant ecstacy, calm trust, prophetic certainty - all these states of mind find expression in the irregular arrangement of the strophes of this Davidic dithyramb, the ancient customary Psalm for the feast of Purim (Sofrim xviii. § 2).

Vers. 2—3. With this word of faith, love, and hope בְּבְּרִ מְּבִּרִי (as in cxli. 8), this holy captatio benevolentiæ, David also begins in xi. 1, xvi. 1, xxxi. 2, cf. lxxi. 1. The perf. is inchoative: in Thee have I taken my refuge, equivalent to: in Thee do I trust. The transition from the multitude of his persecutors to the sing. in ver. 3 is explained most naturally, as one looks at the inscription, thus: that of the many the one who is just at the time the worst of all comes prominently before his mind. The verb מָבְּרָ from the primary signification carpere (which corresponds still more exactly to מְבִּרְבָּר מִבְּרַבְּרַבְּר מִבְּרַבְּר means both to tear off and to tear in pieces (whence מַבְּרַבְּר מִבְּרַבְּר means both to break loose and to break in pieces, therefore to liberate, e. g. in cxxxvi. 24. and to

break in small pieces, 1 Kings xix. 11. The persecutors are conceived of as wild animals, as lions which rend their prey and craunch its bones. Thus blood-thirsty are they for his soul, *i. e.* his life. After the painful unrest of this first strophe, the second begins the tone of defiant self-consciousness.

Vers. 4—6. According to the inscription on points to the substance of those slanderous sayings of the Benjamite. With אָם־יֵשׁ־עוֵל בְּכַפַּי one may compare David's words to Saul אין בירי רעה 1 Sam. xxiv. 12, xxvi. 18; and from this comparison one will at once see in a small compass the difference between poetical and prose expression. שֹלְמִי (Targ. לַבַעֵל שׁלַמֵי) is the name he gives (with reference to Saul) to him who stands on a peaceful, friendly footing with him, cf. the adject. שַלוֹם, lv. 21, and איש שלום, xli. 10. The verb גמל, cogn. אמש שלום, signifies originally to finish, complete, (root בימה, כם, cf. כם, cf. כם, to be or to make full, to gather into a heap). One says נַמֵל טוָב and נְמֵל רֵע and also without a material object נָמֵל רָע or נמלני benefecit or malefecit mihi. But we join ימלני with בין with נמלהי according to the Targum and contrary to the accentuation, and not with שׁלְמי (Olsh., Böttch., Hitz.), although שׁלָמי beside משלם, as e. g. הבר beside מדבר might mean "requiting". The poet would then have written: אם שלמהי גמלי רע i. e. if I have retaliated upon him that hath done evil to me. In ver. 5 we do not render it according the meaning of אהלץ which is usual elsewhere: but rather I rescued . . . (Louis de Dieu, Ewald § 345, a, and Hupfeld). Why cannot נהלץ in accordance with its primary signification expedire, exuere (according to which even the signification of rescuing, taken exactly, does not proceed from the idea of drawing out, but of making loose, exuere vinclis) signify here exuere = spoliare, as it does in Aramaic? And how extremely appropriate it is as an allusion to the incident in the cave, when David did not rescue Saul, but, without indeed designing to take דליצה, exuviæ, cut off the hem of his garment! As Hengstenberg observes, "He affirms his innocence in the most general terms, thereby shewing that his conduct towards Saul was not anything exceptional, but sprang from his whole disposition and mode of action". On the 1 pers. fut. conv. with ah, vid. on iii. 6. מֵירָם belongs to צורָרי, like xxv. 3, lxix. 5.

In the apodosis, ver. 6, the fut. Kal of וַדָּף is made into three syllables, in a way altogether without example, since, by first making the Shebâ audible, from יָרָדֹּף it is become יבדף (like יצחק Gen. xxi. 6, החלך Ps. lxxiii. 9, Ex. ix. 23, שמעה xxxix. 13), and this is then sharpened by an euphonic Dag. forte.* Other ways of explaining it, as that by Chajug בררף, or by Kimchi as a mixed form from Kal and Piel,** have been already refuted by Baer, Thorath Emeth, p. 33. This dactylic jussive form of Kal is followed by the regular jussives of Hiph. ישבן and משבן. The rhythm is similar so that in the primary passage Ex. xv. 9, which also finds its echo in Ps. xviii. 38, - viz. iambic with anapæsts inspersed. By its parallelism with נפשי and בכודי , דני acquires the signification "my soul", as Saadia, Gecatilia and Aben-Ezra have rendered it — a signification which is secured to it by xvi. 9, xxx. 13, lvii. 9, cviii. 2, Gen. xlix. 6. Man's soul is his doxa, and this it is as being the copy of the divine doxa (Bibl. Psychol. S. 98, [tr.p. 119], and frequently). Moreover, "let him lay in the dust" is at least quite as favourable to this sense as to the sense of personal and official dignity (iii. 4, iv. 3). To lay down in the dust is equivalent to: to lay in the dust of death, xxii. 16. שׁכני עַפר, Isa. xxvi. 19, are the dead. According to the biblical conception the soul is capable of being killed (Num. xxxv. 11), and mortal (Num. xxiii. 10). It binds spirit and body together and this bond is cut asunder by death. David will submit willingly to death in case he has ever acted dishonourably.

Here the music is to strike up, in order to give intensity to the expression of this courageous confession. In the next strophe his affirmation of innocence rises to a challenging appeal to the judgment-seat of God and a prophetic certainty that that judgment is near at hand.

^{*} The Dag. is of the same kind as the Dag. in Digital among nouns; Arabic popular dialect farassi (my horse), vid. Wetzstein's Inschriften S. 366.

^{**} Pinsker's view, that the pointing אינה is designed to leave the reader at liberty to choose between the reading אַנְהָּוֹי, cannot be supported. There are no safe examples for the supposition that the variations of tradition found expression in this way.

Vers. 7-9. In the consciousness of his own innocence he calls upon Jahve to sit in judgment and to do justice to His own. His vision widens and extends from the enemies immediately around to the whole world in its hostility towards Jahve and His anointed one. In the very same way special judgments and the judgment of the world are portrayed side by side, as it were on one canvas, in the prophets. The truth of this combination lies in the fact of the final judgment being only the finale of that judgment which is in constant execution in the world itself. The language here takes the highest and most majestic flight conceivable. By קומה (Milra, as in iii. 8), which is one of David's words of prayer that he has taken from the lips of Moses (ix. 20, x. 12), he calls upon Jahve to interpose. The parallel is הנשא lift Thyself up, shew Thyself in Thy majesty, xciv. 2, Isa. xxxiii. 10. The anger, in which He is to arise, is the principle of His judicial righteousness. With this His anger He is to gird Himself (lxxvi. 11) against the ragings of the oppressors of God's anointed one, i. e. taking vengeance on their many and manifold manifestations of hostility. אַברוּת is a shorter form of the construct (instead of עָבְרוּת Job xl. 11, cf. xxi. 31) of עָבָרָה which describes the anger as running over, breaking forth from within and passing over into words and deeds (cf. Arab.

used of water: it overflows the dam, of wrath: it breaks forth). It is contrary to the usage of the language to make the object to עורה in opposition to the accents, and it is unnatural to regard it as the accus. of direction = למשכם (xxxv. 23), as Hitzig does. The accents rightly unite עורָה awake (stir thyself) for me i. e. to help me אלי: awake (stir thyself) lix. 5). The view, that צויח is then precative and equivalent to צוה: command judgment, is one that cannot be established according to syntax either here, or in lxxi. 3. It ought at least to have been נצוית with Waw consec. On the other hand the relative rendering: Thou who hast ordered judgment (Maurer, Hengst.), is admissible, but unnecessary. We take it by itself in a confirmatory sense, not as a circumstantial clause: having commanded judgment (Ewald), but as a co-ordinate clause: Thou hast indeed enjoined the maintaining of right (Hupfeld).

The psalmist now, so to speak, arranges the judgment scene: the assembly of the nations is to form a circle round about Jahve, in the midst of which He will sit in judgment, and after the judgment He is to soar away (Gen. xvii. 22) aloft over it and return to the heights of heaven like a victor after the battle (see lxviii. 19). Although it strikes one as strange that the termination of the judgment itself is not definitely expressed, yet the rendering of Hupfeld and others: sit Thou again upon Thy heavenly judgment-seat to judge, is to be rejected on account of the שובה (cf. on the other hand xxi. 14) which is not suited to it; שוב לפרום can only mean Jahve's return to His rest after the execution of judgment. That which vers. 7 and 8 in the boldness of faith desire, the beginning of ver. 9 expresses as a prophetic hope, from which proceeds the prayer, that the Judge of the earth may also do justice to him (ישפתני vindica me, as in xxvi. 1, xxxv. 24) according to his righteousness and the purity of which he is conscious, as dwelling in him. עלי is to be closely connected with נַפָּשׁוּ עָלֵי just as one says נַפָּשׁוּ עָלַי (Psychol. S. 152 [tr. p. 180]). That which the individual as ego, distinguishes from itself as being in it, as subject, it denotes by עלי. In explaining it elliptically: "come upon me" (Ew., Olsh., Hupf.) this psychologically intelligible usage of the language is not recognised. On Di vid. on xxv. 21, xxvi. 1.

Vers. 10—11. In this strophe we hear the calm language of courageous trust, to which the rising and calmly subsiding cæsural schema is particularly adapted. He is now concerned about the cessation of evil: Oh let it come to an end (הבין intransitive as in xii. 2, lxxvii. 9)... His prayer is therefore not directed against the individuals as such but against the wickedness that is in them. This Psalm is the key to all Psalms which contain prayers against one's enemies. Just in the same manner is intended to express a wish; it is one of the comparatively rare voluntatives of the 2 pers. (Ew. § 229): and mayst Thou be pleased to establish.... To the termination of evil which is desired corresponds, in a positive form of expression, the desired security and establishment of the righteous, whom it had injured and whose continuance was endangered by it.

beginning of a circumstantial clause, introduced by 1, but without the personal pronoun, which is not unfrequently omitted both in the leading participial clause, as in Isa. xxix. 8 (which see), and in the minor participial clause as here (cf. lv. 20): cum sis = quoniam es. The reins are the seat of the emotions, just as the heart is the seat of the thoughts and feelings. Reins and heart lie naked before God - a description of the only καρδιογνώστης, which is repeated in Jer. xi. 20, xx. 12, Apoc. ii. 23. In the thesis the adjective is used with אלהים in the sing. as in lxxviii. 56, cf. lviii. 12. God is the righteous God, and by his knowledge of the inmost part He is fully capable of always shewing Himself both righteous in anger and righteous in mercy according to the requirements and necessity of the case. Therefore David can courageously add מנגי על-אַל הים, my shield doth God carry; (lxxxix. 19) would signify: He has it, it (my shield) belongs to Him, by (1 Chron. xviii. 7) signifies: He bears it, or if one takes shield in the sense of protection: He has taken my protection upon Himself, has undertaken it (as in lxii. 8, cf. Judges xix. 20), as He is in general the Saviour of all who are devoted to Him with an upright heart, i. e. a heart sincere, guileless (cf. xxxii. with ver. 2). צרים is intentionally repeated at the end of the first two lines - the favourite palindrome, found more especially in Isa. xl.—lxvi. And to the mixed character of this Psalm belongs the fact of its being both Elohimic and Jehovic. From the calm language of heartfelt trust in God the next strophe passes over into the language of earnest warning, which is again more excited and somewhat after the style of didactic poetry.

Vers. 12—14. If God will in the end let His wrath break forth, He will not do it without having previously given threatenings thereof every day, viz. to the ungodly, cf. Isa. lxvi. 14, Mal. i. 4. He makes these feel His אַבוֹי beforehand in order to strike a wholesome terror into them. The subject of the conditional clause אַבּבּילא ישׁוּנ is any ungodly person whatever; and the subject of the principal clause, as its continuation in ver. 14 shews, is God. If a man (any one) does not repent, then Jahve will whet His sword (cf. Deut. xxxii. 41). This sense of the words accords with the connec-

tion; whereas with the rendering: "forsooth He (Elohim) will again whet His sword" (Böttch., Ew., Hupf.) ישוב, which would. moreover stand close by ילשוש (cf. e. g. Gen. xxx. 31), is meaningless; and the אם־כא of asseveration is devoid of purpose. Judgment is being gradually prepared, as the fut. implies; but, as the perff. imply, it is also on the other hand like a bow that is already strung against the sinner with the arrow pointed towards him, so that it can be executed at any moment. כונן of the making ready, and הכין of the aiming, are used alternately. 15, referring to the sinner, stands first by way of emphasis as in Gen. xlix. 10, 1 Sam. ii. 3, and is equivalent to אלין, Ezek. iv. 3. "Burning" arrows are firearrows (וְקוֹת, וְקוֹת, malleoli); and God's fire-arrows are the . lightnings sent forth by Him, xviii. 15, Zech. ix. 14. The שעל denotes the simultaneous charging of the arrows aimed at the sinner, with the fire of His wrath. The case illustrated by Cush is generalised: by the sword and arrow the manifold energy of the divine anger is symbolised, and it is only the divine forbearance that prevents it from immediately breaking forth. The conception is not coarsely material, but the vividness of the idea of itself suggests the form of its embodiment.

Vers. 15—18. This closing strophe foretells to the enemy of God, as if dictated by the judge, what awaits him; and concludes with a prospect of thanksgiving and praise. Man brings forth what he has conceived, he reaps what he has sown. Starting from this primary passage, we find the punishment which sin brings with it frequently represented under and first of all in Job xv. 35. The act, guilt, and punishment of sin appear in general as notions that run into one another. David sees in the sin of his enemies their self-destruction. It is singular, that travail is first spoken of, and then only afterwards pregnancy. For signifies, as in Cant. viii. 5, ωδίνειν, not: to conceive (Hitz.). The Arab. habila (synonym of hamala) is not to conceive in distinction from being pregnant, but it is both: to be and to become pregnant. The accentuation indicates the correct relationship of the three members of the sentence. First of all comes VOL. I. 10

the general statement: Behold he shall travail with, i. e. bring forth with writhing as in the pains of labour, אַן, evil, as the result which proceeds from his wickedness. Then, by this thought being divided into its two factors (Hupf.) it goes on to say: that is, he shall conceive (concipere) אַמֵל, and bear שקר. The former signifies trouble, molestia, just as πονηρία signifies that which makes πόνον; the latter falsehood, viz. self-deception, delusion, vanity, inasmuch as the burden prepared for others, returns as a heavy and oppressive burden upon the sinner himself, as is said in ver. 17; cf. Isa. lix. 4, where אק instead of שקר denotes the accursed wages of sin which consist in the unmasking of its nothingness, and in the undeceiving of its self-delusion. He diggeth a pit for himself, is another turn of the same thought, lvii, 7, Eccl. x. 8. Ver. 16a mentions the digging, and 16b the subsequent falling into the pit; the agrist is, for instance, like ver. 13b, xvi. 9, xxix, 10. The attributive יפעל is virtually agenitive to שהת, and is rightly taken by Ges. § 123, 3, α as present: in the midst of the execution of the work of destruction prepared for others it becomes his own. The trouble, עמל, prepared for others returns upon his own head בראשן, clinging to it, just as על ראשן signifies descending and resting upon it), and the violence, המם, done to others, being turned back by the Judge who dwells above (Mic. i. 12), descends upon his own pate (אַרָקרוּן with o by q, as e. g. in Gen. ii. 23). Thus is the righteousness of God revealed in wrath upon the oppressor and in mercy upon him who is innocently oppressed. Then will the rescued one, then will David, give thanks unto Jahve, as is due to Him after the revelation of His righteousness, and will sing of the name of Jahve the Most High (עליקן) as an appended name of God is always used without the art., e. g., lvii. 3). In the revelation of Himself He has made Himself a name. He has, however, revealed Himself as the almighty Judge and Deliverer, as the God of salvation, who rules over everything that takes place here below. It is this name, which He has made by His acts, that David will then echo back to Him in his song of thanksgiving.

PSALM VIII.

THE PRAISE OF THE CREATOR'S GLORY SUNG BY THE STARRY HEAVENS TO PUNY MAN.

- 2 JAHVE, our Lord,

 *How excellent is Thy name in all the earth,
 Who hast covered the heavens with Thy glory!
- 3 Out of the mouth of children and sucklings hast Thou founded a power,

Because of Thine adversaries, To still the enemy and the revengeful.

- 4 When I see Thy heavens, the work of Thy fingers, The moon and the stars which Thou hast ordained:
- 5 What is mortal man, that Thou art mindful of him, And the son of man that Thou carest for him!
- 6 And hast made him a little less than divine, And crowned him with glory and honour.
- 7 Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of Thy hands,

Thou hast put all things under his feet:

- 8 Sheep and oxen all together, And also the beasts of the field,
- 9 The fowls of heaven and the fishes of the sea, Whatsoever passeth through the paths of the sea.
- 10 Jahve, our Lord, How excellent is Thy name in all the earth!

Ps. vii. closed with a similar prospect of his enemies being undeceived by the execution of the divine judgments to Ps. vi. The former is the pendant or companion to the latter, and enters into detail, illustrating it by examples. Now if at the same time we call to mind the fact, that Ps. vi., if it be not a morning hymn, at any rate looks back upon sleepless nights of weeping, then the idea of the arrangement becomes at once clear, when we find a hymn of the night following Ps. vi. with its pendant, Ps. vii. David composes even at night; Jahve's song, as a Korahite psalmist says of himself in xlii. 9, was his companionship even in the loneli-

ness of the night. The omission of any reference to the sun in ver. 4 shews that Ps. viii. is a hymn of this kind composed in the night, or at least one in which the writer transfers himself in thought to the night season. The poet has the starry heavens before him, he begins with the glorious revelation of Jahve's power on earth and in the heavens, and then pauses at man, comparatively puny man, to whom Jahve condescends in love and whom He has made lord over His creation. This Psalm, like Ps. civ. and others, is a lyric echo of the Mosaic account of the creation. Ewald calls it a flash of lightning cast into the darkness of the creation.

Even Hitzig acknowledges David's authorship here; whereas Hupfeld is silent, and Olshausen says that nothing can be said about it. The idea, that David composed it when a shepherd boy on the plains of Judah, is rightly rejected again by Hitzig after he has been at the pains to support it. (This thought is pleasingly worked out by Nachtigal, Psalmen gesungen vor David's Thronbesteigung, 1797, after the opinion of E. G. von Bengel, cum magna veri specie.) For, just as the Gospels do not contain any discourses of our Lord belonging to the time prior to His baptism, and just as the New Testament canon does not contain any writings of the Apostles from the time prior to Pentecost, so the Old Testament canon contains no Psalms of David belonging to the time prior to his anointing. It is only from that time, when he is the anointed one of the God of Jacob, that he . becomes the sweet singer of Israel, on whose tongue is the word of Jahve, 2 Sam. xxiii. 1 sq.

The inscription runs: To the Precentor, on the Gittith, a Psalm of David. The Targum translates it super cithara, quam David de Gath attulit. According to which it is a Philistine cithern, just as there was (according to Athenæus and Pollux) a peculiar Phænician and Carian flute played at the festivals of Adonis, called γίγγρας, and also an Egyptian flute and a Doric lyre. All the Psalms bearing the inscription γίνης (viii. lxxxi. lxxxiv.) are of a laudatory character. The gittith was, therefore, an instrument giving forth a joyous sound, or (what better accords with its occurring exclusively in the inscriptions of the Psalms), a joyous me-

lody, perhaps a march of the Gittite guard, 2 Sam. xv. 18 (Hitzig).

Kurtz makes this Psalm into four tetrastichic strophes, by taking ver. 2 a b and ver. 10 by themselves as the opening and close of the hymn, and putting ver. 2 c (Thou whose majesty...) to the first strophe. But אַלָּה הוֹנוּ is not rightly adapted to begin a strophe; the poet, we think, would in this case have written אַלָּה הַנְּהַ הַנְּהַרָּ

Vers. 2-3. Here, for the first time, the subject speaking in the Psalm is not one individual, but a number of persons; and who should they be but the church of Jahve, which (as in Neh. x. 30) can call Jahve its Lord אָדֹנָינוּ, like אָדֹנָינוּ, from ארנים plur. excellentiæ, Ges. § 108, 2); but knowing also at the same time that what it has become by grace it is called to be for the good of the whole earth? The Dr of God is the impress (cognate Arabic wasm, a sign, Greek σημα) of His nature, which we see in His works of creation and His acts of salvation, a nature which can only be known from this visible and comprehensible representation (nomen = gnomen).* This name of God is certainly not yet so known and praised everywhere, as the church to which it has been made known by a positive revelation can know and praise it; but, nevertheless, it, viz. the divine name uttered in creation and its works, by which God has made Himself known and capable of being recognised and named, is אדיר amplum et gloriosum, everywhere through out the earth, even if it were entirely without any echo. The clause with אשר must not be rendered: Who, do Thou be pleased to put Thy glory upon the heavens (Gesenius even: quam tuam magnificentiam pone in cælis), for such a use of the imperat. after אישר is unheard of; and, moreover, although it is true a thought admissible in its connection with the redemptive history (lvii. 6, 12) is thus obtained, it is here, however, one that runs counter to the fundamental tone, and to the circumstances, of the Psalm. For the primary thought of the Psalm is this, that

^{*} cf. Oehler's art. Name in Herzog's Real-Encyklopädie.

the God, whose glory the heavens reflect, has also glorified Himself in the earth and in man; and the situation of the poet is this, that he has the moon and stars before his eyes: how then could he wish that heaven to be made glorious whose glory is shining into his eyes! It is just as impracticable to take מנה as a contraction of נתנה, like החה 2 Sam. xxii. 41, - מֹקָה, as Ammonius and others, and last of all Böhl, have done, or with Thenius (Stud. u. Krit. 1860 S.712 f.) to read it so at once. For even if the thought: "which (the earth) gives (announces) Thy glory all over the heavens" is not contrary to the connection, and if נָתוּ עוֹ, lxviii. 34, and נְחָן כְבוֹר, Jer. xiii. 16, can be compared with this נָחָן הור, still the phrase נָתון הור עַל means nothing but to lay majesty on any one, to clothe him with it, Num. xxvii. 20, 1 Chron. xxix. 25, Dan. xi. 21, cf. Ps. xxi. 6; and this is just the thought one looks for, viz., that the name of the God, who has put His glory upon the heavens (cxlviii. 13) is also glorious here below. We must, therefore, take חָנָה, although it is always the form of the imper. elsewhere, as infin., just as הדה occurs once in Gen. xlvi. 3 as infin. (like the Arab. rida a giving to drink, lida a bringing forth forms to which and the like in Hebrew certainly more exactly correspond). קנה הוָדָּק signifies the setting of Thy glory (prop. τὸ τιθέναι τὴν δόξαν σου) just like יהוד אָת־הי the the knowledge of Jahve, and Obad. ver. 5, שים קנף, probably the setting of thy nest, Ges. § 133. 1. It may be interpreted: O Thou whose laying of Thy glory is upon the heavens, i. e. Thou who hast chosen this as the place on which Thou hast laid Thy glory (Hengst.). In accordance with this Jerome translates it: qui posuisti gloriam tuam super cælos. Thus also the Syriac version with the Targum: dejabt (דיהבת) shubhoch al shemajo, and Symmachus: δς έταξας τὸν έπαινόν σου όπεράνω τῶν οὐρανῶν. This use of the nomen verbale and the genitival relation of אָשֶׁר, to חָנָה הוְדָּך, which is taken as one notion, is still remarkable. Hitzig considers that no reasonable man would think and write thus; but thereby at the same time utterly condemns his own conjecture ההורף (whose extending of glory over the heavens). This, moreover, goes beyond the limits of the language, which is

only acquainted with mas the name of an animal. All difficulty would vanish if one might, with Hupfeld, read הַנְחָאה. But חנה has not the slightest appearance of being a corruption of נחתה. It might be more readily supposed that is an erroneous pointing for הנה (to stretch or extend, cf. Hos. viii. 10 to stretch forth, distribute): Thou whose glory stretches over the heavens, - an interpretation which is more probable than that it is, with Paulus and Kurtz, to be read הַנָּה: Thou whose glory is praised (pass. of the in Judges v. 11, xi. 40, which belongs to the dialect of Northern Palestine), instead of which one would more readily expect יחנה. The verbal notion, which is tacitly implied in cxiii. 4, cxlviii. 13, would then be expressed here. But perhaps the author wrote תוה הורך instead of נחת הורך, because he wishes to describe the setting out of the heavens with divine splendour* as being constantly repeated and not as done once for all..

There now follows, in ver. 3, the confirmation of ver. 2 a: also all over the earth, despite its distance from the heavens above, Jahve's name is glorious; for even children, yea even sucklings glorify him there, and in fact not mutely and passively by their mere existence, but with their mouth. אוֹלָל (בְּעוֹלֵל בִּי) or אַנְאָל is a child that is more mature and capable of spontaneous action, from אַנְאָל (Poel of אַנָא ludere),** according to 1 Sam. xxii. 19, xv. 3, distinct from אָנָא i. e. a suckling, not, however, infans, but, — since the Hebrew

^{*} In the first Sidonian inscription אַרִּיר occurs as a by-name of the heavens (שתם אדרם).

^{**} According to this derivation איל (cf. Beduin איל Nichola)) איל (cf. Beduin Nichola) איל (cf.

women were accustomed to suckle their children for a long period, - a little child which is able to lisp and speak (vid. 2 Macc. vii. 27). Out of the mouth of beings such as these Jahve has founded for Himself iv. The LXX. translates it the utterance of praise, atvov; and in certainly sometimes has the meaning of power ascribed to God in praise, and so a laudatory acknowledgment of His might; but this is only when connected with verbs of giving, xxix. 1, lxviii. 35, xcvi. 7. In itself, when standing alone, it cannot mean this. It is in this passage: might, or victorious power, which God creates for Himself out of the mouths of children that confess Him. This offensive and defensive power, as Luther has observed on this passage, is conceived of as a strong building, by as מעוד (Jer. xvi. 19) i. e. a fortress, refuge, bulwark, fortification, for the foundation of which He has taken the mouth, i. e. the stammering of children; and this He has done because of His enemies, to restrain הְשַבֵּיה to cause any one to sit or lie down, rest, to put him to silence, e. g. Isa. xvi. 10, Ezek. vii. 24) such as are enraged against Him and His, and are inspired with a thirst for vengeance which expresses itself in curses (the same combination is found in xliv. 17). Those meant, are the fierce and calumniating opponents of revelation. Jahve has placed the mouth of children in opposition to these, as a strong defensive controversive power. He has chosen that which is foolish and weak in the eyes of the world to put to shame the wise and that which is strong (1 Cor. i. 27). It is by obscure and naturally feeble instruments that He makes His name glorious here below. and overcomes whatsoever is opposed to this glorifying.

Vers. 4—6. Stier wrongly translates: For I shall behold. The principal thought towards which the rest tends is ver. 5 (parallel are vers. 2a, 3), and consequently ver. 4 is the protasis (par., ver. 2b), and ב accordingly is = quum, quando, in the sense of quoties. As often as he gazes at the heavens which bear upon themselves the name of God in characters of light (wherefore he says שָׁמֵיך), the heavens with their boundless spaces (an idea which lies in the plur. שִׁמֵין) extending beyond the reach of mortal eye, the moon (מַמֵיִן, dialectic perhaps, as Maurer derives it, from דרה subflavum, perhaps, as Maurer derives it, from אורה subflavum

esse), and beyond this the innumerable stars which are lost in infinite space (בָּבֶבִים prop. round, ball-shaped, spherical bodies) to which Jahve appointed their fixed place on the vault of heaven which He has formed with all the skill of His creative wisdom (נוֹם to place and set up, in the sense of existence and duration): so often does the thought "what is mortal man....?" increase in power and intensity. The most natural thought would be: frail, puny man is as nothing before all this; but this thought is passed over in order to celebrate, with grateful emotion and astonished adoration, the divine love which appears in all the more glorious light, — a love which condescends to poor man, the dust of earth. Even if אַניש does not come from אַניש to be fragile, nevertheless; according to the usage of the language, it describes man from the side of his impotence, frailty, and mortality (vid. ciii. 15, Isa. li. 12, and on Gen. iv. 26). בֶּרְאַרָּם, also, is not without a similar collateral reference. With retrospective reference to בֵּרְאַרַם ,עוֹלִלִים וְינָקִים is equivalent to ילור־אשה in Job xiv. 1: man, who is not, like the stars, God's directly creative work, but comes into being through human agency, born of woman. From both designations it follows that it is the existing generation of man that is spoken of. Man, as we see him in ourselves and others, this weak and dependent being is, nevertheless, not forgotten by God, God remembers him and looks about after him (קקד) of observing attentively, especially visitation, and with the accus. it is generally used of lovingly provident visitation, e. g. Jer. xv. 15). He does not leave him to himself, but enters into personal intercourse with him, he is the special and favoured object whither His eye turns (cf. exliv. 3, and the parody of the tempted one in Job vii. 17 sq.)

It is not until ver. 6 that the writer glances back at creation. יהוסברהו (differing from the fut. consec. Job vii. 18) describes that which happened formerly. ישבר בון signifies to cause to be short of, wanting in something, to deprive any one of something (cf. Eccl. iv. 8). ישבו is here neither comparative (paullo inferiorem eum fecisti Deo), nor negative (paullum derogasti ei, ne esset Deus), but partitive (paullum

derogasti ei divinæ naturæ); and, without אלהים being on that account an abstract plural, paullum Deorum, = Dei (vid. Genesis S. 66 sq.), is equivalent to paullum numinis Deorum. According to Gen. i. 27 man is created בצלם אל הים, he is a being in the image of God, and, therefore, nearly a divine being. But when God says: "let us make man in our image after our likeness", He there connects Himself with the angels. The translation of the LXX. ἡλάττωσας αὐτὸν βραγό τι παρ' άγγέλους, with which the Targum and the prevailing Jewish interpretations also harmonize, is, therefore, not unwarranted. Because in the biblical mode of conception the angels are so closely connected with God as the nearest creaturely effulgence of His nature, it is really possible that in מאלהים David may have thought of God including the angels. Since man is in the image of God, he is at the same time in the likeness of an angel, and since he is only a little less than divine, he is also only a little less than angelic. The position, somewhat exalted above the angels, which he occupies by being the bond between all created things, in so far as mind and matter are united in him, is here left out of consideration. The writer has only this one thing in his mind, that man is inferior to God, who is 777, and to the angels who are רודות (Isa. xxxi. 3, Heb. i. 14) in this respect, that he is a material being, and on this very account a finite and mortal being; as Theodoret well and briefly observes: τῷ θνητῷ τῶν ἀγγέλων ἡλάττωται. This is the מעם in which whatever is wanting to him to make him a divine being is concentrated. But it is nothing more than מעם. The assertion in ver. 6 a refers to the fact of the nature of man being in the image of God, and especially to the spirit breathed into him from God; ver. 6b, to his godlike position as ruler in accordance with this his participation in the divine nature: honore ac decore coronasti eum. is the manifestation of glory described from the side of its weightiness and fulness; הירה (cf. הירה) from the side of its far resounding announcement of itself (vid. on Job xxxix. 20); קרר from the side of its brilliancy, majesty, and beauty. הדר כבור הוד ה', xcvi. 6, or also הדר כבור הוד ה, cxlv. 5, is the appellation of the divine doxa, with the image of

which man is adorned as with a regal crown. The preceding fut. consec. also stamps מְּמָשֵׁילֵה and הַּמְשִׁילֵה as historical retrospects. The next strophe unfolds the regal glory of man: he is the lord of all things, the lord of all earthly creatures.

Vers. 7-9. Man is a king, and not a king without territory; the world around, with the works of creative wisdom which fill it, is his kingdom. The words "put under his feet" sound like a paraphrase of the רָדָה in Gen. i. 26, 28. 52 is unlimited, as in Job xiii. 1, xlii. 2, Isa. xliv. 24. But the expansion of the expression in vers. 8, 9 extends only to the earth, and is limited even there to the different classes of creatures in the regions of land, air, and water. The poet is enthusiastic in his survey of this province of man's dominion. And his lofty poetic language corresponds to 🔨 this enthusiasm. The enumeration begins with the domestic animals and passes on from these to the wild beasts — together the creatures that dwell on terra firma. אנא) צנה (אנה Num. xxxii. 24) from פֿליט (פָּנָא), as also פֿליט (פֿייל), as also פֿליט (פֿייל), as also פֿליט אין אַרָּאן, which is otherwise the usual name for small cattle. אַלְפִים (in Aramaic, as the name of the letter shews, a prose word) is in Hebrew poetically equivalent to בַּקַר; the oxen which willingly accommodate themselves to the service of man, especially of the husbandman, are so called from אלף to yield to. Wild animals, which in prose are called דְיֵרָת הַאָּרֵץ, (השרה) here bear the poetical name בהמות שרי, as in Joel ii. 22, cf. i. 20, 1 Sam. xvii. 44. שרי (in pause שרי) is the primitive form of אַהָר, which is not declined, and has thereby obtained a collective signification. From the land animals the description passes on to the fowls of the air and the fishes of the water. אַפּוּר is the softer word, instead of עמיָם; and שַׁמִים is used without the art. according to poetical usage, whereas שים without the art. would have sounded too scanty and not sufficiently measured. In connection with יַמִּים the article may be again omitted, just as with עבר. ישמים is a collective participle. If the following were intended: he (or: since he), viz. man, passes through the paths of the sea

(Böttcher; Cassel, and even Aben-Ezra and Kimchi), then it would not have been expressed in such a monostich, and in a form so liable to lead one astray. The words may be a comprehensive designation of that portion of the animal kingdom which is found in the sea; and this also intended to include all from the smallest worm to the giganticleviathan: ὁππόσα ποντοπόρους παρεπιστείβουσι κελεύθους (Apollinaris). If man thus rules over every living thing that is round about him from the nearest to the most remote, even that which is apparently the most untameable: then it is clear that every lifeless created thing in his vicinity must serve him as its king. The poet regards man in the light of the purpose for which he was created.

Ver. 10. He has now demonstrated what he expressed in ver. 2, that the name of Jahve whose glory is reflected by the heavens, is also glorious on earth. Thus, then, he can as a conclusion repeat the thought with which he began, in a wider and more comprehensive meaning, and weave his Psalm together, as it were, into a wreath.

It is just this Psalm, of which one would have least expected it, that is frequently quoted in the New Testament and applied to the Messiah. Indeed Jesus' designation of Himself by δ υίδς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου, however far it may refer back to the Old Testament Scriptures, leans no less upon this Psalm than upon Dan. vii. 13. The use the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews (ch. ii. 6-8) makes of vers. 5-7 of this Psalm shews us how the New Testament application to the Messiah is effected. The psalmist regards man as one who glorifies God and as a prince created of God. The deformation of this position by sin he leaves unheeded. But both sides of the mode of regarding it are warranted. On the one hand, we see that which man has become by creation still in operation even in his present state; on the other hand, we see it distorted and stunted. If we compare what the Psalm says with this shady side of the reality, from which side it is incongruous with the end of man's creation, then the song which treats of the man of the present becomes a prophecy of the man of the future. The

Psalm undergoes this metamorphosis in the New Testament consciousness, which looks more to the loss than to that which remains of the original. In fact, the centre of the New Testament consciousness is Jesus the Restorer of that which is lost. The dominion of the world lost to fallen man, and only retained by him in a ruined condition, is allotted to mankind, when redeemed by Him, in fuller and more perfect reality. This dominion is not yet in the actual possession of mankind, but in the person of Jesus it now sits enthroned at the right hand of God. In Him the idea of humanity is transcendently realised, i. e. according to a very much higher standard than that laid down when the world was founded. He has entered into the state - only a little (βραγό τι) beneath the angels — of created humanity for a little while (βραχό τι), in order to raise redeemed humanity above the angels. Everything (5) is really put under Him with just as little limitation as is expressed in this Psalm: not merely the animal kindom, not merely the world itself, but the universe with all the ruling powers in it, whether they be in subjection or in hostility to God, yea even the power of death (1 Cor. xv. 27, cf. Ephes. i. 22). Moreover, by redemption, more than heretofore, the confession which comes from the mouth of little children is become a bulwark founded of God, in order that against it the resistance of the opponents of revelation may be broken. We have an example of this in Mat. xxi. 16, where our Lord points the pharisees and scribes, who are enraged at the Hosanna of the children, to Ps. viii. 3. Redemption demands of man, before everything else, that he should become as a little child, and reveals its mysteries to infants, which are hidden from the wise and intelligent. Thus, therefore, it is puxpoi καὶ νήπιοι, whose tongue is loosed by the Spirit of God, who are to put to shame the unbelieving; and all that this Psalm says of the man of the present becomes in the light of the New Testament in its relation to the history of redemption, a prophecy of the Son of man κατ' έξοχήν, and of the new humanity.

PSALM IX.

HYMN TO THE RIGHTEOUS JUDGE AFTER A DEFEAT OF HOSTILE PEOPLES.

- 2 & I WILL give thanks to Jahve with my whole heart, & I will recount all Thy marvellous works —
- 3 ⋈ I will be glad and rejoice in Thee,
 ⋈ I will sing praise to Thy name, O Most High!
- 4 > When mine enemies turned back, When they fell and perished before Thine angry face.
- For Thou hast maintained my right and my cause,
 Thou hast sat down on the throne, a righteous Judge.
- 6 3 Thou didst rebuke peoples, Thou didst destroy the wicked,

Their name didst Thou blot out for ever and ever.

- 7 7 The enemy are perished, perpetual ruins;
 And cities hast Thou rooted out, effaced is their very memory.
- 8 Rut Jahve sits enthroned for ever, He hath set His throne for judgment.
- 9 And He shall judge the earth in righteousness, He shall minister judgment to the nations in uprightness.
- 10 So will Jahve be a stronghold to the oppressed, A stronghold in times of trouble;
- Thus shall they trust in Thee who know Thy name,
 Because Thou hast not forsaken them who ask after
 Thee, Jahve!
- 12 Sing praises to Jahve, who dwelleth in Zion, Declare among the peoples His deeds;
- That the Avenger of blood hath remembered them, He hath not forgotten the cry of the sufferer.
- 14 n "Have mercy upon me, O Jahve; behold mine affliction from them that hate me,
 "My lifter-up from the gates of death,

- "That I may tell all Thy praise,
 "That in the gates of the daughter of Zion I may rejoice in Thy salvation!"
- 16 D The peoples have sunk down in the pit they have made,

In the net, that they hid, were their own feet taken.

Jahve hath made Himself known: He hath executed judgment,

Snaring the wicked in the work of his own hands.

(Stringed Instruments, Sela.)

- 18 Yea back to Hades must the wicked return, All the heathen, that forget God.
- For the poor shall not always be forgotten, The hope of the afflicted is (not) perished for ever.
- 20 p Arise, Jahve, let not mortal man be defiant, Let the heathen be judged in Thy sight!
- Put them in fear, O Jahve, Let the heathen know they are mortals! (Sela).

Just as Ps. vii. is placed after Ps. vi. as exemplifying it, so Ps. ix. follows Ps. viii. as an illustration of the glorifying of the divine name on earth. And what a beautiful idea it is that Ps. viii., the Psalm which celebrates Jahve's name as being glorious in the earth, is introduced between a Psalm that closes with the words "I will sing of the name of Jahve, the Most High" (vii. 18) and one which begins: "I will sing of Thy name, O Most High!" (ix. 3).

160 PSALM IX.

referring to the contents of the Psalm. But, on the contrary, they indicate the tune or melody, and that by means of the familiar words of the song,—perhaps some popular song,—with which this air had become most intimately associated. At the end of Ps. xlviii. this indication of the air is simply expressed by אַל־מֵּוֹת the view of the Jewish expositors, who refer בְּלֵי to the musician בֵּ mentioned in 1 Chron. xv. 18, has, therefore, some probability in its favour. But this name excites critical suspicion. Why may not a well-known song have begun בֹּלְי מֹלְיוֹת לִבֹן "dying (is) to the son"..., or (if one is inclined to depart from the pointing, although there is nothing to render this suspicious) בְּלֵית לָבֵן "Death makes white"?

Even Hitzig does not allow himself to be misled as to the ancient Davidic origin of Ps. ix. and x. by the fact of their having an alphabetical arrangement. These two Psalms have the honour of being ranked among the thirteen Psalms which are acknowledged by him to be genuine Davidic Psalms. Thus, therefore, the alphabetical arrangement found in other Psalms cannot, in itself, bring us down to "the times of poetic trifling and degenerated taste." Nor can the freedom, with which the alphabetical arrangement is handled in Ps. ix. and x. be regarded as an indication of an earlier antiquity than these times. For the Old Testament poets, even in other instances, do not allow themselves to be fettered by forms of this character (vid. on Ps. cxlv., cf. on xlii. 2); and the fact, that in Ps. ix. x. the alphabetical arrangement is not fully carried out, is accounted for otherwise than by the license in which David, in distinction from later poets, indulged. In reality this pair of Psalms shews, that even David was given to acrostic composition. And why should he not be? Even among the Romans, Ennius (Cicero, De Divin. ii. 54 § 111), who belongs not to the leaden, but to the iron age, out of which the golden age first developed itself, composed in acrostics. And our oldest Germanic epics are clothed in the garb of alliteration, which Vilmar calls the most characteristic and most elevated style that the poetic spirit of our nation has created. Moreover, the alphabetical form is adapted to the common people,

as is evident from Augustine's Retract. i. 20. It is not a paltry substitute for the departed poetic spirit, not merely an accessory to please the eye, an outward embellishment — it is in itself indicative of mental power. The didactic poet regards the array of the linguistic elements as the steps by which he leads his pupils up into the sanctuary of wisdom, or as the many-celled casket in which he stores the pearls of the teachings of his wisdom. The lyric writer regards it as the keys on which he strikes every note, in order to give the fullest expression to his feelings. Even the prophet does not disdain to allow the order of the letters to exert an influence over the course of his thoughts, as we see from Nah. i. 3-7.* Therefore, when among the nine ** alphabetical Psalms (ix. x. xxv. xxxiv. xxxvii. cxi. cxii. cxix. cxlv.) four bear the inscription לדוך (ix. xxv. xxxiv. cxlv.), we shall not at once regard them as non-Davidic just because they indicate an alphabetical plan which is more or less fully carried out.

This is not the place to speak of the relation of the anonymous Ps. x. to Ps. ix., since Ps. ix. is not in any way wanting in internal roundness and finish. It is thoroughly hymnic. The idea that ver. 14 passes from thanksgiving into supplication rests on a misinterpretation, as we shall presently see. This Psalm is a thoroughly national song of thanksgiving for victory by David, belonging to the time when Jahve was already enthroned on Zion, and therefore, to the time after the ark was brought home. Was it com- . posed after the triumphant termination of the Syro-Ammonitish war? - The judgment of extermination already executed, ix. 8 sq., harmonises with what is recorded in 2 Sam. xii. 31; and the Dn, who are actually living within the borders of Israel, appear to be Philistines according to the annalistic passage about the Philistine feuds, 2 Sam. xxi. 15 sqq., cf. viii. 1 in connection with 1 Sam. xiii. 6.

VOL. I.

11

^{*} This observation is due to Pastor Frohnmeyer of Würtemberg.

^{**} The Psalterium Brunonis (ed. by Cochleus, 1533) overlooks Ps. ix. x., reckoning only seven alphabetical Psalms.

Vers. 2-3. In this first strophe of the Psalm, which is laid out in tetrastichs, — the normative strophe, — the alphabetical form is carried out in the fullest possible way: we have four lines, each of which begins with &. It is the prelude of the song. The poet rouses himself up to a joyful utterance of Jahve's praise. With his whole heart (cxxxviii. 1), i. e. all his powers of mind and soul as centred in his heart taking part in the act, will he thankfully and intelligently confess God, and declare His wondrous acts which exceed human desire and comprehension (xxvi. 7); he will rejoice and be glad in Jahve, as the ground of his rejoicing and as the sphere of his joy; and with voice and with harp he will sing of the name of the Most High. עליון is not an attributive of the name of God (Hitz.: Thine exalted name), but, as it is everywhere from Gen. xiv. 18-22 onward (e.g. xcvii. 9), an attributive name of God. As an attributive to ישמף one would expect to find הַעלִיון.

Vers. 4-5. The call upon himself to thanksgiving sounds forth, and the z-strophe continues it by expressing the ground of it. The preposition in this instance expresses both the time and the reason together (as in lxxvi. 10, 2 Chron. xxviii. 6); in Latin it is recedentibus hostibus meis retro. אחור serves to strengthen the notion of being driven back, as in lvi. 10, cf. xliv. 11; and just as, in Latin, verbs compounded of re are strengthened by retro. In ver. 4b finite verbs take the place of the infinitive construct; here we have futt. with a present signification, just as in 2 Chron. xvi. 7 we find a præt. intended as perfect. For the rendering which Hitzig adopts: When mine enemies retreat backwards, they stumble... is opposed both by the absence of any syntactic indication in ver. 4b of an apodosis (cf. xxvii. 2); and also by the fact that יכשלי is well adapted to be a continuation of the description of שוב אחור (cf. John xviii. 6), but is tame as a principal clause to the definitive clause בשב אויבי אחור. Moreover, אויבי אחור does not signify backwards (which would rather be אַהֹרְנִית [Gen. ix. 23, 1 Sam. iv. 18]), but back, or into the rear. The מְפַנִיךּ is the מָפָניך of the cause, whence the action proceeds. What is intended is God's angry countenance, the look of which sets his enemies on fire as if they were fuel (xxi. 10), in antithesis to God's countenance as beaming with the light of His love. Now, while this is taking place, and because of its taking place, will he sing praise to God. From ver. 2 we see that the Psalm is composed directly after the victory and while the destructive consequences of it to the vanquished are still in operation. David sees in it all an act of Jahve's judicial power. To execute any one's right, vow (Mic. vii. 9), to bring to an issue any one's suit or lawful demand, דָּק (cxl. 13), is equivalent to: to assist him and his good cause in securing their right. The phrases are also used in a judicial sense without the suffix. The genitive object after these principal words never denotes the person against whom, but the person on whose behalf, the third party steps forward with his judicial authority. Jahve has seated Himself upon His judgment-seat as a judge of righteousness (as in Jer. xi. 20), i. e. as a judge whose judicial mode of procedure is righteousness, justice,* and has decided in his favour. In לַשֶּׁב לָ (as in cxxxii. 11), which is distinguished in this respect from שמב על (xlvii. 9), the idea of motion, considere, comes prominently forward.

Vers. 6—7. The strophe with 1, which is perhaps intended to represent 7 and 7 as well, continues the confirmation of the cause for thanksgiving laid down in ver. 4. He does not celebrate the judicial act of God on his behalf, which he has just experienced, alone, but in connection with, and, as it were, as the sum of many others which have preceded it. If this is the case, then in ver. 6 beside the Ammonites one may at the same time (with Hengstenb.) think of the Amalekites (1 Sam. viii. 12), who had been threatened since the time of Moses with a "blotting out of their remembrance" (Ex. xvii. 14, Deut. xxv. 19, cf. Num. xxiv. 20). The divine threatening is the word of omnipotence which detroys in distinction from the word of omnipotence that

^{*} Also Prov. viii. 16 is probably to be read בְּלְ־שֹׁכְטוּ צְּוֶק, with Norzi, according to the Targum, Syriac version, and old Codices; at any rate this is an old various reading, and one in accordance with the sense, side by side with בַל־שַׁפּטוּ אָרֶץ.

creates. נְישֵׁע in close connection with גוֹיִם is individualising, cf. ver. 18 with vers. 16, 17. Tyl is a sharpened pausal form for אָנָע, the Pathach going into a Segol (פתח קמן); perhaps it is in order to avoid the threefold a-sound in לעולם ועד (Nägelsbach § 8 extr.). In ver. 7 האויב (with Azla legarme) appears to be a vocative. In that case מושת ought also to be addressed to the enemy. But if it be interpreted: "Thou hast destroyed thine own cities, their memorial is perished", destroyed, viz. at the challenge of Israel, then the thought is forced; and if we render it: "the cities, which thou hast destroyed, perished is the remembrance of them", i. e. one no longer thinks of thine acts of conquest, then we have a thought that is in itself awkward and one that finds no support in any of the numerous parallels which speak of a blotting out and leaving no trace behind. But, moreover, in both these interpretations the fact that וכרם is strengthened by המה is lost sight of, and the twofold masculine וכרם המה is referred to ערים (which is carelessly done by most expositors), whereas עיד, with but few exceptions, is feminine: consequently אכרם המה, so far as this is not absolutely impossible, must be referred to the enemies themselves (cf. xxxiv. 17, cix. 15). might more readily be nom. absol.: "the enemy — it is at end for ever with his destructions", but הַרְבָּה never has an active but always only a neuter signification; or: "the enemy - ruins are finished for ever", but the signification to be destroyed is more natural for and than to be completed, when it is used of ruinæ. Moreover, in connection with both these renderings the retrospective pronoun (חרבותיו) is wanting, and this is also the case with the reading הרבות (LXX., Vulg., Syr.), which leaves it uncertain whose swords are meant. But why may we not rather connect at once with אם as subject? In other instances ממו is also joined to a singular collective subject, e.g. Isa. xvi. 4; here it precedes, like הארב in Judg. xx. 37. הרבות לנצה is a nominative of the product, corresponding to the factitive object with verbs of making: the enemies are destroyed as ruins for ever, i. e. so that they are become ruins; or, more in accordance with the accentuation: the enemy, destroyed as ruins are they for ever. With respect to what follows the accentuation also contains hints worthy of our attention. It does not take מַמְשָׁהְ (with the regular *Pathach* by *Athnach* after *Olewejored*, vid. on ii. 7) as a relative clause, and consequently does not require מַרִים to be referred back to ערים.

We interpret the passage thus: and cities (viz. such as were hostile) thou hast destroyed (מָשׁ evellere, exstirpare), perished is their (the enemies') memorial. Thus it also now becomes intelligible, why וְכֵרַם, according to the rule Ges. § 121, 3, is so remarkably strengthened by the addition of המה (cf. Num. xiv. 32, 1 Sam. xx. 42, Prov. xxii. 19, xxiii. 15, Ezek. xxxiv. 11). Hupfeld, whose interpretation is exactly the same as ours, thinks it might perhaps be the enemies themselves and the cities set over against one another. But the contrast follows in ver. 8: their, even their memorial is perished, while on the contrary Jahve endures for ever and is enthroned as judge. This contrast also retrospectively gives support to the explanation, that זכרם refers not to the cities, but to האויב as a collective. With this interpretation of ver. 7 we have no occasion to read זכרם מהמה (Targ.), חסר מהמה וכר מהמה (Paul., Hitz.). The latter is strongly commended by Job xi. 20, cf. Jer. x. 2; but still it is not quite admissible, since here is not subjective (their own remembrance) but objective (remembrance of them). But may not ערים perhaps here, as in cxxxix. 20, mean zealots = adversaries (from עיר fervere, zelare)? We reply in the negative, because the Psalm bears neither an Aramaising nor a North Palestinian impress. Even in connection with this meaning, the harshness of the ערים without any suffix would still remain. But, that the cities that are, as it were, plucked up by the root are cities of the enemy, is evident from the context.

Vers. 8—9. Without a trace even of the remembrance of them the enemies are destroyed, while on the other hand Jahve endureth for ever. This strophe is the continuation of the preceding with the most intimate connection of contrast (just as the 2-strophe expresses the ground for what is said in the preceding strophe). The verb when has not the general signification "to remain" here (like you to endure), but just the same meaning as in xxix. 10. Everything that is opposed to Him comes to a terrible end, whereas He sits,

or (which the fut. implies) abides, enthroned for ever, and that as Judge: He hath prepared His throne for the purpose of judgment. This same God, who has just given proof that He lives and reigns, will by and bye judge the nations still more comprehensively, strictly, and impartially. מְבֵּל, a word exclusively poetic and always without the article, signifies first (in distinction from אֶבֶּעָה the body of the earth and אַבְּעָה the covering or soil of the earth) the fertile (from מִבֶּלְה surface of the globe, the οἰχουμένη. It is the last Judgment, of which all preceding judgments are harbingers and pledges, that is intended. In later Psalms this Davidic utterance concerning the future is repeated.

Vers. 10-11. Thus judging the nations Jahve shews Himself to be, as a second 1-strophe says, the refuge and help of His own. The voluntative with Waw of sequence expresses that which the poet desires for his own sake and for the sake of the result mentioned in ver. 11. 2000, a high, steep place, where one is removed from danger, is a figure familiar to David from the experiences of his time of persecution. [7] (in pause [7]) is properly one who is crushed (from דָכָה , דָכָא to crush, break in pieces, דָכָה to pulverize), therefore one who is overwhelmed to the extreme, even to being completely crushed. The parallel is לעהות כצרה with the datival ל (as probably also in x. 1). איתות from אים from אים אוויים (time, and then both continuance, lxxxi. 16, and condition) signifies the public relations of the time, or even the vicissitudes of private life, xxxi. 16; and בצרה is not איל with (Böttch.), which gives an expression that is meaninglessly minute ("for times in the need"), but one word, formed from לביב (to cut off, Arab. to see, prop. to discern keenly), just like בַּקשה from בָּקשׁה, prop. a cutting off, or being cut off, i. e. either restraint, especially motionlessness (= מצרת Jer. xvii. 8, plur. בצרות Jer. xiv. 1), or distress, in which the prospect of deliverance is cut off. Since God is a final refuge for such circumstances of hopelessness in life, i. e. for those who are in such circumstances, the confidence of His people is strengthened, refreshed, and quickened. They who know His name, to them He has now revealed its character fully, and that by His acts; and they who inquire after Him, or

trouble and concern themselves about Him (this is what שֵׁלְיֵשׁ signifies in distinction from בָּלִשׁ), have now experienced that He also does not forget them, but makes Himself known to them in the fulness of His power and mercy.

Vers. 12—13. Thus then the j-strophe summons to the praise of this God who has done, and will still do, such things. The summons contains a moral claim, and therefore applies to all, and to each one individually. Jahve, who is to be praised everywhere and by every one, is called ישב ציון, which does not mean: He who sits enthroned in Zion, but He who inhabiteth Zion, Ges. § 138, 1. Such is the name by which He is called since the time when His earthly throne, the ark, was fixed on the castle hill of Jerusalem, lxxvi. 3. It is the epithet applied to Him during the period of the typical kingship of promise. That Jahve's salvation shall be proclaimed from Zion to all the world, even outside Israel, for their salvation, is, as we see here and elsewhere, an idea which throbs with life even in the Davidic Psalms; later prophecy beholds its realisation in its wider connections with the history of the future. That which shall be proclaimed to the nations is called עלילוחין, a designation which the magnalia Dei have obtained in the Psalms and the prophets since the time of Hannah's song, 1 Sam. ii, 3 (from לצל, root לע, to come over or upon anything, to influence a person or a thing, as it were, from above, to subject them to one's energy, to act upon them).

With p, quod, in ver. 13, the subject of the proclamation of salvation is unfolded as to its substance. The prætt. state that which is really past; for that which God has done is the assumption that forms the basis of the discourse in praise of God on account of His mighty acts. They consist in avenging and rescuing His persecuted church, — persecuted even to martyrdom. The proceed a standing by way of emphasis before its verb, refers to those who are mentioned afterwards (cf. ver. 21): the Chethib calls them put, the Kerî at one time placing the latter, at another the former, in the place of the one that stands in the text. They are both referable to bend (to bring low, Isa. xxv. 5). The neuter signification

of the verb אַנָר = עָנָה fut. o., underlies the noun ענו (cf. שלו), for which in Num. xii. 3 there is a Kerî עניו with an incorrect Jod (like שלין Job xxi. 23). This is manifest from the substantive ענוה, which does not signify affliction, but passiveness, i. e. humility and gentleness; and the noun y is passive, and therefore does not, like ענו, signify one who is lowly-minded, in a state of juy, but one who is bowed down by afflictions, עני But because the twin virtues denoted by ענוה are acquired in the school of affliction, there comes to be connected with yet only secondarily - the notion of that moral and spiritual condition which is aimed at by dispensations of affliction, and is joined with a suffering life, rather than with one of worldly happiness and prosperity, — a condition which, as Num. xii. 3 shews, is properly described by τως (ταπεινός and πραύς). It shall be proclaimed beyond Israel, even among the nations, that the Avenger of blood, דרשׁ דּמִים, thinks of them (His דֹרשׁ המים), and has been as earnest in His concern for them as they in theirs for Him. דמים always signifies human blood that is shed by violence and unnaturally; the plur. is the plural of the product discussed by Dietrich, Abhandl. S. 40. דרש to demand back from any one that which he has destroyed, and therefore to demand a reckoning, indemnification, satisfaction for it, Gen. ix. 5, then absolutely to punish, 2 Chron. xxiv. 22.

Vers. 14—15. To take this strophe as a prayer of David at the present time, is to destroy the unity and hymnic character of the Psalm, since that which is here put in the form of prayer appears in what has preceded and in what follows as something he has experienced. The strophe represents to us how the ייי עניים) cried to Jahve before the deliverance now experienced. Instead of the form ייי used everywhere else the resolved, and as it were tremulous, form ייי is designedly chosen. According to a better attested reading it is ייי ווא (Pathach with Gaja in the first syllable), which is regarded by Chajug and others as the imper. Piel, but more correctly (Ewald § 251, c) as the imper. Kal from the intransitive imperative form ייי is the vocative, cf. xvii. 7. The gates of death, i. e. the gates of the realm

of the dead (שאול, Isa. xxxviii. 10), are in the deep; he who is in peril of death is said to have sunk down to them; he who is snatched from peril of death is lifted up, so that they do not swallow him up and close behind him. The church, already very near to the gates of death, cried to the God who can snatch from death. Its final purpose in connection with such deliverance is that it may glorify God. The form is sing. with a plural suffix just like שנאחקד Ezek. xxxv. 11, אשמחענו Ezra ix. 15. The punctuists maintained (as עצחיך in Isa. xlvii. 13 shews) the possibility of a plural inflexion of a collective singular. In antithesis to the gates of death, which are represented as beneath the ground, we have the gates of the daughter of Zion standing on high. is gen. appositionis (Ges. § 116, 5). The daughter of Zion (Zion itself) is the church in its childlike, bride-like, and conjugal relation to Jahve. In the gates of the daughter of Zion is equivalent to: before all God's people, cxvi. 14. For the gates are the places of public resort and business. At this period the Old Testament mind knew nothing of the songs of praise of the redeemed in heaven. On the other side of the grave is the silence of death. If the church desires to praise God, it must continue in life and not die.

Vers. 16—17. And, as this \(\nu\)-strophe says, the church is able to praise God; for it is rescued from death, and those who desired that death might overtake it, have fallen a prey to death themselves. Having interpreted the n-strophe as the representation of the earlier צעקת ענוים we have no need to supply dicendo or dicturus, as Seb. Schmidt does, before this strophe, but it continues the prætt. preceding the nstrophe, which celebrate that which has just been experienced. The verb מבע (root שמ, whence also מבל) signifies originally to press upon anything with anything flat, to be pressed into, then, as here and in lxix. 3, 15, to sink in. אַטְמָנוּ זוֹ (pausal form in connection with Mugrash) in the parallel member of the verse corresponds to the attributive עשו (cf. יפעל, vii. 16). The union of the epicene א with יפעל by Makkeph proceeds from the view, that n is demonstrative as in xii. 8: the net there (which they have hidden). The punctuation, it is true, recognises a relative n, xvii. 9, lxviii. 29,

but it mostly takes it as demonstrative, inasmuch as it connects it closely with the preceding noun, either by Makkeph (xxxii. 8, lxii. 12, cxlii. 4, cxliii. 8) or by marking the noun with a conjunctive accent (x. 2, xxxi. 5, cxxxii. 12). The verb לֶבֶרְ (Arabic to hang on, adhere to, IV. to hold fast to) has the signification of seizing and catching in Hebrew.

In ver. 17 Ben Naphtali points נודע with \bar{a} : Jahve is known (part. Niph.); Ben Asher נוֹדע, Jahve has made Himself known (3 pers. præt. Niph. in a reflexive signification, as in Ezek.xxxviii.23). The readings of Ben Asher have become the textus receptus. That by which Jahve has made Himself known is stated immediately: He has executed judgment or right, by ensnaring the evil-deer (ישׁע), as in ver. 6) in his own craftily planned work designed for the destruction of Thus Gussetius has already interpreted it. נוֹקש is part. Kal from נַקשׁ. If it were part. Niph. from בָּקשׁ the ē, which occurs elsewhere only in a few עע verbs, as מנמם liquefactus, would be without an example. But it is not to be translated, with Ges. and Hengst.: "the wicked is snared in the work of his own hands", in which case it would have to be pointed אַנְקִשׁ (3 præt. Niph.), as in the old versions. Jahve is the subject, and the suffix refers to the evil-doer. The thought is the same as in Job xxxiv. 11, Isa. i. 31. This figure of the net, רשה (from ירשה capere), is peculiar to the Psalms that are inscribed לדוד. The music, and in fact, as the combination הגיון סלה indicates, the playing of the stringed instruments (xcii. 4), increases here; or the music is increased after a solo of the stringed instruments. The song here soars aloft to the climax of triumph.

Vers. 18—19. Just as in vers. 8 sqq. the prospect of a final universal judgment was opened up by Jahve's act of judgment experienced in the present, so here the grateful restrospect of what has just happened passes over into a confident contemplation of the future, which is thereby guaranteed. The LXX. translates you'd by ἀποστραφήτωσαν, Jer. convertantur, a meaning which it may have (cf. e. g. 2 Chron. xviii. 25); but why should it not be ἀναστραφήτωσαν, or rather: ἀναστραφήσονται, since ver. 19 shews that ver. 18 is not a wish but a prospect of that which is sure to come to pass? To

be resolved into dust again, to sink away into nothing (redactio in pulverem, in nihilum) is man's return to his original condition, — man who was formed from the dust, who was called into being out of nothing. To die is to return to the dust, civ. 29, cf. Gen. iii. 19, and here it is called the return to Sheol, as in Job xxx. 23 to death, and in xc. 3 to atoms, inasmuch as the state of shadowy existence in Hades, the condition of worn out life, the state of decay is to a certain extent the renewal (Repristination) of that which man was before he came into being. As to outward form לשאולה may be compared with לְּשִׁעֶהָה in lxxx. 3; the ל in both instances is that of the direction or aim, and might very well come before שאולה, because this form of the word may signify both έν ἄδου and εἰς ἄδου (cf. מְבַבֵּלָה Jer. xxvii. 16). R. Abba ben Zabda, in Genesis Rabba cap. 50, explains the double sign of the direction as giving intensity to it: in imum ambitum orci. The heathen receive the epithet of שכחי אלהים (which is more neuter than שׁבַּחִי, 1. 22); for God has not left them without a witness of Himself, that they could not know of Him, their alienation from God is a forgetfulness of Him, the guilt of which they have incurred themselves, and from which they are to turn to God (Isa. xix. 22). But because they do not do this, and even rise up in hostility against the nation and the God of the revelation that unfolds the plan of redemption, they will be obliged to return to the earth, and in fact to Hades, in order that the persecuted church may obtain its longed for peace and its promised dominion. Jahve will at last acknowledge this ecclesia pressa; and although its hope seems likely to perish, inasmuch as it remains again and again unfulfilled, nevertheless it will not always continue thus. The strongly accented the rules both members of ver. 19, as in xxxv. 19, xxxviii. 2, and also frequently elsewhere (Ewald § 351, a). אֶבִיון, from אָבָה to wish, is one eager to obtain anything = a needy person. The Arabic

أَبَي, which means the very opposite, and according to which it would mean "one who restrains himself", viz. because he is obliged to, must be left out of consideration.

Vers. 20—21. By reason of the act of judgment already

witnessed the prayer now becomes all the more confident in respect of the state of things which is still continually threatened. From the poet takes a leap to p which, however, seems to be a substitute for the > which one would expect to find, since the following Psalm begins with 5. David's קמה (iii. 8, vii. 7) is taken from the lips of Moses, Num. x. 35. "Jahve arises, comes, appears" are kindred expressions in the Old Testament, all of which point to a final personal appearing of God to take part in human history from which He has now, as it were, retired into a state of repose becoming invisible to human eyes. Hupfeld and others wrongly translate "let not man become strong". The verb ijy does not only mean to be or become strong, but also to feel strong, powerful, possessed of power, and to act accordingly, therefore: to defy, lii. 9, like y defiant, impudent (post-biblical און shamelessness). און as in 2 Chron. xiv. 10, is man, impotent in comparison with God, and frail in himself. The enemies of the church of God are not unfrequently designated by this name, which indicates the impotence of their pretended power (Isa. li. 7, 12). David prays that God may repress the arrogance of these defiant ones, by arising and manifesting Himself in all the greatness of His omnipotence, after His forbearance with them so long has seemed to them to be the result of impotence. He is to arise as the Judge of the world, judging the heathen, while they are compelled to appear before Him, and, as it were, defile before Him (על-פני), He is to lay מורה on them. If "razor" be the meaning it is equivocally expressed; and if, according to Isa. vii. 20, we associate with it the idea of an ignominious rasure, or of throat-cutting, it is a figure unworthy of the passage. The signification master (LXX., Syr., Vulg., and Luther) rests upon the reading מוֹרָה, which we do not with Thenius and others prefer to the traditional reading (even Jerome translates: pone, Domine, terrorem eis); for מוֹרָה, which according to the Masora is instead of מכלה (like מכלה Hab. iii. 17 for מכלא), is perfectly appropriate. Hitzig objects that fear is not a thing which one lays upon any one; but means not merely fear, but an object, or as Hitzig himself explains it in Mal. ii. 5 a "lever", of fear. It is not meant

PSALM X.

PLAINTIVE AND SUPPLICATORY PRAYER UNDER THE PRESSURE OF HEATHENISH FOES AT HOME AND ABROAD.

- 1 > WHY, Jahve, standest Thou afar off, Why kidest Thou Thyself in times of trouble!?
- 2 Through the pride of the evil-doer the afflicted burneth with fear,

They are taken in the plots which they have devised.

- 3 For the evil-doer boasteth of his soul's desire, And the covetous renounceth [and] despiseth Jahve.
- 4 The evil-doer in his scornfulness —: "With nothing will He punish!

There is no God!" is the sum of his thoughts.

- 5 Sure are his ways at all times; Far above are Thy judgments, out of his sight; All his adversaries, he puffeth at them.
- G He saith in his heart: with nothing shall I be moved, From one generation to another I am he to whom no misfortune comes.
- 7 Of cursing is his mouth full, and of deceit and oppression,
 - Under his tongue is trouble and evil.

- 8 He sitteth in the lurking-places of the villages, In the secret corners doth he slay the innocent; His eyes, they lie in wait for the weak.
- 9 He lieth in wait in the secret corner as a lion in his lair,

He lieth in wait to carry off the afflicted,

He carrieth off the afflicted, drawing him away in his net.

- 10 He croucheth, he cowereth and there fall into his claws
 the weak.
- He saith in his heart: "God hath forgotten, He hath hidden His face, He hath never seen."
- 12 p Arise, Jahve; O God lift up Thine hand, Forget not the sufferer!
- Wherefore should the evil-doer blaspheme the Deity, Saying in his heart: Thou dost not punish?!
- 14 7 Thou dost indeed see it; for Thou beholdest trouble and grief, to lay it in Thy hand; The weak committeth himself to Thee, Thou art the helper of the orphan.
- 15 w Break Thou the arm of the evil-doer;
 And the wicked man punish his evil-doing, that it may vanish before Thee!
- Jahve is King for ever and ever, The heathen are perished out of His land.
- 17 n The desire of the sufferers hast Thou heard, Jahve,
 Thou didst establish their heart, didst cause Thine ear
 to hear,
- 18 To obtain justice for the orphan and the oppressed, That man of the earth may no more terrify.

This Psalm and Ps. xxxiii. are the only ones that are anonymous in the First book of the Psalms. But Ps. x. has something peculiar about it. The LXX. gives it with Ps. ix. as one Psalm, and not without a certain amount of warrant

PSALM X. 175

for so doing. Both are laid out in tetrastichs; only in the middle portion of Ps. x. some three line strophes are mixed with the four line. And assuming that the p-strophe, with which Ps. ix. closes, stands in the place of a 3-strophe which one would look for after the '-strophe, then Ps. x., beginning with 5, continues the order of the letters. At any rate it begins in the middle of the alphabet, whereas Ps. ix. begins at the beginning. It is true the 5-strophe is then followed by strophes without the letters that come next in order; but their number exactly corresponds to the letters between מ, ב, ק and ה, ה, א with which the last four strophes of the Psalm begin, viz. six, corresponding to the letters p, 2, D, y, D, y, which are not introduced acrostically. In addition to this it is to be remarked that Ps. ix. and x. are most intimately related to one another by the occurrence of rare expressions, as לעתות בצרה and קד; by the use of words in the same sense, as אנוים and נוים; by striking thoughts, as "Jahve doth not forget" and "Arise"; and by similarities of style, as the use of the oratio directa instead of obliqua, ix. 21, x. 13. And yet it is impossible that the two Psalms should be only one. Notwithstanding all their community of character they are also radically different. Ps. ix. is a thanksgiving Psalm, Ps. x. is a supplicatory Psalm. In the latter the personality of the psalmist, which is prominent. in the former, keeps entirely in the background. The enemies whose defeat Ps. ix. celebrates with thanksgiving and towards whose final removal it looks forward are Dill, therefore foreign foes; whereas in Ps. x. apostates and persecutors of his own nation stand in the foreground, and the Dyl are only mentioned in the last two strophes. In their form also the two Psalms differ insofar as Ps. x. has no musical mark defining its use, and the tetrastich strophe structure of Ps. ix., as we have already observed, it not carried out with the same consistency in Ps. x. And is anything really wanting to the perfect unity of Ps. ix.? If it is connected with Ps. x. and they are read together uno tenore, then the latter becomes a tail-piece which disfigures the whole. There are only two things possible: Ps. x. is a pendant to Ps. ix. composed either by David himself, or by some other poet, and

closely allied to it by its continuance of the alphabetical order. But the possibility of the latter becomes very slight when we consider that Ps. x. is not inferior to Ps. ix. in the antiquity of the language and the characteristic nature of the thoughts. Accordingly the mutual coincidences point to the same author, and the two Psalms must be regarded as "two co-ordinate halves of one whole, which make a higher unity" (Hitz.). That hard, dull, and tersely laconic language of deep-seated indignation at moral abominations for which the language has, as it were, no one word, we detect also elsewhere in some Psalms of David and of his time, those Psalms, which we are accustomed to designate as Psalms written in the indignant style (in grollendem Stil).

Vers. 1—2. The Psalm opens with the plaintive inquiry, why Jahve tarries in the deliverance of His oppressed people. It is not a complaining murmuring at the delay that is expressed by the question, but an ardent desire that God may not delay to act as it becomes His nature and His promise. אבה, which belongs to both members of the sentence, has the accent on the ultima, as e. g. before עוב הני in xxii. 2, and before הרעתה in Ex. v. 22, in order that neither of the two gutturals, pointed with a, should be lost to the ear in rapid speaking (vid. on iii. 8, and Luzzatto on Isa. xi. 2, נהה עליין).* For according to the primitive pronunciation (even before the Masoretic) it is to be read: lamah Adonaj; so that consequently 7 and 8 are coincident. The poet asks why in the present hopeless condition of affairs (on מַבֶּל vid. on ix. 10) Jahve stands in the distance (בַרְהוּק, only here, instead of מָרְהוּק), as an idle spectator, and why does He cover (מֵרְהוּק)

^{*} According to the Masora (and without Dag. is always Milra with the single exception of Job vii. 20, and (and with Dag. is Milel; but, when the following closely connected word begins with one of the letters Nin it becomes Milra, with five exceptions, viz. xlix. 6, 1 Sam. xxviii. 15, 2 Sam, xiv. 31 (three instances in which the guttural of the second word has the vowel i), and 2 Sam. ii. 22, and Jer. xv. 18. In the Babylonian system of pointing, (and is always written without Dag. and with the accent on the penultimate, vid. Pinsker, Einleitung in das Babylonischhebräische Punktationssystem, S. 182—184.

with orthophonic Dagesh, in order that it may not be pronounced מעלים), viz. His eyes, so as not to see the desperate condition of His people, or also His ears (Lam. iii. 56) so as not to hear their supplication. For by the insolent treatment of the ungodly the poor burns with fear (Ges., Stier, Hupf.), not vexation (Hengst.). The assault is a πύρωσις. 1 Pet. iv, 12. The verb דַלָּק which calls to mind הַלָּק, הּטְבָּב, הּטְבָּב, τός, is perhaps chosen with reference to the heat of feeling under oppression, which is the result of the persecution, of the of the ungodly. There is no harshness in the transition from the singular to the plural, because by and are individualising designations of two different classes of men. The subject to עניים is the עניים, and the subject to השבו is the בשעים. The futures describe what usually takes place. Those who, apart from this, are afflicted are held ensuared in the crafty and malicious devices which the ungodly have contrived and plotted against them, without being able to disentangle themselves. The punctuation, which places Tarcha by 7, mistakes the relative and interprets it: "in the plots there, which they have devised".

Vers. 3-4. The prominent features of the situation are supported by a detailed description. The prætt. express those features of their character that have become a matter of actual experience. 527, to praise aloud, generally with the accus., is here used with y of the thing which calls forth Far from hiding the shameful desire or passion (cxii. 10) of his soul, he makes it an object and ground of high and sounding praise, imagining himself to be above all restraint human or divine. Hupfeld translates wrongly: "and he blesses the plunderer, he blasphemes Jahve". But the בַּעֵע who persecutes the godly, is himself a בַּעָע, a covetous or rapacious person; for such is the designation (elsewhere with בַּצָע Prov. i. 19, or בַּצַע רָע Hab. ii. 9) not mérely of one who "cuts off" (بضع), i. e. obtains unjust gain, by trading, but also by plunder, πλεονέχτης. The verb כרה (here in connection with Mugrash, as in Num. xxiii. 20 with Tiphcha חברה) never directly signifies maledicere in biblical Hebrew as it does in the later Talmudic (whence ברכת השם blasphemy, B. Sanhedrin 56 a, and frequently), but to take leave of any one with a benediction, and then to bid farewell, to dismiss,

to decline and abandon generally, Job i. 5, and frequently (cf. the word remercier, abdanken; and the phrase "das Zeitliche segnen" - to depart this life). The declaration without a conjunction is climactic, like Isa. i. 4, Amos iv. 5, Jer. xv. 7. גאץ, properly to prick, sting, is used of utter rejection by word and deed.* In ver. 4, "the evil-doer according to his haughtiness" (cf. Prov. xvi. 18) is nom. absol., and בל-ידרש אין אלהים (contrary to the accentuation) is virtually the predicate to בָל־מִוּמוֹתָיו. This word, which denotes the intrigues of the ungodly, in ver. 2, has in this verse, the general meaning: thoughts (from מות, ל, to join, combine), but not without being easily associated with the secondary idea of that which is subtly devised. The whole texture of his thoughts is, i. e. proceeds from and tends towards the thought, that he (viz. Jahve, whom he does not like to name) will punish with nothing (52 the strongest form of subjective negation), that in fact there is no God at all. This second follows from the first; for to deny the existence of a living, acting, all-punishing (in one word: a personal) God, is equivalent to denying the existence of any real and true God whatever (Ewald).

Ver. 5. This strophe, consisting of only three lines, describes his happiness which he allows nothing to disturb. The signification: to be lasting (prop. stiff, strong) is secured to the verb אָרָל (whence חַרֵּל) by Job xx. 21. He takes whatever ways he choses, they always lead to the desired end; he stands fast, he neither stumbles nor goes astray, cf. Jer. xii. 1. The Chethîb אורכו (בְּרַבוֹי) has no other meaning than that given to it by the Kerî (cf. xxiv. 6, lviii. 8). Whatever might cast a cloud over his happiness does not trouble him: neither the judgments of God, which are removed high as the heavens out of his sight, and consequently do not disturb his conscience (cf. xxviii. 5, Isa. v. 12; and the opposite, xviii. 23), nor his adversaries whom he bloweth upon contemptuously. מַרוֹכ is the predicate: altissime remota. And מַרוֹכ , to breathe upon, does not in any case signify:

^{*}Pasek stands between און האן, because to blaspheme God is a terrible thought and not to be spoken of without hesitancy, cf. the Pasek in lxxiv. 18, lxxxix. 52, Isa. xxxvii. 24 (2 Kings xix. 23).

actually to blow away or down (to express which בְּשַׁרְּ or would be used), but either to "snub", or, what is more appropriate to ver. 5 b, to blow upon them disdainfully, to puff at them, like הַּבּה in Mal. i. 13, and flare rosas (to despise the roses) in Prudentius. The meaning is not that he drives his enemies away without much difficulty, but that by his proud and haughty bearing he gives them to understand how little they interfere with him.

Vers. 6-7. Then in his boundless carnal security he gives free course to his wicked tongue. That which the believer can say by reason of his fellowship with God, בל-אמוט (xxx. 7, xvi. 8), is said by him in godless self-confidence. He looks upon himself in age after age, i. e. in the endless future, as אֵשֵר לֹא כַרַע, i. e. as one who (אַשר as in Isa. viii. 20) will never be in evil case ברע) as in Ex. v. 19, 2 Sam. xvi. 8). It might perhaps also be interpreted according to Zech. viii. 20, 23 (vid. Köhler, in loc.): in all time to come (it will come to pass) that I am not in misfortune. But then the personal pronoun (הוא or אני) ought not be omitted; whereas with our interpretation it is supplied from אמול, and there is no need to supply anything if the clause is taken as an apposition: in all time to come he who... In connection with such unbounded self-confidence his mouth is full of אלה, cursing, execratio (not perjury, perjurium, a meaning the word never has), מָרְמוּת, deceit and craft of every kind, and an oppression, violence. And that which he has under his tongue, and consequently always in readiness for being put forth (cxl. 4, cf. lxvi. 17), is trouble for others, and in itself matured wickedness. Paul has made use of this ver. 7 in his contemplative description of the corruptness of mankind, Rom. iii. 14.

Ver. 8. The ungodly is described as a lier in wait; and one is reminded by it of such a state of anarchy, as that described in Hos. vi. 9 for instance. The picture fixes upon one simple feature in which the meanness of the ungodly culminates; and it is possible that it is intended to be taken as emblematical rather than literally. آبلا (from أبلا to surround, cf. عمر , and especially عمر) is a farm premises walled in (Arab. hadar, hadâr, hadâra), then losing the special characteristic of being walled round it

comes to mean generally a settled abode (with a house of clay or stone) in opposition to a roaming life in tents (cf. Lev. xxv. 31, Gen. xxv. 16). In such a place where men are more sure of falling into his hands than in the open plain, he lies in wait (ישב, like ב subsedit = insidiatus est ei), murders unobserved him who had never provoked his vengeance, and his eyes להלכה יצפֿנו to spie, xxxvii. 32, might have been used instead of joy; but joy also obtains the meaning, to lie in ambush (lvi. 7, Prov. i. 11, 18) from the primary notion of restraining one's self (مففر. i. in Beduin Arabic: to keep still, to be immoveably lost in thought, vid. on Job xxiv. 1), which takes a transitive turn in pr "to conceal". חלכה, the dative of the object, is pointed just as though it came from from thy host, i. e. Thy church, O Jahve. The pausal form accordingly is הלכה with Segol, in ver. 14, not with Tsere as in incorrect editions. And the appeal against this interpretation, which is found in the plur. הלכמים ver. 10, is set aside by the fact that this plural is taken as a double word: host (היל = חיל = חיל as in Obad. ver. 20) of the troubled ones (באים, not as Ben-Labrat supposes, for נכאים, but from פאה weary, and mellow and decayed), as the Kerî (which is followed by the Syriac version) and the Masora direct, and accordingly it is pointed הלכאים with Tsere. The punctuation therefore sets aside a word which was unintelligible to it, and cannot be binding on us. There is a verb קַלַה, which, it is true, does not occur in the Old Testament, but in the Arabic, from the root de firmus fuit, firmum fecit (whence also La intrans. to be firm, fermé, i. e. closed), it gains the signification in reference to colour: to be dark (cognate with חבל, whence חבלילי) and is also transferred to the gloom and blackness of misfortune.* From this an abstract is formed חלד or חלד (like שלה):

^{*}Cf. Samachschari's Golden Necklaces, Proverb 67, which Fleischer translates: "Which is blacker: the plumage of the raven, which is black as coal, or thy life, O stranger among strangers?" The word "blacker" is here expressed by 'List, just as the verb with its infinitives or all and its derivatives is applied to sorrow and misery.

blackness, misfortune, or also of a defective development of the senses: imbecility; and from this an adjective הַּלְבָּי הַיּלְבָּי הַ, or also (cf. עְלְבָּי, הַבְּעִּילְבָּי, Ezek. xxxi. 15 — one in a condition of languishing, הַלְבָּי — הָלְבָּי הַ הַלְבָּי הַ, רְּלְבָּי הַ, הַּוֹרָי הַיִּי הָלְבָּי הַ, הַּוֹרָי הַיִּי הָּיִר הַ, הַּוֹרָי הַיִּי הַ, הַּוֹרָי הִי הַיִּר הָּיִר הַ, בּיִר הַיִּי הַ, בּיִר הַיִּי הַבּיי הַ, בּיִר הַיִּי הַ, בּיר הַיִּי הַ, בּיר הַיִּי הַ, בּיר הַיִּי הַיִּי הַ, בּיר הַיִּי הַבּיי הַ, בּיר הַיִּי הַּיִּי הַ בּיר הַּיִּי הַ בּיר הַיִּי הַבְּיִי הַּיִּי הַבְּיִי הַ בְּיבְּיִים הַּיִּי הַבְּיִים הַּיִּי הַבְּיִים הַּיִּי בְּיִיים הַּיִּי בְּיִים הַּיִּי הַבְּיִים הַּיִּים בּיי הַבְּיִים הַּיִּים בּיי הַיִּי בְּיִיים הַּיִּים בּיי הַיִּינְים בּיי הַבְּיִים בּייִי בּיִּיים בּייִים בּייִי בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִיים בּיייים בּייִיים בּיייים בּייִיים בּיייים בּיייִים בּיייים בּיייים בּייִיים בּיייים בּייים בּיייים בּיייים בּיייים בּיייים בּיייים בּיייים בּיייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּיייים בּייים ב

Ver. 9. The picture of the yay, who is become as it were a beast of prey, is now worked out further. The lustrum of the lion is called in Jer. xxv. 38, or jet Job xxxviii. 40: a thicket, from jet, which means both to interweave and to plait over — to cover (without any connection with it a thorn, Arab. shôk, a thistle). The figure of the lion is reversed in the second line, the yet himself being compared to the beast of prey and the yet to a hunter who drives him into the pit-fall and when he has fallen in hastens to drag him away (jet a, as in xxviii. 3, Job xxiv. 22) in, or by means of (Hos. xi. 4, Job xl. 25), his net, in which he has become entangled.

Vers. 10—11. The comparison to the lion is still in force here and the description recurs to its commencement in the second strophe, by tracing back the persecution of the ungodly to its final cause. Instead of the Chethib וַרְכָה) ורכה perf. consec.), the Kerî reads יובה more in accordance with the Hebrew use of the tenses. Job xxxviii. 40 is the rule for the interpretation. The two futures depict the settled and familiar lying in wait of the plunderer. True, the Kal in the signification "to crouch down" finds no support elsewhere; but the Arab. dakka to make even (cf. out, firmiter inhæsit loco, of the crouching down of beasts of prey, of hunters, and of foes) and the Arab. dagga, compared by Hitzig, to move stealthily along, to creep, and dugjeh a hunter's hiding-place exhibit synonymous significations. The ταπεινώσει αὐτόν of the LXX. is not far out of the way. And one can still discern in it the assumption that the text is to be read ודכה ישה: and crushed he sinks (Aquila: δ δὲ λασθείς καμφθήσεται); but even is not found elsewhere, and if the poet meant that, why could he not have written נרבה? (cf. moreover Judges v. 27). If נרבה is taken in the sense of a position in which one is the least likely to be seen, then the first two verbs refer to the sculker, but the third according to the usual schema (as e.g. cxxiv. 5) is the

predicate to הלכאים) going before it. Crouching down as low as possible he lies on the watch, and the feeble and defenceless fall into his strong ones, עצוקיו, i. e. claws. Thus the ungodly slays the righteous, thinking within himself: God has forgotten, He has hidden His face, i. e. He does not concern Himself about these poor creatures and does not wish to know anything about them (the denial of the truth expressed in ix. 13, 19.); He has in fact never been one who sees, and never will be. These two thoughts are blended; with the perf. as in xxi. 3, and the addition of (cf. xciv. 7) denies the possibility of God seeing now any more than formerly, as being an absolute absurdity. The thought of a personal God would disturb the ungodly in his doings, he therefore prefers to deny His existence, and thinks: there is only fate and fate is blind, only an absolute and it has no eyes, only a notion and that cannot interfere in the affairs of men.

Vers. 12-13. The six strophes, in which the consecutive letters from p to z are wanting, are completed, and now the acrostic strophes begin again with p. In contrast to those who have no God, or only a lifeless idol, the psalmist calls upon his God, the living God, to destroy the appearance that He is not an omniscient Being, by arising to action. We have more than one name of God used here; is a vocative just as in xvi. 1, lxxxiii, 2, cxxxix. 17, 23. He is to lift up His hand in order to help and to punish (שוֹא יד), whence comes the imperat. אָשׁא = נְשָׂא, cf. וֹנָםָה iv. 7, like עלה יַר exxxviii. 7 and נַטָה יַר Ex. vii. 5 elsewhere). Forget not is equivalent to: fulfil the לא שבה of ix. 13, put to shame the שכה אל of the ungodly, ver. 11! Our translation follows the Kerî ענוים. That which is complained of in vers. 3, 4 is put in the form of a question to God in ver. 13: wherefore (על-מה instead of which we find על-מה in Num. xxii. 32, Jer. ix. 11, because the following words begin with letters of a different class) does it come to pass, i. e. is it permitted to come to pass? On the perf. in this interrogative clause vid. xi. 3. מרוע inquires the cause, למה the aim, and the motive, or in general the reason: on what ground, since God's holiness can suffer no injury to His honour?

On בי with כי, the oratio directa instead of obliqua, vid. on ix. 21.

Ver. 14. Now comes the confirmation of his cry to God: It is with Him entirely different from what the ungodly imagine. They think that He will not punish; but He does see (cf. 2 Chron. xxiv. 22), and the psalmist knows and confesses it: ראָתָה (defective = אַרְאָרָה xxxv. 22), Thou hast seen and dost see what is done to Thine own, what is done to the innocent. This he supports by a conclusion a genere ad speciem thus: the trouble which is prepared for others, and the sorrow (DyD, as in Eccl. vii. 3) which they cause them, does not escape the all-seeing eye of God, He notes it all, to give it into (lay it in) His hand. "To give anything into any one's hand" is equivalent to, into his power (1 Kings xx. 28, and frequently), or into any one's care (Gen. xxxii. 17, and frequently); but here God gives (lays) the things which are not to be administered, but requited, into His own hand. The expression is meant to be understood according to lvi. 9, cf. Isa. xlix. 16: He is observant of the afflictions of His saints, laying them up in His hand and preserving them there in order, in His own time, to restore them to His saints in joy, and to their enemies in punishment. Thus, therefore, the feeble and helpless (read חלבה or חלבה; according to the Masoretic text חלבה Thy host, not הלכה, which is contrary to the character of the form, as pausal form for הלכה) can leave to Him, viz. all his burden (יְהַבוּי, lv. 23), everything that vexes and disquiets him. Jahve has been and will be the Helper of the fatherless. rands prominent by way of emphasis, like מה ix. 13, and Bakius rightly remarks in voce pupilli synecdoche est, complectens omnes illos, qui humanis præsidiis destituuntur.

Vers. 15—16. The desire for Jahve's interposition now rises again with fresh earnestness. It is a mistake to regard and אַבָּהָ as correlative notions. In the phrase to seek and not find, when used of that which has totally disappeared, we never have בַּקָשׁ, but always בַּקָשׁ, xxxvii. 36, Isa. xli. 12, Jer. 1. 20, and frequently. The verb בַּקַשׁ signifies here exactly the same as in vers. 4, 13, and ix. 13: "and the wicked (nom. absol. as in ver. 4) — mayst Thou punish his

wickedness, mayst Thou find nothing more of it". It is not without a meaning that, instead of the form of expression usual elsewhere (xxxvii, 36, Job xx. 8), the address to Jahve is retained: that which is no longer visible to the eye of God, not merely of man, has absolutely vanished out of existence. This absolute conquest of evil is to be as surely looked for, as that Jahve's universal kingship, which has been an element of the creed of God's people ever since the call and redemption of Israel (Ex. xv. 18), cannot remain without being perfectly and visibly realised. His absolute and eternal kingship must at length be realised, even in all the universality and endless duration foretold in Zech. xiv. 9, Dan. vii. 14, Apoc. xi. 15. Losing himself in the contemplation of this kingship, and beholding the kingdom of God, ' the kingdom of good, as realised, the psalmist's vision stretches beyond the foes of the church at home to its foes in general; and, inasmuch as the heathen in Israel and the heathen world outside of Israel are blended together into one to his mind, he comprehends them all in the collective name of Dill, and sees the land of Jahve (Lev. xxv. 23), the holy land, purified of all oppressors hostile to the church and its God. It is the same that is foretold by Isaiah (lii. 1), Nahum (ii. 1), and in other passages, which, by the anticipation of faith, here stands before the mind of the suppliant as an accomplished fact - viz. the consummation of the judgment, which has been celebrated in the hymnic half (Ps. ix.) of this double Psalm as a judgment already executed in part.

Vers. 17—18. Still standing on this eminence from which he seems to behold the end, the poet basks in the realisation of that which has been obtained in answer to prayer. The ardent longing of the meek and lowly sufferers for the arising, the parusia of Jahve (Isa. xxvi. 8), has now been heard by Him, and that under circumstances which find expression in the following futt., which have a past signification: God has given and preserved to their hearts the right disposition towards Himself (הַבְּיִן), as in lxxviii. 8, Job xi, 13, Sir. ii. 17 ἐτοιμάζειν χαρδίας, post-biblical μ.* and to be

^{*} B. Berachoth 31a: the man who prays must direct his heart steadfastly towards God (בון לשמים).

understood according to 1 Sam. vii. 3, 2 Chron. xx, 33, cf. לב נבון li. 12, lxxviii. 37; it is equivalent to "the single eye" in the language of the New Testament), just as, on the other hand, He has set His ear in the attitude of close attention to their prayer, and even to their most secret sighings (הַקשׁיב with אָן, as in Prov. ii. 2; to stiffen the ear, from בֿעשב, root up to be hard, rigid, firm, from which we also have , פֿשיט, פֿשים, פֿשון, פֿשון, פֿשון, פֿר. on Isa. xxi. 7). It was a mutual relation, the design of which was finally and speedily to obtain justice for the fatherless and oppressed, yea crushed, few, in order that mortal man of the earth may no longer בל), as in Isa. xiv. 21, and in post-biblical Hebrew בָל and instead of ובל instead of ובו terrify. From the parallel conclusion, ix. 20, 21, it is to be inferred that will does not refer to the oppressed but to the oppressor, and is therefore intended as the subject; and then the phrase מְן־הָאָרֵץ also belongs to it, as in xvii. 14, people of the world, lxxx. 14 boar of the woods, whereas in Prov. xxx. 14 מַאָרֶץ belongs to the verb (to devour from off the earth). It is only in this combination that אַנוֹשׁ מִן־הַאָּרֵץ forms with לערץ a significant paronomasia, by contrasting the conduct of the tyrant with his true nature: a mortal of the earth, i. e. a being who, far removed from any possibility of vying with the God who is in heaven, has the earth as his birth-place. It is not מן־האַדְמַה, for the earth is not referred to as the material out of which man is formed, but as his ancestral house, his home, his bound, just as in the expression of John δ ων ἐχ τῆς γῆς, iii. 31 (Lat. ut non amplius terreat homo terrenus). A similar play of words was attempted before in ix. 20 אַל־יַעוֹ אָנוֹשׁ. The Hebrew verb עָרַץ signifies both to give way to fear, Deut. vii. 21, and to put in fear, Isa. ii. 19, 21, xlvii. 12. It does mean "to defy, rebel against", although it might have this meaning according to the Arabic عرض (to come in the way, withstand, according to which Wetzstein explains ערוץ Job xxx. 6, like عِرْض, "a valley that runs slantwise across a district, a gorge that blocks up the traveller's way".*). It is related to عرص to vibrate, tremble (e.g. of lightning).

^{*}Zeitschrift für Allgem. Erdkunde xviii (1865) 1, S. 30.

PSALM XI.

REFUSAL TO FLEE WHEN IN A PERILOUS SITUATION.

- 1 IN Jahve put I my trust how say ye to my soul: "Flee to your mountain [as] a bird!
- 2 "For, lo, the wicked have bent the bow,
 "They have made ready their arrow upon the string,
 "To shoot the upright in heart in the dark.
- 3 "When the pillars are pulled down,
 "The righteous what will he do?!"
- 4 Jahve in His holy temple,
 Jahve, who hath His throne in heaven —
 His eyes behold, His eyelids try the children of men.
- 5 Jahve, He trieth the righteous,
 And the wicked and him that loveth violence His soul
 hateth.
- 6 Upon the wicked He shall rain snares;
 Fire, brimstone, and burning wind is the portion of their cup.
- 7 For Jahve is righteous, loving righteousness: The upright shall behold His countenance.

Ps. xi., which likewise confidently sets the all-seeing eye of Jahve before the ungodly who carry out their murderous designs under cover of the darkness, is placed after Ps. x. The life of David (to whom even Hitzig and Ewald ascribe this Psalm) is threatened, the pillars of the state are shaken, they counsel the king to flee to the mountains. These are indications of the time when the rebellion of Absolom was secretly preparing, but still clearly discernible. Although hurrying on with a swift measure and clear in the principal thoughts, still this Psalm is not free from difficult points, just as it is with all the Psalms which contain similar dark passages from the internal condition of Israel. The gloomy condition of the nation seems to be reflected in the very language. The strophic plan is not easily discernible; nevertheless we cannot go far wrong in dividing the Psalm into two seven line strophes with a two line epiphonema.

Vers. 1—3. David rejects the advice of his friends to save his life by flight. Hidden in Jahve (xvi. 1, xxxvi. 8) he needs no other refuge. However well-meant and wellgrounded the advice, he considers it too full of fear and is himself too confident in God, to follow it. David also introduces his friends as speaking in other passages in the Psalms belonging to the period of the Absolom persecution, iii. 3, iv. 7. Their want of courage, which he afterwards had to reprove and endeavour to restore, shewed itself even before the storm had burst, as we see here. With the words "how can you say" he rejects their proposal as unreasonable, and turns it as a reproach against them. If the Chethîb, נורו, is adopted, then those who are well-disposed, say to David, including with him his nearest subjects who are faithful to him: retreat to your mountain, (ye) birds (7153 collective as in viii. 9, exlviii. 10); or, since this address sounds too derisive to be appropriate to the lips of those who are supposed to be speaking here: like birds (comparatio decurtata as in xxii. 14, lviii. 9, Job xxiv. 5, Isa. xxi. 8). pyromich seems more natural in connection with the vocative rendering of year (cf. Isa. xviii. 6 with Ezek. xxxix. 4) may also be explained, with the comparative rendering, without any need for the conjecture הר כמו צפור (cf. Deut. xxxiii. 19), as a retrospective glance at the time of the persecution under Saul: to the mountains, which formerly so effectually protected you (cf. 1 Sam. xxvi. 20, xxiii. 14). But the Kerî, which is followed by the ancient versions, exchanges נודי, cf. שהי Isa. li. 23. Even reading it thus we should not take אָפָּעָר, which certainly is epicoene, as vocative: flee to your mountain, O bird (Hitz.); and for this reason, that this form of address is not appropriate to the idea of those who profer their counsel. But we should take it as an equation instead of a comparison: fly to your mountain (which gave you shelter formerly), a bird, i. e. after the manner of a bird that flies away to its mountain home when it is chased in the plain. But this Keri appears to be a needless correction, which removes the difficulty of לנפשי coming after לנפשי, by putting another in the place of this synallage numeri.*

^{*} According to the above rendering: "Flee ye to your mountain,

In ver. 2 the faint-hearted ones give as the ground of their advice, the fearful peril which threatens from the side of crafty and malicious foes. As הנה implies, this danger is imminent. The perfect overrides the future: they are not only already in the act of bending the bow, they have made ready their arrow, i. e. their deadly weapon, upon the string (חר = מיחר, xxi. 13, Arab. watar, from יחר, watara, to stretch tight, extend, so that the thing is continued in one straight line) and even taken aim, in order to discharge it (ירה with 5 of the aim, as in lxiv. 5, with acc. of the object) in the dark (i. e. secretly, like an assassin) at the upright (those who by their character are opposed to them). In ver. 3 the faint-hearted still further support their advice from the present total subversion of justice. השחות are either the highest ranks, who support the edifice of the state, according to Isa. xix. 10, or, according to Ixxxii. 5, Ezek. xxx. 4, the foundations of the state, upon whom the existence and wellbeing of the land depends. We prefer the latter, since the king and those who are loyal to him, who are associated in thought with צדיק, are compared to the שתות. The construction of the clause beginning with is like Job xxxviii. 41. The fut. has a present signification. The perf. in the principal clause, as it frequently does elsewhere (e. g. xxxix. 8, lx. 11, Gen. xxi. 7, Num. xxiii. 10, Job xii. 9, 2 Kings xx. 9) in interrogative sentences, corresponds to the Latin conjunctive (here quid fecerit), and is to be expressed in English by the auxiliary verbs: when the bases of the state are shattered, what can the righteous do? he can do nothing. And all counter-effort is so useless that it is well to be as far from danger as possible.

Vers. 4—6. The words of David's counsellors who fear for him are now ended. And David justifies his confidence in God with which he began his song. Jahve sits enthroned

a bird" it would require to be accented ווְרְנְ הַרְנְם צְּקוֹן (as a transformation from נוְרוֹ הַרְנִם צְּקוֹן, vid. Baer's Accentssystem XVIII. 2). The interpunction as we have it, הרכם צְּקוֹן, harmonises with the interpretation of Varenius as of Löb Spira (Pentateuch-Comm. 1815): Fugite (o socii Davidis), mons vester (h. e. præsidium vestrum, Ps. xxx. 8, cui innitimini) est avis errans.

above all that takes place on earth that disheartens those of little faith. At an infinite distance above the earth, and also above Jerusalem, now in rebellion, is a היכל לרש, xviii. 7, xxix. 9, and in this holy temple is Jahve, the Holy One. Above the earth are the heavens, and in heaven is the throne of Jahve, the King of kings. And this temple, this palace in the heavens, is the place whence issues the final decision of all earthly matters, Hab. ii. 20, Mic. i. 2. For His throne above is also the super-terrestrial judgment-seat, ix. 8, ciii. 19. Jahve who sits thereon is the all-seeing and omniscient One. חוה prop. to split, cf. cernere, is used here according to its radical meaning, of a sharp piercing glance. prop. to try metals by fire, of a fixed and penetrating look that sees into a thing to the foundation of its inmost nature. mention of the eyelids is intentional. When we observe a thing closely or ponder over it, we draw the eyelids together, in order that our vision may be more concentrated and direct, and become, as it were, one ray piercing through the object. Thus are men open to the all-seeing eyes, the allsearching looks of Jahve: the just and the unjust alike. He tries the righteous, i. e. He knows that in the depth of his soul there is an upright nature that will abide all testing (xvii. 3, Job xxiii. 10), so that He lovingly protects him, just as the righteous lovingly depends upon Him. And His soul hates (i. e. He hates him with all the energy of His perfectly and essentially holy nature) the evil-doer and him that delights in the violence of the strong towards the weak. And the more intense this hatred, the more fearful will be the judgments in which it bursts forth.

Ver. 7, which assumes a declaration of something that is near at hand, is opposed to our rendering the voluntative form of the fut., אברים, as expressive of a wish. The shorter form of the future is frequently indicative in the sense of the future, e. g. lxxii. 13, or of the present, e. g. lviii. 5, or of the past, xviii. 12. Thus it here affirms a fact of the future which follows as a necessity from vers. 4, 5. Assuming that שַּהְשָּׁ might be equivalent to שַּהְשָּׁ, even then the Hebrew שַהְשָּׁ, according to the general usage of the language, in distinction from הַּשְׁבָּוֹ, does not denote burning, but black coals. It ought therefore to have been שַּׁהְשָׁה. Hitzig

reads of from To ashes; but a rain of ashes is no medium of punishment. Böttcher translates it "lumps" according to Ex. xxxix. 3, Num. xvii. 3; but in these passages the word means thin plates. We adhere to the signification snares, Job xxii. 10, cf. xxi. 17, Prov. xxii. 5; and following the accentuation, we understand it to be a means of punishment by itself. First of all descends a whole discharge of missiles which render all attempt at flight impossible, viz. lightnings; for the lightning striking out its course and travelling from one point in the distance, bending itself like a serpent, may really be compared to a snare, or noose, thrown down from above. In addition to fire and brimstone (Gen. xix. 24) we have also רוה ולעבות. The LXX. renders it האבנות אמדמו. γίδος, and the Targum זְעָפַא עַלְעוּלֵא, procella turbinea. The root is not לעף, which cannot be sustained as a cognate form of לאב , להב to burn, but זעף, which (as Sam. v. 10 shews) exactly corresponds to the Latin æstuare which combines in itself the characteristics of heat and violent motion, therefore perhaps: a wind of flames, i. e. the deadly simoom, which, according to the present division of the verse is represented in connection with אש מפרים, as the breath of the divine wrath pouring itself forth like a stream of brimstone, Isa. xxx. 33. It thus also becomes clear how this can be called the portion of their cup, i. e. what is adjudged to them as the contents of their cup which they must drain off. המה (only found in the Davidic Psalms, with the exception of 2 Chron. xxxi. 4) is both absolutivus and constructivus according to Olshausen (§§ 108, c, 165, i), and is derived from manajath, or manawath, with the original feminine termination ath, the final weak radical being blended with it. According to Hupfeld it is constr., springing from מנים, like קצח (in Dan. and Neh.) from קצוח. But probably it is best to regard it as - מנות e גלות בלות or מנות, like גלות.

Ver. 7. Thus then Jahve is in covenant with David. Even though he cannot defend himself against his enemies, still, when Jahve gives free course to His hatred in judgment, they will then have to do with the powers of wrath and death, which they will not be able to escape. When the closing distich bases this different relation of God towards the righteous and the unrighteous and this judgment of the

latter on the righteousness of God, we at once perceive what a totally different and blessed end awaits the righteous. As Jahve Himself is righteous, so also on His part (1 Sam. xii. 7, Mic. vi. 5, and frequently) and on the part of man (Isa. xxxiii. 15) He loves אַרְקּאָת, the works of righteousness. The object of אהב (אהב (אהב stands at the head of the sentence, as in xcix. 4, cf. x. 14. In ver. 7b משר designates the upright as a class, hence it is the more natural for the predicate to follow in the plur. (cf. ix. 7, Job viii. 19) than to precede as elsewhere (Prov. xxviii. 1, Isa. xvi. 4). The rendering: "His countenance looks upon the upright man" (Hengst. and others) is not a probable one, just because one expects to find something respecting the end of the upright in contrast to that of the ungodly. This rendering is also contrary to the general usage of the language, according to which is always used only as that which is to be seen, not as that which itself sees. It ought to have been עינימן, xxxiii. 18, xxxiv. 16, Job xxxvi. 7. It must therefore be translated according to xvii. 15, cxl. 13: the upright (quisquis probus est) shall behold His countenance. The pathetic form פנימן instead of שניו was specially admissible here, where God is spoken of (as in Deut. xxxiii. 2, cf. Isa. xliv. 15). It ought not to be denied any longer that mo is sometimes (e.g. Job xx. 23, cf. xxii. 2, xxvii. 23) a dignified singular suffix. To behold the face of God is in itself impossible to mortals without dying. But when God reveals Himself in love, then He makes His countenance bearable to the creature. And to enjoy this vision of God softened by love is the highest honour God in His mercy can confer on a man; it is the blessedness itself that is reserved for the upright, cxl. 14. It is not possible to say that what is intended is a future vision of God; but it is just as little possible to say that it is exclusively a vision in this world. To the Old Testament conception the future עולם is certainly lost in the night of Sheôl. But faith broke through this night, and consoled itself with a future beholding of God, Job xix. 26. The redemption of the New Testament has realised this aspiration of faith, since the Redeemer has broken through the night of the realm of the dead, has borne on high with Him the

Old Testament saints, and translated them into the sphere of the divine love revealed in heaver.

PSALM XII.

LAMENT AND CONSOLATION IN THE MIDST OF PREVAILING FALSEHOOD.

- 2 HELP, Jahve, for the godly man ceaseth,
 For the faithful have vanished from among the children
 of men!
- 3 They speak falsehood one with another, Flattering lips with a double heart, they speak.
- 4 May Jahve root out all flattering lips, The tongue that speaketh great swelling words,
- 5 Which say: to our tongue we impart strength, Our lips are with us, who is lord over us?!
- 6 "Because of the desolation of the afflicted, the sighing of the poor,
 - "Will I now arise saith Jahve —
 "In safety will I set him who languisheth for it." —
 - 7 The words of Jahve are pure words, Silver melted down in the furnace, to the earth, Purified seven times.
 - 8 Thou, O Jahve, wilt defend them, Thou wilt preserve him from this generation for ever;
 - 9 The wicked strut about on every side, When vileness among the children of men is exalted.

Ps. xi. is appropriately followed by Ps. xii., which is of a kindred character: a prayer for the deliverance of the poor and miserable in a time of universal moral corruption, and more particularly of prevailing faithlessness and boasting. The inscription: To the Precentor, on the Octave, a Psalm of David points us to the time when the Temple music was being established, i. e. the time of David — incomparably the best age in the history of Israel, and yet, viewed in the light of the

spirit of holiness, an age so radically corrupt. The true people of Jahve were even then, as ever, a church of confessors and martyrs, and the sighing for the coming of Jahve was then not less deep than the cry "Come, Lord Jesus!" at the present time.

This Ps. xii. together with Ps. ii. is a second example of the way in which the psalmist, when under great excitement of spirit, passes over into the tone of one who directly hears God's words, and therefore into the tone of an inspired prophet. Just as lyric poetry in general, as being a direct and solemn expression of strong inward feeling, is the earliest form of poetry: so psalm-poetry contains in itself not only the mashal, the epos, and the drama in their preformative stages, but prophecy also, as we have it in the prophetic writings of its most flourishing period, has, as it were, sprung from the bosom of psalm-poetry. It is throughout a blending of prophetical epic and subjective lyric elements, and is in many respects the echo of earlier psalms, and even in some instances (as e.g. Isa. xii., Hab. iii.) transforms itself into the strain of a psalm. Hence Asaph is called in 2 Chron. xxix. 30, not from the special character of his Psalms, but from his being a psalmist in general; for Jeduthun has the same name given to him in 2 Chron. xxxv. 15, and in 1 Chron. xxv. 2 sq. (cf. προφητεύειν, Luke i. 67) is used directly as an epithet for psalm-singing with accompaniment — a clear proof that in prophecy the cooperation of a human element is no less to be acknowledged. than the influence of a divine element in psalm-poesy.

The direct words of Jahve, and the psalmist's Amen to them, form the middle portion of this Psalm — a six line strophe, which is surrounded by four line strophes.

Vers. 2—3. The sigh of supplication, הוֹשִׁיטָה, has its object within itself: work deliverance, give help; and the motive is expressed by the complaint which follows. The verb בַּיֵל to complete, means here, as in vii. 10, to have an end; and the aπ. אבץ. בַּיַּב is equivalent to בַּיִּב in lxxvii. 9, to come to the extremity, to cease. It is at once clear from the predicate being placed first in the plur., that אַמַּינִים in this passage is not an abstractum, as e. g. in Prov. xiii. 17.; moreover the parallelism is against it, just as in xxxi. 24.

is the pious man, as one who practises להמוד towards God and man. אָמֵוּן, primary form אָמוּן (plur. אָמוּן; whereas from אמון we should expect אמונים), — used as an adjective (cf. on the contrary Deut. xxxii. 20) here just as in xxxi. 24, 2 Sam. xx. 19, - is the reliable, faithful, conscientious man, literally one who is firm, i. e. whose word and meaning is firm, so that one can rely upon it and be certain in relation to it.* We find similar complaints of the universal prevalence of wickedness in Mic. vii. 2, Isa. lvii. 1, Jer. vii. 28, and elsewhere. They contain their own limitation. For although those who complain thus without pharisaic self-righteousness would convict themselves of being affected by the prevailing corruption, they are still, in their penitence, in their sufferings for righteousness' sake, and in their cry for help, a standing proof that humanity has not yet, without exception, become a massa perdita. That which the writer especially laments, is the prevailing untruthfulness. Men speak אוש (= אוש from שוא), desolation and emptiness under a disguise that conceals its true nature, falsehood (xli. 7), and hypocrisy (Job xxxv. 13), ξκαστος πρός τὸν πλησίον αὐτοῦ (LXX., cf. Ephes. iv. 25, where the greatness of the sin finds its confirmation according to the teaching of the New Testament: ὅτι ἐσμὲν ἀλλήλων μέλη). They speak lips of smoothnesses (הַלְּקוֹת, plural from הֶלֶקה, lævitates, or from הָלָקוֹת, lævia), i. e. the smoothest, most deceitful language (accusative of the object as in Isa. xix. 18) with a double heart, inasmuch, namely, as the meaning they deceitfully express to others, and even to themselves, differs from the purpose they actually cherish, or even (cf. 1 Chron. xii. 33 בלא לב בלב, and James i. 8 δίφυχος, wavering) inasmuch as the purpose they now so flatteringly put forth quickly changes to the very opposite.

Vers. 4—5. In this instance the voluntative has its own proper signification: may He root out (cf. cix. 15, and the oppositive xi. 6). Flattering lips and a vaunting tongue are

^{*}The Aryan root man to remain, abide (Neo-Persic manden), also takes a similar course, signifying usually "to continue in any course, wait, hope." So the old Persic man, Zend upaman, cf. péveu with its derivatives which are applied in several ways in the New Testament to characterise $\pi(\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma)$.

one, insofar as the braggart becomes a flatterer when it serves his own selfish interest. אֵשֶׁר refers to lips and tongue, which are put for their possessors. The Hiph. הנביר may mean either to impart strength, or to give proof of strength. The combination with 5, not 3, favours the former: we will give emphasis to our tongue (this is their self-confident declaration). Hupfeld renders it, contrary to the meaning of the Hiph.: over our tongue we have power, and Ewald and Olshausen, on the ground of an erroneous interpretation of Dan. ix. 27, render: we make or have a firm covenant with our tongue. They describe their lips as being their confederates (nx as in 2 Kings ix. 32), and by the expression "who is lord over us" they declare themselves to be absolutely free, and exalted above all authority. If any authority were to assert itself over them, their mouth would put it down and their tongue would thrash it into submission. But Jahve, whom this making of themselves into gods challenges, will not always suffer His own people to be thus enslaved.

Vers. 6-7. In ver. 6 the psalmist hears Jahve Himself speak; and in ver. 7 he adds his Amen. The two in ver. 6 denote the motive, עקן the decisive turning-point from forebearance to the execution of judgment, and יאמר the divine determination, which has just now made itself audible; cf. Isaiah's echo of it, Isa. xxxiii. 10. Jahve has hitherto looked on with seeming inactivity and indifference, now He will arise and place in ישע, i.e a condition of safety (cf. גַּיִים בַּהִיים, lxvi. 9), him who languishes for deliverance. It is not to be explained: him whom he, i. e. the boaster, blows upon, which would be expressed by פיה בו, cf. x, 5; but, with Ewald, Hengstenberg, Olshausen, and Böttcher, according to Hab. ii. 3, where הפיח ל occurs in the sense of panting after an object: him who longs for it. יפיה is, however, not a participial adjective = אָנָת לוּ, but the fut., and יפיק לוּ is therefore a relative clause occupying the place of the object, just as we find the same thing occurring in Job xxiv. 19, Isa. xli. 2, 25, and frequently. Hupfeld's rendering: "in order that he may gain breath (respiret)" leaves אישית without an object, and accords more with Aramaic and Arabic than with

Hebrew usage, which would express this idea by יְנוּתַ לוּ or

In ver. 7 the announcement of Jahve is followed by its echo in the heart of the seer: the words (ממרות instead of אמרוח by changing the Shebâ which closes the syllable into an audible one, as e.g. in אָשׁרִי) of Jahve are pure words, i. e. intended, and to be fulfilled, absolutely as they run without any admixture whatever of untruthfulness. The poetical אמרה (after the form מברה) serves pre-eminently as the designation of the divine power-words of promise. The figure, which is indicated in other instances, when God's word is said to be צרופה (xviii. 31, cxix. 140, Prov. xxx. 5), is here worked out: silver melted and thus purified בַּעָלִיל עליל לאַרץ signifies either a smelting-pot from אָליל, immittere, whence also y (Hitz.); or, what is more probable since the language has the epithets מַצֵּרֶךְ and מַצֵּרֶךְ for this: a workshop, from علّ, עלל, operari (prop. to set about a thing), first that which is wrought at (after the form שביל, פסיל, מעניל), then the place where the work is carried on. From this also comes the Talm. בנלוי = בעליל manifeste, occurring in the Mishna Rosh ha-Shana i. 5 and elsewhere, and which in its first meaning corresponds to the French en effet.* According to this, the לְאַרֵץ is not the ל of property: in a finingpot built into the earth, for which לארץ without anything further would be an inadequate and colourless expression. But in accordance with the usual meaning of מארץ as a collateral definition it is: smelted (purified) down to the earth. As Olshausen observes on this subject, "Silver that is purified in the furnace and flows down to the ground can be seen in every smelting hut; the pure liquid silver flows down out of the smelting furnace, in which the ore is piled up." For it cannot be 5 of reference: "purified with respect to the earth", since ארץ does not denote the earth as a material and cannot therefore mean an earthy element. We ought then to read לֵאבֵץ, which would not mean "to a white brilliancy", i. e. to a pure bright mass (Böttch.), but "with

^{*} On this word with reference to this passage of the Psalm vid. Steinschneider's Hebr. Bibliographie 1861, S. 83.

respect to the stannum, lead" (vid. on Isa. i. 25). The verb ppr to strain, filter, cause to ooze through, corresponds to the German seihen, seigen, old High German sihan, Greek ganner (gannitzer), to clean by passing through a cloth as a strainer, pw. God's word is solid silver smelted and leaving all impurity behind, and, as it were, having passed seven times through the smelting furnace, i.e. the purest silver, entirely purged from dross. Silver is the emblem of everything precious and pure (vid. Bähr, Symbol. i, 284); and seven is the number indicating the completion of any process (Bibl. Psychol. S. 57., transl. p. 71).

Vers. 8-9. The supplicatory complaint contained in the first strophe has passed into an ardent wish in the second; and now in the fourth there arises a consolatory hope based upon the divine utterance which was heard in the third strophe. The suffix em in ver. 8a refers to the miserable and poor; the suffix ennu in ver. 8b (him, not: us, which would be pointed הצרני, and more especially since it is not preceded by השמרנו refers back to the man who yearns for deliverance mentioned in the divine utterance, ver. 6. The "preserving for ever" is so constant, that neither now nor at any future time will they succumb to this generation. The oppression shall not become a thorough depression, the trial shall not exceed their power of endurance. What follows in ver. 9 is a more minute description of this depraved generation. זוֹק is the generation whole and entire bearing one general character and doing homage to the one spirit of the age (cf. e. g. Prov. xxx. 11-14, where the characteristics of a corrupt age are portrayed). N (always without the article, Ew. § 293, a) points to the present and the character it has assumed, which is again described here finally in a few outlines of a more general kind than in vers. 3—5. The wicked march about on every side (המהלד used of going about unopposed with an arrogant and vaunting mien), when (while) vileness among (5) the children of men rises to eminence (משׁל as in Prov. xi. 11, cf. משׁל Prov. xxix. 2), so that they come to be under its dominion. Vileness is called ולל from לל (cogn. לכל to be supple and lax, narrow, low, weak and worthless. The form is passive just as is the Talm. וְלוּה (from לַי = דָּל), and it

is the epithet applied to that which is depreciated, despised, and to be despised; here it is the opposite of the disposition and conduct of the noble man, נדים, Isa. xxxii. 8, -- a baseness which is utterly devoid not only of all nobler principles and motives, but also of all nobler feelings and impulses. The 2 of D72 is not the expression of simultaneousness (as e. g. in Prov. x. 25): immediately it is exalted for then ver. 9 would give expression to a general observation, instead of being descriptive — but Dis equivalent to DD, only it is intentionally used instead of the latter, to express a coincidence that is based upon an intimate relation of cause and effect, and is not merely accidental. The wicked are puffed up on all sides, and encompass the better disposed on every side as their enemies. Such is the state of things, and it cannot be otherwise at a time when men allow meanness to gain the ascendency among and over them, as is the case at the present moment. Thus even at last the depressing view of the present prevails in the amidst of the confession of a more consolatory hope. The present is gloomy. But in the central hexastich the future is lighted up as a consolation against this gloominess. The Psalm is a ring and this central oracle is its jewel.

PSALM XIII.

SUPPLIANT CRY OF ONE WHO IS UTTERLY UNDONE.

- 2 HOW long, Jahve, wilt Thou forget me, How long wilt Thou veil Thy face from me?!
- 3 How long shall I cherish cares in my soul, Sorrow in my heart by day?! How long shall mine enemy be exalted over me?!
- 4 Look, answer me, Jahve, my God, Lighten mine eyes, that I fall not asleep in death,
- 5 That mine enemy may not say: "I have prevailed against him",

That mine oppressors may not rejoice, when I stumble.

6 And as for me, in Thy mercy do I trust,
My heart shall rejoice at Thy salvation;
I will sing of Jahve, because He hath dealt bountifully
with me.

The ירום of the personal cry with which David opens Ps. xiii. harmonizes with בָּרָם of the general lament which he introduces into Ps. xii.; and for this reason the collector has coupled these two Psalms together. Hitzig assigns Ps. xiii. to the time when Saul posted watchers to hunt David from place to place, and when, having been long and unceasingly persecuted, David dared to cherish a hope of escaping death only by indefatigable vigilance and endurance. Perhaps this view is correct. The Psalm consists of three strophes, or if it be preferred, three groups of decreasing magnitude. A long deep sigh is followed, as from a relieved breast, by an already much more gentle and half calm prayer; and this again by the believing joy which anticipates the certainty of being answered. This song as it were casts up constantly lessening waves, until it becomes still as the sea when smooth as a mirror, and the only motion discernible at last is that of the joyous ripple of calm repose.

Vers. 2-3. The complicated question: till when, how long... for ever (as in lxxiv. 10, lxxix. 5, lxxxix. 47), is the expression of a complicated condition of soul, in which, as Luther briefly and forcibly describes it, amidst the feeling of anguish under divine wrath "hope itself despairs and despair nevertheless begins to hope". The self-contradiction of the question is to be explained by the conflict which is going on within between the flesh and the spirit. The dejected heart thinks: God has forgotten me for ever. But the spirit, which thrusts away this thought, changes it into a question which sets upon it the mark of a mere appearance not a reality: how long shall it seem as though Thou forgettest me for ever? It is in the nature of the divine wrath, that the feeling of it is always accompanied by an impression that it will last for ever; and consequently it becomes a foretaste of hell itself. But faith holds fast the love that

is behind the wrath; it sees in the display of anger only a self-masking of the loving countenance of the God of love, and longs for the time when this loving countenance shall be again unveiled to it. Thrice does David send forth this cry of faith out of the inmost depths of his spirit. To place or set up contrivances, plans, or proposals in his soul, viz. as to the means by which he may be able to escape from this painful condition, is equivalent to, to make the soul the place of such thoughts, or the place where such thoughts are fabricated (cf. Prov. xxvi. 24). One such עצה chases the other in his soul, because he recognises the vanity of one after another as soon us they spring up. With respect to the יומם which follows, we must think of these cares as taking possession of his soul in the night time; for the night leaves a man alone with his affliction and makes it doubly felt by him. It cannot be proved from Ezek. xxx. 16 (cf. Zeph. ii. 4 יום like יום like יום (Jer. vii. 25, short for יום יום (יום יום may mean "daily" (Ew. § 313, a). יומם does not mean this here, but is the antithesis to לֵילָה which is to be supplied in thought in ver. 3a. By night he proposes plan after plan, each one as worthless as the other; and by day, or all the day through, when he sees his distress with open eyes, sorrow (ינון) is in his heart, as it were, as the feeling the night leaves behind it and as the direct reflex of his helpless and hopeless condition. He is persecuted, and his foe is in the ascendant. py is both to be exalted and to rise, raise one's self, i.e. to rise to position and arrogantly to assume dignity to one's self (sich brüsten). The strophe closes with 'ad-ana which is used for the fourth time.

Vers. 4—5. In contrast to God's seeming to have forgotten him and to wish neither to see nor know anything of his need, he prays: הַבְּיִטָה (cf. Isa. lxiii. 15). In contrast to his being in perplexity what course to take and unable to help himself, he prays: עָנִינִי, answer me, who cry for help, viz. by the fulfilment of my prayer as a real, actual answer. In contrast to the triumphing of his foe: הָאִירָה עָינִי , in order that the triumph of his enemy may not be made complete by his dying. To lighten the eyes that are dimmed with sorrow and ready to break, is equivalent to, to impart new life (Ezra ix. 8), which is reflected in the fresh clear

brightness of the eye (1 Sam. xiv. 27, 29). The lightening light, to which האיר points, is the light of love beaming from the divine countenance, xxxi. 17. Light, love, and life are closely allied notions in the Scriptures. He, upon whom God looks down in love, continues in life, new powers of life are imparted to him, it is not his lot to sleep the death, i. e. the sleep of death, Jer. li. 39, 57, cf. Ps. lxxvi. 6. המות is the accusative of effect or sequence: to sleep so that the sleep becomes death (LXX, εἰς θάνατον), Ew. § 281, e. Such is the light of life for which he prays, in order that his foe may not be able at last to say יכלהוי (with accusative object, as in Jer. xxxviii. 5) = יכלהי לו, cxxix. 2, Gen. xxxii. 26, I am able for him, a match for him, I am superior to him, have gained the mastery over him. 3, on account of the future which follows, had better be taken as temporal (quum) than as expressing the reason (quod), cf. במים רולי, xxxviii. 17.

PSALM XIV.

THE PREVAILING CORRUPTION AND THE REDEMPTION DESIRED

1 THE fool hath said in his heart: "There is no God"; Corrupt, abominable are their doings, There is none that doeth good.

- 2 Jahve looketh down from heaven upon the children of men
 - To see if there be any that have understanding, If any that seek after God.
- 3 They are all fallen away, altogether they are corrupt, There is none that doeth good, Not even one.
- 4 "Are they so utterly devoid of understanding, all the workers of iniquity,
 Who eating up my people, eat up bread,
 They call not on Jahve?"
- 5 Then were they in great fear,
 For God is in the righteous generation.
- 6 Would ye bring to shame the counsel of the afflicted, For Jahve is indeed his refuge!
- 7 Oh that the salvation of Israel were come out of Zion! When Jahve turneth the captivity of His people, Jacob shall rejoice, Israel shall be glad.

Just as the general lamentation of Ps. xii. assumes a personal character in Ps. xiii., so in Ps. xiv. it becomes again general; and the personal desire אָלֵלְבִי, xiii. 6, so full of hope, corresponds to אֵל יְעֵקב, which is extended to the whole people of God in xiv. 7. Moreover, Ps. xiv., as being a gloomy picture of the times in which the dawn of the divine day is discernible in the background, is more closely allied to Ps. xii. than to Ps. xiii., although this latter is not inserted between them without some recognised reason. In the reprobation of the moral and religious character of the men of the age, which Ps. xiv. has in common with Ps. xii., we at once have a confirmation of the Ts. But xiv. 7 does not necessitate our coming down to the time of the Exile.

In Ps. liii. we find this Psalm which is Jehovic, occurring again as Elohimic. The position of Ps. xiv. in the primary collection favours the presumption, that it is the earlier and more original composition. And since this presumption will bear the test of a critical comparison of

the two Psalms, we may leave the treatment of Ps. liii. to its proper place, without bringing it forward here. It is not as though Ps. xiv. were intact. It is marked out as seven three-line verses, but vers. 5 and 6, which ought to be the fifth and sixth three lines, are only two; and the original form appears to be destroyed by some deficiency. The difficulty is got over in Ps. liii., by making the two two-line verses into one three-line verse, so that it consists only of six three-line verses. And in that Psalm the announcement of judgment is applied to foreign enemies, a circumstance which has influenced some critics and led them astray in the interpretation of Ps. xiv.

Ver. 1. The perfect אמר, as in i. 1, x. 3, is the so-called abstract present (Ges. § 126, 3), expressing a fact of universal experience, inferred from a number of single instances. The Old Testament language is unusually rich in epithets for the unwise. The simple, פָּחָי, and the silly, בָּסִיל, for the lowest branches of this scale; the fool, אויל, and the madman, הולל, the uppermost. In the middle comes the notion of the simpleton or maniac, בכל, — a word from the verbal stem נבל which, according as that which forms the centre of the group of consonants lies either in 21 (Genesis S. 636), or in כל (comp. אמל ,אול ,אבל), signifies either to be extended, to relax, to become frail, to wither, or to be prominent, eminere, Arab. nabula; so that consequently means the relaxed, powerless, expressed in New Testament language: πνεῦμα οὸκ ἔχοντα. Thus Isaiah (ch. xxxii. 6) describes the נבל: "a simpleton speaks simpleness and his heart does godless things, to practice tricks and to say foolish things against Jahve, to leave the soul of the hungry empty, and to refuse drink to the thirsty." Accordingly נבל is the synonym of the scoffer (vid. the definition in Prov. xxi. 24). A free spirit of this class is reckoned according to the Scriptures among the empty, hollow, and devoid of mind. The thought, אֵין אֱלֹהִים, which is the root of the thought and action of such a man, is the climax of imbecility. It is not merely practical atheism, that is intended by this maxim of the נבל. The heart according to Scripture language is not only the seat of volition, but also of thought. The נבל is

not content with acting as though there were no God, but directly denies that there is a God, i.e. a personal God. The psalmist makes this prominent as the very extreme and depth of human depravity, that there can be among men those who deny the existence of a God. The subject of what follows are, then, not these atheists but men in general, among whom such characters are to be found: they make the mode of action, (their) doings, corrupt, they make it עלילה, a poetical brevity of expression for abominable. עלילותם, belongs to both verbs, which have Tarcha and Mercha (the two usual conjunctives of Mugrash) in correct texts; and is in fact not used as an adverbial accusative (Hengstenberg and others), but as an object, since השהית is just the word that is generally used in this combination with עלילה Zeph. iii. 7 or. what is the same thing, 777 Gen. vi. 12; and החעיב (cf. 1 Kings xxi. 26) is only added to give a superlative intensity to the expression. The negative: "there is none that doeth good" is just as unrestricted as in xii. 2. But further on the psalmist distinguishes between a דור צריק, which experiences this corruption in the form of persecution, and the corrupt mass of mankind. He means what he says of mankind as χόσμος, in which, at first the few rescued by grace from the mass of corruption are lost sight of by him, just as in the words of God, Gen. vi. 5, 12. Since it is only grace that frees any from the general corruption, it may also be said, that men are described just as they are by nature; although, be it admitted, it is not hereditary sin but actual sin, which springs up from it, and grows apace if grace do not interpose, that is here spoken of.

Ver. 2. The second tristich appeals to the infallible decision of God Himself. The verb הַשְּקִיף means to look forth, by bending one's self forward. It is the proper word for looking out of a window, 2 Kings ix. 30 (cf. Niph. Judges v. 28, and frequently), and for God's looking down from heaven upon the earth, cii. 20, and frequently; and it is cognate and synonymous with הַשְּבִּיה, xxxiii. 13, 14; cf., moreover, Cant. ii. 9. The perf. is used in the sense of the perfect only insofar as the divine survey is antecedent to its result as given in ver. 3. Just as הַשְּבִיּה reminds one of the history of the Flood, so does הֹיִ בְּיִּה of the history of the

building of the tower of Babel, Gen. xi. 5, cf. xviii. 21. God's judgment rests upon a knowledge of the matter of fact, which is represented in such passages after the manner of men. God's all-seeing, all-piercing eyes scrutinise the whole human race. Is there one who shews discernment in thought and act, one to whom fellowship with God is the highest good, and consequently that after which he strives? — this is God's question, and He delights in such persons, and certainly none such would escape His longing search. On אָּח־אָּל, τὸν Θεόν, vid., Ges. § 117. 2.

Ver. 3. The third tristich bewails the condition in which He finds humanity. The universality of corruption is expressed in as strong terms as possible. they all (lit. the totality); יקדני with one another (ht. in its or their unions, i.e., universi); אין נִם־אַחָד not a single one who might form an exception. To (probably not 3 præt. but partic., which passes at once into the finite verb) signifies to depart, viz. from the ways of God, therefore to fall away (ἀποστάτης). מאלה, as in Job xv. 16, denotes the moral corruptness as a becoming sour, putrefaction, and suppuration. Instead of אין גם־אחד, the LXX. translates odx צֿסדניע צֿשׁכְּ צִּעֹם, (as though it were ער־אהר, which is the more familiar form of expression). Paul quotes the first three verses of this Psalm (Rom. iii. 10-12) in order to shew how the assertion, that Jews and heathen all are included under sin, is in accordance with the · teaching of Scripture. What the psalmist says, applies primarily to Israel, his immediate neighbours, but at the same time to the heathen, as is self-evident. What is lamented is neither the pseudo-Israelitish corruption in particular, nor that of the heathen, but the universal corruption of man which prevails not less in Israel than in the heathen world. The citations of the apostle which follow his quotation of the Psalm, from τάφος άνεφγμένος to ἀπέναντι τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν were early incorporated in the Psalm in the Κοινή of the LXX. They appear as an integral part of it in the Cod. Alex., in the Greco-Latin Psalterium Veronense, and in the Syriac Psalterium Mediolanense. They are also found in Apollinaris' paraphrase of the Psalms as a later interpolation; the Cod. Vat. has them in the margin; and the words σύντριμμα καὶ ταλαιπωρία ἐν ταῖς όδοῖς αὐτῶν have found admittance in the translation, which is more Rabbinical than Old Hebrew, אוני וויס even in a Hebrew codex (Kennicott 649). Origen rightly excluded this apostolic Mosaic work of Old Testament testimonies from his text of the Psalm; and the true representation of the matter is to be found in Jerome, in the preface to the xvi. book of his commentary on Isaiah.*

Ver. 4. Thus utterly cheerless is the issue of the divine scrutiny. It ought at least to have been different in Israel, the nation of the positive revelation. But even there wickedness prevails and makes God's purpose of mercy of none effect. The divine outburst of indignation which the psalmist hears here, is applicable to the sinners in Israel. Also in Isa. iii. 13 — 15 the Judge of the world addresses Himself to the heads of Israel in particular. This one feature of the Psalm before us is raised to the consistency of a special prophetic picture in the Psalm of Asaph, lxxxii. That which is here clothed in the form of a question, הלא ידער, is reversed into an assertion in ver. 5 of that Psalm. It is not to be translated: will they not have to feel (which ought to be ידעו); but also not as Hupfeld renders it: have they not experienced. "Not to know" is intended to be used as absolutely in the signification non sapere, and consequently insipientem esse, as it is in lxxxii. 5, lxxiii. 22, xcii. 7, Isa. xliv. 18, cf. 9, xlv. 20, and frequently. The perfect is to be judged after the analogy of novisse (Ges. § 126, 3), therefore it is to be rendered: have they attained to no knowledge, are they devoid of all knowledge, and therefore like the brutes. yea, according to Isa. i. 2, 3 even worse than the brutes, all the workers of iniquity? The two clauses which follow are, logically at least, attributive clauses. The subordination of אבלי לחם to the participle as a circumstantial clause in the sense of כאבל לחם is syntactically inadmissible; neither can אכלו לחם, with Hupfeld, be understood of a brutish and secure passing away of life; for, as Olshausen, rightly observes אכל לחם does not signify to feast and carouse, but simply to eat, take a meal. Hengstenberg correctly translates it

^{*} Cf. Plüschke's Monograph on the Milanese Psalterium Syriacum, 1835, p. 28-39.

Ver. 5. When Jahve thus bursts forth in scorn Hisword, which never fails in its working, smites down these brutish men, who are without knowledge and conscience. The local demonstrative Dr is used as temporal in this passage just as in lxvi, 6, Hos. ii. 17, Zeph. i. 14, Job xxiii. 7, xxxv. 12, and is joined with the perfect of certainty, as in xxxvi. 13, where it has not so much a temporal as a local sense. It does not mean "there - at a future time", as pointing into the indefinite future, but "there = then", when God shall thus speak to them in His anger. Intensity is here given to the verb and by the addition of a substantival object of the same root, just as is frequently the case in the more elevated style, e. g. Hab. iii. 9; and as is done in other cases by the addition of the adverbial infinitive. Then, when God's long-suffering changes into wrath, terror at His judgment seizes them and they tremble through and through. This judgment of wrath, however, is on the other hand a revelation of love. Jahve avenges and thus delivers those whom He calls עמי (My people); and who are here called דור צדיק, the generation of the righteous, in opposition to the corrupted humanity of the time (xii. 8), as being conformed to the will of God and held together by a superior spirit to the prevailing spirit of the age. They are so called inasmuch as fig passes over from the signification generatio to . that of genus hominum here and also elsewhere, when it is not merely a temporal, but a moral notion; cf. xxiv. 6, 1xxiii. 15, cxii. 2, where it uniformly denotes the whole of the children of God who are in bondage in the world and longing for deliverance, not Israel collectively in antithesis to the Scythians and the heathen in general (Hitzig).

Ver. 6. The psalmist himself meets the oppressed full of joyous confidence, by reason of the self-manifestation of God in judgment, of which he is now become so confident and which so fills him with comfort. Instead of the sixth tristich, which we expected, we have another distich. The Hiph. הביש with a personal object signifies: to put any one to shame, i. e. to bring it about that any one must be ashamed, e. g. xliv. 8 (cf. liii. 6, where the accusative of the person has to be supplied), or absolutely: to act shamefully, as in the phrase used in Proverbs, שו משוש (a prodigal son). It appears only here with a neuter accusative of the object, not in the signification to defame (Hitz.), — a meaning it never has (not even in Prov. xiii. 5, where it is blended with to make stinking, i.e. a reproach, Gen. xxxiv. 30), — but to confound, put to shame = to frustrate (Hupf.), which is at once the most natural meaning in connection with nyy. But it is not to be rendered: ye put to shame, because ..., for to what purpose is this statement with this inapplicable reason in support of it? The fut. אבישה is used with a like shade of meaning as in Lev. xix. 17, and the imperative elsewhere; and p gives the reason for the tacitly implied clause, or if a line is really lost from the strophe, the lost clause (cf. Isa. viii. 9 sq.): ye will not accomplish it. is whatsoever the pious man, who as such suffers reproach, plans to do for the glory of his God, or even in accordance with the will of his God. All this the children of the world, who are in possession of worldly power, seek to frustrate; but viewed in the light of the final decision their attempt is futile: Jahve is his refuge, or, literally the place whither he flees to hide himself and finds a hiding or concealment (فَرَى Arabic also سِنْر ,طِيل , بِيرًا) has an orthophonic Dag., which obviates the necessity for the reading מְחְכֵּהוּ (cf. הַעְלִּים x. 1, מַעָּמוֹ xxxiv. 1, לַאָּבֹר cv. 22, and similar instances).

Ver. 7. This tristich sounds like a liturgical addition belonging to the time of the Exile, unless one is disposed to assign the whole Psalm to this period on account of it. For elsewhere in a similar connection, as e. g. in Ps. cxxvi., אובן שבוי means to turn the captivity, or to bring back the

captives. שוב has here, — as in exxvi. 4, Nah. ii. 3 (followed by na), cf. Ezek xlvii. 7, the Kal being preferred to the Hiph. הישים (Jer. xxxii. 44, xxxiii. 11) in favour of the alliteration with שבות (from שבה to make any one a prisoner of war), - a transitive signification, which Hengstenberg (who interprets it: to turn back, to turn to the captivity, of God's merciful visitation), vainly hesitates to admit. But Isa. lxvi. 6, for instance, shews that the exiles also never looked for redemption anywhere but from Zion. Not as though they had thought, that Jahve still dwelt among the ruins of His habitation, which indeed on the contrary was become a ruin because He had forsaken it (as we read in Ezekiel); but the moment of His return to His people is also the moment when He entered again upon the occupation of His sanctuary, and His sanctuary, again appropriated by Jahve even before it was actually reared, is the spot whence issues the kindling of the divine judgment on the enemies of Israel, as well as the spot whence issues the brightness of the reverse side of this judgment, viz. the final deliverance, hence even during the Exile, Jerusalem is the point (the kibla) whither the eye of the praying captive was directed, Dan. vi. 11. There would therefore be nothing strange if a psalm-writer belonging to the Exile should express his longing for deliverance in these words: who gives = oh that one would give = oh that the salvation of Israel were come out of Zion! But since שוב שבות also signifies metaphorically to turn misfortune, as in Job xlii. 10, Ezek. xvi. 53 (perhaps also in Ps. lxxxv. 2, cf. ver. 5), inasmuch as the idea of שבות has been generalised exactly like the German "Elend", exile (Old High German elilenti = sojourn in another country, banishment, homelessness), therefore the inscribed לדוך cannot be called in question from this quarter. Even Hitzig renders: "if Jahve would but turn the misfortune of His people", regarding this Psalm as composed by Jeremiah during the time the Scythians were in the land. If this rendering is possible, and that it is is undeniable, then we retain the inscription 775. And we do so the more readily, as Jeremiah's supposed authorship rests upon a non-recognition of his reproductive character, and the history of the VOL. I.

14

prophet's times makes no allusion to any incursion by the Scythians.

The condition of the true people of God in the time of Absolom was really a part in more than a figurative sense. But we require no such comparison with cotemporary history, since in these closing words we have only the gathering up into a brief form of the view which prevails in other parts of the Psalm, viz. that the "righteous generation" in the midst of the world, and even of the so-called Israel, finds itself in a state of oppression, imprisonment, and bondage. If God will turn this condition of His people, who are His people indeed and of a truth, then shall Jacob rejoice and Israel be glad. It is the grateful duty of the redeemed to rejoice. — And how could they do otherwise!

PSALM XV.

THE CONDITIONS OF ACCESS TO GOD.

- 1 JAHVE, who may sojourn in Thy tabernacle, Who may dwell on Thy holy mountain?
- 2 He that walketh uprightly, and worketh righteousness, And speaketh truth in his heart.
- 3 That taketh not slander upon his tongue, Nor doeth evil to his companion, Nor bringeth a reproach upon his neighbour;
- 4 That is displeasing in his own eyes, to be despised, But those who fear Jahve he honoureth; He sweareth to [his own] hurt — he changeth not.
- 5 He putteth not out his money to usury, And taketh not a bribe against the innocent — He that doeth these things shall never be moved.

The preceeding Psalm distinguished דור צדיק, a righteous generation, from the mass of the universal corruption, and closed with a longing for the salvation out of Zion. Ps. xv. answers the question: who belongs to this דור צדיק. and whom

shall the future salvation avail? Ps. xxiv., composed in connection with the removal of the Ark to Zion, is very si-The state of mind expressed in this Psalm exactly corresponds to the unhypocritical piety and genuine lowliness which were manifest in David in their most beauteous light on that occasion; cf. ver. 4b with 2 Sam. vi. 19; ver. 4a with 2 Sam. vi. 21 sq. The fact, however, that Zion (Moriah) is called simply הר הקרש in ver. 1, rather favours the time of the Absolomic exile, when David was cut off from the sanctuary of his God, whilst it was in the possession of men the very opposite of those described in this Psalm (vid. iv. 6). Nothing can be maintained with any certainty except that the Psalm assumes the elevation of Zion to the special designation of "the holy mountain" and the removal of the Ark to the אהל erected there (2 Sam. vi. 17). Isa. xxxiii. 13-16 is a fine variation of this Psalm.

Vers. 1—2. That which is expanded in the tristichic portion of the Psalm, is all contained in this distichic portion in nuce. The address to God is not merely a favourite form (Hupfeld), but the question is really, as its words imply, directed to God. The answer, however, is not therefore to be taken as a direct answer from God, as it might be in a prophetical connection: the psalmist addresses himself to God in prayer, he as it were reads the heart of God, and answers to himself the question just asked, in accordance with the mind of God. שַבַן which are usually distinguished from each other like παροικείν and κατοικείν in Hellenistic Greek, are alike in meaning in this instance. It is not a merely temporary (lxi. 5), but for ever, that is intended. The only difference between the two interchangeable notions is this, the one denotes the finding of an abiding place of rest starting from the idea of a wandering life, the other the possession of an abiding place of rest starting from the idea of settled family life.* The holy

^{*} In the Arabic is "one under the protection of God, dwelling as it were in the fortress of God." vid. Fleischer's Samachschari, S. 1, Anm. 1.

tabernacle and the holy mountain are here thought of in their spiritual character as the places of the divine presence and of the church of God assembled round the symbol of it; and accordingly the sojourning and dwelling there is not to be understood literally, but in a spiritual sense. This spiritual depth of view, first of all with local limitations, is also to be found in xxvii. 4, 5, lxi. 5. present even where the idea of earnestness and regularity in attending the sanctuary rises in intensity to that of constantly dwelling therein, lxv. 5, lxxxiv. 4-5; while elsewhere, as in xxiv. 3, the outward materiality of the Old Testament is not exceeded. Thus we see the idea of the sanctuary at one time contracting itself within the Old Testament limits, and at another expanding more in accordance with the spirit of the New Testament; since inthis matter, as in the matter of sacrifice, the spirit of the New Testament already shews signs of life, and works powerfully through its cosmical veil, without that veil being as yet rent. The answer to the question, so like the spirit of the New Testament in its intention, is also itself no less New Testament in its character: Not every one who saith Lord, Lord, but they who do the will of God, shall enjoy the rights of friendship with Him. But His will concerns the very substance of the Law, viz. our duties towards all men, and the inward state of the heart towards God.

In the expression הַלֵּהְ הְבִּיִם (here and in Prov. xxviii. 18), וווי is either a closer definition of the subject: one walking as an upright man, like הולך בְּיִל one going about as a slanderer, cf. הולף שור Mic. ii. 7 "the upright as one walking"; or it is an accusative of the object, as in הולך צַּדְקּה sa. xxxiii. 15: one who walks uprightness, i. e. one who makes uprightness his way, his mode of action; since ממש may mean integrum = integritas, and this is strongly favoured by הולכים בַּחָמִים שׁרְמָּים בַּחְמִים שׁרְמָּים בַּחְמִים שׁרְמִים בַּחְמִים שׁרְמִים בּחְמִים שׁרְמִים בּחְמִים שׁרְמִים בּחְמִים שׁרְמִים בּחְמִים בּחְנִים בּחְמִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בְּחִים בּחִים בְּחִים בְּחִים בְּחִים בְּחִים בְּחִים בְּיִים בּחְבִּים בּחִים בְּיִים בְּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בּחִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בּּחְבּים בְּיִים בּחְבּים בּחְים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים ב

with his mouth); for in the phrase אַכֵּר בְּבֶּׁר בְּּ is always the Beth of the place, not of the instrument — the meaning therefore is: it is not falsehood and deceit that he thinks and plans inwardly, but truth (Hitz.). We have three characteristics here: a spotless walk, conduct ordered according to God's will, and a truth-loving mode of thought.

Vers. 3 — 5. The distich which contains the question and that containing the general answer are now followed by three tristichs, which work the answer out in detail. The description is continued in independent clauses, which, however, have logically the value of relative clauses. perff. have the signification of abstract presents, for they are the expression of tried qualities, of the habitual mode of action, of that which the man, who is the subject of the question, never did and what consequently it is not his wont to do. רגל means to go about, whether in order to spie out (which is it usual meaning), or to gossip and slander (here, and the Piel in 2 Sam. xix. 28; cf. בֶכִיל, רָכֶל). Instead we have על־לשנו (with Dag. in the second b, in order . that it may be read with emphasis and not slurred over*), because a word lies upon the tongue ere it is uttered, the speaker brings it up as it were from within on to his tongue or lips, xvi. 4, 1.16, Ezek. xxxvi. 3. The assonance of is well conceived. To do evil to him who is bound to us by the ties of kindred and friendship, is a sin which will bring its own punishment. קרוב is also the parallel word to קע in Exod. xxxii. 27. Both are here intended to refer not merely to persons of the same nation; for whatever is sinful in itself and under any circumstances whatever, is also sinful in relation to every man according to the morality of the Old Testament. The assertion of Hupfeld and others that נשא in conjunction with הרפה means efferre = effari, is opposed by its combination with y and its use elsewhere in the phrase נשא הרפה "to bear reproach" (lxix. 8). It means (since צשׁ is just as much tollere as ferre) to bring reproach on any one, or load any one with reproach. Re-

^{*} vid. the rule for this orthophonic Dag. in the Luther. Zeitschrift, 1863, S. 413.

proach is a burden which is more easily put on than cast off; audacter calumniare, semper aliquid hæret.

In ver. 4a the interpretation "he is little in his own eyes, despised," of which Hupfeld, rejecting it, says that Hitzig has picked it up out of the dust, is to be retained. Even the Targ., Saad., Aben-Ezra, Kimchi, Urbino (in his Grammar, take נבוה בעיניו together, even though explaining it differently, and it is accordingly accented by Baer נבוה בעיניו ונמאם (Mahpach, Asla Legarme, Rebia magnum).* God exalts him who is קטן בעינין, 1 Sam. xv. 17. David, when he brought up the ark of his God, could not sufficiently degrade himself (נַקל), and appeared שַפַל בַּעָנֵין, 2 Sam. vi. 22. This lowliness, which David also confesses in Ps. cxxxi., is noted here and throughout the whole of the Old Testament, e. g., Isa. lvii. 15, as a condition of being well-pleasing before God; just as it is in reality the chief of all virtues. On the other hand, it is mostly translated either, according to the usual accentuation, with which the Beth of בעתין is dageshed: the reprobate is despised in his eyes (Rashi, Hupf.), or in accordance with the above accentuation: despised in his eyes is the reprobate (Maurer, Hengst., Olsh., Luzzatto); but this would say but little, and be badly expressed. For the placing together of two participles without an article, and moreover of similar meaning, with the design of the one being taken as subject and the other as predicate, is to be repudiated simply on the ground of style; and the difference among expositors shews how equivocal the expression is.

On the other hand, when we translate it: "despicable is he in his own eyes, worthy to be despised" (Ges. § 134, 1), we can appeal to xiv. 1, where הְּמָשִׁה is intensified just in the same way by נִמְאָם; is here by נִמְאָם; cf. also Gen. xxx. 31, Job xxxi. 23, Isa. xliii. 4. The antithesis of

^{*} The usual accentuation בּבְּעוֹנְין נמאם forcibly separates בעינין from בעינין to which according to its position it belongs. And Heidenheim's accentuation במולין נמאם is to be rejected on accentuological grounds, because of two like distinctives the second has always a less distinctive value than the first. We are consequently only left to the one given above. The MSS. vary.

ver. 4b to ver. 4a is also thus fully met: he himself seems to himself unworthy of any respect, whereas he constantly shews respect to others; and the standard by which he judges is the fear of God. His own fear of Jahve is manifest from the self-denying strictness with which he performs his vows. This sense of מָשַבַע לְּהַרָע is entirely misapprehended when it is rendered: he swears to his neighbour (רע = רע), which ought to be לרעהו, or: he swears to the wicked (and keeps to what he has thus solemnly promised), which ought to be יְלֵרֵע; for to what purpose would be the omission of the elision of the article, which is extremely rarely (xxxvi. 6) not attended to in the classic style of the period before the Exile? The words have reference to Lev. v. 4: if any one swear, thoughtlessly pronouncing להרע אן להיטיב, to do evil or to do good, etc. The subject spoken of is oaths which are forgotten, and the forgetting of which must be atoned for by an asham, whether the nature of the oath be something unpleasant and injurious, or agreeable and profitable, to the person making the vow. The retrospective reference of to the subject is self-evident; for to injure another is indeed a sin, the vowing and performance of which, not its omission, would require to be expiated. On להרע = להרע vid. Ges. § 67, rem. 6. The hypothetical antecedent (cf. e. g., 2 Kings v. 13) is followed by ולא יַמֶר as an apodosis. The verb המיר is native to the law of vows, which, if any one has vowed an animal in sacrifice, forbids both changing it for its money value (הַחֵלִיף) and exchanging it for another, be it טוב בּרָע או־רַע בְּטוּב, Lev. xxvii. 10, 33. psalmist of course does not use these words in the technical sense in which they are used in the Law. Swearing includes making a vow, and לא ימר disavows not merely any exchanging of that which was solemnly promised, but also any alteration of that which was sworn: he does not misuse the name of God in anywise, לשוא

In ver. 5a the psalmist also has a passage of the Tôra before his mind, viz. Lev. xxv. 37, cf. Exod. xxii. 24, Deut. xxiii. 20, Ezek. xviii. 8. נָמֵן בְּנֶשֶׁן signifies to give a thing away in order to take usury (נָמֵן בְּנֶשֶׁן to bite, δάχνειν) for it. The receiver or demander of interest is מָשֵׁיך, the

one who pays interest נשה , the interest itself נשה. The trait of character described in ver. 5b also recalls the language of the Mosaic law: שׁהַר לֹא לַכָּה, the prohibition Exod. xxiii. 8, Deut. xvi. 19; and על־נקי, the curse Deut. xxvii. 25: on account of the innocent, i. e. against him, to condemn him. Whether it be as a loan or as a gift, he gives without conditions, and if he attain the dignity of a judge he is proof against bribery, especially with reference to the destruction of the innocent. And now instead of closing in conformity with the description of character already given: such a man shall dwell, etc., the concluding sentence takes a different form, moulded in accordance with the spiritual meaning of the opening question: he who doeth these things shall never be moved (up fut. Niph.), he stands fast, being upheld by Jahve, hidden in His fellowship; nothing from without, no misfortune, can cause his overthrow.

PSALM XVI.

REFUGE IN GOD, THE HIGHEST GOOD, IN THE PRESENCE OF DISTRESS AND OF DEATH.

- 1 PRESERVE me, O God, for in Thee do I hide myself
- 2 I say unto Jahve: "Thou art my Lord, Besides Thee I have no good",
- 3 And to the saints who are in the earth:
 "These are the excellent, in whom is all my delight".
- 4 Their sorrows shall be multiplied who have bartered for an idol
 - I will not pour out their drink-offerings of blood, Nor take their names upon my lips.
- 5 Jahve is the portion of my land and of my cup, Thou makest my lot illustrious.
- 6 The lines have fallen unto me in pleasant places, Yea, the heritage appears fair to me.
- 7 I will bless Jahve, who hath given me counsel; In the night-seasons also my reins instruct me.

- 8 I have set Jahve always before me,
 For He is at my right hand therefore I shall not
 be moved.
- 9 Therefore my heart is glad, and my glory exulteth, My flesh also shall dwell free of care.
- 10 For Thou wilt not abandon my soul to Hades, Nor give up Thy Holy One to see the pit;
- 11 Thou wilt make me know the path of life Fulness of joy is in Thy countenance, Pleasures are in Thy right hand for evermore.

The preceding Psalm closed with the words MID RIT; this word of promise is repeated in xvi. 8 as an utterance of faith in the mouth of David. We are here confronted by a pattern of the unchangeable believing confidence of a friend of God; for the writer of Ps. xvi. is in danger of death, as is to be inferred from the prayer expressed in ver. 1 and the expectation in ver. 10. But there is no trace of anything like bitter complaint, gloomy conflict, or hard struggle: the cry for help is immediately swallowed up by an overpowering and blessed consciousness and a bright hope. There reigns in the whole Psalm, a settled calm, an inward joy, and a joyous confidence, which is certain that everything that it can desire for the present and for the future it possesses in its God.

The Psalm is inscribed להול; and Hitzig also confesses that "David may be inferred from its language". Whatever can mark a Psalm as Davidic we find combined in this Psalm: thoughts crowding together in compressed language, which becomes in ver. 4 bold even to harshness, but then becomes clear and moves more rapidly; an antiquated, peculiar, and highly poetic impress (יַבְּיבָּ, my Lord, הַבְּיבָּר, מְּבֶּרָר, שְׁבֵּר, מְבֶּרָר, שְׁבֵּר, מְבֶּרָר, שְׁבֵּר, שִׁבְּר, מִבְּר, מִבְּר,

has struck into the Tôra, both as it regards the matter and the language. Concerning the circumstances of its composition, vid. on Ps. xxx.

The superscription מכחם לדור, Ps. xvi. has in common with Ps. lvi.-lx. After the analogy of the other superscriptions, it must have a technical meaning. This at once militates against Hitzig's explanation, that it is a poem hitherto unknown, an avéxbotov, according to the Arabic mâktum, hidden, secret, just as also against the meaning κειμήλιον, which says nothing further to help us. The LXX. translates it στηλογραφία (είς στηλογραφίαν), instead of which the Old Latin version has tituli inscriptio (Hesychius τίτλος· πτυχίον ἐπίγραμμα ἔχον). That this translation accords with the tradition is shewn by that of the Targum נְלִיפָא חָרָיצָא sculptura recta (not erecta as Hupfeld renders it). Both versions give the verb the meaning and insculpere, which is supported both by a comparison with בַּחַב, cogn. עַצַב , הַעָּבַ , and by DATH imprimere (sigillum). Moreover, the sin of Israel is called כתום in Jer. ii. 22 (cf. xvii. 1) as being a deeply impressed spot, not to be wiped out. If we now look more closely into the Michtam Psalms as a whole, we find they have two prevailing features in common. Sometimes significant and remarkable words are introduced by ויאמר, אמרהי, קבר, xvi. 2, lviii. 12, lx. 8, cf. Isa. xxxviii. 10, 11 (in Hezekiah's psalm, which is inscribed בתכם = מכתם as it is perhaps to be read); sometimes words of this character are repeated after the manner of a refrain, as in Ps. lvi.: I will not fear, what can man do to me! in Ps. lvii.: Be Thou exalted, Elohim, above the heavens, Thy glory above all the earth! and in Ps.lix.: For Elohim is my high tower, my merciful God. Hezekiah's psalm unites this characteristic with the other. Accordingly המכחד , like ἐπίγραμμα ,* appears to mean first of all an inscription and then to be equivalent to an inscription-poem or epigram, a poem containing pithy sayings; since in the Psalms of this order some expressive sentence, after the style of an inscription or a motto on a

^{*} In modern Jewish poetry DDD is actually the name for the epigram. ${}^{\backprime}$

monument, is brought prominently forward, by being either specially introduced or repeated as a refrain.

The strophe-schema is 5.5.6.7. The last strophe, which has grown to seven lines, is an expression of joyous hopes in the face of death, which extend onward even into eternity.

Vers. 1—3. The Psalm begins with a prayer that is based upon faith, the special meaning of which becomes clear from ver. 10: May God preserve him (which He is able to do as being جرا , the Almighty, able to do all things), who has no other refuge in which he has hidden and will hide but Him. This short introit is excepted from the parallelism; so far therefore it is monostichic, — a sigh expressing everything in few words. And the emphatic pronunciation عن من من المستحرب harmonises with it; for it is to be read thus, just as in lxxxvi. 2, cxix. 167 shāmerah (cf. on Isa. xxxviii. 14

The text of the next two verses (so it appears) needs to be improved in two respects. The reading אַמָרָהְ as addressed to the soul (Targ.), cf. Lam. iii. 24 sq., is opposed by the absence of any mention of the thing addressed. It rests upon a misconception of the defective form of writing, אַמְרָהְּ (Ges. § 44, rem. 4). Hitzig and Ewald (§ 190, d) suppose that in such cases a rejection of the final vowel, which really occurs in the language of the people, after the manner of the Aramaic (אַמְרָהְ or הַמְּהָהְ), lies at the bottom of the form. And it does really seem as though the frequent occurrence of this defective form (אַמְרָהְ יִרְּעָהְיִ בְּיִרְיִהְיִ בְּיִרְיִהְיִ בְּיִרְיִהְ וֹ Kings viii. 48, הַיִּרְתִּי בְּיִרְיִהְיִ בְּיִרִיהְ אַמִּרְהְ זְּבְּיִרְיִהְ צִּיִיהְיִ בְּיִרִיהְ אַמִּרְהְ זְּבְּיִרְיִהְ בְּיִרִיהְ בַּרְיִיהְ אַמִּרְהְ Tkings viii. 48, אַמִרְהְ אַמִּרְהְ Kings xviii. 20, אַמְרָהְ now pointed הַאָּהְיִהְ with Isa. xxxvi. 5) has its occasion at least in some such cutting away of the i, peculiar to the language of the common people; although,

^{*} The Masora observes א־בּספר בּספרא, i. e. twice in the Psalter שׁמַרְרָהְ is in the imperative, the ŏ being displaced by Gaja (Metheg) and changed into ā, vid. Baer, Torath Emeth p. 22 sq. In spite of this the grammarians are not agreed as to the pronunciation of the imperative and infinitive forms when so pointed. Luzzatto, like Lonzano, reads it shōmereni.

if David wrote it so, אמרה is not intended to be read otherwise than it is in xxxi. 15, cxl. 7.*

First of all David gives expression to his confession of Jahve, to whom he submits himself unconditionally, and whom he sets above everything else without exception. Since the suffix of ארני (properly domini mei = domine mi, Gen. xviii. 3, cf. xix. 2), which has become mostly lost sight of in the usage of the language, now and then retains its original meaning, as it does indisputably in xxxv. 23, it is certainly to be rendered also here: "Thou art my Lord" and not "Thou art the Lord". The emphasis lies expressly on the "my". It is the unreserved and joyous feeling of dependence (more that of the little child, than of the servant), which is expressed in this first confession. For, as the second clause of the confession says: Jahve, who is his Lord, is also his benefactor, yea even his highest good. The preposition frequently introduces that which extends beyond something else, Gen. xlviii. 22 (cf. lxxxix. 8, xcv. 3), and to this passage may be added Gen. xxxi. 50, xxxii. 12, Exod. xxxv. 22, Num. xxxi. 8, Deut. xix. 9, xxii. 6, the one thing being above, or co-ordinate with, the other. So also here: "my good, i. e. whatever makes me truly happy, is not above Thee", i. e. in addition to Thee, beside Thee; according to the sense it is equivalent to out of Thee or without Thee (as the Targ., Symm., and Jerome render it), Thou alone, without exception, art my good. In connection with this rendering of the by, the poetic, and contracted from אויב), which is unknown to the literature before David's time, presents no difficulty. As in Prov. xxiii. 7 it is short for בלתקיה. Hengstenberg remarks, "Just as Thou art the Lord! is the response of the soul to the words I am the Lord thy God (Exod. xx. 2), so Thou only art my salvation! is the response to Thou shalt have no other gods beside Me ". The psalmist knows no fountain of true hap-

^{*} Pinsker's view (Einleit. S. 100—102), who considers দিছুছ to have sprung from দেছুছ as the primary form of the 1. pers. sing, from which then came ಸಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ and later still ಸಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ, is untenable according to the history of the language.

piness but Jahve, in Him he possesses all, his treasure is in Heaven.

Such is his confession to Jahve. But he also has those on earth to whom he makes confession. Transposing the we read:

הַפֶּח אַדִּירֵי כַל־הֶּפְּאִי־בָם: וָלִקְחוֹשִׁים אֲשֶׁרְ בָּאֶרֶץ

While Diestel's alteration: "to the saints, who are in his land, he makes himself glorious, and all his delight is in them," is altogether strange to this verse: the above transfer of the Waw* suffices to remove its difficulties, and that in a way quite in accordance with the connection. Now it is clear, that לקרושים, as has been supposed by some, is the dative governed by אמרמי, the influence of which is thus carried forward; it is clear what is meant by the addition אשר בארץ. which distinguishes the object of his affection here below from the One above, who is incomparably the highest; it is clear, as to what המה defines, whereas otherwise this purely descriptive relative clause אַשֵּר בַּאָרֵץ הָפֶּה (which von Ortenberg transposes into בַהְמָה appears to be useless and surprises one both on account of its redundancy (since המה is superfluous, cf. e. g. 2 Sam. vii. 9, ii. 18) and on account of its arrangement of the words (an arrangement, which is usual in connection with a negative construction, Deut. xx. 15, 2 Chron. viii. 7, cf. Gen. ix. 3, Ezek. xii. 10); it is clear, in what sense ארירי alternates with קרושים, since it is not those who are accounted by the world as אדירים on account of their worldly power and possessions (cxxxvi. 18, 2 Chron. xxiii. 20), but the holy, prized by him as being also glorious, partakers of higher glory and worthy of higher honour; and moreover, this corrected arrangement of the verse harmonises with the Michtan character of the Psalm. The thought thus obtained, is the thought one expected (love to God and love to His saints), and the one which one is also obliged to wring from the text as we have it, either by translating with De Welte, Maurer, Dietrich and others:

^{*} Approved by Kamphausen and by the critic in the Liter. Blatt of the Allgem. Kirchen-Zeitung 1864 S. 107.

"the saints who are in the land, they are the excellent in whom I have all my delight", - a Waw apodoseos, with which one could only be satisfied if it were המו (cf. 2 Sam. xv. 34) - or: "the saints who are in the land and the glorious - all my delight is in them". By both these interpretations, be would be the exponent of the nom. absol. which is elsewhere detached and placed at the beginning of a sentence, and this 5 of reference (Ew. § 310, a) is really common to every style (Num. xviii. 8, Isa. xxxii. 1, Eccl. ix. 4); whereas the 5 understood of the fellowship in which he stands when thus making confession to Jahve: associating myself with the saints (Hengst.), with (von Lengerke), among the saints (Hupf., Thenius), would be a preposition most liable to be misapprehended, and makes ver. 3 a cumbersome appendage of ver. 2. But if be taken as the Lamed of reference then the elliptical construct ואדיר, to which ought to be supplied, remains a stumbling-block not to be easily set aside. For such an isolation of the connecting form from its genitive cannot be shown to be syntactically possible in Hebrew (vid. on 2 Kings ix. 17, Thenius, and Keil); nor are we compelled to suppose in this instance what cannot be proved elsewhere, since כל-חפציבם is, without any harshness, subordinate to ואדירי as a genitival notion (Ges. § 116, 3). And still in connection with the reading ואדירי, both the formation of the sentence which, beginning with 5, leads one to expect an apodosis, and the relation of ver. 3 to ver. 2, according to which the central point of the declaration must lie just within בל־הפצירכם, are opposed to this rendering of the words ואדירי כל-חפצייבם.

Thus, therefore, we come back to the above easy improvement of the text. The are those in whom the will of Jahve concerning Israel, that it should be a holy nation (Exod. xix. 6, Deut. vii. 6), has been fulfilled, viz. the living members of the ecclesia sanctorum in this world (for there is also one in the other world, lxxxix. 6). Glory, 866a, is the outward manifestation of holiness. It is ordained of God for the sanctified (cf. Rom. viii. 30), whose moral nobility is now for the present veiled under the menial form

of the vy; and in the eyes of David they already possess it. His spiritual vision pierces through the outward form of the servant. His verdict is like the verdict of God, who is his all in all. The saints, and they only, are the excellent to him. His whole delight is centred in them, all his respect and affection is given to them. The congregation of the saints is his *Chephzibah*, Isa. lxii. 4 (cf. 2 Kings xxi. 1).

Vers.4-5. As he loves the saints so, on the other hand, he abhors the apostates and their idols. אָהֶר מָהַרוּ is to be construed as an appositional relative clause to the preceding: multi sunt cruciatus (cf. xxxii. 10) eorum, eorum scil. qui alium permutant. The expression would flow on more smoothly if it were יֵרְבוּ: they multiply, or increase their pains, who ..., so that אחר מהרו would be the subject, for instance like ה' אהכן (he whom Jahve loves), Isa. xlviii. 14. This ver. 4 forms a perfect antithesis to ver. 3. In David's eyes the saints are already the glorified, in whom his delight centres; while, as he knows, a future full of anguish is in store for the idolatrous, and their worship, yea, their very names are an abomination to him. The suffixes of נסביהם and might be referred to the idols according to Exod. xxiii. 13, Hos. ii. 19, if me be taken collectively as equivalent to אַקרים, as in Job viii. 19. But it is more natural to assign the same reference to them as to the suffix of שבותם, which does not signify "their idols" (for idols are עצבים), but their torments, pains (from מצבת derived from בצי), cxlvii. 3, Job ix. 28. The thought is similar to 1 Tim. vi. 10, έαυτούς περιέπειραν δδύναις ποιχίλαις. אוא is a general designation of the broadest kind for everything that is not God, but which man makes his idol beside God and in opposition to God (cf. Isa. xlii. 8, xlviii. 11). מְרֵרָנּ cannot mean festinant, for in this signification it is only found in Piel מהר, and that once with a local, but not a personal, accusative of the direction, Nah. ii. 6. It is therefore to be rendered (and the perf. is also better adapted to this meaning): they have taken in exchange that which is not God מהר) like המיר, cvi. 20, Jer. ii. 11). Perhaps (cf. the phrase the secondary meaning of wooing and fondling is connected with it; for מהר is the proper word for acquiring

a wife by paying down the price asked by her father, Exod. xxii. 15. With such persons, who may seem to be אַרִירִים in the eyes of the world, but for whom a future full of anguish is in store, David has nothing whatever to do: he will not pour out drink-offerings as they pour them out. בְּחָבֶּיהֶם has the Dag. lene, as it always has. They are not called מַּרְּים as actually consisting of blood, or of wine actually mingled with blood; but consisting as it were of blood, because they are offered with blood-stained hands and blood-guilty consciences. בוֹח is the min of derivation; in this instance (as in Amos iv. 5, cf. Hos. vi. 8) of the material, and is used in other instances also for similar virtually adjectival expressions, x. 18, xvii. 14, lxxx. 14.

In ver. 4c the expression of his abhorrence attains its climax: even their names, i. e. the names of their false gods, which they call out, he shuns taking upon his lips, just as is actually forbidden in the Tôra, Exod. xxiii. 13 (cf. Const. Apost. V. 10 εἴδωλον μνημονεύειν δνόματα δαιμονικά). He takes the side of Jahve. Whatever he may wish for, he possesses in Him; and whatever he has in Him, is always secured to him by Him. הֵלְקי does not here mean food (Böttch.), for in this sense מָנה (Lev. vi. 10) and מָנה (1 Sam. i. 4) are identical; and parallel passages like cxlii. 6 shew what הלקי means when applied to Jahve. According to xi. 6, כוסי is also a genitive just like מְנַת חַלַק; הלקי is the share of landed property assigned to any one; מנת כום the share of the cup according to paternal apportionment. The tribe of Levi received no territory in the distribution of the country, from which they might have maintained themselves; Jahve was to be their הַלֶּק, Num. xviii. 20, and the gifts consecrated to Jahve were to be their food, Deut. x. 9, xviii. 1 sq. But nevertheless all Israel is βασίλειον ἱεράτευμα, Exod. xix. 6, towards which even קרושים and אדרים in ver. 3 pointed; so that, therefore, the very thing represented by the tribe of Levi in outward relation to the nation, holds good, in all its deep spiritual significance, of every believer. It is not anything earthly, visible, created, and material, that is allotted to him as his possession and his sustenance, but Jahve and Him only; but in Him is perfect contentment.

In ver. 5b, אמתה, as it stands, looks at first sight as though it were the Hiph. of a verb ימה (ומה). But such a verb is not to be found anywhere else, we must therefore seek some other explanation of the word. It cannot be a substantive in the signification of possession (Maurer, Ewald), for such a substantival form does not exist. It might more readily be explained as a participle - אומה, somewhat like יוסיף, Isa. xxix. 4, xxxviii. 5, Eccl. i. 18, = 701, - a comparison which has been made by Aben-Ezra (Sefath Jether No. 421) and Kimchi (Michlol 11a), — a form of the participle to which, in writing at least, סוביב, 2 Kings viii. 21, forms a transition; but there is good reason to doubt the existence of such a form. Had the poet intended to use the part. of חמך, it is more probable he would have written אחה חומכי נורלי, just as the LXX. translators might have had it before them, taking the Chirek compaginis as a suffix: σὸ εἴ ὁ ἀποκαθιστῶν τὴν κληρονομίαν μου ἐμοί (Böttcher). For the conjecture of Olshausen and Thenius, קוֹסיף in the sense: "thou art continually my portion" halts both in thought and expression. Hitzig's conjecture ממַקה "thou, thy Tummîm are my lot", is more successful and tempting. But the fact that the prom are never found (not even in Deut. xxxiii. 8) without the אורים, is against it. Nevertheless, we should prefer this conjecture to the other explanations, if the word would not admit of being explained as Hiph. from (מַהָּד), which is the most natural explanation. Schultens has compared the Arabic namika, to be broad, from which there is a Hiphil form أُوْمَكُ, to make broad, in Syro-Arabic, that is in use even in the present day among the common people.* And since we must at any rate come down to the supposition of something unusual about this הומיך, it is surely not too bold to regard it as a δπαξ γεγραμμ.: Thou

^{*} The Arabic Lexicographers are only acquainted with a noun mamka, breadth (amplitudo), but not with the verb. And even the noun does not belong to the universal and classical language. But at the present day (pronounced mumk), breadth, and mamik are in common use in Damascus; and it is only the verb that is shunned in the better conversational style. — Wetzstein.

makest broad my lot, i. e. ensurest for me a spacious habitation, a broad place, as the possession that falleth to me,* — a thought, that is expanded in ver. 6.

Vers. 6—8. The measuring lines (הַבַּלִּים) are cast (Mic. ii. 5) and fall to any one just where and as far as his property is assigned to him; so that נפל חבל (Josh. xvii. 5) is also said of the falling to any one of his allotted portion of land. נעמים (according to the Masora defective as also in ver. 11 נעמות) is a pluralet., the plural that is used to denote a unity in the circumstances, and a similarity in the relations of time and space, Ges. § 108, 2, a; and it signifies both pleasant circumstances, Job xxxvi. 11, and, as here, a pleasant locality, Lat. amæna (to which מעמות in ver. 11, more strictly corresponds). The lines have fallen to him in a charming district, viz. in the pleasurable fellowship of God, this most blessed domain of love has become his paradisaic possession. With the rises from the fact to the perfect contentment which it secures to him: such a heritage seems to him to be fair, he finds a source of inward pleasure and satisfaction in it. בחלה — according to Ew. § 173, d, lengthened from the construct form נְחָלָה (like נונת lxi. 1); according to Hupfeld, springing from נרות (by the same apocope that is so common in Syriac, perhaps like עמרה ver. 1 from אַמרה) just like וְמָרָה Exod. xv. 2 — is rather, since in the former view there is no law for the change of vowel and such an application of the form as we find in lx. 13 (cviii. 13) is opposed to the latter, a stunted

form of נהלחה: the heritage — such a heritage pleases me, lit. seems fair to me (שפר, cognate root ספר, ספר, cognate in meaning ישפר, to rub, polish, make shining, intr. ישה, to be shining, beautiful). עלי of beauty known and felt by him (cf. Esth. iii. 9 with 1 Sam. xxv. 36 מוב עליו, and the later way of expressing it Dan. iii. 32). But since the giver and the gift are one and the same, the joy he has in the inheritance becomes of itself a constant thanksgiving to and blessing of the Giver, that He (משׁר quippe qui) has counselled him (lxxiii. 24) to chose the one thing needful, the good part. Even in the night-seasons his heart keeps watch, even then his reins admonish him (סָר, here of moral incitement, as in Isa. viii. 11, to warn). The reins are conceived of as the seat of the blessed feeling that Jahve is his possession (vid. Psychol. S. 268; tr. p. 316). He is impelled from within to offer heart-felt thanks to his merciful and faithful God. He has Jahve always before him, Jahve is the point towards which he constantly directs his undiverted gaze; and it is easy for him to have Him thus ever present, for He is מִמְעָנָי (supply הוא, as in xxii. 29, lv. 20, cxii. 4), at my right hand (i. e. where my right hand begins, close beside me), so that he has no need to draw upon his power of imagination. words בל־אַמוּט, without any conjunction, express the natural effect of this, both in consciousness and in reality: he will not and cannot totter, he will not yield and be overthrown.

Vers. 9—11. Thus then, as this concluding strophe, as it were like seven rays of light, affirms, he has the most blessed prospect before him, without any need to fear death. Because Jahve is thus near at hand to help him, his heart becomes joyful (πρψ) and his glory, i. e. his soul (vid. on vii. 6) rejoices, the joy breaking forth in rejoicing, as the fut. consec. affirms. There is no passage of Scripture that so closely resembles this as 1 Thess. v. 23. ½ is πνεῦμα (νοῦς), τίξ, ψοχή (vid. Psychol. S. 98; tr. p. 119), τίξ, (according to its primary meaning, attrectabile, that which is frail), σῶμα. The ἀμέμπτως τηρηθῆναι which the apostle in the above passage desires for his readers in respect of all three parts of their being, David here expresses as a confident expectation; for της implies that he also hopes for his body

that which he hopes for his spirit-life centred in the heart, and for his soul raised to dignity both by the work of creation and of grace. He looks death calmly and triumphantly in the face, even his flesh shall dwell or lie securely, viz. without being seized with trembling at its approaching corruption. David's hope rests on this conclusion: it is impossible for the man, who, in appropriating faith and actual experience, calls God his own, to fall into the hands of death. For ver. 10 shews, that what is here thought of in connection with שבן לבטה, dwelling in safety under the divine protection (Deut. xxxiii. 12, 28, cf. Prov. iii. 24), is preservation from death. השש is rendered by the LXX. διαφθορά, as though it came from אַרְעֵי διαφθείρειν, as perhaps it may do in Job xvii. 14. But in vii. 16 the LXX. has βόθρος, which is the more correct: prop. a sinking in, from הות to sink, to be sunk, like נהת from הוח from רוּה. To leave to the unseen world (שוב prop. to loosen, let go) is equivalent to abandoning one to it, so that he becomes its prev. Ver. 10b - where to see the grave (xlix. 10), equivalent to, to succumb to the state of the grave, i.e. death (lxxix. 49, Lk. ii. 26, John viii. 51) is the opposite of "seeing life", i. e. experiencing and enjoying it (Eccl. ix. 9, John iii. 36), the sense of sight being used as the noblest of the senses to denote the sensus communis, i. e. the common sense lying at the basis of all feeling and perception, and figuratively of all active and passive experience (Psychol. S. 234; tr. p. 276) - shews, that what is said here is not intended of an abandonment by which, having once come under the power of death, there is no coming forth again (Böttcher). It is therefore the hope of not dying, that is expressed by David in ver. 10. For by חֲסִידְּרָ David means himself. According to Norzi, the Spanish MSS. have יחיר יוד with the Masoretic note, and the LXX., Targ., and Syriac translate, and the Talmud and Midrash interpret it, in accordance with this Kerî. There is no ground for the reading הָקְירָה, and it is also opposed by the personal form of expression surrounding it.*

^{*} Most MSS. and the best, which have no distinction of Kert and Chethib here, read ???!, as also the Biblia Ven. 1521, the Spanish

The positive expression of hope in ver. 11 comes as a companion to the negative just expressed: Thou wilt grant me to experience (הודיע, is used, as usual, of the presentation of a knowledge, which concerns the whole man and not his understanding merely) ארה היים, the path of life, i. e. the path to life (cf. Prov. v. 6, ii. 19 with ib. x. 17, Mat. vii. 14); but not so that it is conceived of as at the final goal, but as leading slowly and gradually onwards to life; מימ in the most manifold sense, as, e. g., in xxxvi. 10, Deut. xxx. 15: life from God, with God, and in God, the living God; the opposite of death, as the manifestation of God's wrath and banishment from Him. That his body shall not die is only the external and visible phase of that which David hopes for himself; on its inward, unseen side it is a living, inwrought of God in the whole man, which in its continuance is a walking in the divine life. The second part of ver. 11, which consists of two members, describes this life with which he solaces himself. According to the accentuation, — which marks היים with Olewejored not with Rebia magnum or Pazer, — אַבֶּע שִׁבֶּע is not a second object dependent upon אוריעני, but the subject of a substantival clause: a satisfying fulness of joy is אָח־פּניף, with Thy countenance, i.e. connected with and naturally produced by beholding Thy face (not preposition of fellowship, as in xxi. 7, cxl. 14); for joy is light, and God's countenance, or doxa, is the light of lights. And every kind of pleasurable things, נעמות, He holds in His right hand, extending them to His saints - a gift which lasts for ever; פנה equivalent to נצה. לנצה, from the primary notion of conspicuous brightness, is duration extending beyond all else - an expression for לעולם, which David has probably coined, for it appears for the first time in the Davidic Psalms. Pleasures are in Thy right hand continually - God's right hand is never empty, His fulness is inexhaustible.

The apostolic application of this Psalm (Acts ii. 29—32, xiii. 35—37) is based on the considerations that David's

Polyglott and other older printed copies. Those MSS, which give מְּטִיבֶּיקׁ (without any *Keri*), on the other hand, scarcely come under consideration.

hope of not coming under the power of death was not realised in David himself, as is at once clear, to the unlimited extent in which it is expressed in the Psalm; but that it is fulfilled in Jesus, who has not been left to Hades and whose flesh did not see corruption; and that consequently the words of the Psalm are a prophecy of David concerning Jesus, the Christ, who was promised as the heir to his throne, and whom, by reason of the promise, he had prophetically before his mind. If we look into the Psalm, we see that David, in his mode of expression, bases that hope simply upon his relation to Jahve, the ever-living One. That it has been granted to him in particular, to express this hope which is based upon the mystic relation of the קסיך to Jahve in such language, - a hope which the issue of Jesus' life has sealed by an historical fulfilment, — is to be explained from the relation, according to the promise, in which David stands to his seed, the Christ and Holy One of God, who appeared in the person of Jesus. David, the anointed of God, looking upon himself as in Jahve, the God who has given the promise, becomes the prophet of Christ; but this is only indirectly, for he speaks of himself, and what he says has also been fulfilled in his own person. But this fulfilment is not limited to the condition, that he did not succumb to any peril that threatened his life so long as the kingship would have perished with him, and that, when he died, the kingship nevertheless remained (Hofmann); nor, that he was secured against all danger of death until he had accomplished his life's mission, until he had fulfilled the vocation assigned to him in the history of the plan of redemption (Kurtz) the hope which he cherishes for himself personally has found a fulfilment which far exceeds this. After his hope has found in Christ its full realisation in accordance with the history of the plan of redemption, it receives through Christ its personal realisation for himself also. For what he says, extends on the one hand far beyond himself, and therefore refers prophetically to Christ: in decachordo Psalterio — as Jerome boldly expresses it — ab inferis suscitat resurgentem. But on the other hand that which is predicted comes back upon himself, to raise him also from death and Hades to the beholding of God. Verus justitiæ sol - says

Sonntag in his Tituli Psalmorum, 1687 — e sepulcro resurrexit, στήλη seu lapis sepulcralis a monumento devolutus, arcus triumphalis erectus, victoria ab hominibus reportata. En vobis Michtam! En Evangelium! —

PSALM XVII.

FLIGHT OF AN INNOCENT AND PERSECUTED MAN FOR RE-FUGE IN THE LORD, WHO KNOWETH THEM THAT ARE HIS.

- 1 HEAR, O Jahve, righteousness, hearken to my cry, Give ear to my prayer with undeceitful lips!
- 2 From Thy presence let my right go forth, Thine eyes behold rightly.
- 3 Thou hast proved my heart, Thou hast visited (me) by night,

Thou hast tried me — Thou findest nothing: If I think evil, it doth not pass my mouth.

- 4 In connection with the doings of men, by the words of Thy lips
 - I have guarded myself against the paths of the destroyer;
- 5 My steps held fast to Thy paths, My footsteps have not slipped.
- 6 As such an one I call upon Thee, for Thou hearest me, O God!

Incline Thine ear unto me, hear my speech.

7 Shew Thy marvellous lovingkindness, Helper of those who seek refuge

From those that rise up [against them], at Thy right hand.

- 8 Keep me as the apple the pupil of the eye; Hide me in the shadow of Thy wings
- 9 From the wicked, who would destroy me, From my deadly enemies, who compass me about.
- 10 They have shut up their fat, They speak proudly with their mouth;
- 11 At every step they have surrounded me, Their purpose is to smite down to the earth.
- 12 He is like a lion that is greedy to ravin, And like a young lion lurking in the lair.

- 13 Arise, Jahve, go forth to meet him, cast him down, Deliver my soul from the wicked, with Thy sword,
- 14 From men, with Thy hand, Jahve from men of this world,
 - Whose portion is in life, and with Thy treasures Thou fillest their belly,
 - They have plenty of children and leave their abundance to their young ones.
- 15 As for me in righteousness shall I behold Thy face, I will satisfy myself, when I awake, with Thine image.

Ps. xvii. is placed after Ps. xvi., because just like the latter (cf. xi. 7) it closes with the hope of a blessed and satisfying vision of God. In other respects also the two Psalms have many prominent features in common: as, for instance, the the petition שמרני, xvi. 1, xvii. 8; the retrospect on nightly fellowship with God, xvi. 7, xvii. 3; the form of address in prayer אל, xvi. 1, xvii. 6; the verb ממה, xvi. 5, xvii. 5, &c. (vid. Symbolæ p. 49), notwithstanding a great dissimilarity in their tone. For Ps. xvi. is the first of those which we call Psalms written in the indignant style, in the series of the Davidic Psalms. The language of the Psalms of David. which is in other instances so flowing and clear, becomes more harsh and, in accordance with the subject and mood, as it were, full of unresolved dissonances (Ps. xvii. cxl. lviii. xxxvi. 2 sq., cf. x. 2-11) when describing the dissolute conduct of his enemies, and of the ungodly in general. The language is then more rough and unmanageable, and wanting in the clearness and transparency we find elsewhere. The tone of the language also becomes more dull and, as it were, a dull murmur. It rolls on like the rumble of distant thunder, by piling up the suffixes mo, amo, emo, as in xvii. 10, xxxv. 16, lxiv. 6, 9, where David speaks of his enemies and describes them in a tone suggested by the indignation, which is working within his breast; or in lix. 12-14, lvi. 8, xxi. 10-13, cxl. 10, lviii. 7., where, as in prophetic language, he announces to them of the judgment of God. The more vehement and less orderly flow of the language which we find here, is the result of the inward tumult of his feelings.

There are so many parallels in the thought and expression of thought of this Psalm in other Davidic Psalms (among those we have already commented on we may instance more especially Ps. vii. and xi., and also iv. and x.), that even Hitzig admits the Tid. The author of the Psalm is persecuted, and others with him; foes, among whom one, their leader, stands prominently forward, plot against his life, and have encompassed him about in the most threatening manner, eager for his death. All this corresponds, line for line, with the situation of David in the wilderness of Maon (about three hours and three quarters S.S.E. of Hebron), as narrated in 1 Sam. xxiii. 25 sq., when Saul and his men were so close upon the heels of David and his men, that he only escaped capture by a most fortunate incident.

The only name inscribed on this Psalm is תְּכְּלָה (a prayer), the most comprehensive name for the Psalms, and the oldest (lxxii. 20); for שִׁי and שִׁיכִּי were only given to them when they were sung in the liturgy and with musical accompaniment. As the title of a Psalm it is found five times (xvii. lxxxvi. xc. cii. cxlii) in the Psalter, and besides that once, in Hab. iii. Habakkuk's מְּבָּלָה is a hymn composed for music. But in the Psalter we do not find any indication of the Psalms thus inscribed being arranged for music. The strophe schema is 4. 7; 4. 4. 6. 7.

Vers. 1—2. צרק is the accusative of the object: the righteousness, intended by the suppliant, is his own (ver. 15a). He knows that he is not merely righteous in his relation to man, but also in his relation to God. In all such assertions of pious self-consciousness, that which is intended is a righteousness of life which has its ground in the righteousness of faith. True, Hupfeld is of opinion, that under the Old Testament nothing was known either of righteousness which is by faith or of a righteousness belonging to another and imputed. But if this were true, then Paul was in gross error and Christianity is built upon the sand. But the truth, that faith is the ultimate ground of righteousness, is expressed in Gen. xv. 6, and at other turning-points in the course of the history of redemption; and the truth, that the righteousness which avails before God is a gift of grace is, for instance, a thought distinctly marked out in the

expression of Jeremiah הי צרקנו, "the Lord our righteousness." The Old Testament conception, it is true, looks more to the phenomena than to the root of the matter (ist mehr phänomenell als wurzelhaft), is (so to speak) more Jacobic than Pauline; but the righteousness of life of the Old Testament and that of the New have one and the same basis, viz. in the grace of God, the Redeemer, towards sinful man, who in himself is altogether wanting in righteousness before God (cxliii. 2). Thus there is no self-righteousness, in David's praying that the righteousness, which in him is persecuted and cries for help, may be heard. For, on the one hand, in his personal relation to Saul, he knows himself to be free from any ungrateful thoughts of usurpation, and on the other, in his personal relation to God free from מרמה, i. e. self-delusion and hypocrisy. The shrill cry for help, רנה, which he raises, is such as may be heard and answered, because they are not lips of deceit with which he prays. The actual fact is manifest לפני יהוה, therefore may his right go forth מלפנין, — just what does happen, by its being publicly proclaimed and openly maintained - from Him, for His eyes, the eyes of Him who knoweth the hearts (xi. 4), behold מישרים (as in lviii. 2, lxxv. 3 = במישרים, ix. 9, and many other passages), in uprightness, i. e. in accordance with the facts of the case and without partiality. מישרים might also be an accusative of the object (cf. 1 Chron. xxix. 17), but the usage of the language much more strongly favours the adverbial rendering, which is made still more natural by the confirmatory relation in which ver. 2b stands to 2a.

Vers. 3—5. David refers to the divine testing and illumination of the inward parts; which he has experienced in himself, in support of his sincerity. The preterites in ver. 3 express the divine acts that preceded the result אָבֶל־הָּמְצָא viz. the testing He has instituted, which is referred to in אַבְּלַבְּּהְנָי and also בְּבְּלֵבְּהְנִי as a trying of gold by fire, and in בְּבַּבְּּהְנִי as an investigation (Job vii. 18). The result of the close scrutiny to which God has subjected him in the night, when the bottom of a man's heart is at once made manifest, whether it be in his thoughts when awake or in the dream and fancies of the sleeper, was and is this, that He does not find,

viz. anything whatever to punish in him, anything that is separated as dross from the gold. To the mind of the New Testament believer with his deep, and as it were microscopically penetrating, insight into the depth of sin, such a confession concerning himself would be more difficult than to the mind of an Old Testament saint. For a separation and disunion of flesh and spirit, which was unknown in the same degree to the Old Testament, has been accomplished in the New Testament consciousness by the facts and operations of redemption revealed in the New Testament; although at the same time it must be remembered that in such confessions the Old Testament consciousness does not claim to be clear from sins, but only from a conscious love of sin, and from a self-love that is hostile to God.

With שותי David begins his confession of how Jahve found him to be, instead of finding anything punishable in him. This word is either an infinitive like הוות (lxxvii. 10) with the regular ultima accentuation, formed after the manner of the T' verbs, - in accordance with which Hitzig renders it: my thinking does not overstep my mouth, - or even 1 pers. præt., which is properly Milel, but does also occur as Milra, e. g. Deut. xxxii. 41, Isa. xliv. 16 (vid. on Job xix. 17), - according to which Böttcher translates: should I think anything evil, it dare not pass beyond my mouth, - or (since pp; may denote the determination that precedes the act, e. g. Jer. iv. 28, Lam. ii. 17): I have determined my mouth shall not transgress. This last rendering is opposed by the fact, that עבר by itself in the ethical signification "to transgress" (cf. post-biblical παράβασις) is not the usage of the biblical Hebrew, and that when stand close together, פני is presumptively the object. We therefore give the preference to Böttcher's explanation, which renders מותי as a hypothetical perfect and is favoured by Prov. xxx. 32 (which is to be translated: and if thou thinkest evil, (lay) thy hand on thy mouth!). Nevertheless is not the expression of a fact, but of a purpose, as the combination of is with the future requires it to be The psalmist is able to testify of himself that he so keeps evil thoughts in subjection within him, even when they may arise, that they do not pass beyond his mouth,

much less that he should put them into action. But perhaps the psalmist wrote To originally, "my reflecting does not go beyond Thy commandment" (according to Num. xxii. 18, 1 Sam. xv. 24, Prov. viii. 29), — a meaning better suited. as a result of the search, to the nightly investigation. The לפעלות of reference (as to); it is that of the state or condition, as in xxxii. 6, lxix. 22. אַרֶם, as perhaps also in Job xxxi. 33, Hos. vi. 7 (if ארם is not there the name of the first man), means, men as they are by nature and habit. בַּרְבֵר שְׂפָהֶיך does not admit of being connected with לְבְּעֵלוֹת: at the doings of the world contrary to Thy revealed will (Hofmann and others); for a typ cannot mean: to act contrary to any one, but only: to work upon any one, Job xxxv. 6. These words must therefore be regarded as a closer definition, placed first, of the שמרתי which follows: in connection with the doings of men, by virtue of the divine commandment, he has taken care of the paths of the oppressor, viz. not to go in them; 1 Sam. xxv. 21 is an instance in support of this rendering, where שמרחי, as in Job ii. 6, means: I have kept (Nabal's possession), not seizing upon it myself. Jerome correctly translates vias latronis; for פָּרִיץ signifies one who breaks in, i. e. one who does damage intentionally and by violence. confession concerning himself is still continued in ver. 5, for the inf. absol. In, if taken as imperative would express a prayer for constancy, that is alien to the circumstances described. The perfect after be is also against such a rendering. It must therefore be taken as inf. historicus, and explained according to Job xxiii. 11, cf. Ps. xli. 13. noun following the inf. absol., which is usually the object, is the subject in this instance, as, e. g. in Job xl. 2, Prov. xvii. 12, Eccl. iv. 2, and frequently. It is אָשׁוּרָי, and not אשור, אשור, (a step) never having the ש dageshed, except in ver. 11 and Job xxxi. 7.

Vers. 6—7. It is only now, after his inward parts and his walk have been laid open to Jahve, that he resumes his petition, which is so well justified and so soundly based, and enters into detail. The אני found beside קראתיך (the

^{*} The word is pointed אני in correct texts, as אני always is when

perfect referring to that which has just now been put into execution) is meant to imply: such an one as he has described himself to be according to the testimony of his conscience, may call upon God, for God hears such and will therefore also hear him. אונה exactly corresponds to the Latin au-di (aus-cul-ta). The Hiph. הפליא) הפליא, xxxi. 22, cf. iv. 4) signifies here to work in an extraordinary and marvellous manner. The danger of him who thus prays is great, but the mercies of God, who is ready and able to help, are still greater. Oh that He may, then, exhibit all its fulness on his behalf. The form of the address resembles the Greek, which is so fond of participles. If it is translated as Luther translates it: "Shew Thy marvellous lovingkindness, Thou Saviour of those who trust in Thee, Against those who so set themselves against Thy right hand", then is used just as absolutely as in Prov. xiv. 32, and the right hand of God is conceived of as that which arranges and makes firm. But "to rebel against God's right (not statuta, but dexteram)" is a strange expression. There are still two other constructions from which to choose, viz. "Thou Deliverer of those seeking protection from adversaries, with Thy right hand" (Hitz.), or: "Thou Helper of those seeking protection from adversaries, at Thy right hand" (Aben-Ezra, Tremell.). This last rendering is to be preferred to the two others. Since, on the one hand, one says מחסה refuge from ..., and on the other, and to hide one's self in any one, or in any place, this determining of the verbal notion by the preposition (on this, see above on ii. 12) must be possible in both directions. ממחקוממים is equivalent to למתקוממיהם Job. xxvii. 7; and חוסים בימינך, those seeking protection at the strong hand of Jahve. The force of the ם is just the same as in connection with הכתחת, 1 Sam. xxiii. 19. In Damascus and throughout Syria - Wetzstein observes on this passage - the weak make use of these words when they surrender themselves to the strong:

it has Munach and Dechi follows, e. g. also exvi. 16. This Gaja demands an emphatic intonation of the secondary word in its relation to the principal word (which here is קראחיך).

انا بقَبْضة يدك "I am in the grasp of thy hand (in thy closed hand) i. e. I give myself up entirely to thee".*

Vers. 8-9. The covenant relationship towards Himself in which Jahve has placed David, and the relationship of love in which David stands to Jahve, fully justified the oppressed one in his extreme request. The apple of the eye, which is surrounded by the iris, is called אישון, the man (Arabic insân), or in the diminutive and endearing sense of the termination on: the little man of the eye, because a picture in miniature of one's self is seen, as in a glass, when looking into another person's eye. בַּת־עַין either because it is as if born out of the eye and the eye has, as it were, concentrated itself in it, or rather because the little image which is mirrored in it is, as it were, the little daughter of the eye (here and Lam. ii. 18). To the Latin pupilla (pupula), Greek אלפת עון corresponds most closely, Zech. ii. 12, which does not signify the gate, aperture, sight, but, as no shews, the little boy, or more strictly, the little girl of the eye. It is singular that אִישׁוּן here has the feminine בַּח־עִין as the expression in apposition to it. struction might be genitival: "as the little man of the apple of the eye", inasmuch as the saint knows himself to be so near to God, that, as it were, his image in miniature is mirrored in the great eye of God. But (1) the more ordinary name for the pupil of the eye is not בֶּת עון, but אָישון; and (2) with that construction the proper point of the comparison, that the apple of the eye is an object of the most careful self-preservation, is missed. There is, consequently, a combination of two names of the pupil or apple of the eye, the usual one and one more select, without reference to the gender of the former, in order to give greater definition

and emphasis to the figure. The primary passage for this bold figure, which is the utterance of loving entreaty, is Deut. xxxii. 10, where the dazzling anthropomorphism is effaced by the LXX. and other ancient versions;* cf. also Sir. Then follows another figure, taken from the eagle, which hides its young under its wings, likewise from Deut. xxxii, viz. ver. 11, for the figure of the hen (Mat. xxiii. 37) is alien to the Old Testament. In that passage, Moses, in his great song, speaks of the wings of God; but the double figure of the shadow of God's wings (here and in xxxvi. 8, lvii. 2, lxiii. 8) is coined by David. "God's wings" are the spreadings out, i. e. the manifestations of His love, taking the creature under the protection of its intimate fellowship, and the "shadow" of these wings is the refreshing rest and security which the fellowship of this love affords to those, who hide themselves beneath it, from the heat of outward or inward conflict.

From ver. 9 we learn more definitely the position in which the psalmist is placed. איבי signifies to use violence, to destroy the life, continuance, or possession of any one. According to the accentuation בְּנָבֶּשׁ is to be connected with אַיבִי, not with יַבְּיִלּי, and to be understood according to Ez. xxv. 6: "enemies with the soul" are those whose enmity is not merely superficial, but most deep-seated (cf. ἐκ ψυχῆς, Eph. vi. 6, Col. iii. 23). The soul (viz. the hating and eagerly longing soul, xxvii. 12, xli. 3) is just the same as if שִיבִי בוֹפִשׁ is combined with the verb, viz. the soul of the enemies; and איבִי בוֹפִשׁ would therefore not be more correct, as Hitzig thinks, than איבִי בוֹפִשׁ, but would have a different meaning. They are eager to destroy him (perf. conatus), and form a circle round about him, as ravenous ones, in order to swallow him up.

Vers. 10—12 tell what sort of people these persecutors are. Their heart is called fat, adeps, not as though could in itself be equivalent to could be the words are radically distinct (confirmed from the root confirmed fro

^{*} Vid. Geiger, Urschrift und Uebersetzungen der Bibel, S. 324.

von Ortenberg's conjecture הלב לבמו סנרו "they close their heart with fat") because it is, as it were, entirely fat (cxix. 70, cf. lxxiii. 7), and because it is inaccessible to any feeling of compassion, and in general incapable of the nobler emotions. To shut up the fat = the heart (cf. κλείειν τὰ σπλάγγνα 1 John iii. 17), is equivalent to: to fortify one's self wilfully in indifference to sympathy, tender feeling, and all noble feelings (cf. הְשֵׁמִין לֵב to harden, Isa. vi. 10). The construction of שמש (which agrees in sound with המשם). Job xv. 27) is just the same as that of אָל, iii. 5. On the other hand, אשורנו (after the form שמור and written plene) is neither such an accusative of the means or instrument, nor the second accusative, beside the accusative of the object, of that by which the object is surrounded, that is usually found with verbs of surrounding (e. g. v. 13, xxxii. 7); for "they have surrounded me (us) with our step" is unintelligible. But אשורנו can be the accusative of the member, as in iii. 8, cf. xxii. 17, Gen. iii. 15, for "it is true the step is not a member" (Hitz.), but since "step" and "foot" are interchangeable notions, lxxiii. 2, the σχημα καθ'δλον καὶ μέρος is applicable to the former, and as, e. g. Homer says, Iliad vii. 355: σὲ μάλιστα πόνος φρένας ἀμφιβέβηκεν, the Hebrew poet can also say: they have encompassed us (and in fact) our steps, each of our steps (so that we cannot go forwards or backwards with our feet). The Kerî מכבונו gets rid of the change in number which we have with the Chethîb סכבוני; the latter, however, is admissible according to parallels like lxii. 5, and corresponds to David's position, who is hunted by Saul and at the present time driven into a strait at the head of a small company of faithful followers. Their eyes — he goes on to say in ver. 11b — have they set to fell, viz. us, who are encompassed, to the earth, i. e. so that we shall be cast to the ground. נַמָּה is transitive, as in xviii. 10, lxii. 4, in the transitively applied sense of lxxiii. 2 (cf. xxxvii. 31): to incline to fall (whereas in xliv. 19, Job xxxi. 7, it means to turn away from); and בָּאָרֵץ (without any need for the conjecture בארה) expresses the final issue, instead of לארץ, vii. 6. By the expression לארץ, one is prominently singled out from the host of the enemy, viz. its chief, the words being: his likeness is as a lion, according to the peculiarity of the poetical style, of changing verbal into substantival clauses, instead of בְּבֶּה בְּצִּרְיֵה. Since in Old Testament Hebrew, as also in Syriac and Arabic, בְּבָּה is only a preposition, not a connective conjunction, it cannot be rendered: as a lion longs to prey, but: as a lion that is greedy or hungry (cf. שَنَّ used of sinking away, decline, obscuring or eclipsing, growing pale, and more especially of enfeebling, hunger, distinct from בּבָּבָּה to peel off, make bare) to ravin. In the parallel member of the verse the participle alternates with the attributive clause. בְּבָּבָּה is (according to Meier) the young lion as being covered with thicker hair.

Vers. 13—15. The phrase קרם פני, antevertere faciem alicujus, means both to appear before any one with reverence, xcv. 2 (post-biblical: to pay one's respects to any one) and to meet any one as an enemy, rush on him. The foe springs like a lion upon David, may Jahve - so he prays - as his defence cross the path of the lion and intercept him, and cast him down so that he, being rendered harmless, shall lie there with bowed knees (ברע, of the lion, Gen. xlix. 9, Num. xxiv. 9). He is to rescue his soul from the ungodly הרבך, and also the יורה, which follows, can be regarded as a permutative of the subject (Böttcher, Hupfeld, and Hitzig), an explanation which is commended by xliv. 3 and other passages. But it is much more probably that more exact definitions of this kind are treated as accusatives, vid. on iii. 5. At any rate "sword" and "hand" are meant as the instruments by which the man, rescuing, The force of פַּלְשָה extends into ver. 14, and ממחים (with a Chateph under the letter that is freed from reduplication, like מְמֵכֹּשׁ, xxxiii. 14) corresponds to מֶרְשֵׁע, as ממחים to חרבה The word ממחים (plural of מח, men, Deut. ii. 34, whence cho, each and every one), which of itself gives no complete sense, is repeated and made complete after the interruption caused by the insertion of יָדֶךּ ה', — a remarkable manner of obstructing and then resuming the thought, which Hofmann (Schriftbeweis ii. 2. 495) seeks to get over by a change in the division of the verse and in the interpunction. קלר, either from קלף Syriac to creep, glide, slip away (whence הַלַּרָה a weasel, a mole) or from הַלָּרָה Talmudic

to cover, hide, signifies: this temporal life which glides by unnoticed (distinct from the Arabic chald, chuld, an abiding stay, endless duration); and consequently הדל, limited existence, from הַל to have an end, alternates with as a play upon the letters, comp. xlix. 2 with Isa. xxxviii. 11. The combination מחים מחלד resembles x. 18, xvi. 4. What is meant, is: men who have no other home but the world, which passeth away with the lust thereof, men ἐχ τοῦ χόσμου τούτου, or υίοι τοῦ αίῶνος τούτου. The meaning of the further description הלקם בחים (cf. Eccl. ix. 9) becomes clear from the converse in xvi. 5. Jahve is the הלק of the godly man; and the sphere within which the worldling claims his הלק is only, this temporal, visible, and material life. This is everything to him; whereas the godly man says: מוכ הסרק מהים, lxiii. 4. The contrast is not so much between this life and the life to come, as between the world (life) and God. Here we see into the inmost nature of the Old Testament faith. To the Old Testament believer, all the blessedness and glory of the future life, which the New Testament unfolds, is shut up in Jahve. Jahve is his highest good, and possessing Him he is raised above heaven and earth, above life and death. To yield implicitly to Him, without any explicit knowledge of a blessed future life, to be satisfied with Him, to rest in Him, to hide in Him in the face of death, is the characteristic of the Old Testament faith. expresses both the state of mind and the lot of the men of the world. Material things which are their highest good, fall also in abundance to their share. The words "whose belly Thou fillest with Thy treasure" (Chethib: וצפעף the usual participial form, but as a participle an Aramaising form) do not sound as though the poet meant to say that God leads them to repentance by the riches of His goodness, but on the contrary that God, by satisfying their desires which are confined to the outward and sensuous only, absolutely deprives them of all claim to possessions that extend beyond the world and this present temporal life. Thus, then, צפון in this passage is used exactly as צפון is used in Job xx. 26 (from צפן to hold anything close to one, to hold back, to keep by one). Moreover, there is not the slightest alloy of murmur or envy in the words. The godly man who lacks

these good things out of the treasury of God, has higher delights; he can exclaim, xxxi. 20: "how great is Thy goodness which Thou hast laid up (מַנפנה) for those who fear Thee!" Among the good things with which God fills the belly and house of the ungodly (Job xxii. 17 sq.) are also children in abundance; these are elsewhere a blessing upon piety (cxxvii. 3 sq., cxxviii. 3 sq.), but to those who do not acknowledge the Giver they are a snare to self-glorifying, Job xxi. 11 (cf. Wisdom iv. 1). בנים is not the subject, but an accusative, and has been so understood by all the old translators from the original text, just as in the phrase עוללים to be satisfied with, or weary of, life. On עוללים vid. on viii. 3. יתר (from יחר to stretch out in length, then to be overhanging, towering above, projecting, superfluous, redundant) signifies here, as in Job xxii. 20, riches and the abundance of things possessed.

Ver. 15. With אני he contrasts his incomparably greater prosperity with that of his enemies. He, the despised and persecuted of men, will behold God's face בַּצֶּרֶק, in righteousness, which will then find its reward (Mat. v. 8, Hebr. xii. 14), and will, when this hope is realised by him, thoroughly refresh himself with the form of God. It is not sufficient to explain the vision of the divine countenance here as meaning the experience of the gracious influences which proceed from the divine countenance again unveiled and turned towards him. The parallel of the next clause requires an actual vision, as in Num. xii. 8, according to which Jahve appeared to Moses in the true form of His being, without the intervention of any self-manifestation of an accommodative and visionary kind; but at the same time, as in Exod. xxxiii. 20, where the vision of the divine countenance is denied to Moses, according to which, consequently, the selfmanifestation of Jahve in His intercourse with Moses is not to be thought of without some veiling of Himself which might render the vision tolerable to him. Here, however, where David gives expression to a hope which is the final goal and the very climax of all his hopes, one has no right in any way to limit the vision of God, who in love permits him to behold Him (vid. on xi. 7), and to limit the being satisfied with His המונה (LXX. דאי δόξαν σου, vid. Psychol.

S. 49; transl. p. 61). If this is correct, then בהקיץ cannot mean "when I wake up from this night's sleep" as Ewald, Hupfeld and others explain it; for supposing the Psalm were composed just before falling asleep what would be the meaning of the postponement of so transcendent a hope to the end of his natural sleep? Nor can the meaning be to "awake to a new life of blessedness and peace through the sunlight of divine favour which again arises after the night of darkness and distress in which the poet is now to be found" (Kurtz); for to awake from a night of affliction is an unsuitable idea and for this very reason cannot be supported. The only remaining explanation, therefore, is the waking up from the sleep of death (cf. Böttcher, De inferis § 365-367). The fact that all who are now in their graves shall one day hear the voice of Him that wakes the dead, as it is taught in the age after the Exile (Dan. xii. 2), was surely not known to David, for it was not yet revealed to him. But why may not this truth of revelation, towards which prophecy advances with such giant strides (Isa. xxvi. 19. Ezek. xxxvii. 1-14), be already heard even in the Psalms of David as a bold demand of faith and as a hope that has struggled forth to freedom out of the comfortless conception of Sheol possessed in that age, just as it is heard a few decades later in the master-work of a cotemporary of Solomon, the Book of Job? The morning in Ps. xlix. 15 is also not any morning whatever following upon the night, but that final morning which brings deliverance to the upright and inaugurates their dominion. A sure knowledge of the fact of the resurrection such as, according to Hofmann (Schriftbeweis ii. 2, 490), has existed in the Old Testament from the beginning, is not expressed in such passages. For laments like vi. 6, xxx. 10, lxxxviii. 11-13, shew that no such certain knowledge was then in existence; and when the Old Testament literature which we now possess allows us elsewhere an insight into the history of the perception of redemption, it does not warrant us in concluding anything more than that the perception of the future resurrection of the dead did not pass from the prophetic word into the believing mind of Israel until about the time of the Exile. and that up to that period faith made bold to hope for a

redemption from death, but only by means of an inference drawn from that which was conceived and existed within itself, without having an express word of promise in its favour.* Thus it is here also. David certainly gives full expression to the hope of a vision of God, which, as righteous before God, will be vouchsafed to him; and vouchsafed to him, even though he should fall asleep in death in the present extremity (xiii. 4), as one again awakened from the sleep of death, and, therefore (although this idea does not directly coincide with the former), as one raised from the dead. But this hope is not a believing appropriation of a "certain knowledge", but a view that, by reason of the already existing revelation of God, lights up out of his consciousness of fellowship with Him.

PSALM XVIII.

DAVID'S HYMNIC RETROSPECT OF A LIFE CROWNED WITH MANY MERCIES.

- 2 FERVENTLY do I love Thee, Jahve, my strength,
- 3 Jahve, my rock, and my fortress, and my Deliverer, My God, my fastness wherein I hide myself, My shield, and the horn of my salvation, my high tower!
- 4 As worthy to be praised do I call upon Jahve, And against mine enemies shall I be helped.
- 5 The bands of death had compassed me And the floods of the abyss came upon me.
- 6 The bands of hades had surrounded me, The snares of death assaulted me.

^{*} To this Hofmann, loc. cit. S. 496, replies as follows: "We do not find that faith indulges in such boldness elsewhere, or that the believing ones cherish hopes which are based on such insecure grounds." But the word of God is surely no insecure ground, and to draw bold conclusions from that which is intimated only from afar, was indeed, even in many other respects (for instance, respecting the incarnation, and respecting the abrogation of the ceremonial law), the province of the Old Testament faith.

- 7 In my distress I called upon Jahve, And unto my God did I cry; He heard my call out of His temple, And my cry before Him came into His ears.
- 8 The earth shook and quaked, And the foundations of the mountains trembled, And they swung to and fro, for He was wroth.
- 9 There went up a smoke in His nostrils, And fire out of His mouth devoured, Coals were kindled by it.
- 10 Then He bowed the heavens and came down, And thick darkness was under His feet
- 11 And He rode upon a cherub and did fly, And floated upon the wings of the wind;
- 12 He made darkness His covering, His pavilion round about Him

Darkness of waters, thick clouds of the skies.

13 Out of the brightness before Him there broke through His clouds

Hail-stones and coals of fire.

- 14 Then Jahve thundered in the heavens,
 And the Highest made His voice to sound forth.
 [Hail-stones and coals of fire.]
- 15 And He sent forth His arrows and scattered them, And lightnings in abundance and discomfited them.
- 16 And the channels of the waters became visible, And the foundations of the earth were laid bare, At Thy threatening, Jahve, At the snorting of the breath of Thy wrath.
- 17 He reached from the height, He seized me, He drew me up out of great waters;
- 18 He delivered me from my grim foe,
 And from them that hated me, because they were too
 strong for me.
 - 19 They came upon me in the day of my calamity, Then Jahve was a stay to me,

- 20 And brought me forth into a large place; He delivered me, for He delighted in me.
- 21 Jahve rewarded me according to my righteousness, According to the cleanness of my hands did He recompense me.
- 22 For I have kept the ways of Jahve, And have not wickedly departed from my God.
- 23 Far from this, all His judgments are my aim, And His statutes I do not put away from me.
- 24 And I was spotless towards Him, And I have kept myself from mine iniquity.
- 25 Therefore Jahve recompensed me according to any righteousness,
 - According to the cleanness of my hands, which was manifest in His eyes.
- 26 Towards the good Thou shewest Thyself good
 Towards the man of perfect submission Thou shewest
 Thyself yielding.
- 27 Towards him who sanctifies himself Thou shewest Thyself pure,
 - And towards the perverse Thou shewest Thyself froward.
- 28 For Thou, Thou savest the afflicted people, And high looks Thou bringest down.
- 29 For Thou makest my lamp light; Jahve, my God, enlighteneth my darkness.
- 30 For by Thee do I scatter a troop, And by my God do I leap walls.
- 31 As for God spotless is His way, The word of Jahve is tried; A shield is He to all who hide in Him.
- 32 For who is a divine being, but Jahve alone, And who is a rock save our God?
- 33 The God, who girded me with strength, And made my way perfect,

- 34 Making my feet like hinds' feet, And who set me upon my high places,
- 35 Training my hands for war, And mine arms bent a bow of brass.
- 36 And Thou gavest me also the shield of Thy salvation, And Thy right hand upheld me, And Thy lowliness made me great.
- 37 Thou madest room for my footsteps under me, And mine ankles have not slipped.
- 38 I pursued mine enemies and overtook them, And turned not back, till they were consumed.
- 39 I smote them, so that they could not rise, They fell under my feet
- 40 And Thou didst gird me with strength for the battle, Thou madest my foes to bow down under me,
- 41 Thou gavest me the necks of mine enemies, And those that hated me, I utterly destroyed.
- 42 They cried, but there was no helper, Even to Jahve, but He answered them not.
- 43 And I crushed them as dust before the wind, Like the dirt of the streets I emptied them out.
- 44 Thou didst deliver me from the strivings of the people, Thou didst make me Head of the nations; A people that I knew not, served me.
- 45 At the hearing of the ear, they obeyed me, Strangers submitted to me,
- 46 Strangers faded away,
 And came forth trembling from their strongholds.
- 47 Jahve liveth, and blessed be my Rock, And let the God of my salvation be exalted;
- 48 The God, who gave me revenges And bent back peoples under me,
- 49 My Deliverer from mine enemies, Yea, Thou who liftest me up above my foes, Who rescuest me from the violent man.

- 50 Therefore will I praise Thee among the nations, O Jahve, And I will sing praises unto Thy name,
 - 51 As He, who giveth great deliverance to His king And sheweth favour to His anointed, To David and his seed for ever.

Next to a הפלה of David comes a שירה (nom. unitatis from שור), which is in many ways both in words and thoughts (Symbolae p. 49) interwoven with the former. It is the longest of all the hymnic Psalms, and bears the inscription: To the Precentor, by the servant of Jahve, by David, who spake unto Jahve the words of this song in the day that Jahve had delivered him out of the hand of all his enemies and out of the hand of Saûl: then he said. The original inscription of the Psalm in the primary collection was probably only למנצה לעבר ה' לרוד, like the inscription of Ps. xxxvi. The rest of the inscription resembles the language with which songs of this class are wont to be introduced in their connection in the historical narrative, Ex. xv. 1, Num. xxi. 17, and more especially Deut. xxxi. 30. And the Psalm before us is found again in 2 Sam. xxii., introduced by words, the manifestly unaccidental agreement of which with the inscription in the Psalter, is explained by its having been incorporated in one of the histories from which the Books of Samuel are extracted, - probably the Annals (Dibre ha-Jamim) of David. From this source the writer of the Books of Samuel has taken the Psalm, together with that introduction; and from this source also springs the historical portion of the inscription in the Psalter, which is connected with the preceding by אשר.

The terminology of psalm-poesy does not include the word ישירה, but only שיר. This at once shews that the historical portion of the inscription comes from some other source. ביום is followed, not by the infin. הציל: on the day of deliverance, but by the more exactly plusquamperf. בול הציל: on the day (a) = at the time, as in Gen. ii. 4, and frequently) when he had delivered - a genitival (Ges. § 116, 3) relative clause, like exxxviii. 3, Ex. vi. 28, Num. iii. 1, cf. alternates with מפר in this text without Ps. lvi. 10. any other design than that of varying the expression. The deliverance out of the hand of Saul is made specially prominent, because the most prominent portion of the Psalm, vers. 5-20, treats of it. The danger in which David then was placed, was of the most personal, the most perilous, and the most protracted kind. This prominence was of great service to the collector, because the preceding Psalm bears the features of this time, the lamentations over which are heard there and further back, and now all find expression in this more extended song of praise.

Only a fondness for doubt can lead any one to doubt the Davidic origin of this Psalm, attested as it is in two works, which are independent of one another. The twofold testimony of tradition is supported by the fact that the Psalm contains nothing that militates against David being the author; even the mention of his own name at the close, is not against it (cf. 1 Kings ii. 45). We have before us an Israelitish counterpart to the cuneiform monumental inscriptions, in which the kings of worldly monarchies recapitulate the deeds they have done by the help of their gods. The speaker is a king; the author of the Books of Samuel found the song already in existence as a Davidic song; the difference of his text from that which lies before us in the Psalter, shews that at that time it had been transmitted from some earlier period; writers of the later time of the kings here and there use language which is borrowed from it or are echoes of it (comp. Prov. xxx. 5 with ver. 31; Hab. iii. 19 with ver. 34); it bears throughout the mark of the classic age of the language and poetry, and "if it be not David's, it must have been written in his name and by some one imbued with his spirit, and who could have been this

cotemporary poet and twin-genius?" (Hitzig). All this irresistibly points us to David himself, to whom really belong also all the other songs in the Second Book of Samuel, which are introduced as Davidic (over Saul and Jonathan, over Abner, &c.). This, the greatest of all, springs entirely from the new self-consciousness to which he was raised by the promises recorded in 2 Sam. vii.; and towards the end, it closes with express retrospective reference to these promises; for David's certainty of the everlasting duration of his house, and God's covenant of mercy with his house, rests upon the announcement made by Nathan.

The Psalm divides into two halves; for the strain of praise begins anew with ver. 32, after having run its first course and come to a beautiful close in ver. 31. The two halves are also distinct in respect of their artificial form. The strophe schema of the first is: 6. 8. 8. 6. 8 (not 9). 8. 8. 8. 7. The mixture of six and eight line strophes is symmetrical, and the seven of the last strophe is nothing strange. The mixture in the second half on the contrary is varied. The art of the strophe system appears here, as is also seen in other instances in the Psalms, to be relaxed; and the striving after form at the commencement has given way to the pressure and crowding of the thoughts.

The traditional mode of writing out this Psalm, as also the Cantica, 2 Sam. xxii. and Judges v., is "a half-brick upon a brick, and a brick upon a half-brick" (אירה על גבי לבנה): i. e. one line consisting of two, and one of three parts of a verse, and the line consisting of the three parts has only one word on the right and on the left; the whole consequently forms three columns. On the other hand, the song in Deut. xxxii. (as also Josh. xii. 9 sqq., Esth. ix. 7—10) is to be written "a half-brick upon a half-brick and a brick upon a brick", i. e. in only two columns, cf. infra p. 269.

Vers. 2—4. The poet opens with a number of endearing names for God, in which he gratefully comprehends the results of long and varied experience. So far as regards the parallelism of the members, a monostich forms the beginning of this Psalm, as in Ps. xvi. xxiii. xxv. and many

others. Nevertheless the matter assumes a somewhat different aspect, if ver. 3 is not, with Maurer, Hengstenberg and Hupfeld, taken as two predicate clauses (Jahve is . . ., my God is ...), but as a simple vocative - a rendering which alone corresponds to the intensity with which this greatest of the Davidic hymns opens - God being invoked by ה, ה, אלי ה, and each of these names being followed by a predicative expansion of itself, which increases in fulness of tone and emphasis. The figure (with \bar{a} , according to Ew. § 251, b), which carries the three series of the names of God, makes up in depth of meaning what is wanting in Elsewhere we find only the Piel רהם of tender sympathising love, but here the Kal is used as an Aramaism. Hence the Jalkut on this passage explains it by רהמאי יהך "I love thee", of ardent, heartfelt love and attachment. The primary signification of softness (root רה, בי, לי, to be soft, lax, loose), whence com, uterus, is transferred in both cases to tenderness of feeling or sentiment. The most general predicate הוק (from הוק according to a similar inflexion to עמק, עמק ,בסר, אמר Prov. ix. 18) is followed by those which describe Jahve as a protector and deliverer in persecution on the one hand, and on the other as a defender and the giver of victory in battle. They are all typical names symbolising what Jahve is in Himself; hence instead of ימפלמי it would perhaps have been more correct to point מפלמי (and my refuge). God had already called Himself a shield to Abram, Gen. xv. 1; and He is called אָבָן (cf. אָבֶן Gen. xlix. 24) in the great Mosaic song, Deut. xxxii. 4, 37 (the latter verse is distinctly echoed here). מֶלֵע from הולש, הָסַלַע, findere, means properly a cleft in a rock (Arabic סָלָש*), then a cleft

^{*} Neshwan defines thus: السّلَع is a cutting in a mountain after the manner of a gorge; and Jakut, who cites a number of places that are so called: a wide plain (فضاء) enclosed by steep rocks, which is reached through a narrow pass (شُعَب), but can only be descended on foot. Accordingly, in לֵיִי the idea of a safe (and comfortable) hiding-place preponderates; in שֵׁרְיִי that of firm ground and inaccessibility. The one figure calls to mind the (well-watered) Edomitish צֵבְּיִנְיִי surrounded with precipitous rocks, Isa. xvi. 1, xlii. 11, the Πέτρα

rock, and צור, like the Arabic صُخْر, a great and hard mass of rock (Aramaic אָשָה, a mountain). The figures of the מצורה (מצָר, מִצוֹרָה) and the מִשׂנֵב are related; the former signifies properly specula, a watch-tower*, and the latter, a steep height. The horn, which is an ancient figure of victorious and defiant power in Deut. xxxiii. 17, 1 Sam. ii. 1, is found here applied to Jahve Himself: "horn of my salvation" is that which interposes on the side of my feebleness, conquers, and saves me. All these epithets applied to God are the fruits of the affliction out of which David's song has sprung, viz. his persecution by Saul, when, in a country abounding in rugged rocks and deficient in forest, he betook himself to the rocks for safety, and the mountains In the shelter which the served him as his fortresses. mountains, by their natural conformations, afforded him at

described by Strabo, xvi. 4, 21; the other calls to mind the Phænician rocky island 712, Şûr (Tyre), the refuge in the sea.

^{*} In Arabic مَصَادٌ signifies (1) a high hill (a signification that is wanting in Freytag), (2) the summit of a mountain, and according to the original lexicons it belongs to the root مُصَدِّي, which in outward appearance is supported by the synonymous forms مَصَدُ and and مُصْدَانً , since these أُمْصِدَةً and مُصْدَانً can only be properly formed from those singulars on the assumption of the m being part of the root. Nevertheless, since the meanings of مصر all distinctly point to its being formed from the root مصل contained in the reduplicated stem of to suck, but the meanings of مُصَادٌ do not admit of their being referred to it, and moreover there are instances in which original nn. loci from vv. med., and is admit of the prefixed m being treated as the first radical through forgetfulness or disregard of their derivation, and with the retention of it form secondary roots (as مَكَنَ, مَكَنَ, مُكَنَى, مُحَدِية), it is highly probable that in masad, masad and masd we have an original מְצוֹרָה, מְצוֹרָה, מְצוֹרָה, These Hebrew words, however, are to be referred to a 713 in the signification to look out, therefore properly specula. - Fleischer.

that time, and in the fortunate accidents, which sometimes brought him deliverance when in extreme peril, David recognises only marvellous phenomena of which Jahve Himself was to him the final cause. The confession of the God tried and known in many ways is continued in ver. 4 by a general expression of his experience. מהלל is a predicate accusative to יהוה: As one praised (worthy to be praised) do I call upon Jahve, - a rendering that is better suited to the following clause, which expresses confidence in the answer coinciding with the invocation, which is to be thought of as a cry for help, than Olshausen's, "Worthy of praise, do I cry, is Jahve", though this latter certainly is possible so far as the style is concerned (vid. on Isa. xlv. 24, cf. also Gen. iii. 3, Mic. ii. 6). The proof of this fact, viz. that calling upon Him who is worthy to be praised, who, as the history of Israel shews, is able and willing to help, is immediately followed by actual help, as events that are coincident, forms the further matter of the Psalm.

Vers. 5—7. In these verses David gathers into one collective figure all the fearful dangers to which he had been exposed during his persecution by Saul, together with the marvellous answers and deliverances he experienced, that which is unseen, which stands in the relation to that which is visible of cause and effect, rendering itself visible to him. David here appears as passive throughout; the hand from out of the elouds seizes him and draws him out of mighty waters: while in the second part of the Psalm, in fellowship with God and under His blessing, he comes forward as a free actor.

The description begins in vers. 5—7 with the danger and the cry for help which is not in vain. The verb אָפַאָּ according to a tradition not to be doubted (cf. אָפָּאָ a wheel) signifies to go round, surround, as a poetical synonym of בַּבֶּר, הָקּוֹר, and not, as one might after the Arabic have thought: to drive, urge. Instead of "the bands of death," the LXX. (cf. Acts ii. 24) renders it שׁמּעֹמִים; (constrictive pains) θανάτου; but ver. 6b. favours the meaning bands, cords, cf. cxix. 61 (where it is likewise בְּלִי instead of the בַּלָּבְּל, which one might have expected, Josh. xvii. 5, Job xxxvi. 8), death is therefore represented as a hunter with a cord and

net, xci. 3. בְּלִיעֵל ,compounded of יַעַל and יַעַל (from יָעַל ,i, root על), signifies unprofitableness, worthlessness, and in fact both deep-rooted moral corruption and also abysmal destruction (cf. 2 Cor. vi. 15, Βελίαρ = Βελίαλ as a name of Satan and his kingdom). Rivers of destruction are those, whose engulfing floods lead down to the abyss of destruction (Jon. ii. 7). Death, Belijaal, and Sheol are the names of the weird powers, which make use of David's persecutors as their instruments. Futt. in the sense of imperfects alternate with prætt. בעה (בשים) signifies to come suddenly upon any one (but compare also بعث, to startle, excitare, to alarm), and קַּדָּם, to rush upon; the two words are distinguished from one another like überfallen and anfallen. out of which Jahve hears is His heavenly dwellingplace, which is both palace and temple, inasmuch as He sits enthroned there, being worshipped by blessed spirits. לְפַנֵיוּ belongs to ישועהי: my cry which is poured forth before Him (as e. g. in cii. 1), for it is tautological if joined with אַכּה beside באונין. Before Jahve's face he made supplication and his prayer urged its way into His ears.

Vers. 8—10. As these verses go on to describe, the being heard became manifest in the form of deliverance. All nature stands to man in a sympathetic relationship, sharing his curse and blessing, his destruction and glory, and to God in a (so to speak) synergetic relationship, furnishing the harbingers and instruments of His mighty deeds. ingly in this instance Jahve's interposition on behalf of David is accompanied by terrible manifestations in nature. Like the deliverance of Israel out of Egypt, Ps. lxviii. lxxvii., and the giving of the Law on Sinai, Exod. xix., and like the final appearing of Jahve and of Jesus Christ according the words of prophet and apostle (Hab. iii., 2 Thess. i. 7 sq.), the appearing of Jahve for the help of David has also extraordinary natural phenomena in its train. It is true we find no express record of any incident in David's life of the kind recorded in 1 Sam. vii. 10, but it must be some real experience which David here idealises (i. e. seizes at its very roots, and generalises and works up into a grand majestic picture of his miraculous deliverance). Amidst earthquake, a black thunderstorm gathers, the charging of

which is heralded by the lightning's flash, and its thick clouds descend nearer and nearer to the earth. in ver. 8 introduce the event, for the introduction of which, from ver. 4 onwards, the way has been prepared and towards which all is directed. The inward excitement of the Judge, who appears to His servant for his deliverance, sets the earth in violent oscillation. The foundations of the mountains (Isa. xxiv. 18) are that upon which they are supported beneath and within, as it were, the pillars which support the vast mass. ציע (rhyming with רעש) is followed by the Hithpa. of the same verb: the first impulse having been given they, viz. the earth and the pillars of the mountains, continue to shake of themselves. These convulsions occur. because "it is kindled with respect to God"; it is unnecessary to supply חבה לן, אפן is a synonym of חבה לן. When God is wrath, according to Old Testament conception, the power of wrath which is present in Him is kindled and blazes up and breaks forth. The panting of rage may accordingly also be called the smoke of the fire of wrath (lxxiv. 1, lxxx. 5). The smoking is as the breathing out of the fire, and the vehement hot breath which is inhaled and exhaled through the nose of one who is angry (cf. Job xli. 12), is like smoke rising from the internal fire of anger. The fire of anger itself "devours out of the mouth", i. e. flames forth out of the mouth, consuming whatever it lays hold of, - in men in the form of angry words, with God in the fiery forces of nature, which are of a like kind with, and subservient to, His anger, and more especially in the lightning's flash. It is the lightning chiefly, that is compared here to the blazing up of burning coals. The power of wrath in God, becoming manifest in action, breaks forth into a glow, and before it entirely discharges its fire, it gives warning of action like the lightning's flash heralding the outburst of the storm. enraged and breathing forth His wrath, Jahve bowed the heavens, i. e. caused them to bend towards the earth, and came down, and darkness of clouds (ערפל similar in meaning to ὄρφνη, cf. ἔρεβος) was under His feet; black, lowhanging clouds announced the coming of Him who in His wrath was already on His way downwards towards the earth. Vers. 11-13. The storm, announcing the approaching

outburst of the thunderstorm, was also the forerunner of the Avenger and Deliverer. If we compare ver. 11 with civ. 3, it is natural to regard כרוב as a transposition of רכוב (a chariot, Ew. § 153,a). But assuming a relationship between the biblical Cherub and (according to Ctesias) the Indo-Persian griffin, the word (from the Zend grab, garew, garefsh, to seize) signifies a creature seizing and holding irrecoverably fast whatever it seizes upon; perhaps in Semitic language the strong creature, from کی = درد torquere, constringere, (whence mukrab, tight, strong). It is a passive form like לבוש , יםר , גבול. The cherubim are mentioned in Gen. iii. 24 as the guards of Paradise (this alone is enough to refute the interpretation recently revived in the Evang. Kirchen-Zeit., 1866, No. 46, that they are a symbol of the unity of the living One, ברוב = כרוב "like a multitude"!), and elsewhere, as it were, as the living mighty rampart and vehicle of the approach of the inaccessible majesty of God; and they are not merely in general the medium of God's personal presence in the world, but more especially of the presence of God as turning the fiery side of His doxa towards the world. As in the Prometheus of Æschylus, Oceanus comes flying τον πτερυγωκή τόνδ' οἰωνόν γνώμη στομίων ἄτερ εδθύνων, so in the present passage Jahve rides upon the cherub, of which the heathenish griffin is a distortion; or, if by a comparison of passages like civ. 3, Isa. lxvi. 15, we understand David according to Ezekiel, He rides upon the cherub as upon His living throne-chariot (מרכבה). throne floats upon the cherubim, and this cherub-throne flies upon the wings of the wind; or, as we can also say: the cherub is the celestial spirit working in this vehicle formed of the spirit-like elements. The Manager of the chariot is Himself hidden behind the thick thunder-clouds. מַישׁה is an aorist without the consecutive ו (cf. או Hos. vi. 1). הושה is the accusative of the object to it; and the accusative of the predicate is doubled: His covering, His pavilion round about In Job xxxvi. 29 also the thunder-clouds are called God's מָבֶיר, and also in xevii. 2 they are מָבֶיר, concealing Him on all sides and announcing only His presence when He is wroth. In ver. 12b the accusative of the object, השה, is expanded into "darkness of waters", i. e. swelling with

waters* and billows of thick vapour, thick, and therefore dark, masses (by in its primary meaning of denseness, or a thicket, Exod. xix. 9, cf. Jer. iv. 29) of שחקים, which is here a poetical name for fleecy clouds. The dispersion and discharge, according to ver. 13, proceeded from נוה נודן. Such is the expression for the doxa of God as being a mirroring forth of His nature, as it were, over against Him, as being therefore His brightness, or the reflection of His glory. The doxa is fire and light. On this occasion the forces of wrath issue from it, and therefore it is the fiery forces: heavy and destructive hail (cf. Exod. ix. 23 sq., Isa. xxx. 30) and fiery glowing coals, i. e. flashing and kindling lightning. object עבין stands first, because the idea of clouds, behind which, according to ver. 11, the doxa in concealed, is prominently connected with the doxa. It might be rendered: before His brightness His clouds turn into hail ..., a rendering which would be more in accordance with the structure of the stichs, and is possible according to Ges. § 138, rem. 2. Nevertheless, in connection with the combination of עבר with clouds, the idea of breaking through (Lam. iii. 44) is very natural. If עברו is removed, then עברו signifies "thence came forth hail . . . " But the mention of the clouds as the medium, is both natural and appropriate.

Vers. 14—16. Amidst thunder, Jahve hurled lightnings as arrows upon David's enemies, and the breath of His anger laid bare the beds of the flood to the very centre of the earth, in order to rescue the sunken one. Thunder is the rumble of God, and as it were the hollow murmur of His mouth, Job xxxvii. 2. אָלִילוּ, the Most High, is the name of God as the inapproachable Judge, who governs all things. The third line of ver. 14 is erroneously repeated from the preceding strophe. It cannot be supported on grammatical grounds by Ex. ix. 23, since אָבָוֹן כְּלֵה, edere vocem, has a different meaning from the אָבָוֹן כְלֵה, dare tonitrua, of that passage. The symmetry of the strophe structure is also against it; and it is wanting both in 2 Sam. and in the LXX. בַּיָּ,

^{*} Rab Dimi, B. Taantth 10a, for the elucidation of the passage quotes a Palestine proverb: נהור ענני ועירין מוהי חשוך ענני סגיין מוהי i.e. if the clouds are transparent they will yield but little water, if they are dark they will yield a quantity.

which, as the opposite of myn Neh. ii. 12, Isa. x. 7, means adverbially "in abundance", is the parallel to תישלח. generally taken, after the analogy of Gen. xlix. 23, in the sense of בַּרַק, cxliv. 6: בַן in pause = בֹּרָ (the ō passing over into the broader a like y instead of y in Gen. xlix. 3) = , cognate with כבו, רמה, but the forms סבו, סבו, here, and in every other instance, have but a very questionable existence, as e. g. 27, Isa. liv. 13, is more probably an adjective than the third person præt. (cf. Böttcher, Neue Aehrenlese No. 635, 1066). The suffixes ēm do not refer to the arrows, i. e. lightnings, but to David's foes. הַמָּם means both to put in commotion and to destroy by confounding, Exod. xiv. 24, xxiii. 27. In addition to the thunder, the voice of Jahve, comes the stormwind, which is the snorting of the breath of His nostrils. This makes the channels of the waters visible and lays bare the foundations of the earth. אפיק (collateral form to pon) is the bed of the river and then the river or brook itself, a continendo aquas (Ges.), and exactly like the Arabic mesîk, mesâk, mesek (from June, the VI. form of which, tamâsaka, corresponds to התאפק), means a place that does not admit of the water soaking in, but on account of the firmness of the soil preserves it standing or flowing. What are here meant are the water-courses or river beds that hold the water. It is only needful for Jahve to threaten (ἐπιτιμᾶν Mat. viii. 26) and the floods, in which he, whose rescue is undertaken here, is sunk, flee (civ. 7) and dry up (cvi. 9, Nah. i. 4). But he is already half engulfed in the abyss of Hades, hence not merely the bed of the flood is opened up, but the earth is rent to its very centre. From the language being here so thoroughly allegorical, it is clear that we were quite correct in interpreting the description as ideal. He, who is nearly overpowered by his foes, is represented as one engulfed in deep waters and almost drowning.

Vers. 17—20. Then Jahve stretches out His hand from above into the deep chasm and draws up the sinking one. The verb לשלי occurs also in prose (2 Sam. vi. 6) without ניים (lvii. 4, cf. on the other hand the borrowed passage, cxliv. 7) in the signification to reach (after anything). The verb שִישָּים, however, is only found in one other instance, viz.

Exod. ii. 10, as the root (transferred from the Egyptian into the Hebrew) of the name of Moses, and even Luther saw in it an historical allusion, "He hath made a Moses of me", He hath drawn me out of great (many) waters, which had well nigh swallowed me up, as He did Moses out of the waters of the Nile, in which he would have perished. This figurative language is followed, in ver. 18, by its interpretation, just as in cxliv. 7 the "great waters" are explained by מֵּיֶר בְּנֵי נַבֶּר, which, however, is not suitable here, or at least is too limited.

With ver. 17 the hymn has reached the climax of epic description, from which it now descends in a tone that becomes more and more lyrical. In the combination איבי עו w is not an adverbial accusative, but an adjective, like רוחף טובה exliii. 10, and d dwhp dyalls (Hebräerbrief S. 353). introduces the reason for the interposition of the divine omnipotence, viz. the superior strength of the foe and the weakness of the oppressed one. On the day of his איד, i.e. (vid. on xxxi. 12) his load or calamity, when he was altogether a homeless and almost defenceless fugitive, they came upon him (קדם xvii. 13), cutting off all possible means of delivering himself, but Jahve became the fugitive's staff (xxiii. 4) upon which he leaned and kept himself erect. By the hand of God, out of straits and difficulties he reached a broad place, out of the dungeon of oppression to freedom, for Jahve had delighted in him, he was His chosen and be-אסק has the accent on the penult here, and Metheg as a sign of the lengthening (הַעְמַרָה) beside the e, that it may not be read č.* The following strophe tells the reason of his pleasing God and of His not allowing him to perish. This כי חפץ בי (for He delighted in me) now becomes the primary thought of the song.

Vers. 21—24. On אָלֵי (like שֵׁלֵי with the accusative not merely of the thing, but also of the person, e. g. 1 Sam. xxiv. 18), εὐ οr κακῶς πράττειν τινά, vid. on vii. 5. אַעָּר, to observe — to keep, is used in the same way in Job xxii. 15.

^{*} In like manner *Metheg* is placed beside the z̄ of the final closed syllable that has lost the tone in אָבָּוּל, אָבָּיל, xc. 2., vid. Isaiah S. 594 note.

is a pregnant expression of the malitiesa desertio. "From God's side", i. e. in His judgment, would be contrary to the general usage of the language (for the p in Job iv. 17 has a different meaning) and would be but a chilling ad-On the poetical form מני, in pause ימני, vid. Ew. The fut. in ver. 23b, close after the substantival clause ver. 23a, is not intended of the habit in the past, but at the present time: he has not wickedly forsaken God, but (13 = imo, sed) always has God's commandments present before him as his rule of conduct, and has not put them far away out of his sight, in order to be able to sin with less compunction; and thus then (fut. consec.) in relation (Dy., as in Deut. xviii. 13, cf. 2 Sam. xxiii. 5) to God he was ממים, with his whole soul undividedly devoted to Him, and he guarded himself against his iniquity (אָלוֹן, from בָּבַ, to twist, pervert, cf. غبى of error, delusion, self-enlightenment), i. e. not: against acquiescence in his in-dwelling sin, but: against iniquity becoming in any way his own; equivalent to מָלֵינָי (Dan. ix. 5), cf. מָהָיִי than that I should live, Jon. iv. 8. In this strophe, this Psalm strikes a cord that harmonises with Ps. xvii., after which it is therefore placed. We may compare David's own testimony concerning himself in 1 Sam. xxvi. 23 sq., the testimony of God in 1 Kings xiv. 8, and the testimony of history in 1 Kings xv. 5, xi. 4.

Vers. 25.—28. What was said in ver. 21 is again expressed here as a result of the foregoing, and substantiated in vers. 26, 27. אָרָהָיִה is a friend of God and man, just as pius is used of behaviour to men as well as towards God. אַרָּה the man (construct of בָּרֶה) of moral and religious completeness (integri = integritatis, cf. xv. 2), i. e. of undivided devotion to God. בָּרָה (instead of which we find בּרַר elsewhere, xxiv. 4, lxxiii. 1) not one who is purified, but, in accordance with the reflexive primary meaning of Niph., one who is purifying himself, בֹּרְעֹלֶטְי צֹמִילֹט, 1 John iii. 3. בַּרָר (the opposite of בּרָר (שַׁר)) one who is morally distorted, perverse. Freely formed Hithpaels are used with these attributive words to give expression to the corresponding self-manifestation: בַּרָר, הַבְּרָר (Ges. § 54, 2,b), הַרְרָבָּר, and בַּרָר (to shew one's self בַּרָר or בַּרָר.). The fervent

love of the godly man God requites with confiding love, the entire submission of the upright with a full measure of grace, the endeavour after purity by an unbeclouded charity (cf. lxxiii. 1), moral perverseness by paradoxical judgments, giving the perverse over to his perverseness (Rom. i. 28) and leading him by strange ways to final condemnation (Isa. xxix. 14, cf. Lev. xxvi. 23 sq.). The truth, which is here enunciated, is not that the conception which man forms of God is the reflected image of his own mind and heart, but that God's conduct to man is the reflection of the relation in which man has placed himself to God; cf. 1 Sam. ii. 30, xv. 23. This universal truth is illustrated and substantiated in ver. 28. The people who are bowed down by affliction experience God's condescension, to their salvation; and their haughty oppressors, God's exaltation, to their humiliation. Lofty, proud eyes are among the seven things that Jahve hateth, according to Prov. vi. 17. The judgment of God compels them to humble themselves with shame, Isa. ii. 11.

Vers. 29-31. The confirmation of what has been asserted is continued by David's application of it to himself. Hitzig translates the futures in vers. 29 sq. as imperfects; but the sequence of the tenses, which would bring this rendering with it, is in this instance interrupted, as it has been even in ver. 28, by בי The lamp, כו (contracted from nawer), is an image of life, which as it were burns on and on, including the idea of prosperity and high rank; in the form ניך (from niwr, nijr) it is the usual figurative word for the continuance of the house of David, 1 Kings xi. 36, and frequently. David's life and dominion, as the covenant king, is the lamp which God's favour has lighted for the wellbeing of Israel, and His power will not allow this lamp (2 Sam. xxi. 17) to be quenched. The darkness which breaks in upon David and his house is always lighted up again by Jahve. For His strength is mighty in the weak; in, with, and by Him he can do all things. The fut. אָרץ may be all the more surely derived from נְצֵיץ (= אָריץ), inasmuch as this verb has the changeable u in the future also in Isa. xlii. 4. Eccl. The text of 2 Sam. xxii., however, certainly seems to put "rushing upon" in the stead of "breaking down". With

ver. 31 the first half of the hymn closes epiphonematically. is a nom. absol., like הַבֵּצוֹר, Deut. xxxii. 4. This old Mosaic utterance is re-echoed here, as in 2 Sam. vii. 22, in the mouth of David. The article of הַאָּל points to God as being manifest in past history. His way is faultless and blameless. His word is בְּרוּפָה, not slaggy ore, but purified solid gold, xii. 7. Whoever retreats into Him, the God of the promise, is shielded from every danger. Prov. xxx. 5 is borrowed from this passage.

Vers. 32-35. The grateful description of the tokens of favour he has experienced takes a new flight, and is continued in the second half of the Psalm in a more varied and less artificial mixture of the strophes. What is said in ver. 31 of the way and word of Jahve and of Jahve Himself, is confirmed in ver. 32 by the fact that He alone is אלום, a divine being to be reverenced, and He alone is גור, a rock, i. e. a ground of confidence that cannot be shaken. is said in ver. 31 consequently can be said only of Him. and וּלְחֵי alternate; the former (with a negative intensive p) signifies "without reference to" and then absolutely "without" or besides, and the latter (with î as a connecting vowel, which elsewhere has also the function of a suffix), from וולָה), "exception". The verses immediately following are attached descriptively to אלהינו, our God (i. e. the God of Israel), the God, who girded me with strength; and accordingly (fut. consec.) made my way קַמִים, "perfect", i. e. absolutely smooth, free from stumblings and errors, leading straight forward to a divine goal. The idea is no other than that in ver. 31, cf. Job xxii. 3, except that the freedom from error here is intended to be understood in accordance with its reference to the way of a man, of a king, and of a warrior; cf., moreover, the other text. The verb signifies, like ישָּׁכָּא, to make equal (æquare), to arrange, to set right; the dependent passage Hab. iii. 19 has, instead of this verb, the more uncoloured Dur. The hind, סר אילת or אילת, is the perfection of swiftness (cf. ἔλαφος and èλαφρός) and also of gracefulness among animals. the hinds" is equivalent to like hinds' feet; the Hebrew style leaves it to the reader to infer the appropriate point of

comparison from the figure. It is not swiftness in flight (De Wette), but in attack and pursuit that is meant, the latter being a prominent characteristic of warriors, according to 2 Sam. i. 23, ii. 18, 1 Chron. xii. 8. David does not call the high places of the enemy, which he has made his own by conquest "my high places", but those heights of the Holy Land which belong to him as king of Israel: upon these Jahve preserves him a firm position, so that from them he may rule the land far and wide, and hold them victoriously (cf. passages like Deut. xxxii. 13, Isa. lviii. 14). The verb למר, which has a double accusative in other instances, is here combined with 5 of the subject taught, as the aim of the teaching. The verb החה (to press down = to bend a bow) precedes the subject "my arms" in the singular; this inequality is admissible even when the subject stands first (e. g. Gen. xlix. 22, Joel i. 20, Zech. vi. 14). ם לשה נחושה a bow of brazen = of brass, as in Job xx. 24. It is also the manner of heroes in Homer and in the Ramajana to press down and bend with their hand a brazen bow, one end of which rests on the ground.

Vers. 36-37. Yet it is not the brazen bow in itself that makes him victorious, but the helpful strength of his God. "Shield of Thy salvation" is that consisting of Thy salvation. The has an unchangeable \mathring{a} , as it has always. The salvation of Jahve covered him as a shield, from which every stroke of the foe rebounded; the right hand of Jahve supported him that his hands might not become feeble in the conflict. In its ultimate cause it is the divine ענוה, to which he must trace back his greatness, i. e. God's lowliness, by virtue of which His eyes look down upon that which is on the earth (cxiii. 6), and the poor and contrite ones are His favourite dwelling-place (Isa. lvii. 15, lxvi. 1 sq.); cf. B. Megilla 31a, "wherever Scripture testifies of the נבורה of the Holy One, blessed be He, it gives prominence also, in connection with it, to His condescension, ענותנותו, as in Deut. x. 17 and in connection with it ver. 18, Isa. lvii. 15a and 15b, Ps. Ixviii. 5 and 6". The rendering of Luther, who follows the LXX. and Vulgate, "When Thou humblest me, Thou makest me great" is opposed by the fact that ענוה means the bending of one's self, and not of another. What

is intended is, that condescension of God to mankind, and especially to the house of David, which was in operation, with an ultimate view to the incarnation, in the life of the son of Jesse from the time of his anointing to his death, viz. the divine χρηστότης καὶ φιλανθρωπία (Tit. iii. 4), which elected the shepherd boy to be king, and did not cast him off even when he fell into sin and his infirmities became mani-To enlarge his steps under any one is equivalent to securing him room for freedom of motion (cf. the opposite form of expression in Prov. iv. 12). Jahve removed the obstacles of his course out of the way, and steeled his ankles so that he stood firm in fight and endured till he came off victorious. The præt. מעדן substantiates what, without any other indication of it, is required by the consecutio temporum, viz. that everything here has a retrospective meaning.

Vers. 38-41. Thus in God's strength, with the armour of God, and by God's assistance in fight, he smote, cast down, and utterly destroyed all his foes in foreign and in civil wars. According to the Hebrew syntax the whole of this passage is a retrospect. The imperfect signification of the futures in vers. 38, 39 is made clear from the agrist which appears in ver. 40, and from the perfects and futures in what follows it. The strophe begins with an echo of Exod. xv. 9 (cf. supra vii. 6). The poet calls his opponents קמי, as in ver. 49, xliv. 6, lxxiv. 23, cf. קמי Job xxii. 20, inasmuch as Dip by itself has the sense of rising up in hostility and consequently one can say אָם instead of קמים עלי (קמים עלי Kings xvi. 7).* The frequent use of this phrase (e. g. xxxvi. 13, Lam. i. 14) shews that op in ver. 39a does not mean "to stand (resist)", but "to rise (again)." The phrase נהן ערף, however, which in other passages has those fleeing as its subject (2 Chron. xxix. 6), is here differently applied: Thou gavest, or madest me mine enemies a

^{*} In the language of the Beduins kôm is war, feud, and kômānî (denominative from kôm) my enemy (hostis); kôm also has the signification of a collective of kômānî, and one can equally well say: entum waijânâ kôm, you and we are enemies, and: bênâtnâ kôm, there is war between us.

back, i. e. those who turn back, as in Exod. xxiii. 27. From xxi. 13 (συμμος, Symm. τάξεις αὐτοὺς ἀποστρόφους) it becomes clear that γυμ is not an accusative of the member beside the accusative of the person (as e. g. in Deut. xxxiii. 11), but an accusative of the factitive object according to Ges. § 139, 2.

Vers. 42-43. Their prayer to their gods, wrung from them by their distress, and even to Jahve, was in vain, because it was for their cause, and too late put up to Him. by = 5x; in xlii. 2 the two prepositions are interchanged. Since we do not pulverize dust, but to dust, כעפר is to be taken as describing the result: so that they became as dust (cf. Job xxxviii. 30, באבן, so that it is become like stone, and the extreme of such pregnant brevity of expression in Isa. xli. 2) before the wind (על־בע) as in 2 Chron. iii. 17, before the front). The second figure is to be explained differently: I emptied them out (הריק from הריק) like the dirt of the streets, i. e. not merely: so that they became such, but as one empties it out, - thus contemptuously, ignominiously and completely (cf. Isa. x. 6, Zech. x. 5). The LXX. renders it אבמעם from רק (root דרס to stretch, make thin, cf. tendo tenuis, dehnen dünn); and the text of 2 Sam. xxii. presents the same idea in אַריקם.

Vers. 44-46. Thus victorious in God, David became what he now is, viz. the ruler of a great kingdom firmly establish both in home and foreign relations. With respect to the נום and the verb ריבי עם which follows, ריבי עם can only be understood of the conflicts among his own people, in which David was involved by the persecution of Saul and the rebellions of Absolom and Sheba the son of Bichri; and from which Jahve delivered him, in order to preserve him for his calling of world-wide dominion in accordance with the promise. We therefore interpret the passage according to ברית עם in Isa. xlix. 8., and קנאח־עם in Isa. xxvi. 11; whereas the following Dy comes to have a foreign application by reason of the attributive clause לארירעתי (Ges. § 123, 3). The Niph. נשמע in ver. 45 is the reflexive of ynw, to obey (e.g. Ex. xxiv. 7), and is therefore to be rendered: shew themselves obedient (= Ithpa. in Dan. vii. 27). לְשֵׁמֶע אֹוָן implies more than that they obeyed at the

word; שמע means information, rumour, and שמע is the opposite of personal observation (Job xlii. 5), it is therefore to be rendered: they submitted even at the tidings of my victories; and 2 Sam. viii. 9 sq. is an example of this. to lie, disown, feign, and flatter, is used here, as it is frequently, of the extorted humility which the vanquished shew towards the conqueror. Ver. 46 completes the picture of the reason of the sons of a foreign country "putting a good face on a bad game". They faded away, i. e. they became weak and faint-hearted (Ex xviii. 18), incapable of holding out against or breaking through any siege by David, and trembled, surrendering at discretion, out of their close places, i. e. out of their strongholds behind which they had shut themselves in (cf. cxlii. 8). The signification of being alarmed, which in this instance, being found in combination with a local p, is confined to the sense of terrified flight, is secured to the verb הָרֵנ by the Arabic בֹרָב (root , of audible pressure, crowding, and the like) to be pressed, crowded, tight, or narrow, to get in a strait, and the Targumic אִימָתָא רמותא הַרְנָא דְמוּתָא (vid. the Targums on Deut. xxxii. 25). to limp, halt, which is compared by Hitzig, is far removed as to the sound; and the most natural, but colourless خرج, to go out of (according to its radical meaning — cf. خرع, خرت &c. —: to break forth, erumpere), cannot be supported in Hebrew or Aramaic. The יָרְגוֹי found in the borrowed passage in Micah, ch. vii. 17, favours our rendering.

song. That which follows, from onwards, describes Jahve once more as the living, blessed (εδλογητόν), and exalted One, which He has shewn Himself to be. From וידבר we see that הנותן is to be resolved as an imperfect. The proofs of vengeance, man, are called God's gift, insofar as He has rendered it possible to him to punish the attacks upon his own dignity and the dignity of his people, or to witness the punishment of such insults (e. g. in the case of Nabal); for divine vengeance is a securing by punishment (vindicatio) of the inviolability of the right. It is questionable whether הרביר (synonym רדר, cxliv. 2) here and in xlvii. 4 means "to bring to reason" as an intensive of 727, to drive (Ges.); the more natural meaning is "to turn the back" according to the Arabic adbara (Hitzig), cf. dabar, dabre, flight, retreat; dabira to be wounded behind; medbûr, wounded in the back. The idea from which קורביר gains the meaning "to subdue" is that of flight, in which hostile nations, overtaken from behind, sank down under him (xlv. 6); but the idea that is fully worked out in cxxix. 3, Isa. li. 23, is by no means remote. With מפלטי the assertion takes the form of an address. רומם מן does not differ from ix. 14: Thou liftest me up away from mine enemies, so that I hover above them and triumph over them. The climactic and, of which poetry is fond, here unites two thoughts of a like import to give intensity of expression to the one idea. participle is followed by futures: his manifold experience is concentrated in one general ideal expression.

Vers. 50—51. The praise of so blessed a God, who acts towards David as He has promised him, shall not be confined within the narrow limits of Israel. When God's anointed makes war with the sword upon the heathen, it is, in the end, the blessing of the knowledge of Jahve for which he opens up the way, and the salvation of Jahve, which he thus mediatorially helps on. Paul has a perfect right to quote ver. 50 of this Psalm (Rom. xv. 9), together with Deut. xxxii. 43 and Ps. cxvii. 1, as proof that salvation belongs to the Gentiles also, according to the divine purpose of mercy. What is said in ver. 51 as the reason and matter of the praise that shall go forth beyond Israel, is an echo of the Messianic promises in 2 Sam. vii. 12—16 which is per-

fectly reconcileable with the Davidic authorship of the Psalm, as Hitzig acknowledges. And Theodoret does not wrongly appeal to the closing words properly against the Jews. In whom, but in Christ, the son of David, has the fallen throne of David any lasting continuance, and in whom, but in Christ, has all that has been promised to the seed of David eternal truth and reality? The praise of Jahve, the God of David, His anointed, is, according to its ultimate import, a praising of the Father of Jesus Christ.

PSALM XVIII, ACCORDING TO THE TEXT OF 2 SAM. XXII.

On the differences of the introductory superscription, see on xviii. 1. The relation of the prose accentuation of the Psalm in 2 Sam. xxii. to the poetical accentuation in the Psalter is instructive. Thus, for example, instead of Mercha mahpach. (Olevejored) in the Psalter we here find Athnach; instead of the Athnach following upon Mercha mahpach., here is Zakeph (cf. xviii. 7, 16, 31 with 2 Sam. xxii. 7, 16, 31); instead of Rebia mugrash, here Tiphcha (cf. xviii. 4 with 2 Sam. xxii. 4); instead of Pazer at the beginning of a verse, here Athnach (cf. Ps. xviii. 2 with 2 Sam. xxii. 2).* The peculiar mode of writing the stichs, in which we find this song in our editions, is the old traditional mode. a half-line is placed above a half-line, so that they form two columns, it is called לבנה על-גבי לבנה אריח. brick upon brick, a half-brick upon a half-brick, as the song Haazinu in Deut. xxxii. is set out in our editions. On the other hand if the half-lines appear as they do here divided and placed in layers one over another, it is called אריק על-נבי לבנה ולבנה על-גבי אריה. According to Megilla 16b all the cantica in the Scriptures are to be written thus; and according to Sofrim xiii., Ps. xviii. has this form in common with 2 Sam. xxii.

Vers. 2—4. This strophe is stunted by the falling away of its monostichic introit, xviii. 2. In consequence of this, the vocatives in vers. 2 sq. are deprived of their support

^{*} Vid. Baer's Accentsystem xv., and Thorath Emeth iii, 2 together with S. 44, Anm.

and lowered to substantival clauses: Jahve is my Rock, &c., which form no proper beginning for a hymn. Instead of אָלִים שׁנְּיִם שׁנְיִם שׁנְּיִם שׁנְּיִם שׁנְיִם שׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִים שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְּיִם שְׁנְיִּם שְׁנְיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְּיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְּיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְּיִים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְּיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְּנִים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִּים שְׁנִים שְׁנִּים שְׁנְיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִּיְם שְׁנְיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנְיִים שְׁנִים שְׁנִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים שְׁנִיבְּים שְׁנִים בְּיִים שְׁנִים בְּיִים שְׁנְיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיבְּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיבְּים בְּיִּים בְּיוֹים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיוּבְּים בְּיוּבְּים בְּיוֹים בְּיִּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוּבְּים בְּיבְּיבְּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוּבְיבְיים בְּיב

Vers. 5-7. The connection of this strophe with the preceding by paccords with the sense, but is tame. On the other hand, the reading משברי instead of פלים (even though the author of cxvi. 3 may have thus read it) is commended by the parallelism, and by the fact, that now the latter figure is not repeated in vers. 5, 6. משברו are not necessarily waves that break upon the shore, but may also be such as break one upon another, and consequently אַפֿפוני is not inadmissible. The י סו ענהלי, which is not wanted, is omitted. Instead of the fuller toned form סבבוני, which is also more commensurate with the closing cadence of the verse, we have here the usual syncopated כבוני (cf. cxviii. 11). The repetition of the אקרא (instead of אשרע) is even more unpoetical than the repetition of שכלי would be. On the other hand, it might originally have been וישמע instead of ישמע; without 1 it is an expression (intended retrospectively) of what takes place simultaneously, with 1 it expresses the principal fact. The concluding line וְשֵׁוְעָתִי בָאוֹנָיו is stunted: the brief substantival clause is not meaningless (cf. Job xv. 21, Isa. v. 9), but is only a fragment of the more copious, fuller toned conclusion of the strophe which we find in the Psalter.

Vers. 8—10. The Kerî here obliterates the significant alternation of the Kal and Hithpa. of שַּיטָש. Instead of יְבְיכִשׁ we have the feminine form of the plural מַּוְסְבוֹּח (as in both texts in ver. 16) without ז. Instead of the genitive הָּיבִים, by an extension of the figure, we have הַּיבִים (cf. the pillars, Job xxvi. 11), which is not intended of the mountains as of Atlasses, as it were, supporting the heavens, but of the points

of support and central points of the heavens themselves: the whole universe trembles.

Instead of the pictorial וילא (Deut. Vers. 11—13. xxviii. 49, and hence in Jeremiah), which is generally used of the flight of the eagle, we have the plain, uncoloured וירא He appeared. Instead of ישת, which is intended as an aorist, we meet the more strictly regular, but here, where so many agrists with come together, less poetical וַיִּשֶׁת. In ver. 12a the rise and fall of the parallel members has grown over till it forms one heavy clumsy line: And made darkness round about Him a pavilion (מַבַּוֹת). But the ἀπ. λεγ. הַשְׁלֵּהָ, to which the signification of a "massive gathering together" is secured by the Arabic, is perhaps original. The word مشم, frequently used in the Koran of assembling to judgment, with the radical signification stipare, cogere (to crowd together, compress) which is also present in حاش, جشك, is here used like ἀγείρειν in the Homeric νεφεληγερέτα (the cloud-gatherer).* Ver. 13 is terribly mutilated, Of יעביו עררו ברד ן of the other text there are only the four letters בער (as in ver. 9c) left.

Vers. 14—16. Instead of יְרַשֶּׁי we find יְרַשֶּׁי, which is less admissible here, where a principal fact is related and the description is drawing nearer and nearer to its goal. Instead of מְרִשְּׁיִים the other text has מְּרִשְׁיִם; in xxx. 4 also, מוֹ is retained without being assimilated before w. But the fact, however, that the line שֵּׁיִבְּי is wanting, is a proof, which we welcome, that it is accidentally repeated from the preceding strophe, in the other text. On the other hand, מִּבְּיִם הַבּ ; הַנְּיִם הַבּ יִרְבִּיִם הַבּ יִרְבִּיִם וֹנְבִינִם וֹ is corrupted into a tame מְבְּיִם יִרְבִּינִם יִרְבִּיִּים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבִּיִּים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבִּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבִּיִּים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבִּיִּים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִייִם יִרְבּיִּבְּים יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבּיִבּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבּיִּבּים יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבּיִּבּיִם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבּיִּבּיִם יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִּבּים יִרְבּיִּבּיִם יִּבְּיִים יִרְבּיִּבּים יִרְבּיִים יִרְבּיִּבּים יִרְבּיִּבּים יִרְבִּיִּבּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יְרָב יִּבְּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִרְבִּיִּם יִּרְבִּיִּם יִּבּיִּם יִּבְּיִּם יִּרְבִּיִּם יִּבְּיִּם יִּבּיִּם יִּבּיִּבּים יִּבּיִים יִּבְּיִּבּיִּם יִּבּיִּבּים יִּבּיִּבּים יִּבּיִּם יִּבּיִּבּים יִּבּיִּבּים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבְּיִּבּיִּם בּיִּבּיִּם יִּבְּיִּים יִּבּיִּם יִּבּיִים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבּיִּים יִּבּיִּים יִּבּיִּים יִּבּיִים יִּבּיִּים יִּבּיִים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבְּיִים יִּבְּיִים יִּבְּיִים יִּבּיִּים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבּייִּבְּיִים יִּבְיִים יִּבְּיִים יִּבְּיִּים יִּבְּיִּם יִּבְיִּים יִּבְּיִּ

^{*} Midrash and Talmud explain it according to the Aramaic "a straining of the clouds", inasmuch as the clouds, like a sieve, let the drops trickle down to the earth, falling close upon each other and yet separately (B. Taanith 9b: קיבר קרקע). Kimchi combines ביי שור שולף. But the ancient Arabic ביי וויי יי שור אולף is the right key to the word. The root of הַּיִּבְּי מוֹ הַבְּי וְיִי בְּיה perhaps the same (cf. Exod. x. 21).

original; מים in this connection expresses too little, and, as being the customary word in combination with אפיקי (xlii. 2, Joel i. 20), may easily have been substituted after it. At any rate מוֹל and מְבֶּל form a more exact antithesis. מֵל instead of מְבֶּל is the same in meaning. The close of the strophe is here also weakened by the obliteration of the address to God: by (בְּ instead of the p of the other text) the threatening of Jahve, at the snorting of His breath of anger. The change of the preposition in this surge (so-to-speak) of the members of the verse is rather interruptive than pleasing.

Vers. 17—20. The variant מְשִׁמְן instead of מְשִׁלְן is unimportant; but מְשִׁלְן instead of לְמִשְׁעִן, for a support, is less pleasing both as it regards language and rhythm. The resolution of וִיצָא into וַיִּצָא is a clumsy and needless emphasising of the me.

Vers. 21—24. Instead of בַּבְּרָקִה, we find הַבְּבָּרְקָה and in ver. 25, contrary to usage of the language of the Psalms (cf. vii. 9 with 1 King viii. 32). Instead of the poetical אָסִיר מְבָּנִי (Job xxvii. 5, xxiii. 12) we have אַסִיר מְבָּנִי (with the fem. used as a neuter), according to the common phrase in 2 Kings iii. 3, and frequently (cf. Deut. v. 32). Instead of אָבְּיִרְיִה, the not less (e. g. cii. 8) usual אָבְּיִרְיִה, and instead of אַבְּיִרְיִה, the form with ah of direction which occurs very frequently with the first person of the fut. convers. in the later Hebrew, although it does also occur even in the older Hebrew (iii. 6, vii. 5, Gen. xxxii. 6, Job xix. 20). And instead of אַבְּיִר שִׁבְּיִר (cf. Deut. v. 32). And instead of אַבְּיִר (cf. Deut. v. 32). And instead of אַבְיִר (cf. Deut. v. 32). And instead of אַבְּיִר (cf. Deut. v. 32).

Vers. 25—28. On בְּלֵּבְהָ see ver. 21. בְּבַּרְהָ is without example, since elsewhere (בַּבָּרָהָם (בַּבַּרָה is the only expression for innocence. In the equally remarkable expression בַּבּרָר (the upright "man of valour"), בבּוֹר הָּמִים is used just as in the expression גַּבּוֹר חֵיל The form הָּהָבָּר (התחמם, has only the sound of an assimilated Hithpa. like בַּבְּרָה (בַּרַחָּת), and is rather a reflexive of the Hiph. הַבָּר (בַּרַר הַבְּרַח); and the form בַּבְּר (therefore בַּרְר בָּרָר (thou shewest thyself insipid, absurd, foolish), but — since הַּבְּרָר הַּבְּרָר (thou shewest thyself insipid, absurd, foolish), but — since

cannot be ascribed to God (Job i. 22), and is even unseemly as an expression — appears to be treated likewise as an Ittaphal with a kind of inverted assimilation = הַחָּהָפֶּתַל (Böttcher). They are contractions such as are sometimes allowed by the dialect of the common people, though contrary to all rules. ואת instead of בי at the beginning of ver. 28 changes what is confirmatory into a mere continuation of the foregoing. One of the most sensible variations is the change of ועינים to ועיניך על־רַמים. The rendering: And Thine eyes (are directed down) upon the haughty that Thou mayst bring (them) low (Stier, Hengst., and others), violates. the accentuation and is harsh so far as the language is concerned (לחשפילם for לחשפילם). Hitzig renders it, according to the accents: And Thou lowerest Thine eyes against the proud, הפיל פנים = השפיל עינים (Jer. iii. 12). But one would expect I instead of y, if this were the meaning. is better to render it according to Ps. cxiii. 6: And Thou dost cast down Thine eyes upon the haughty, in which rendering the haughty are represented as being far beneath Jahve notwithstanding their haughtiness, and the "casting down or depressing of the eyes" is an expression of the utmost contempt (despectus).

Vers. 29—31. Here in ver. 29a הַאִּר has been lost, for Jahve is called, and really is, אוֹר in xxvii. 1, but not בּר The form of writing בֵּר is an incorrect wavering between and סַבְּיר . The repetition יְרִוּהוֹה , by which the loss of האיר, and of אַרֹיף in ver. 29b, is covered, is inelegant. We have here instead of בְּבָּה as twice besides in the Old Testament. The form of writing אָרִיץ, as Isa. xlii. 4 shews, does not absolutely require that we should derive it from יְרִין, in the sense of running against, rushing upon; therefore, since the parallelism is favourable, it is to be rendered: by Thee I rush upon a troop. The omission of the before is no improvement to the rhythm.

elegant style of expression (= מעווי מעוו היל), like lxxi. 7, Lev. vi. 3, xxvi. 42; vid. Nägelsbach § 63, g, where it is correctly shewn, that this mode of expression is a matter of necessity in certain instances.* The form of writing, בַּערוּר, seems here to recognise a מעה, a hiding-place, refuge, = שלי, which is different from משלי, which is different from משלי, but just as in every other case the punctuation confuses the two substantives (vid. on xxxi. 3), so it does even here, since מעודי, from עוו, ought to be inflected מנוסי, like מנוסי, and not אנויי. Nevertheless the plena scriptio may avail to indicate to us, that here my is intended to be a synonym of המחסה. Instead of (ויַהָּר we have וְיָהֵן here; perhaps it is He let, or caused, my way to be spotless, i. e. made it such. Thus Ewald renders it by referring to the modern Arabic to let, cause [Germ. lassen, French faire] - to make, effect; even the classic ancient Arabic language uses (lassen) in the sense of to make), e. g. "I have made (تَرَكْتُ) the sword my camp-companion", i. e. my inseparable attendant (lit. I have caused it to be such), as it is to be translated in Nöldecke's Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Poesie der alten Araber, S..131.** Or does הַהָּיִר retain its full and

^{**} Ibid. S. 133, Z. 13 is, with Fleischer, to be rendered: ye have made (تَحْتَتُ) my milk camels restless, i. e. caused them to be such, by having stolen them and driven them away so that they now yearn after home and their young ones.

proper meaning "to unfetter"? This is more probable, since the usage of Hebrew shews no example of החיר in the postbiblical signification "to allow, permit", which ought to form the transition to "to cause to be = to effect". Therefore we may compare on the contrary Koran ix. 15, challu sebîlahum loose their way, i. e. let them go forth free, and render it: He unfettered, unbound, left to itself, let my way go on as faultless (unobstructed). Hitzig, following the Chethîb , renders it differently: "and made the upright skip on his way." But המים beside דרכן is to be regarded at the outset as its predicate, and החיר means "to cause to jump up", Hab. iii. 6, not "to skip along". Nevertheless, the Chethîb רבכן, which, from the following Chethîb רגליו, bears the appearance of being designed, at any rate seems to have understood ממים personally: He unfettered (expedit) the upright his way, making his feet like &c. The reading ninstead of ונהמה, although admissible so far as the syntax is concerned (Ges. § 147,a), injures the flow of the rhythm.

Vers. 36—37. The pentastich is stunted here by the falling away of the middle line of ver. 36: and Thy right hand supported me. Instead of the expressive אַנְיִנְיִּחְדְּ (and Thy condescension) we find here אַנְיִנְיִּחְדְּ which, in accordance with the usage of the language, does not mean Thy being low (Hengst.), but rather: Thy labour (Böttch.), or more securely: Thine answering, LXX. ὑπαχοή (i. e. the actual help, wherewith Thou didst answer my prayer). Instead of אַרְהָּיִהְ we find, as also in vers. 40, 48, אַרְהְּיִהְ with a verbal suffix, like אַרְהָיִהְ in exxxix. 11; it is perhaps an inaccuracy of the common dialect, which confused the genitive and accusative suffix. But instances of this are not wanting even in the written language, Ges. § 103, rem. 3.

Vers. 38—41. The cohortative אֶּרְדְּפָּה, as frequently, has the sense of a hypothetical antecedent, whether it refers to the present, as in exxxix. 8, or to the past as in lxxiii. 16 and here: in case I pursued. In the text in the Psalter it is מַאָּשִׁיבֶּוּם, here it is אָשִּׁיבֶּוּם, by which the echo of Exod. xv. is obliterated. And after שְּרֵבֶּלִּוּח which is designed to compensate for the shortening of the verse! The verse, to wit, is shortened at the end, בולא יקומון being transformed into ולא־יכלו קום. Instead of

יַפְּלּר ,יִפְּלֹר is not inappropriate. Instead of יַבְּלּר ,יִפְּלֹר ,יִפְּלֹר , יִפְּלֹר , יִפְּלֹר , יִפְּלֹר , יִפְּלֹר , by a syncope that belongs to the dialect of the people, of מָּאַלֵּך for מָּאַלֵּך for מָּאַלֵּך Job xxxv. 11. Of the same kind is בְּחָהְה בּ הַּחָה , an apocope take from the mouths of the people, with which only דְר, Judg. xix. 11, if equivalent to דְר, can be compared. The conjunctive of stands here in connection with משמיחם as a consec.: my haters, whom I destroyed. The other text is altogether more natural, better conceived, and more elegant in this instance.

Vers. 42—43. Instead of ישועו we have ישועו, a substitution which is just tolerable: they look forth for help, or even: they look up expectantly to their gods, Isa. xvii. 8, xxxi. 1. The two figurative expressions in ver. 43, however, appear here, in contrast with the other text, in a distorted form: And I pulverised them as the dust of the earth, as the mire of the street did I crush them, I trampled them down. The lively and expressive figure כעפר על־פני רוח is weakened into Instead of אריקם, we have the overloaded glossarial ארקעם. The former (root דק, דק, to break in pieces) is a word that is interchanged with the אריקם of the other text in the misapprehended sense of אַרָקָה. The latter (root 77, to stretch, to make broad, thin, and compact) looks like a gloss of this אדקם. Since one does not intentionally either crush or trample upon the dirt of the street nor tread it out thin or broad, we must in this instance take not merely כעפר־ארץ but also כשיש-הוצות as expressing the issue or result.

Vers. 44—46. The various reading רִיבֵּי מְמִי proceeds from the correct understanding, that רִיבֵּי refers to David's contentions within his kingdom. The supposition that אַמִי is a plur. apoc. and equivalent to עַמִּים אַנִּים as it is to all appearance in cxliv. 2, and like מִנִּים בְּיִנִים klv. 9, has no ground here. The reasonable variation האַמִינִם harmonises with אַמִינִם harmonises with אַמִינִם thou hast kept me (preserved me) for a head of the nations, viz. by not allowing David to become deprived of the throne by civil foes. The two lines of ver. 45 are reversed, and not without advantage. The Hithpa: יְבַּרֵישׁׁוֹ instead of the Piel יִבְּרֵישׁׁוֹ (cf. lxvi. 3, lxxxi. 16) is the reflexive of the latter: they made themselves flatterers (cf. the Niph. Deut. xxxiii. 29: to shew

themselves flattering, like the אַרְשִׁישִּׁי which follows here, audientes se præstabant = obediebant). Instead of (אַרִּאָיִי אַיִּישִׁי אַרְיּשִׁי אַרְיּשִׁי אַרְיּשִׁי אַרְיּשִׁי אַרְיִּאַרְיּ אַרְיִּאָרִי אַרְיִּ אָרְיִּ אָרְיִּ אָרְיִּ אָּרְיִּ אָרְיִיִּ אָרְיִיְּ אָרְיִּ אָרְיִיְּ אָרְיִיְּ אַרְיִּ אָרְיִיְרְיִּ אַרְיִּ אַרְיִיְּ אַרְיִּ אַרְיִיְרְיִי אַרְיִיְרְיִי אַרְיִּיְרְיִי אַרְיִייִּ אַרְיִיִּ אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אָרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אָרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אָרְיִיי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִיי אַרְיִי אַרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָּרְיִי אָּרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיי אָרְייִי אָרְיי אַרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּרְיי אָּרְיי אָּרְיי אָּרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָּי אָרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָּיי אָרְיי אָּי אָּיי אָּי אָּיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּי אָרְיי אָּי אָּי אָרְיי אָּיי אָּיי אָּיי אָּיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָּיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָרְיי אָיי אָרְיי אָרְיי

Vers. 47—49. The צור thrust into ver. 47b is troublesome. מְנְרָם (without any necessity for correcting it to יַנְרָם (without any necessity for correcting it to יַנְרָם (without any necessity for correcting it to יַנְרָם is optative, cf. Gen. xxvii. 31, Prov. ix. 4, 16. Instead of יַנְרָם we have יַנְרָם and who subdueth, which is less significant and so far as the syntax is concerned less elegant. Also here consequently הַּרְּבָּר for הַּרְּבָּר for יַבְּרָם and who bringeth me forth out of my enemies, who surround me — a peculiar form of expression and without support elsewhere (for it is different in ver. 20). The poetical אָלְיִם is exchanged for the prose אָרְבָּרָם, and הַּבְּרָם (מִישׁ) for הַבְּבָּר (מִישׁ); the last being a plur. (cxl. 2, 5, Prov. iv. 17), which is foreign to the genuine Davidic Psalms.

Vers. 50—51. The change of position of יהוה in ver. 50a, as well as אַוּמֵרָה for אָוֹמֵרָה, is against the rhythm; the latter, moreover, is contrary to custom, lvii. 10, cviii. 4. While מְּבְּרֵל of the other text is not pointed מְבְּרֵל, but מְבְּרֵל it is corrected in this text from מְבְּרֵל into מְבְּרֵל tower of salvation — a figure that recalls lxi. 4, Prov. xviii. 10, but is obscure and somewhat strange in this connection; moreover, migdol for migdal, a tower, only occurs elsewhere in the Old Testament as a proper name.

If we now take one more glance over the mutual relationship of the two texts, we cannot say that both texts equally partake of the original. With the exception of the correct omission of ver. 14c and the readings אָפָּיִבֶּר יָם there is scarely anything in the text of 2 Sam.

xxii. that specially commends itself to us. That this text is a designed, and perhaps a Davidic, revision of the other text (Hengst.), is an assumption that is devoid of reason and appearance; for in 2 Sam. xxii. we have only a text that varies in some instances, but not a substantially new form of the text. The text in 2 Sam. xxii., as it has shewn us, is founded upon careless written and oral transmission. The rather decided tendency towards a defective form of writing leads one to conjecture the greater antiquity of the copy from which it is taken. It is easy to understand how poetical passages inserted in historical works were less carefully dealt with. It is characteristic of the form of the text of the Psalm in 2 Sam. xxii., that in not a few instances the licences of popular expression have crept into it. There is some truth in what Böttcher says, when he calls the text in the Psalter the recension of the priests and that in the Second Book of Samuel the recension of the laity.

PSALM XIX.

PRAYER TO GOD, WHOSE REVELATION OF HIMSELF IS TWOFOLD.

- 2 THE heavens are telling the glory of God, And the work of His hands doth the firmament declare.
- 3 Day unto day poureth forth speech, And night unto night sheweth knowledge —
- 4 There is no speech and there are no words, Whose voice is inaudible.
- 5 Into all lands is their line gone forth, And to the end of the world their utterances: To the sun hath He appointed a tabernacle there.
- 6 And he is like a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, He rejoiceth as a strong man to run his course.
- 7 From the end of the heaven is his going forth And his circuit unto the end of it, And nothing can hide itself from his heat.

8 The Law of Jahve is spotless,

restoring the soul;

The testimony of Jahve is sure,

making wise the simple.

9 The statutes of Jahve are right, rejoicing the heart; The commandment of Jahve is pure,

enlightening the eyes;

10 The fear of Jahve is clean

enduring for ever;

The decisions of Jahve are truth,

righteous altogether.

11 More to be desired are they than gold, and much fine gold,

And sweeter than honey

and honey-comb.

12 Moreover Thy servant is instructed by them,

in keeping them there is great reward.

13 As for errors who observeth them?! From hidden sins do Thou pronounce me clear!

14 Also from presumptuous sins keep Thy servant back, that they may not have dominion over me!

Then shall I be guiltless and clean

from great transgression.

15 Thus let be acceptable the words of my mouth and the meditation of my heart

Before Thy face, O Jahve,

my rock and my Redeemer!!

In the inscription of Ps. xviii. David is called עכר יהורי, and in Ps. xix. he gives himself this name. In both Psalms, in the former at the beginning, in the latter at the close, he calls upon Jahve by the name צוֹרָי, my rock. These and other points of contact (Symbolæ p. 49) have concurred to lead the collector to append Ps. xix., which celebrates God's revelation of Himself in nature and in the Law, to Ps. xviii., which celebrates God's revelation of Himself in the history of David. The view, that in Ps. xix. we have before us two torsi blown together from some quarter or other, is founded upon a defective insight into the relationship, which accords

with a definite plan, of the two halves vers. 2-7, 8-15, as Hitzig has recently shewn in opposition to that view. The poet begins with the praise of the glory of God the Creator, and rises from this to the praise of the mercy of God the Lawgiver; and thus through the praise, springing from wondering and loving adoration, he clears the way to the prayer for justification and sanctification. This prayer grows out of the praise of the mercy of the God who has revealed Himself in His word, without coming back to the first part, vers. 2-7. For, as Lord Bacon says, the heavens indeed tell of the glory of God, but not of His will, according to which the poet prays to be pardoned and sanctified. Moreover, if we suppose the Psalm to be called forth by the aspect of the heavens by day, just as Ps. viii. was by the aspect of the heavens by night, then the unity of this praise of the two revelations of God becomes still more clear. It is morning, and the psalmist rejoices on the one hand at the dawning light of day, and on the other he prepares himself for the day's work lying before him, in the light of the Tôra. The second part, just like the first part, consists of fourteen lines, and each of them is naturally divided into a six and an eight line strophe. But in the second part, in the place of the short lines comes the cæsural schema, which as it were bounds higher, draws deeper breaths and surges as the rise and fall of the waves, for the Tôra inspires the psalmist more than does the sun. And it is also a significant fact, that in the first part God is called according to his relationship of power to the world, and is only mentioned once; whereas in the second part, He is called by His covenant name יהוה, and mentioned seven times, and the last time by a threefold name, which brings the Psalm to a close with a full toned יהוה צורי ונאלי. What a depth of meaning there is in this distinction of the revelation of God, the Redeemer, from the revelation of God, the Creator!

The last strophe presents us with a sharply sketched soteriology in nuce. If we add Ps. xxxii., then we have the whole of the way of salvation in almost l'auline clearness and definiteness. Paul, moreover, quotes both Psalms; they were surely his favourites.

Vers. 2-4. The heavens, i. e. the superterrestrial spheres, which, so far as human vision is concerned, are lost in infinite space, declare how glorious is God, and indeed , as the Almighty; and what His hands have made, i. e. what He has produced with a superior power to which everything is possible, the firmament, i. e. vault of heaven stretched out far and wide and as a transparency above the earth (Græco-Veneta τάμα = ἔκταμα, from τον, root ρ, to stretch, τείνειν), distinctly expresses. The sky and firmament are not conceived of as conscious beings which the middle ages, in dependence upon Aristotle (vid. Maimonides, More Nebuchim ii. 5), believed could be proved from this passage, cf. Neh. ix. 6, Job xxxviii. 7. Moreover, Scripture knows nothing of the "music of the spheres" of the Pythagoreans. What is meant is, as the old expositors correctly say, objectivum vocis non articulatæ præconium. which God has conferred upon the creature as the reflection of His own, is reflected back from it, and given back to God as it were in acknowledgment of its origin. The idea of perpetuity, which lies even in the participle, is expanded in ver. 3. The words of this discourse of praise are carried forward in an uninterrupted line of transmission. דבע (fr. יָּיִא , יָּבֶע, root גב, to gush forth, nearly allied to which, however, is also the root yz, to spring up) points to the rich fulness with which, as from an inexhaustible spring, the testimony passes on from one day to the next. The parallel word nin is an unpictorial, but poetic, word that is more Aramaic than Hebrew (= הגיד also belongs to the more elevated style; the γνωστόν τοῦ Θεοῦ deposited in the creature, although not reflected, is here called Tyo. The poet does not say that the tidings proclaimed by the day, if they gradually die away as the day declines, are taken up by the night, and the tidings of the night by the day; but (since the knowledge proclaimed by the day concerns the visible works of God by day, and that proclaimed by the night, His works by night), that each dawning day continues the speech of that which has declined, and each approaching night takes up the tale of that which has passed away (Psychol. S. 347, tr. p. 408). If ver. 4 were to be rendered

"there is no speech and there are no words, their voice is inaudible", i. e. they are silent, speechless witnesses, uttering no sound, but yet speaking aloud (Hengst.), only inwardly audible but yet intelligible everywhere (Then.): then, ver. 5 ought at least to begin with a Wan adversativum, and, moreover, the poet would then needlessly check his fervour, producing a tame thought and one that interrupts the flow of the hymn. To take ver. 4 as a circumstantial clause to ver. 5, and made to precede it, as Ewald does, "without loud speech ... their sound has resounded through all the earth" (§ 341, d), is impossible, even apart from the fact of אמר not meaning "loud speech" and קום hardly "their sound". Ver. 4 is in the form of an independent sentence, and there is nothing whatever in it to betray any designed subordination to ver. 5. But if it be made independent in the sense "there is no loud, no articulate speech, no audible voice, which proceeds from the heavens", then ver. 5 would form an antithesis to it; and this, in like manner, there is nothing to indicate, and it would at least require that the verb יצא should be placed first. Luther's rendering is better: There is no language nor speech, where their voice is not heard, i. e., as Calvin also renders it, the testimony of the heavens to God is understood by the peoples of every language and tongue. But this ought to be אָין לַשׁון or אַין שַׂפַה or אָין שַׂפַה (Gen. xi.1). Hofmann's rendering is similar, but more untenable: "There is no speech and there are no words, that their cry is not heard, i. e. the language of the heavens goes forth side by side with all other languages; and men may discourse ever so, still the speech or sound of the heavens is heard therewith, it sounds above them all." But the words are not בלי נשמע (after the analogy of Gen. xxxi. 20), or rather בלי ישמע (as in Job xli. 18, Hos. viii. 7). בלי ישמע with the part. is a poetical expression for the Alpha privat. (2 Sam. i. 21), consequently בלי משמע is "unheard" or "inaudible", and the opposite of משמע, audible, Jer. xxxi. 15. Thus, therefore, the only rendering that remains is that of the LXX. Vitringa, and Hitzig: There is no language and no words, whose voice is unheard, i. e. inaudible. Hupfeld's assertion that this rendering destroys the parallelism is unfounded. The structure of the distich resembles exxxix.

4. The discourse of the heavens and the firmament, of the day (of the sky by day) and of the night (of the sky by night), is not a discourse uttered in a corner, it is a discourse in speech that is everywhere audible, and in words that are understood by all, a φανερόν, Rom. i. 19.

Vers. 5-7. Since אמר and דברים are the speech and words of the heavens, which form the ruling principal notion, comprehending within itself both לילה and לילה, the suffixes of מליהם and מליהם must unmistakeably refer to הים in spite of its being necessary to assign another reference to in ver. 4. Jer. xxxi. 39 shews how we are to understand in connection with vy. The measuring line of the heavens is gone forth into all the earth, i. e. has taken entire possession of the earth. Ver. 5b tells us what kind of measuring line is intended, viz. that of their heraldship: their words (from מלח, which is more Aramaic than Hebrew, and consequently more poetic) reach to the end of the world, they fill it completely, from its extreme boundary inwards. Isaiah's p, ch. xxviii. 10, is inapplicable here, because it does not mean commandment, but rule, and is there used as a word of derision, rhyming with υ. The δ φθόγγος αὐτῶν of the LXX. (ὁ ἡχος αὐτῶν Symm.) might more readily be justified, inasmuch as p might mean a harpstring, as being a cord in tension, and then, like τόνος (cf. τοναία), a tone or sound (Gesenius in his Lex., and Ewald), if the reading קולם does not perhaps lie at the foundation of that But the usage of the language presents the rendering. signification of a measuring line for קו when used with יצא (Aq. κανών, cf. 2 Cor. x. 13); and this gives a new thought, whereas in the other case we should merely have a repetition of what has been already expressed in ver. 4. makes use of these first two lines of the strophe in order, with its very words, to testify to the spread of the apostolic message over the whole earth. Hence most of the older expositors have taken the first half of the Psalm to be an allegorical prediction, the heavens being a figure of the church and the sun a figure of the gospel. The apostle does not, however, make a formal citation in the passage referred to, he merely gives a New Testament application to Old Testament language, by taking the all-penetrating

præconium cælorum as figure of the all-penetrating præconium evangelii; and he is fully justified in so doing by the parallel which the psalmist himself draws between the revelation of God in nature and in the written word.

The reference of בהם to השמים is at once opposed by the tameness of the thought so obtained. The tent, viz. the retreat (אָהל), according to its radical meaning a dwelling, from אהל, cogn. אול, to retire from the open country) of the sun is indeed in the sky, but it is more naturally at the spot where the sky and the קצה חבל meet. Accordingly has the neuter signification "there" (cf. Isa. xxx. 6); and there is so little ground for reading Dy instead of Dy, as Ewald does, that the poet on the contrary has written and not שם and not בהם because he has just used שם (Hitzig). The name of the sun, which is always feminine in Arabic, is predominantly masculine in Hebrew and Aramaic (cf. on the other hand Gen. xv. 17, Nah. iii. 17, Isa. xlv. 6, Mal. iii. 20); just as the Sabians and heathen Arabs had a sun-god (masc.). Accordingly in ver. 6 the sun is compared to a bridegroom, who comes forth in the morning out of his nen. Joel ii. 16 shews that this word means a bride-chamber; properly (from ADA to cover) it means a canopy (Isa. iv. 5), whence in later Hebrew the bridal or portable canopy (Talmud. בית גונא), which is supported by four poles and borne by four boys, at the consecration of the bridal pair, and then also the marriage itself, is called chuppa. The morning light has in it a freshness and cheerfulness, as it were a renewed youth. Therefore the morning sun is compared to a bridegroom, the desire of whose heart is satisfied, who stands as it were at the beginning of a new life, and in whose youthful countenance the joy of the wedding-day still shines. And as at its rising it is like a bridegroom, so in its rapid course (Sir. xliii. 5) it is like a hero (vid. on xviii. 34), inasmuch as it marches on its way ever anew, light-giving and triumphant, as often as it comes forth, with נבורה (Judges v. 31). From one end of heaven, the extreme east of the horizon, is its going forth, i. e. rising (cf. Hos. vi. 3; the opposite is מְבֵוֹא going in - setting), and its circuit (נקף, from נְקף, Isa. xxix. 1, to revolve) על-קצותם, to their (the heavens') end (= על-קצותם Deut. iv. 32), cf.

1 Esdr. iv. 34: ταχὸς τῷ δρόμῳ ὁ ἄλιος, ὅτι στρέφεται ἐν τῷ κύκλῳ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ πάλιν ἀποτρέχει εἰς τὸν ἑαυτοῦ τόπον ἐν μιᾳ ἡμέρᾳ. On this open way there is not פְּחָבְּ, anything hidden, i. e. anything that remains hidden, before its heat. הַּחַבְּ is the enlightening and warming influence of the sun, which is also itself called הַבָּה in poetry.

Vers. 8-10. No sign is made use of to mark the transition from the one part to the other, but it is indicated by the introduction of the divine name יהוה instead of bx. The word of nature declares bx (God) to us, the word of Scripture יהוה (Jahve); the former God's power and glory, the latter also His counsel and will. Now follow twelve encomiums of the Law, of which every two are related as antecedent and consequent, rising and falling according to the cæsural schema, after the manner of waves. can discern how now the heart of the poet begins to beat with redoubled joy as he comes to speak of God's word, the revelation of His will. חורה does not in itself mean the law, but a pointing out, instruction, doctrine or teaching, and more particularly such as is divine, and therefore positive; whence it is also used of prophecy, Isa. i. 10, viii. 16, and prophetically of the New Testament gospel, Isa. ii. 3. But here no other divine revelation is meant than that given by the mediation of Moses, which is become the law, i. e. the rule of live (νόμος), of Israel; and this law, too, as a whole not merely as to its hortatory and disciplinary character, but also including the promises contained in it. The praises which the poet pronounces upon the Law, are accurate even from the standpoint of the New Testament. Even Paul says, Rom. vii. 12, 14, "The Law is holy and spiritual, and the commandment holy, and just, and good." The Law merits these praises in itself; and to him who is in a state of favour, it is indeed no longer a law bringing a curse with it, but a mirror of the God merciful in holiness, into which he can look without slavish fear, and is a rule for the direction of his free and willing obedience. And how totally different is the affection of the psalmists and prophets for the Law, - an affection based upon the essence and universal morality of the commandments, and upon a spiritual realisation of the letter, and the consolation

of the promises, — from the pharisaical rabbinical service of the letter and the ceremonial in the period after the Exile!

The divine Law is called המימה, "perfect", i. e. spotless and harmless, as being absolutely well-meaning, and altogether directed towards the well-being of man. And משיבת יפש restoring, bringing back, i. e. imparting newness of life, quickening the soul (cf. Pil. אַוֹבב, xxiii. 3), to him, viz., who obeys the will of God graciously declared therein, and enters upon the divine way or rule of salvation. Then in the place of the word חורה we find ערות, — as the tables of the Ten Commandments (לחות הערות) are called, — from העיר), which signifies not merely a corroborative, but also a warning and instructive testimony or attestation. The testimony of Jahve is נאמנה, made firm, sure, faithful, i. e. raised above all doubt in its declarations, and verifying itself in its threatenings and promises; and hence מַּהְבִּימַה פַּהָי, making wise simplicity, or the simple, lit. openness, the open (root no to spread out, open, Indo-Germ. prat, πετ, pat, pad), i. e. easily led astray; to such an one it gives a solid basis and stability, σοφίζει αύτόν, 2 Tim. iii. 15. divides into פֿקוּדִים, precepts or declarations concerning man's obligation; these are ישרים, straight or upright, as a norma normata, because they proceed from the upright, absolutely good will of God, and as a norma normans they lead along a straight way in the right track. They are therefore משמחי לב, their educative guidance, taking one as it were by the hand, frees one from all tottering, satisfies a moral want, and preserves a joyous consciousness of being in the right way towards the right goal. מצות יהוה, Jahve's -statute (from my statuere), is the tenour of His commandments. The statute is a lamp — it is said in Prov. vi. 23 — and the law a light. So here: it is בָּרָה, clear, like the light of the sun (Cant. vi. 10), and its light is imparted to other objects: מאירת עונים, enlightening the eyes, which refers not merely to the enlightening of the understanding, but of one's whole condition; it makes the mind clear, and body as well as mind healthy and fresh, for the darkness of the eyes is sorrow, melancholy, and bewilderment. this chain of names for the Law, ידאח הו is not the fear of

God as an act performed, but as a precept, it is what God's revelation demands, effects, and maintains; so that it is the revealed way in which God is to be feared (xxxiv. 12), in short, it is the religion of Jahve (cf. Prov. xv. 33 with Deut. xvii. 19). This is מהורה, clean, pure, as the word which is like to pure gold, by which it is taught, xii. 7, cf. Job xxviii. 19; and therefore עמרה לעד, enduring for ever in opposition to all false forms of reverencing God, which carry their own condemnation in themselves. הי משפטי are the jura of the Law as a corpus juris divini, everything that is right and constitutes right according to the decision of Jahve. These judgments are אמה, truth, which endures and verifies itself; because, in distinction from most others and those outside Israel, they have an unchangeable moral foundation: צַּדְקוֹ יָחַדּן, i. e. they are צַדְיקוֹם, in accordance with right and appropriate (Deut. iv. 8), altogether, because no reproach of inappositeness and sanctioned injustice or wrong clings to them. The eternal will of God has attained a relatively perfect form and development in the Law of Jahve according to the standard set up as the law of the nation.

Vers. 11—15. With הַנְּחַמְרֵים (for which, preferring a simple Shebâ with the gutturals, Ben-Naphtali writes הגרמרים) the poet sums up the characteristics enumerated; the article is summative, as in משים at the close of the hexahemeron, Gen. i. 31. 12 is the finest purified gold, cf. 1 Kings x. 18 with 2 Chron. ix. 17. נפת צופים "the discharge (from יפות (i. e. נפת) of the honeycombs" is the virgin honey, i. e. the honey that flows of itself out of the cells. To be desired are the revealed words of God, to him who possesses them as an outward possession; and to him who has received them inwardly they are sweet. The poet, who is himself conscious of being a servant of God, and of striving to act as such, makes use of these words for the end for which they are revealed: he is נוהר, one who suffers himself to be enlightened, instructed, and warned by them. Di belongs to נוהך (according to the usual arrangement of the words, e. g. Hos. vi. 11), just as in ver. 14 it belongs to און. He knows that בַּשַׂמְרֵם (with a subjective suffix in an objective sense, cf. Prov. xxv. 7, just as we may also say:) in their observance is, or is included, great reward. Dy is that

which follows upon one's heels (עקב), or comes immediately after anything, and is used here of the result of conduct. Thus, then, inasmuch as the Law is not only a copy of the divine will, but also a mirror of self-knowledge, in which a man may behold and come to know himself, he prays for forgiveness in respect of the many sins of infirmity, though for the most part unperceived by him, - to which, even the pardoned one succumbs. שניאה (in the terminology of the Law, שנוה, ἀγνόημα) comprehends the whole province of the peccatum involuntarium, both the peccatum ignorantiæ and the peccatum infirmitatis. The question delicta quis intelligit is equivalent to the negative clause: no one can discern his faults, on account of the heart of man being unfathomable and on account of the disguise, oftentimes so plausible, and the subtlety of sin. Hence, as an inference, follows the prayer: pronounce me free also מומחרות, ab occultis (peccatis, which, however, cannot be supplied on grammatical grounds), equivalent to מעלמים (xc. 8), i. e. all those sins, which even he, who is most earnestly striving after sanctification, does not discern, although he may desire to know them, by reason of the ever limited nature of his knowledge both of himself and of sin.* מקה, δικαιούν, is a vox judicialis, to declare innocent, pronounce free from, to let go unpunished. The prayer for justification is followed in ver. 14 by the prayer for sanctification, and indeed for preservation against deliberate sins. From זיך, ויד, to seethe, boil over, Hiph. to sin wilfully, deliberately, insolently, opp. of sin arising from infirmity, Exod. xxi. 14, Deut. xviii. 22, xvii. 12, — is formed in an insolent sinner, one who does not sin בורון, but בורון (cf. 1 Sam. xvii. 28, where David's brethren bring this reproach against him), or בוד ממה, and the neuter collective היה (cf. ממים, ci. 3, Hos. v. 2) peccata proæretica or contra conscientiam, which cast one out of the state of grace or favour, Num. xv. 27-31. For if pro had been intended of arrogant and insolent possessors

^{*} In the Arab proverb, "no sin which is persisted in is small, no sin great for which forgiveness is sought of God," directly means a little and a great sin, vid. Allgem. Literar. Zeilschr. 1844, No. 46, p. 363.

of power (Ewald), the prayer would have taken some other form than that of "keeping back" (קְּשַׁהָּן as in 1 Sam. xxv. 39 in the mouth of David). מרות, presumptuous sins, when they are repeated, become dominant sins, which irresistibly enslave the man (שְּשֵׁל with a non-personal subject, as in Isa. iii. 4b, cf. Ps. ciii. 19); hence the last member of the climax (which advances from the peccatum involuntarium to the proceedicum, and from this to the regnans): let them not have dominion over me (שִ with Dechi in Baer; generally wrongly marked with Munach).

Then (18), when Thou bestowest this twofold favour upon me, the favour of pardon and the grace of preservation, shall I be blameless (אמתם 1 fut. Kal, instead of אַתָּה, with י as a characteristic of \bar{e}) and absolved (ונקיתי not Piel, as in ver. 13, but Niph., to be made pure, absolved) from great transgression. ywb* from ywb (root wb), to spread out, go beyond the bounds, break through, trespass, is a collective name for deliberate and reigning, dominant sin, which breaks through man's relation of favour with God, and consequently casts him out of favour, - in one word, for Finally, the psalmist supplicates a gracious acceptance of his prayer, in which both mouth and heart accord, supported by the faithfulness, stable as the rock (צוּרְי), and redeeming love (צוּרְי) redemptor, vindex, root גל הל, to loose, redeem) of his God. היה לרצון is a standing expression of the sacrificial tora, e. g. Lev. i. 3 sq. אלפניף, which, according to Exod. xxviii. 38, belongs to לפניף, stands in the second member in accordance with the "parallelism by postponement." Prayer is a sacrifice offered by the inner man. The heart meditates and fashions it; and the mouth presents it, by uttering that which is put into the form of words.

^{*} The Gaja with $yy \in D$ is intended in this instance, where $yy \in D$ are to be read in close connection, to secure distinctness of pronunciation for the unaccented y, as e. g. is also the case in lxxviii. 13, $D_1^{\alpha} y P_{\alpha}^{\beta} (baka' jam)$.

PSALM XX.

PRAYER FOR THE KING IN TIME OF WAR.

- 2 JAHVE answer thee in the day of distress, The name of the God of Jacob set thee up on high,
- 3 Send thee help from the sanctuary, And uphold thee out of Zion!
- 4 Remember all thy meat-offerings, And graciously accept thy burnt offerings! (Sela).
- 5 Give thee according to thine own heart, And fulfil all thy counsel!
- 6 We will shout for joy because of Thy help,
 And in the name of our God will we raise our
 banners —

Jahve fulfil all thy wishes.

- 7 Now know I that Jahve giveth help to His Anointed; He will answer him from His holy heaven With the helpful mighty deeds of His right hand.
- 8 Some [praise] chariots and some horses, And we, we praise the name of Jahve, our God.
- 9 If those have bowed down and fallen, Then we have risen up and stand firm.
- 10 Jahve, Oh help the king! May He hear us in the day we call.

To Ps. xix. is closely attached Ps. xx., because its commencement is as it were the echo of the prayer with which the former closes; and to Ps. xx. is closely attached Ps. xxi., because both Psalms refer to the same event relatively, as prayer and thanksgiving. Ps. xx. is an intercessory psalm of the nation, and Ps. xxi. a thanksgiving psalm of the nation, on behalf of its king. It is clearly manifest that the two Psalms form a pair, being connected by unity of author and subject. They both open somewhat uniformly with a synonymous parallelism of the members,

xx. 2—6, xxi. 2—8; they then increase in fervour and assume a more vivid colouring as they come to speak of the foes of the king and the empire, xx. 7—9, xxi. 9—13; and they both close with an ejaculatory cry to Jahve, xx. 10, xxi. 14. In both, the king is apostrophised through the course of several verses, xx. 2—6, xxi. 9—13; and here and there this is done in a way that provokes the question whether the words are not rather addressed to Jahve, xx. 6, xxi. 10. In both Psalms the king is referred to by מַמְלָּה, xx. 10, xxi. 8; both comprehend the goal of the desires in the word אַכְּהָלָה, xx. 6, cf. 7, xxi. 2, 6; both delight in rare forms of expression, which are found only in these instances in the whole range of Old Testament literature, viz. ברול xx. 9, מונה xxi. 3, מונה xxi. 7.

If, as the לדוד indicates, they formed part of the oldest Davidic Psalter, then it is notwithstanding more probable that their author is a cotemporary poet, than that it is David himself. For, although both as to form of expression (cf. xxi. 12 with x. 2) and as to thoughts (cf. xxi. 7 with xvi. 11), they exhibit some points of contact with Davidic Psalms, they still stand isolated by their peculiar character. But that David is their subject, as the inscription לרוד, and their position in the midst of the Davidic Psalms, lead one to expect, is capable of confirmation. During the time of the Syro-Ammonitish war comes David's deep fall, which in itself and in its consequences made him sick both in soul and in body. It was not until he was again restored to God's favour out of this self-incurred peril, that he went to his army which lay before Rabbath Ammon, and completed the conquest of the royal city of the enemy. The most satisfactory explanation of the situation referred to in this couplet of Psalms is to be gained from 2 Sam. xi. xii. xx. prays for the recovery of the king, who is involved in war with powerful foes; and Ps. xxi. gives thanks for his recovery, and wishes him a victorious issue to the approaching campaign. The "chariots and horses" (xx. 8) are characteristic of the military power of Aram (2 Sam. x. 18, and frequently), and in xxi. 4 and 10 we perceive an allusion to 2 Sam. xii. 30, 31, or at least a remarkable agreement with what is there recorded.

Vers. 2-6. Litany for the king in distress, who offers sacrifices for himself in the sanctuary. The futures in vers. 2-5, standing five times at the head of the climactic members of the parallelism, are optatives. אָמלא, ver. 6, also continues the chain of wishes, of which even גרנה (cf. lxix. 15) forms one of the links. The wishes of the people accompany both the prayer and the sacrifice. "The Name of the God of Jacob" is the self-manifesting power and grace of the God of Israel. יעקב is used in poetry interchangeably with ישראל, just like with יהוה with יהוה with יהוה Alshech refers to Gen. xxxv. 3; and it is not improbable that the desire moulds itself after the fashion of the record of the fact there handed down to us. May Jahve, who, as the history of Jacob shews, hears (and answers) in the day of distress, hear the king; may the Name of the God of Jacob bear him away from his foes to a triumphant height. The alternates with Drin (xviii. 49) in this sense. This intercession on the behalf of the praying one is made in the sanctuary on the heights of Zion, where Jahve sits enthroned. May He send him succour from thence, like auxiliary troops that decide the victory. The king offers sacrifice. He offers sacrifice according to custom before the commencement of the battle (1 Sam. xiii. 9 sq., and cf. the phrase קרש מלחמה), a whole burnt-offering and at the same time a meat or rather meal offering also, מנחות;* for every whole offering and every shelamim- or peace-offering had a meat-offering and a drink-offering as its indispensable accompaniment. The word is perfectly familiar in the ritual of the meal-offering. That portion of the meal-offering, only a part of which was placed upon the altar (to which, however, according to traditional practice, does not belong the accompanying meal-offering of the מנחת נסכים, which was entirely devoted to the altar), which ascended with the altar fire is called אוכרה, שאוכר, שאוכר, שאוכרה, שאוכרה, שאוכרה

^{*} This, though not occurring in the Old Testament, is the principal form of the plural, which, as even David Kimchi recognises in his Lexicon, points to a verb אַבְעוֹח עָבְילוֹח עָּבְעוֹח עָבְילוֹח, שְּבָעוֹח עָבְילוֹח, שְּבָעוֹח עָבְילוֹח, שְּבָעוֹח עִבְּילוֹח, שְּבָעוֹח עָבְילוֹח, וֹשְׁבָּעוֹח, וְשַבְּעוֹח, וְשַבְּילוֹח, whereas other old grammarians supposed נְּחָה to be the root, and were puzzled with the traditional pronunciation menacholh, but without reason.

(cf. Acts x. 4), that which brings to remembrance with God him for whom it is offered up (not "incense", as Hupfeld renders it); for the designation of the offering of jealousy, Num. v. 15, as "bringing iniquity to remembrance before God" shews, that in the meal-offering ritual יְבָרוֹן retains the very same meaning that it has in other instances. Every meal-offering is in a certain sense a מְבָרוֹן. Hence here the prayer that Jahve would graciously remember them is combined with the meal-offerings.

As regards the 'olah, the wish "let fire from heaven (Lev. ix. 24, 1 Kings xviii. 38, 1 Chron. xxi. 26) turn it to ashes", would not be vain. But the language does not refer to anything extraordinary; and in itself the consumption of the offering to ashes (Böttcher) is no mark of gracious accept-Moreover, as a denominative from 127, fat ashes, דישן means "to clean from ashes", and not: to turn into ashes. On the other hand, win also signifies "to make fat", xxiii. 5, and this effective signification is applied declaratively in this instance: may He find thy burnt-offering fat, which is equivalent to: may it be to Him a ריה ניהום [an odour of satisfaction, a sweet-smelling sayour]. The voluntative ah only occurs here and in Job xi. 17 (which see) and Isa. v. 19, in the 3 pers.; and in this instance, just as with the cohortative in 1 Sam. xxviii. 15, we have a change of the lengthening into a sharpening of the sound (cf. the exactly · similar change of forms in 1 Sam. xxviii. 15, Isa. lix. 5, Zech. v. 4. Prov. xxiv. 14, Ezek. xxv. 13) as is very frequently the case in מה for מה. The alteration to ירשנה or ירשנהן (Hitzig) is a felicitous but needless way of getting rid of the rare form. The explanation of the intensifying of the music here is, that the intercessory song of the choir is to be simultaneous with the presentation upon the altar (הַקְּטֵרָה). נעבה is the resolution formed in the present war-"Because of thy salvation", i. e. thy success in war, is, as all the language is here, addressed to the king, cf. xxi. 2, where it is addressed to Jahve, and intended of the victory accorded to him. It is needless to read ינדל instead of 5171, after the rendering of the LXX. μεγαλυνθησόμεθα. is a denominative from דנל to wave a banner. In the closing line, the rejoicing of hope goes back again to the present and again assumes the form of an intercessory desire.

Vers. 7—9. While vers. 2—6 were being sung the offering of the sacrifice was probably going on. Now, after a lengthened pause, there ascends a voice, probably the voice of one of the Levites, expressing the cheering assurance of the gracious acceptance of the offering that has been presented by the priest. With now, or now, the usual word to indicate the turning-point, the instantaneous entrance of the result of some previous process of prolonged duration, whether hidden or manifest (e. g. 1 Kings xvii. 24, Isa. xxix. 22), is introduced. yught is the perfect of faith, which, in the certainty of being answered, realises the fulfilment in anticipation. The exuberance of the language in ver. 7 corresponds to the exuberance of feeling which thus finds expression.

In ver. 3 the answer is expected out of Zion, in the present instance it is looked for from God's holy heavens; for the God who sits enthroned in Zion is enthroned for ever in the heavens. His throne on earth is as it were the vestibule of His heavenly throne; His presence in the sanctuary of Israel is no limitation of His omnipresence: His help out of Zion is the help of the Celestial One and Him who is exalted above the heaven of heavens. מבורות does not here mean the fulness of might (cf. xc. 10), but the displays of power (cvi. 2, cxlv. 4, cl. 2, Isa. lxiii. 15), by which His right hand procures salvation, i. e. victory, for the The glory of Israel is totally different from combatant. that of the heathen, which manifests itself in boastful talk. In ver. 8a יובירו or יובירו must be supplied from the נוכיר in ver. 8b (LXX. μεγαλυνθησόμεθα = נגביר, xii. 5); הוַבִּיר בָּ, to make laudatory mention of any matter, to extol, and indirectly therefore to take credit to one's self for it, to boast of it (cf. בְּלֵל בַ, xliv. 9). According to the Law Israel was forbidden to have any standing army; and the law touching the king (Deut. xvii. 16) speaks strongly against his keeping many horses. It was also the same under the judges, and at this time under David; but under Solomon, who acquired for himself horses and chariots in great number (1 Kings x. 26-29), it was very different. It is

therefore a confession that must belong to the time of David which is here made in ver. 8, viz. that Israel's glory in opposition to their enemies, especially the Syrians, is the sure defence and protection of the Name of their God alone. The language of David to Goliath is very similar, 1 Sam. xvii. 45. The preterites in ver. 9 are præt. confidentiæ. is, as Luther says, "a song of triumph before the victory, a shout of joy before succour." Since Dip does not mean to stand, but to rise, אָמָנוּ assumes the present superiority of the enemy. But the position of affairs changes: those who stand fall, and those who are lying down rise up; the former remain lying, the latter keep the field. The Hithpa. signifies to shew one's self firm, strong, courageous; like אורד, cxlvi. 9, clxvii. 6, to strengthen, confirm, recover, from Try to be compact, firm, cogn. of f. i., inf. aid, strength; as, e. g., the Koran (Sur. xxxviii. 16) calls David dhâ-l-aidi, possessor of strength, II. ajjada, to strengthen, support, and JI, inf. add, strength, superiority, V. taaddada, to shew one's self strong, brave, courageous.

Ver. 10. After this solo voice, the chorus again come The song is closed, as it was opened, by the whole congregation; and is rounded off by recurring to its primary note, praying for the accomplishment of that which is sought and pledged. The accentuation construes יענני with יענני as its subject, perhaps in consideration of the fact, that is not usually followed by a governed object, and because thus a medium is furnished for the transition from address to direct assertion. But if in a Psalm, the express object of which is to supplicate salvation for the king, stand side by side, then, in accordance with the connection, המלך must be treated as the object; and more especially since Jahve is called מלה רב, in xlviii. 3, and the like, but never absolutely המלך. Wherefore it is, with Hupfeld, Hitzig, and others, to be rendered according to the LXX. and Vulgate, Domine salvum fac regem. New Testament cry 'Ωσαννά τῷ οἱῷ Δαοίδ is a peculiar application of this Davidic "God bless the king (God save the king)", which is brought about by means of cxviii. 25. The closing line, ver. 10b, is an expanded Amen.

PSALM XXI.

THANKSGIVING FOR THE KING IN TIME OF WAR.

- 2 JAHVE, on account of Thy strength is the king glad,
 And on account of Thy succour how greatly doth he
 rejoice!
- 3 The wish of his heart hast Thou granted him, And the desire of his lips hast Thou not refused. (Sela.)
- 4 For Thou dost meet him bringing blessings of good, Thou settest upon his head a crown of fine gold.
- 5 He asked life of Thee, Thou grantedst it to him, Length of days, for ever and ever.
- 6 Great is his glory through Thy help, Praise and glory dost Thou lay upon him.
- 7 For Thou makest him blessings for ever, Thou dost delight him with joy in Thy presence.
- 8 For the king trusted in Jahve,
 And through the favour of the Most High he shall not
 be moved.
- 9 Thy hand will reach to all thine enemies
 Thy right hand will reach all those that hate thee.
- 10 Thou shalt make them as a fiery oven,
 when thou art angry,
 Jahve in His wrath shall swallow them up,
 and a fire shall devour them.
- 11 Their fruit shalt thou destroy from the earth, And their seed from among the children of men.
- 12 For they intend evil against thee, They devise mischief: they shall accomplish nothing.
- 13 For thou wilt make them turn back, With thy strings wilt thou aim at their faces.
- 14. Be Thou exalted, Jahve, in Thy might;
 We will celebrate with voice and harp Thy strength.

"Jahve fulfil all thy desires" cried the people in the preceding Psalm, as they interceded on behalf of their king; and in this Psalm they are able thankfully to say to God "the desire of his heart hast Thou granted." In both Psalms the people come before God with matters that concern the welfare of their king; in the former, with their wishes and prayers, in the latter, their thanksgivings and hopes; in the latter as in the former when in the midst of war, but in the latter after the recovery of the king, in the certainty of a victorious termination of the war.

The Targum and the Talmud, B. Succa 52a, understand this 21st Psalm of the king Messiah. Rashi remarks that this Messianic interpretation ought rather to be given up for the sake of the Christians. But even the Christian exposition cannot surely mean to hold fast this interpretation so directly and rigidly as formerly. This pair of Psalm treats of David; David's cause, however, in its course towards a triumphant issue — a course leading through suffering — is certainly figuratively the cause of Christ.

Vers. 2-3. The Psalm begins with thanksgiving for the bodily and spiritual blessings which Jahve has bestowed and still continues to bestow upon the king, in answer to This occupies the three opening tetrastichs, his prayer. of which these verses form the first. iy (whence 71y, as in lxxiv. 13, together with 71, lxiii. 3, and frequently) is the power that has been made manifest in the king, which has turned away his affliction; ישועה is the help from above which has freed him out of his distrees. The יגיל, which follows the מה of the exclamation, is naturally shortened by the Keri into 'm' (with the retreat of the tone); cf. on the contrary Prov. xx. 24, where מה is interrogative and, according to the sense, negative). The מֹת. אבישת has the signification eager desire, according to the connection, the LXX. δέησιν, and the perhaps also cognate τη, to be poor; the Arabic ورش, avidum esse, must be left out of considera-tion according to the laws of the interchange of consonants, whereas ورث = إِرْت , capere, captare (cf. ورث على an inheritance), but not און (vid. xxxiv. 11), belongs apparently to

the same root. Observe the strong negation 52: no, thou hast not denied, but done the very opposite. The fact of the music having to strike up here favours the supposition, that the occasion of the Psalm is the fulfilment of some public, well-known prayer.

Vers. 4-5. "Blessings of good" (Prov. xxiv. 25) are those which consist of good, i. e. true good fortune. verb קדם, because used of the favour which meets and presents one with some blessing, is construed with a double accusative, after the manner of verbs of putting on and bestowing (Ges. § 139). Since ver. 4b cannot be intended to refer to David's first coronation, but to the preservation and increase of the honour of his kingship, this particularisation of ver. 4a sounds like a prediction of what is recorded in 2 Sam. xii. 30: after the conquest of the Ammonitish royal city Rabbah David set the Ammonitish crown (עטרה), which is renowned for the weight of its gold and its ornamentation with precious stones, upon his head. David was then advanced in years, and in consequence of heavy guilt, which, however, he had overcome by penitence and laying hold on the mercy of God, was come to the brink of the grave. He, worthy of death, still lived; and the victory over the Syro-Ammonitish power was a pledge to him of God's faithfulness in fulfilling his promises. It is contrary to the tenour of the words to say that ver. 5b does not refer to length of life, but to hereditary succession to To wish any one that he may live לעולם, and the throne. especially a king, is a usual thing, 1 Kings i. 31, and frequently. The meaning is, may the life of the king be prolonged to an indefinitely distant day. What the people have desired elsewhere, they here acknowledge as bestowed upon the king.

Vers. 6—7. The help of God turns to his honour, and paves the way for him to honour, it enables him — this is the meaning of ver. 6b — to maintain and strengthen his kingship with fame and glory. לשנה used, as in lxxxix. 20, of divine investiture and endowment. To make blessings, or a fulness of blessing, is a stronger form of expressing God's words to Abram, Gen. xii. 2: thou shalt be a blessing i.e. a possessor of blessing thyself, and a medium of blessing

to others. Joy in connection with (אַמָּה as in xvi. 11) the countenance of God, is joy in delightful and most intimate fellowship with Him. הַרָּה, from הַּבָּה, which occurs once in Exod. xviii. 9, has in Arabic, with reference to nomad life, the meaning "to cheer the beasts of burden with a song and urge them on to a quicker pace", and in Hebrew, as in Aramaic, the general signification "to cheer, enliven."

Vers. 8-9. With this strophe the second half of the The address to God is now changed Psalm commences. into an address to the king; not, however, expressive of the wishes, but of the confident expectation, of the speakers. Hengstenberg rightly regards ver. 8 as the transition to the second half; for by its objective utterance concerning the king and God, it separates the language hitherto addressed to God, from the address to the king, which follows. We do not render ver. 8b: and [trusting] in the favour of the Most High — he shall not be moved; the mercy is the response of the trust, which (trust) does not suffer him to be . moved; on the expression, cf. Prov. x. 30. This inference is now expanded in respect to the enemies who desire to cause him to totter and fall. So far from any tottering, he, on the contrary, makes a victorious assault upon his foes. If the words had been addressed to Jahve, it ought, in order to keep up the connection between vers. 9 and 8, at least to have been שנאין and שנאין (his, i. e. the king's, enemies). What the people now hope on behalf of their king, they here express beforehand in the form of a prophecy. in Isa. x. 10) and Nyr seq. acc. (as in 1 Sam. xxiii. 17) are distinguished as: to reach towards, or up to anything, and to reach anything, attain it. Supposing to represent the accusative, as e. g. in lxix. 6, ver. 9b would be a useless repetition.

Vers. 10—11. Hitherto the Psalm has moved uniformly in synonymous dipodia, now it becomes agitated; and one feels from its excitement that the foes of the king are also the people's foes. True as it is, as Hupfeld takes it, that sounds like a direct address to Jahve, ver. 10b nevertheless as truly teaches us quite another rendering. The destructive effect, which in other passages is said to proceed from the face of Jahve, xxxiv. 17, Lev. xx. 6, Lam.

iv. 16 (cf. ἔχει θεὸς ἔκδικον ὅμμα), is here ascribed to the face, i. e. the personal appearing (2 Sam. xvii. 11) of the king. David's arrival did actually decide the fall of Rabbath Ammon, of whose inhabitants some died under instruments of torture and others were cast into brick-kilns, 2 Sam. xii. 26 sqq. The prospect here moulds itself according to this fate of the Ammonites. בתנור אש is a second accusative to אַשיחמו, thou wilt make them like a furnace of fire, i. e. a burning furnace, so that like its contents they shall entirely consume by fire (synecdoche continentis pro contento). The figure is only hinted at, and is differently applied to what it is in Lam. v. 10, Mal. iii. 19. Ver. 10a and 10b are intentionally two long rising and falling wave-like lines, to which succeed, in ver. 11, two short lines; the latter describe the peaceful gleaning after the fiery judgment of God that has been executed by the hand of David. פרימו, as in Lam. ii. 20, Hos. ix. 16, is to be understood after the analogy of the expression פרי הבשן. It is the fate of the Amalekites (cf. ix. 6 sq.), which is here predicted of the enemies of the king.

Vers. 12-13. And this fate is the merited frustration of their evil project. The construction of the sentences in ver. 12 is like xxvii. 10, cxix. 83; Ew. § 362, b. נַטַה רַעָה is not to be understood according to the phrase נָטָה רָשׁה (בּרשׁם), for this phrase is not actually found; we have rather, with Hitzig, to compare lv. 4, 2 Sam. xv. 14: to incline evil down upon any one is equivalent to: to put it over him, so that it may fall in upon him. signifies "to extend lengthwise", to unfold, but also to bend by drawing tight. שית שכם to make into a back, i. e. to make them into such as turn the back to you, is a more choice expression than נחן ערף, xviii. 41, cf. 1 Sam. x. 9; the half segolate form שבש, (= בשׁבשׁ) becomes here, in pause, the full segolate form משכם must be supplied as the object to תבונן, as it is in other instances after הורה, השליך, הורה; אָרָן אָדָ, xi. 2, cf. vii. 14, signifies to set the swift arrow upon the bow-string (יתר = מיתר) = to aim. The arrows hit the front of the enemy, as the pursuer overtakes them.

Ver. 14. After the song has spread abroad its wings in twice three tetrastichs, it closes by, as it were, soaring aloft and thus losing itself in a distich. It is a cry to God for victory in battle, on behalf of the king. "Be Thou exalted", i. e. manifest Thyself in Thy supernal (lvii. 6, 12) and judicial (vii. 7 sq.) sovereignty. What these closing words long to see realised is that Jahve should reveal for world-wide conquest this במנה, to which everything that opposes Him must yield, and it is for this they promise beforehand a joyous gratitude.

PSALM XXII.

ELI ELI LAMA ASABTANI.

- 2 MYGod, my God, why hast Thou forsaken me?! Far from my help is my entreating cry,
- 3 O my God, I cry in the daytime, but Thou answerest not, And in the night season, but I have no rest.
- 4 Yet Thou art holy, sitting enthroned above the praises of Israel.
- 5 In Thee our fathers trusted, They trusted, and Thou didst deliver them.
- 6 Unto Thee they cried and were freed,
 In Thee trusting, they were not put to shame.
- 7 But I am a worm, and not a man; A reproach of men and despised of the people.
- 8 All they that see me laugh me to scorn; They shoot out the lip, they shake the head:
- 9 "Roll it upon Jahve let Him deliver him,
 "Let Him rescue him, when He delighteth in him."
- 10 Yea Thou art He that took me out of the womb That inspired me with trust at my mother's breasts.
- 11 On Thee was I cast from my birth, .
 From my mother's womb Thou art my God.
- 12 Be not far from me, for trouble is near, For there is no helper at hand.
- 13 Mighty bulls have compassed me, Strong ones of Bashan have beset me round.

- 14 They open their mouth against me A lion ravening and roaring.
- 15 Like water am I poured out, And out of joint are all my bones. My heart is become like wax, Melted in the midst of my bowels.
- 16 Dried up like a potsherd is my strength, And my tongue cleaveth to my jaws, And Thou layest me in the dust of death.
- 17 For dogs have compassed me, A band of wicked men encircles me, Like a lion, my hands and my feet.
- 18 I can count all my bones, They look, they stare upon me.
- 19 They part my garments among them, And upon my vesture they cast lots.
- 20 And Thou, Jahve, remain not afar off! My strength, haste Thee to help me!
- 21 Rescue my soul from the sword, My only one from the paw of the dog.
- 22 Save me from the lion's jaws,

 And from the horns of the antilopes Thou wilt
 answer me.
- 23 I will declare Thy name among my brethren, In the midst of the congregation will I praise Thee:
- 24 "Ye that fear Jahve, praise Him;
 "All ye the seed of Jacob, glorify Him,
 "And stand in awe of Him, all ye seed of Israel!"
- 25 "For He hath not despised nor abhorred the affliction of the afflicted,
 - "Neither hath He hid His face from him,
 - "And when he cried. He hath hearkened to him."

- 26 From Thee cometh my praise in the great congregation My vows will I pay before them that fear Him.
- 27 The meek shall eat and be satisfied, They shall praise Jahve that seek Him: "Let your heart refresh itself for ever!"
- 28 Remember and turn unto Jahve shall all the ends of the earth,
 - And all the families of the nations shall bow down before Thee.
- 29 For Jahve's is the kingship, and He ruleth among the nations.
- 30 All the thriving of the earth shall eat and bow down, Before Him shall all they that go down to the dust sink down and they that cannot prolong their life.
- 31 A seed shall serve Him: it shall be told to the generation concerning the Lord:
- 32 They shall come and declare His righteousness to a future people, that He hath finished it.

We have here a plaintive Psalm, whose deep complaints, out of the midst of the most humiliating degradation and most fearful peril, stand in striking contrast to the cheerful tone of Ps. xxi. — starting with a disconsolate cry of anguish, it passes on to a trustful cry for help, and ends in vows of thanksgiving and a vision of world-wide results, which spring from the deliverance of the sufferer. In no Psalm do we trace such an accumulation of the most excruciating outward and inward suffering pressing upon the complainant, in connection the most perfect innocence. In this respect Ps. lxix. is.its counterpart; but it differs from it in this particular, that there is not a single sound of imprecation mingled with its complaints.

It is David, who here struggles upward out of the gloomiest depth to such a bright height. It is a Davidic Psalm belonging to the time of the persecution by Saul. Ewald brings it down to the time preceding the destruction

of Jerusalem, and Bauer to the time of the Exile. Ewald says it is not now possible to trace the poet more exactly. And Maurer closes by saying: illud unum equidem pro certo habeo, fuisse vatem hominem opibus præditum atque illustrem, qui magna auctoritate valeret non solum apud suos, verum etiam Hitzig persists in his view, that Jeremiah apud barbaros. composed the first portion when cast into prison as an apostate, and the second portion in the court of the prison, when placed under this milder restraint. And according to Olshausen, even here again, the whole is appropriate to the time of the Maccabees. But it seems to us to be confirmed at every point, that David, who was so persecuted by Saul, is the author. The cry of prayer אל-תרחק (xxii. 12, 20, xxxv. 22, xxxviii. 22, borrowed in lxxi. 12); the name given to the soul, יקירה (xxii. 21, xxxv. 17); the designation of quiet and resignation by דומיה (xxii. 3, xxxix. 3, lxii. 2, cf. lxv. 2), are all regarded by us, since we do not limit the genuine Davidic Psalms to Ps. iii. - xix. as Hitzig does, as Davidic idioms. Moreover, there is no lack of points of contact in other respects with genuine old Davidic hymns (cf. xxii. 30 with xxviii. 1, those that go down to the dust, to the grave; then in later Psalms as in cxliii. 7, in Isaiah and Ezekiel), and more especially those belonging to the time of Saul, as Ps. lxix. (cf. xxii. 27 with lxix. 33) and lix. (cf. xxii. 17 with lix. 15). To the peculiar characteristics of the Psalms of this period belong the figures taken from animals, which are heaped up in the Psalm before us. fact that Ps. xxii. is an ancient Davidic original is also confirmed by the parallel passages in the later literature of the Shîr (lxxi. 5 sq. taken from xxii. 10 sq.; cii. 18 sq. in imitation xxii. 25, 31 sq.), of the Chokma (Prov. xvi. 3, taken from Ps. xxii. 9, xxxvii. 5), and of prophecy (Isaiah, ch. xlix. liii.; Jeremiah, in Lam. iv. 4; cf. Ps. xxii. 15, and many other similar instances). In spite of these echoes in the later literature there are still some expressions that remain unique in the Psalm and are not found elsewhere, as the hapaxlegomena ענוה and ענוה. Thus, then, we entertain no doubts respecting the truth of the אלדור. David speaks in this Psalm, - he and not any other, and that

out of his own inmost being. In accordance with the nature of lyric poetry, the Psalm has grown up on the soil of his individual life and his individual sensibilities.

There is also in reality in the history of David, when persecuted by Saul, a situation which may have given occasion to the lifelike picture drawn in this Psalm, viz. 1 Sam. xxiii. 25 sq. The detailed circumstances of the distress at that time are not known to us, but they certainly did not coincide with the rare and terrible sufferings depicted in this Psalm in such a manner that these can be regarded as an historically faithful and literally exact copy of those circumstances; cf. on the other hand Ps. xvii. which was composed at the same period. To just as slight a degree have the prospects, which he connects in this Psalm with his deliverance, been realised in David's own life. On the other hand, the first portion exactly coincides with the sufferings of Jesus Christ, and the second with the results that have sprung from His resurrection. It is the agonising situation of the Crucified One which is presented before our eyes in vers. 15-18 with such artistic faithfulness: the spreading out of the limbs of the naked body, the torturing pain in hands and feet, and the burning thirst which the Redeemer, in order that the Scripture might be fulfilled, announced in the cry διψω, John xix. 28. Those who blaspheme those who shake their head at Him passed by His cross, Mat. xxvii. 39, just as ver. 8 says; scoffers cried out to Him: let the God in whom He trusts help Him, Mat. xxvii. 43, just as ver. 9 says; His garments were divided and lots were cast for His coat, John xix. 23 sq., in order that ver. 19 of our Psalm might be fulfilled. The fourth of the seven savings of the dying One, 'Ηλί, 'Ηλί κ. τ. λ., Mat. xxvii. 46, Mark xv. 34, is the first word of our Psalm and the appropriation of the whole. And the Epistle to the Hebrews, ch. ii. 11 sq., cites ver. 23 as the words of Christ, to shew that He is not ashamed to call them brethren, whose sanctifier God has appointed Him to be, just as the risen Redeemer actually has done, Mat. xxviii. 10, John xx. 17. This has by no means exhausted the list of mutual relationships. The Psalm so vividly sets before us not merely the sufferings of the Crucified One, but also the salvation of the world

arising out of His resurrection and its sacramental efficacy, that it seems more like history than prophecy, ut non tam prophetia, quam historia videatur (Cassiodorus). Accordingly the ancient Church regarded Christ, not David, as the speaker in this Psalm; and condemned Theodore of Mopsuestia who expounded it as cotemporary history. Bakius expresses the meaning of the older Lutheran expositors when he says: asserimus, hunc Psalmum ad literam primo, proprie et absque ulla allegoria, tropologia et ἀναγωγῆ integrum et per omnia de solo Christo exponendum esse. Even the synagogue, so far as it recognises a suffering Messiah, hears Him speak here; and takes the "hind of the morning" as a name of the Shechîna and as a symbol of the dawning redemption.

To ourselves, who regard the whole Psalm as the words of David, it does not thereby lose anything whatever of its prophetic character. It is a typical Psalm. The same God who communicates His thoughts of redemption to the mind of men, and there causes them to develope into the word of prophetic announcement, has also moulded the history itself into a prefiguring representation of the future deliverance; and the evidence for the truth of Christianity which is derived from this factual prophecy (Thatweissagung) is as grand as that derived from the verbal prediction (Wortweissagung). That David, the anointed of Samuel, before he ascended the throne, had to traverse a path of suffering which resembles the suffering path of Jesus, the Son of David, baptized of John, and that this typical suffering of David is embodied for us in the Psalms as in the images reflected from a mirror, is an arrangement of divine power, mercy, and wisdom. But Ps. xxii. is not merely a typical Psalm. For in the very nature of the type is involved the distance between it and the antitype. In Ps. xxii., however, David descends, with his complaint, into a depth that lies beyond the depth of his affliction, and rises, with his hopes, to a height that lies far beyond the height of the reward of his affliction.

In other words: the rhetorical figure hyperbole (مُبالغة, i. e. depiction, with colours thickly laid on), without which, in the eyes of the Semite, poetic diction would be flat and

faded, is here made use of by the Spirit of God. By this Spirit the hyperbolic element is changed into the prophetic. This elevation of the typical into the prophetic is also capable of explanation on psychological grounds. David has been anointed with the oil of royal consecration, and at same time with the Holy Spirit, the Spirit of the kingship of promise, he regards himself also as the messiah of God, towards whom the promises point; and by virtue of this view of himself, in the light of the highest calling in connection with the redemptive history, the historical reality of his own experiences becomes idealised to him, and thereby both what he experiences and what he hopes for acquire a depth and height of background which stretches out into the history of the final and true Christ of God. We do not by this maintain any overflowing of his own consciousness to that of the future Christ, an opinion which has been shewn by Hengstenberg, Tholuck and Kurtz to be psychologically impossible. But what we say is, that looking upon himself as the Christ of God, - to express it in the light of the historical fulfilment, - he looks upon himself in Jesus Christ. He does not distinguish himself from the Future One, but in himself he sees the Future One, whose image does not free itself from him till afterwards, and whose history will coincide with all that is excessive in his own utterances. For as God the Father moulds the history of Jesus Christ in accordance with His own counsel, so His Spirit moulds even the utterances of David concerning himself the type of the Future One, with a view to that history. Through this Spirit, who is the Spirit of God and of the future Christ at the same time. David's typical history, as he describes it in the Psalms and more especially in this Psalm, acquires that ideal depth of tone, brilliancy, and power, by virtue of which it (the history) reaches far beyond its typical facts, penetrates to its very root in the divine counsels, and grows to be the word of prophecy: so that, to a certain extent, it may rightly be said that Christ here speaks through David, insofar as the Spirit of Christ speaks through him, and makes the typical suffering of His ancestor the medium for the representation of His own future sufferings. Without recognising this

incontestable relation of the matter Ps. xxii. cannot be understand nor can we fully enter into its sentiments.

The inscription runs: To the precentor, upon (after) the hind of the morning's dawn, a Psalm of David. Luther, with reference to the fact that Jesus was taken in the night and brought before the Sanhedrim, renders it "of the hind, that is early chased," for

Patris Sapientia, Veritas divina, Deus homo captus est horâ matutinâ.

This interpretation is certainly a well-devised improvement of the ύπερ της αντιλήψεως της έωθινης of the LXX. (Vulg. pro susceptione matutina), which is based upon a confounding of אילות with אילות (ver. 20), and is thus explained by Theodoret: ἀντίληψις έωθινή ή τοῦ σωτήρος ήμῶν ἐπιφάνεια. Even the Midrash recalls Cant. ii. 8, and the Targum the lamb of the morning sacrifice, which was offered as soon as the watchman on the pinnacle of the Temple cried: ברק ברקאי (the first rays of the morning burst forth). אילת השחר is in fact, according to traditional definition, the early light preceding the dawn of the morning, whose first rays are likened to the horns of a hind.* But natural as it may be to assign to the inscription a symbolical meaning in the case of this Psalm, it certainly forms no exception to the technical meaning, in connection with the music, of the other inscriptions. And Melissus (1572) has explained it correctly "concerning the melody of a common song, whose commencement was Ajeleth Hashahar, that is, The hind of the morning's dawn." And it may be that the choice of the melody bearing this name was designed to have reference to the glory which bursts forth in the night of affliction.

According to the course of the thoughts the Psalm falls into three divisions, vers. 2—12, 13—22, 23—32, which are

^{*} There is a determination of the time to this effect, which is found both in the Jerusalem and in the Babylonian Talmud "from the hind of the morning's dawn till the east is lighted up." In Jer. Berachoth, ad init., it is explained: אילה השהר כמין הרחי קרני דנהורא מלקין ממדינהא ומנהרין לעלמא "like two horns of light, rising from the east and filling the world with light."

of symmetrical compass, consisting of 21, 24, and 21 lines. Whether the poet has laid out a more complete strophic arrangement within these three groups or not, must remain undecided. But the seven long closing lines are detached from the third group and stand to the column of the whole, in the relation of its base.

Vers. 2-3. In the first division, vers. 2-12, the disconsolate cry of anguish, beginning here in ver. 2 with the lamentation over prolonged desertion by God, struggles through to an incipient, trustfully inclined prayer. The question beginning with למה (instead of למה before the guttural, and perhaps to make the exclamation more piercing, vid. on vi. 5, x. 1) is not an expression of impatience and despair, but of alienation and yearning. The sufferer feels himself rejected of God; the feeling of divine wrath has completely enshrouded him; and still he knows himself to be joined to God in fear and love; his present condition belies the real nature of his relationship to God; and it is just this contradiction that urges him to the plaintive question, which comes up from the lowest depths: Why hast Thou forsaken me? But in spite of this feeling of desertion by God, the bond of love is not torn asunder; the sufferer calls God אלי (my God), and urged on by the longing desire that God again would grant him to feel this love, he calls Him, אלי אלי. That complaining question: why hast Thou forsaken me? is not without example even elsewhere in the Psalms, Ixxxviii. 15, cf. Isa. xlix. 14. forsakenness of the Crucified One, however, is unique; and may not be judged by the standard of David or of any other sufferers who thus complain when passing through trial. That which is common to all is here, as there, this, viz. that behind the wrath that is felt, is hidden the love of God, which faith holds fast; and that he who thus complains even on account of it, is, considered in itself, not a subject of wrath, because in the midst of the feeling of wrath he keeps up his communion with God. The Crucified One is to His latest breath the Holy One of God; and the reconciliation for which He now offers himself is God's own eternal purpose of mercy, which is now being realised in the

fulness of times. But inasmuch as He places himself under the judgment of God with the sin of His people and of the whole human race, He cannot be spared from experiencing God's wrath against sinful humanity as though He were himself guilty. And out of the infinite depth of this experience of wrath, which in His case rests on no mere appearance, but the sternest reality*, comes the cry of His complaint which penetrates the wrath and reaches to God's love, ήλὶ ήλὶ λαμά σαβαχθανί, which the evangelists, omitting the additional πρόσχες μοι** of the LXX., render: Θεέ μου, θεέ μου, נעם דו με έγκατέλιπες. He does not say עובתני, but שבקתני, which is the Targum word for the former. He says it in Aramaic, not in order that all may understand it, - for such a consideration was far from His mind at such a time, - but because the Aramaic was His mother tongue, for the same reason that He called God NIN in prayer. His desertion by God, as ver. 2b says, consists in God's help and His cry for help being far asunder. שַאָּנָה, prop. of the roar of the lion (Aq. βρόχημα), is the loud cry extorted by the greatest agony, xxxviii. 9; in this instance, however, as הברי shews, it is not an inarticulate cry, but a cry bearing aloft to God the words of prayer. והוק is not to be taken as an apposition of the subject of עובתני: far from my help, (from) the words of my crying (Riehm); for דברי שאנתי would then also, on its part, in connection with the nonrepetition of the ים, be in apposition to מישועתי. But to this it is not adapted on account of its heterogeneousness; hence Hitzig seeks to get over the difficulty by the conjecture משועהי ("from my cry, from the words of my groaning"). Nor can it be explained, with Olshausen and Hupfeld, by adopting Aben-Ezra's interpretation, "My God, my God, why hast Thou forsaken me, far from my help? are the words of my crying." This violates the structure of the

** Vid. Jerome's Ep. ad Pammachium de optimo genere interpretandi, where he cries out to his critics, sticklers for tradition, Reddant rationem, cur septuaginta translatores interposuerunt "respice in me"!

^{*} Eusebius observes on ver. 2 of this Psalm, δικαιοσύνης ὑπάρχων πηγή την ήμετέραν ἀμαρτίαν ἀνέλαβε καὶ εὐλογίας ὢν πέλαγος την ἐπικειμένην ήμῖν ἐδέξατο κατάραν, and: την ὡρισμένην ήμῖν παιδείαν ὑπῆλθεν ἐκὼν, παιδεία γὰρ εἰρήνης ἡμῶν ἐπ' αὐτὸν, ἢ φησὶν ὁ προφήτης.

verse, the rhythm, and the custom of the language, and gives to the Psalm a flat and unlyrical commencement. Thus, therefore, דהוק in the primary form, as in cxix. 155, according to Ges. § 146, 4, will be the predicate to and placed before it: far from my salvation, i. e. far from my being rescued, are the words of my cry; there is a great gulf between the two, inasmuch as God does not answer him though he cries unceasingly. In ver. 3 the reverential name of God אלהי takes the place of אלי the name that expresses His might; it is likewise vocative and accordingly marked with Rebia magnum. It is not an accusative of the object after xviii. 4 (Hitzig), in which case the construction would be continued with וַלֹא יַעָנָה. That it is, however, God to whom he calls is implied both by the direct address אלהי, and by ולא הענה, since he from whom one expects an answer is most manifestly the person addressed. His uninterrupted crying remains unanswered, and unappeased. clause ולאידניה לי is parallel to ולא חענה, and therefore does not mean: without allowing me any repose (Jer. xiv. 17, Lam. iii. 49), but: without any rest being granted to me, without my complaint being appeased or stilled. From the sixth to the ninth hour the earth was shrouded in darkness. About the ninth hour Jesus cried, after a long and more silent struggle, ηλί, ηλί. The ἀνεβόησεν φωνη μεγάλη, Mat. xxvii. 46, and also the κραυγή ἰσχυρά of Hebr. v. 7, which does not refer exclusively to the scene in Gethsemane, calls to mind the שאנתי of ver. 2b. When His passion reached its climax, days and nights of the like wrestling had preceded it, and what then becomes audible was only an outburst of the second David's conflict of prayer, which grows hotter as it draws near to the final issue.

Vers. 4—6. The sufferer reminds Jahve of the contradiction between the long season of helplessness and His readiness to help so frequently and so promptly attested. readiness to help so frequently and so promptly attested. opens an adverbial clause of the counterargument: although Thou art... Jahve is קבולש, absolutely pure, lit. separated (root קבולש, to cut, part, just as tahura, the synonym of kadusa, is the intransitive of tahara = ab'ada, to remove to a distance, and pure, clean, radically distinct from pû-rus, goes back to ברר to sever), viz. from that

which is worldly and common, in one word: holy. Jahve is holy, and has shewn Himself such as the החלות of Israel solemnly affirm, upon which or among which He sits enthroned. ההלות are the songs of praise offered to God on account of His attributes and deeds, which are worthy of praise (these are even called החלות in lxxviii. 4, Exod. xv. 11, Isa. lxiii. 7), and in fact presented in His sanctuary (Isa. lxiv. 10). The combination ושב ההלוח (with the accusative of the verbs of dwelling and tarrying) is like 20% א כרבים, xcix. 1, lxxx. 2. The songs of praise, which resounded in Israel as the memorials of His deeds of deliverance, are like the wings of the cherubim; upon which His presence hovered in Israel. In vers. 5, 6, the praying one brings to remembrance this graciously glorious self-attestation of God, who as the Holy One always, from the earliest times, acknowledged those who fear Him in opposition to their persecutors and justified their confidence in Himself. ver. 5 trust and rescue are put in the connection of cause and effect; in ver. 6 in reciprocal relation. are only distinguished by the harder and softer sibilants, cf. xvii. 13 with cxvi. 4. It need not seem strange that such thoughts were at work in the soul of the Crucified One. since His divine-human consciousness was, on its human side, thoroughly Israelitish; and the God of Israel is also the God of salvation; redemption is that which He himself determined, why, then, should He not speedily deliver the Redeemer?

Vers. 7—9. The sufferer complains of the greatness of his reproach, in order to move Jahve, who is Himself involved therein, to send him speedy succour. Notwithstanding his cry for help, he is in the deepest affliction without rescue. Every word of ver. 7 is echoed in the second part of the Book of Isaiah. There, as here, Israel is called a worm, ch. xli. 14; there all these traits of suffering are found in the picture of the Servant of God, ch. xlix. 7, liii. 3, cf. l. 6, and especially lii. 14 "so marred was His appearance, that He no longer looked like a man." The is more particularly the kermes, or cochineal (vermiculus, whence color vermiculi, vermeil, vermiglio); but the point of comparison in the present instance is not the blood-red appear-

ance, but the suffering so utterly defenceless and even ignominious. Dy is gen. subj., like 113, Isa. xlix. 7. Jerome well renders the ἐξουθένωμα λαοῦ of the LXX. by abjectio (Tertullian: nullificamen) plebis, not populi. The έξεμοχτήρι-סמֹע שִב, by which the LXX. translates ילעינו לי, is used by Luke, ch. xxiii. 35, cf. xvi. 14, in the history of the Passion; fulfilment and prediction so exactly coincide, that no more adequate expressions can be found in writing the gospel history than those presented by prophecy. In הפשיר בשפה, what appears in other instances as the object of the action (to open the mouth wide, diducere labia), is regarded as the means of its execution; so that the verbal notion being rendered complete has its object in itself: to make an opening with the mouth, cf. פער בפה, Job xvi. 10, נחן בקול lxviii. 34; Ges. § 138, 1, rem. 3. The shaking of the head is, as in cix. 25, cf. xliv. 15, lxiv. 9, a gesture of surprise and astonishment at something unexpected and strange, not a προσνεύειν approving the injury of another, although נוד, נוּע, Dij, νεό-ω, nu-t-o, nic-to, neigen, nicken, all form one family of roots. In ver. 9 the words of the mockers follow without יל . לאמר is not the 3 præt. (LXX., cf. Mat. xxvii. 43) like אור, אוב; it is not only in Piel (Jer. xi. 20, xx. 12, where בלתי = נביתי, Ew. § 121,a) that it is transitive, but even in Kal; nor is it inf. absol. in the sense of the imperative (Hitz., Böttch.), although this infinitive form is found, but always only as an inf. intens. (Numb. xxiii. 25, Ruth ii. 16, cf. Isa. xxiv. 19); but, in accordance with the parallels xxxvii. 5 (where it is written אול), Prov. xvi. 3, cf. Ps. lv. 23, 1 Pet. v. 7, it is imperat.: roll, viz. thy doing and thy suffering to Jahve, i. e. commit it to Him. The mockers call out this to the sufferer, and the rest they say of him with malicious looks askance. > in the mouth of the foes is not confirmatory as in xviii. 20, but a conditional èav (in case, provided that).

Vers. 10—12. The sufferer pleads that God should respond to his trust in Him, on the ground that this trust is made an object of mockery. With p he establishes the reality of the loving relationship in which he stands to God, at which his foes mock. The intermediate thought, which is not expressed, "and so it really is", is confirmed; and thus

comes to have an affirmative signification. The verb signifies both intransitive: to break forth (from the womb), Job xxxviii, 8, and transitive: to push forward (cf. جَمِّ), more especially, the fruit of the womb, Mic. iv. 10. It might be taken here in the first signification: my breaking forth, equivalent to "the cause of my breaking forth" (Hengstenberg, Baur, and others); but there is no need for this metonymy. יהו is either part. equivalent to , my pusher forth, i. e. he who causes me to break forth, or, - since m in a causative signification cannot be supported, and participles like on stamping and of veiling (Ges. § 72, rem. 1) are nowhere found with a suffix, participle of a verb nm, to draw forth (Hitz.), which perhaps only takes the place, per metaplasmum, of the Pil. with the uneuphonic מנחה (Ewald S. 859, Addenda). Ps. lxxi. has נוני (ver. 6) instead of מבטחי, just as it has מבטחי (ver. 5) instead of מבטיח. The Hiph. הבשיח does not merely mean to make secure (Hupf.), but to cause to trust. According to biblical conception, there is even in the new-born child, yea in the child yet unborn and only living in the womb, a glimmering consciousness springing up out of the remotest depths of unconsciousness (Psychol. S. 215; transl. p. 254). Therefore, when the praying one says, that from the womb he has been cast* upon Jahve, i. e. directed to go to Him, and to Him alone, with all his wants and care (lv. 23, cf. lxxi. 6), that from the womb onwards Jahve was his God, there is also more in it than the purely objective idea, that he grew up into such a relationship to God. Twice he mentions his mother. Throughout the Old Testament there is never any mention made of a human father, or begetter, to the Messiah, but always only of His mother, or her who bare Him. And the words of the praying one here also imply that the beginning of his life, as regards its outward circumstances, was amidst poverty, which like-

^{*} The Hoph. has o, not u_i perhaps in a more neuter sense, more closely approximating to the reflexive (cf. Ezek. xxxii. 19 with xxxii. 32), rather than a purely passive. Such is apparently the feeling of the language, vid. B. Megilla 13a (and also the explanation in Tosefoth).

wise accords with the picture of Christ as drawn both in the Old and New Testaments. On the ground of his fellowship with God, which extends so far back, goes forth the cry for help (ver. 12), which has been faintly heard through all the preceding verses, but now only comes to direct utterance for the first time. The two can are alike. That the necessity is near at hand, i. e. urgent, refers back antithetically to the prayer, that God would not remain afar off; no one doth, nor can help except He alone. Here the first section closes.

Vers. 13—14. Looking back upon his relationship to God, which has existed from the earliest times, the sufferer has become somewhat more calm, and is ready, in vers. 13-22, to describe his outward and inner life, and thus to unburden his heart. Here he calls his enemies פרים, bullocks, and in fact אַבוּרָי בֵּשׁן (cf. l. 13 with Deut. xxxii. 14), strong ones of Bashan, the land rich in luxuriant oak forests and fat pastures (ivia = buthêne, which in the Beduin dialect means rich, stoneless meadow-land, vid. Job S. 509 f.; tr. ii. pp. 399 sq.) north of Jabbok extending as far as to the borders of Hermon, the land of Og and afterwards of Manasseh (Num. xxx. 1). They are so called on account of their robustness and vigour, which, being acquired and used in opposition to God is brutish rather than human (cf. Amos iv. 1). Figures like these drawn from the animal world and applied in an ethical sense are explained by the fact, that the ancients measured the instincts of animals according to the moral rules of human nature; but more deeply by the fact, that according to the indisputable conception of Scripture, since man was made to fall by Satan through the agency of an animal, the animal and Satan are the two dominant powers in Adamic humanity. is a climacticsynonym of 220. On ver. 14a compare the echoes in Jeremiah, Lam. ii. 16, iii. 46. Finally, the foes are all comprehended under the figure of a lion, which, as soon as he sights his prey, begins to roar, Amos iii. 4. The Hebrew אַרָם, discerpere, according to its root, belongs to חרק, carpere. They are instar leonis dilaniaturi et rugientis.

Vers. 15-16. Now he describes, how, thus encompassed round, he is still just living, but already as it were dead.

The being poured out like water reminds us of the ignominious abandonment of the Crucified One to a condition of weakness, in which His life, deprived of its natural support, is in the act of dissolution, and its powers dried up (2 Sam. xiv. 14); the bones being stretched out, of the forcible stretching out of His body (התפרד, from קרד to separate, ef, غد according to its radical signification, which has been preserved in the common Arabic dialect: so to spread out or apart that the thing has no bends or folds,* Greek èξαπλοῦν); the heart being melted, recalls His burning anguish, the inflammation of the wounds, and the pressure of blood on the head and heart, the characteristic cause of death by crucifixion. ממס, in pause נמס, is 3 præt.; wax, דונג, receives its name from its melting (גוף, root ד, דעג, root, ד, דעג). In ver. 16 the comparison בהרש has reference to the issue or result (vid. xviii. 43): my strength is dried up, so that it is become like a potsherd. הָבֶי (Saadia) instead of כהו commends itself, unless, no perhaps, like the Talmudic no, also had the signification "spittle" (as a more dignified word for ה'ק). with the exception perhaps of Prov. xxvi. 28, is uniformly feminine; here the predicate has the masculine ground-form without respect to the subject. part. pass. has a tendency generally to be used without reference to gender, under the influence of the construction laid down in Ges. § 143, 1, b, according to which לשני may be treated as an accusative of the object; מלקותי, however, is acc. loci (cf. 5 exxxvii. 6, Job xxix. 10; 5x Lam. iv. 4, Ezek. iii. 26): my tongue is made to cleave to my jaws, fauces meas. Such is his state in consequence of outward distresses. His enemies, however, would not have power to do all this, if God had not given it to them. Thus it is, so to speak, God Himself who lays him low in death. שמה to put anywhere, to lay, with the accompanying idea of firmness and duration, ثبات, Isa. xxvi. 12; the future is used of that which is just taking place. Just in like manner, in Isa. liii., the death of the Servant of God is spoken of not merely as happening thus, but as decreed; and not merely as permitted by God, but as being in accordance with the divine will. David is per-

^{*} vid. Bocthor, Dict. franç.-arabe, s. v. Étendre and Déployer.

secuted by Saul, the king of His people, almost to the death; Jesus, however, is delivered over by the Sanhedrim, the authority of His people, to the heathen, under whose hands He actually dies the death of the cross: it is a judicial murder put into execution according to the conditions and circumstances of the age; viewed, however, as to its final cause, it is a gracious dispensation of the holy God, in whose hands all the paths of the world's history run parallel, and who in this instance makes sin subservient to its own expiation.

Vers. 17—19. A continuation, referring back to ver. 12, of the complaint of him who is dying and is already as it were dead. In the animal name בַּלְבִים, figuratively descriptive of character, beside shamelessness and meanness, special prominence is given to the propensity for biting and worrying, i. e. for persecuting; hence Symmachus and Theodotion render it θηράται, κυνηγέται. In ver. 17b אַדָּת takes the place of כלבים; and this again is followed by הקיף in the plur. (to do anything in a circle, to surround by forming a circle round, a climactic synonym, like כמור to 220) either per attractionem (cf. cxl. 10, 1 Sam. ii. 4), or on account of the collective ערה. Tertullian renders it synagoga maleficorum, Jerome concilium pessimorum. faction gathered together for some evil purpose is also called ערה, e. g. ערה קרח. In ver. 17c the meaning of instar leonis, is either that, selecting a point of attack, they make the rounds of his hands and feet, just as a lion does its prey upon which it springs as soon as its prey stirs; or, that, standing round about him like lions, they make all defence impossible to his hands, and all escape impossible to his feet. But whether we take this בדי וַרְנְלֵי as accusative of the members beside the accusative of the person (vid. xvii. 11), or as the object of the לקיפו to be supplied from ver. 17b, it still remains harsh and drawling so far as the language is concerned. Perceiving this, the Masora on Isa. xxxviii. 13 observes, that בארי, in the two passages in which it occurs (Ps. xxii. 17, Isa. xxxviii. 13), occurs in two different meanings (בתרי לישני): just as the Midrash then also understands כארי in the Psalm as a verb used of marking with conjuring, magic characters.* Is the meaning of the Masora that פארי, in the passage before us, is equivalent to כַּאַרָים? If so the form would be doubly Aramaic: both the participial form נאר (which only occurs in Hebrew in verbs med. E) and the apocopated plural, the occurrence of which in Hebrew is certainly, with Gesenius and Ewald, to be acknowledged in rare instances (vid. xlv. 9, and compare on the other hand 2 Sam. xxii. 44), but which would here be a capricious form of expression most liable to be misapprehended. If is to be understood as a verb, then it ought to be read יפארי. Tradition is here manifestly unreliable. Even in MSS, the readings מארי and בארו are found. The former is attested both by the Masora on Num. xxiv. 9 and by Jacob ben Chajim in the Masora finalis as a MS. Chethib.** Even the Targum, which renders mordent sicut leo manus et pedes meos, bears witness to the ancient hesitancy between the substantival and verbal rendering of the כארי. The other ancient versions have, without any doubt, read בארן. Aquila in the 1st edition of his translation rendered it ήσχυαν (from the Aramaic and Talmudic בַּעָר = פַאָר to soil, part. באנד, dirty, nasty); but this is not applicable to hands and feet, and therefore has nothing to stand upon. In the 2nd edition of his translation the same Aquila had instead of

^{*} Hupfeld suspects this Masoretic remark (ליטני) as a Christian interpolation, but it occurs in the alphabetical Masoreth register ב' כ' והרויהון בחרי לישני Even Elias Levita speaks of it with astonishment (in his מסרה הססרה [ed. Ginsburg, p. 253]) without doubting its genuineness, which must therefore have been confirmed, to his mind, by MS. authority. Heidenheim also cites it in his edition of the Pentateuch, שינים, on Num. xxiv. 9; and down to the present time no suspicion has been expressed on the part of Jewish critics, although all kinds of unsatisfactory attempts have been made to explain this Masoretic remark (e. g. in the periodical Biccure haritim).

^{**} The authenticity of this statement of the Masora בארי ידי ורגלי may be disputed, especially since Jacob ben Chajim became a convert to Christianity, and other Masoretic testimonies do not mention a קארי וכחים; nevertheless, in this instance, it would be premature to say that this statement is interpolated. Ant. Hulsius in his edition of the Psalter (1650) has written ממכרו in the margin according to the text of the Complutensis.

this, like Symmachus, "they have bound", * after >>, 🔾, to twist, lace; but this rendering is improbable since the Hebrew has other words for "to bind", constringere. On the other hand nothing of any weight can be urged against the rendering of the LXX. ἄρυξαν (Peshito γυις, Vulg. foderunt, Jer. fixerunt); for (1) even if we do not suppose any special verb בַּאָרוּ, כַּאָר can be expanded from (בַּרָה) בַּרָוּ (בַּרָה) just in the same manner as רַאָמָה, Zech. xiv. 10 from רָמָה, כה ברה Dan. vii. 16. And (2) that כאמיא and כבה can signify not merely to dig out and dig into, engrave, but also to dig through, pierce, is shewn, - apart from the derivative מכרה (the similarity of the sound of which to μάχαιρα from the root μαχ, maksh, mraksh, is only accidental), - by the double meaning of the verbs נקר, δρύσσειν (e. g. δρύσσειν τὸν ἰσθμόν Herod. i. 174), fodere (hastâ); the LXX. version of Ps. xl. 7 would also support this meaning, if κατετρήσω (from κατατιτρᾶν) in that passage had been the original reading instead of κατηρτίσω. If בארן be read, then ver. 17c, applied to David, perhaps under the influence of the figure of the attacking dogs (Böhl), says that the wicked bored into his hands and feet, and thus have made him fast, so that he is inevitably abandoned to their inhuman desires. The fulfilment in the nailing of the hands and (at least, the binding fast) of the feet of the Crucified One to the cross is clear. This is not the only passage in which it is predicted that the future Christ shall be murderously pierced; but it is the same in Isa. liii. 5 where He is said to be pierced (מהלכל) on account of our sins, and in Zech. xii. 10, where Jahve describes Himself as έχχεντηθείς in Him.

Thus, therefore, the reading מְאֵרוֹ might at least have an equal right to be recognised with the present recepta, for which Hupfeld and Hitzig demand exclusive recognition; while Böttcher, — who reads בַּאֵרָי, and gives this the meaning

"springing round about (after the manner of dogs)", regards the sicut leo as "a production of meagre Jewish wit": and also Thenius after taking all possible pains to clear it up gives it up as hopeless, and with Meier, adopting a different division of the verse, renders it: "a mob of the wicked has encompassed me like lions. On my hands and feet I can count all my bones." But then, how comes limping on after the rest! And how lamely does יבי ורגלי precede ver. 18! How unnaturally does it limit עצמותי, with which one chiefly associates the thought of the breast and ribs, to the hands and feet! אספר is potientialis. Above in ver. 15 he has said that his bones are out of joint. There is no more reason for regarding this "I can count &c." as referring to emaciation from grief, than there is for regarding the former as referring to writhing with agony. He can count them because he is forcibly stretched out, and thereby all his bones stand out. condition he is a mockery to his foes. signifies the turning of one's gaze to anything, רַאָּה ב the fixing of one's sight upon it with pleasure. In ver. 19 a new feature is added to those that extend far beyond David himself: they part my garments among them . . . It does not say they purpose doing it, they do it merely in their mind, but they do it in reality. This never happened to David, or at least not in the literal sense of his words, in which it has happened to Christ. In Him ver. 19a and 19b are literally fulfilled. The parting of the בנדים by the soldiers dividing His ἐμάτια among them into four parts; the casting lots upon the שלבוש by their not dividing the χιτών ἄρραφος, but casting lots for it, John xix. 23 sq. לבוש is the garment which is put on the body that it may not be bare; בורים the clothes, which one wraps around one's self for a covering; hence is punningly explained in B. Sabbath 77b by לבוש (with which one has no need to be ashamed of being naked) in distinction from גלימא, a mantle (that through which one appears בולם, because it conceals the outline of the body). In Job xxiv. 7, and frequently, לבוש is an undergarment, or shirt, what in Arabic is called absolutely ثبوب thôb "the garment", or expressed according to the Roman distinction: the tunica in distinction from the toga, whose exact designation is cap. With ver. 19 of this Psalm it is exactly as with Zech. ix. 9, cf. Mat. xxi. 5; in this instance also, the fulfilment has realised that which, in both phases of the synonymous expression, is seemingly identical.*

Vers. 20-22. In ver. 19 the description of affliction has reached its climax, for the parting of, and casting lots for, the garments assumes the certain death of the sufferer in the mind of the enemies. In ver. 20, with ואחר the looks of the sufferer, in the face of his manifold torments, concentrate themselves all at once upon Jahve. He calls Him איל nom. abstr. from איל געווי, lxxxviii. 5: the very essence of strength, as it were the idea, or the ideal of strength; leezrāthi has the accent on the penult., as in lxxi. 12 (cf. on the other hand xxxviii. 23), in order that two tone syllables may not come together. In ver. 21, הרב means the deadly weapon of the enemy and is used exemplificatively. In the expression מיד כלב is not merely equivalent to מיד כלב, but יוֹ is, according to the sense, equivalent to "paw" (cf. אָבֶּי, Lev. xi. 27), as is equivalent to jaws; although elsewhere not only the expression "hand of the lion and of the bear", 1 Sam. xvii. 37, but also "hands of the sword", Ps. lxiii. 11, and even "hand of the flame", Isa. xlvii. 14 are used, inasmuch as is the general designation of that which acts, seizes, and subjugates, as the instrument of the act. Just as in connection with the dog , and in connection with the lion is (cf., however, Dan. vi. 28) is mentioned as its weapon of attack, the horns, not the horn (also not in Deut. xxxiii. 17), are mentioned in connection with antilopes, מים (a shorter form, occurring only in this passage, for באמים, xxix. 6, Isa. xxxiv. 7). Nevertheless, Luther following the LXX. and Vulgate, renders it "rescue me from the unicorns" (vid. thereon on xxix. 6). יקיבה, as the parallel member here and in xxxv. 17 shews, is an epithet of wb. The LXX. in both instances renders it correctly την μονογενή μου, Vulg. unicam meam, according to Gen. xxii. 2, Judges xi. 34, the one soul besides which man has no second, the

^{*} On such fulfilments of prophecy, literal beyond all expectation, vid. Saat auf Hoffnung iii., 3, 47-51.

VOL. I.

one life besides which man has no second to lose, applied subjectively, that is, soul or life as the dearest and most precious thing, cf. Homer's φίλον κῆρ. It is also interpreted according to xxv. 16, lxviii. 7: my solitary one, solitarium, the soul as forsaken by God and man, or at least by man, and abandoned to its own self (Hupfeld, Kamphausen, and others). But the parallel נכורי, and the analogy of נכורי, (בישי), stamp it as an universal name for the soul: the single one, i. e. that which does not exist in duplicate, and consequently that which cannot be replaced, when lost. The præt. ענגי might be equivalent to ענגי, provided it is a perf. consec. deprived of its Wan convers. in favour of the placing of מַקְרָעָ רָטִים first for the sake of emphasis; but considering the turn which the Psalm takes in ver. 23, it must be regarded as perf. confidentiæ, inasmuch as in the very midst of his supplication there springs up in the mind of the suppliant the assurance of being heard and answered. To answer from the horns of the antilope is equivalent to hearing and rescuing from them; cf. the equally pregnant expression אנה ב cxviii. 5, perhaps also Hebr. v. 7.*

Vers. 23-24. In the third section, vers. 23-32, the great plaintive prayer closes with thanksgiving and hope. In certainty of being answered, follows the vow of thanksgiving. He calls his fellow-country men, who are connected with him by the ties of nature, but, as what follows, viz. "ye that fear Jahve" shews, also by the ties of spirit, "breth-קהל (from קהל ב קהל , xal-éw, cal-o, Sanser. kal, to resound) coincides with Exxlysia. The sufferer is conscious of the significance of his lot of suffering in relation to the working out of the history of redemption. Therefore he will make that salvation which he has experienced common The congregation or church shall hear the evangel of his rescue. In ver. 24 follows the introduction to this announcement, which is addressed to the whole of Israel, so far as it fears the God of revelation. Instead of ונורו the text of the Orientals (מרנהאי), i. e. Babylonians,

^{*} Thrupp in his Emendations on the Psalms (Journal of Classic and Sacred Philology, 1860) suggests עָנְיָּחָנִי, my poverty (my poor soul), instead of יְענִיתוני

had here the Chethib ינורו with the Keri אור ; the introduction of the jussive (xxxiii. 8) after the two imperatives would not be inappropriate. נְיָל (בְּיִל) is a stronger form of expression for יַנְל אָנוֹן, xxxiii. 8.

Ver. 25. This tristich is the evangel itself. The materia laudis is introduced by ענות (principal form ענות) bending, bowing down, affliction, from ענה, the proper word to denote the Passion. For in Isaiah, ch. liii. 4, 7, the Servant of God is also said to be נענה and zechariah, ch. ix. 9, also introduces Him as גושע and גושע. LXX., Vulgate, and Targum erroneously render it "cry". ענה does not mean to cry, but to answer, ἀμείβεσθαι; here, however, as the stem-word of ענות, it means to be bent. From the אַקע (to regard as an abhorrence), which alternates with nie, we see that the sufferer felt the wrath of God, but this has changed into a love that sends help; God did not long keep His countenance hidden, He hearkened to him, for his prayer was well-pleasing to Him. אימע is not the verbal adjective, but, since we have the definite fact of the rescue before us, it is a pausal form for שמע, as in xxxiv. 7, 18, Jer. xxxvi. 13.

Vers. 26-27. The call to thanksgiving is now ended; and there follows a grateful upward glance towards the Author of the salvation; and this grateful upward glance grows into a prophetic view of the future. This fact, that the sufferer is able thus to glory and give thanks in the great congregation (xl. 10), proceeds from Jahve (מאה as in cxviii. 23, cf. lxxi. 6). The first half of the verse, according to Baer's correct accentuation, closes with בַקהל רב. יראין does not refer to קהל, but, as everywhere else, is meant to be referred to Jahve, since the address of prayer passes over into a declarative utterance. It is not necessary in this passage to suppose, that in the mind of David the paying of vows is purely ethical, and not a ritualistic act. Being rescued he will bring the שַּלְמִי נֵדֶר, which it is his duty to offer, the thank-offerings, which he vowed to God when in the extremest peril. When the sprinkling with blood (וויקה) and the laying of the fat pieces upon the altar (הַקְּמֵרָה) were completed, the remaining flesh of the shelamim was used by the offerer to make a joyous meal;

and the time allowed for this feasting was the day of offering and on into the night in connection with the tôda-shelamim offering, and in connection with the shelamim of vows even the following day also (Lev. vii. 15 sq.). The invitation of the poor to share in it, which the law does not command, is rendered probable by these appointments of the law, and expressly commended by other and analogous appointments concerning the second and third tithes. Ver. 27 refers to this: he will invite the ענוים, those who are outwardly and spiritually poor, to this "eating before Jahve": it is to be a meal for which they thank God, who has bestowed it upon them through him whom He has thus Ver. 27c is as it were the host's blessing upon his guests, or rather Jahve's guests through him: "your heart live for ever", i. e. may this meal impart to you ever enduring refreshment. חַיהי optative of חַיַה, here used of the reviving of the heart, which is as it were dead (1 Sam. xxv. 37), to spiritual joy. The reference to the ritual of the peace offerings is very obvious. And it is not less obvious, that the blessing, which, for all who can be saved, springs from the salvation that has fallen to the lot of the sufferer, is here set forth. But it is just as clear, that this blessing consists in something much higher than the material advantage, which the share in the enjoyment of the animal sacrifice imparts; the sacrifice has its spiritual meaning, so that its outward forms are lowered as it were to a mere figure of its true nature; it relates to a spiritual enjoyment of spiritual and lasting results. How natural, then, is the thought of the sacramental eucharist, in which the second David, like to the first, having attained to the throne through the suffering of death, makes us partakers of the fruits of His suffering!

Vers. 28—32. The long line closing strophe, which forms as it were the pedestal to the whole, shews how far not only the description of the affliction of him who is speaking here, but also the description of the results of his rescue, transcend the historical reality of David's experience. The sufferer expects, as the fruit of the proclamation of that which Jahve has done for him, the conversion of all peoples. The heathen have become forgetful and will again

recollect themselves; the object, in itself clear enough in ix. 18. becomes clear from what follows: there is a γνῶσις τοῦ θεοῦ (Psychol. S. 346 ff.; tr. pp. 407 sqq.) among the heathen, which the announcement of the rescue of this afflicted one will bring back to their consciousness.* This prospect (Jer. xvi. 19 sqq.) is, in ver. 29 (cf. Jer. x. 7), based upon Jahve's right of kingship over all peoples. A ruler is called above others by virtue of his office (של according to its primary meaning = אבה, erectum stare, synonymous with כהן, vid. on ex. 4, cf. שמע Mic. v. 3). In ימשל we have the part, used like the 3 præt., without any mark of the person (cf. vii. 10, lv. 20), to express the pure præs., and, so to speak, as tempus durans: He rules among the nations (ἔθνη). The conversion of the heathen by that sermon will, therefore, be the realisation of the kingdom of God.

Ver. 30. The eating is here again brought to mind. The perfect, אבלו, and the future of sequence, וישתחוו, stand to one another in the relation of cause and effect. is clear from ver. 27, an eating that satisfies the soul, a spiritual meal, that is intended, and in fact, one that is brought about by the mighty act of rescue God has wrought. At the close of Ps. lxix, where the form of the ritual thankoffering is straightway ignored, יאו (ver. 33) takes the place of the אכלי. There it is the view of one who is rescued and who thankfully glorifies God, which leads to others sharing with him in the enjoyment of the salvation he has experienced; here it is an actual enjoyment of it, the joy, springing from thankfulness, manifesting itself not merely in words but in a thank-offering feast, at which, in Israel, those who long for salvation are the invited quests, for with them it is an acknowledgment of the mighty act of a God whom they already know; but among the heathen, men of the most diversified conditions, the richest and the poorest, for to them it is a favour unexpectedly brought to them, and which is all the more gratefully embraced by them on that account. So magnificent shall be the feast, that all דשניארץ, i. e.

^{*} Augustin De trinitate xiv. 13, Non igitur sic erant oblitæ istæ gentes Deum, ut ejus nec commemoratæ recordarentur.

those who stand out prominently before the world and before their own countrymen by reason of the abundance of their temporal possessions (compare on the ascensive use of ארץ, lxxv. 9, lxxvi. 10, Isa. xxiii. 9), choose it before this abundance, in which they might revel, and, on account of the grace and glory which the celebration includes within itself, they bow down and worship. In antithesis to the "fat ones of the earth" stand those who go down to the dust מַכּר), always used in this formula of the dust of the grave, like the Arabic turâb) by reason of poverty and care. In the place of the participle יורדי we now have with ונפשו (= ולא a clause with ולא, which has the value of a relative clause (as in xlix. 21, Ixxviii. 39, Prov. ix. 13, and frequently): and they who have not heretofore prolonged and could not prolong their life (Ges. § 123, 3, c). By comparing Phil. ii. 10 Hupfeld understands it to be those who are actually dead; so that it would mean, His kingdom extends to the living and the dead, to this world and the nether world. But any idea of a thankful adoration of God on the part of the dwellers in Hades is alien to the Old Testament; and there is nothing to force us to it here, since יוררי עפר, can just as well mean descensuri as qui descenderunt, and מיה נפשו (also in Ezek. xviii, 27) means to preserve his own life, — a phrase which can be used in the sense of vitam sustentare and of conservare with equal propriety. It is, therefore, those who are almost dead already with care and want, these also (and how thankfully do these very ones) go down upon their knees, because they are accounted worthy to be guests at this table. It is the same great feast, of which Isaiah, ch. xxv. 6, prophesies, and which he there accompanies with the music of his words. And the result of this evangel of the mighty act of rescue is not only of boundless universality, but also of unlimited duration: it propagates itself from one generation to another.

Formerly we interpreted ver. 31 "a seed, which shall serve Him, shall be reckoned to the Lord for a generation;" taking as a metaphor applying to the census, 2 Chron. ii. 16, cf. Ps. lxxxvii. 6, and for a generation; according to xxiv. 6 and other passages, as used of a totality of one kind, as you of the whole body of those of the same race. But the connec-

tion makes it more natural to take זו in a genealogical sense; and, moreover, with the former interpretation it ought to have been לרור instead of לדור. We must therefore retain the customary interpretation: "a seed (posterity) shall serve Him, it shall be told concerning the Lord to the generation (to come)". Decisive in favour of this interpretation is לדור with the following יבאן, by which דור acquires the meaning of the future generation, exactly as in lxxi. 18, inasmuch as it at once becomes clear, that three generations are distinctly mentioned, viz. that of the fathers who turn unto Jahye, ver. 30, that of the coming , ver. 31, and עם נולף, to whom the news of the salvation is propagated by this דוך, ver. 32: "They shall come (צוֹם as in lxxi. 18: to come into being), and shall declare His righteousness to the people that shall be born, that He hath finished." Accordingly is the principal notion, which divides itself into (יבאו and עם נולך; from which it is at once clear, why the expression could be thus general, "a posterity", inasmuch as it is defined by what follows. is the people which shall be born, or whose birth is near at hand (lxxviii. 6); the LXX. well renders it: λαφ τφ τεχθησομένφ (cf. cii. 19 עם נברא populus creandus). צרקתן is the δικαιοσύνη of God, which has become manifest in the rescue of the great sufferer. That He did not suffer him . to come down to the very border of death without snatching him out of the way of his murderous foes and raising him to a still greater glory, this was divine צַרַקה. That He did not snatch him out of the way of his murderous foes without suffering him to be on the point of death — even this wrathful phase of the divine עָרַקָּה, is indicated in ver. 16c, but then only very remotely. For the fact, that the Servant of God, before spreading the feast accompanying the shelamim (thank-offering) in which He makes the whole world participants in the fruit of His suffering, offered Himself as an asham (sin-offering), does not become a subject of prophetic revelation until later on, and then under other typical relationships. The nature of the muy, which is in accordance with the determinate counsel of God, is only gradually disclosed in the Old Testament. This one word, so full of meaning (as in lii. 11, xxxvii. 5, Isa. xliv. 23),

implying the carrying through of the work of redemption, which is prefigured in David, comprehends everything within itself. It may be compared to the rizy, Gen. ii. 3, at the close of the history of the creation. It is the last word of the Psalm, just as τετέλεσται is the last word of the Crucified One. The substance of the gospel in its preparatory history and its fulfilment, of the declaration concerning God which passes from generation to generation, is this, that God has accomplished what He planned when He anointed the son of Jesse and the Son of David as mediator in His work of redemption; that He accomplished it by leading the former through affliction to the throne, and making the cross to the latter a ladder leading up to heaven.

PSALM XXIII.

PRAISE OF THE GOOD SHEPHERD.

- 1 JAHVE is my Shepherd, I shall not want.
- 2 In green pastures He maketh me to lie down, Beside still waters He leadeth me.
- 3 My soul He restoreth, He leadeth me in right paths — For His Name's sake.
- 4 Yea, though I walk in the valley of the shadow of death:

I will fear no evil, for Thou art with me, Thy rod and Thy staff — they comfort me.

5 Thou preparest me a table in the presence of mine oppressors,

Thou anointest my head with oil, . My cup is fulness.

6 Only prosperity and mercy shall follow me
All the days of my life,
And again shall I dwell in the house of Jahve
For length of days.

The arrangement, by which a Psalm that speaks of a great feast of mercy prepared for mankind is followed by a Psalm that praises Jahve as the Shepherd and Host of His own people, could not possibly be more sensible and appropriate. If David is the author, and there is no reason for doubting it, then this Psalm belongs to the time of the rebellion under Absolom, and this supposition is confirmed on every hand. It is like an amplification of iv. 8; and iii. 7 is also echoed in it. But not only does it contain points of contact with this pair of Psalms of the time mentioned, but also with other Psalms belonging to same period, as xxvii. 4, and more especially lxiii., which is said to have been composed when David had retreated with his faithful followers over Kidron and the Mount of Olives into the plains of the wilderness of Judah, whither Hushai sent him tidings, which counselled him to pass over Jordan with all possible haste. It is characteristic of all these Psalms, that in them David yearns after the house of God as after the peculiar home of his heart, and, that all his wishes centre in the one wish to be at home again. And does not this short, tender song, with its depth of feeling and its May-like freshness, accord with David's want and wanderings to and fro at that time?

It consists of two hexastichs with short closing lines, resembling (as also in Isa. xvi. 9, 10) the Adonic verse of the strophe of Sappho, and a tetrastich made up of very short and longer lines intermixed.

Vers. 1—3. The poet calls Jahve אָר, as He who uniformly and graciously provides for and guides him and all who are His. Later prophecy announces the visible appearing of this Shepherd, Isa. xl. 11, Ezek. xxxiv. 37, and other passages. If this has taken place, the אָרָי הְּיִשְׁ from the mouth of man finds its cordial response in the words ἐγὼ ἐἰμὶ ὁ ποιμὴν ὁ καλός. He who has Jahve, the possessor of all things, himself has all things, he lacks nothing; viz. בּלִיבוֹר אָרָי, whatever is good in itself and would be good for him, xxxiv. 11, lxxxiv. 12. בְּלֵיה בְּיִי בְּאַר מִבְּל בְּלֵיך בְּלִיךְ בִּי בְּאַר מִבְּל בְּלֵיך בְּלִיךְ בָּלְיִם בְּלְיִם בְּלִיךְ בָּלְיִם בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בָּלְיִם בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בָּלְיִם בְּלִיךְ בְּלֵיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בִּלְיִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלְיִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלְיִירְ בְּלִירְ בְּלְיִירְ בְּלִירְ בְּלִירְ בְּלִיךְ בִּלְיִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִירְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלְיִירְ בְּלִירְ בְּלִירְ בִּלְיִירְ בְּלְיבִירְ בְּלְיבִירְ בְּלְיבִירְ בְּלְיבִירְ בְּלְיבְיּיִי בְּלְיבְיּי בְּלְיבְיּי בְּלְיבְיּי בְּיּבְיּי בְּיבְיּי בְּלְיבְיּי בְּלְיבְיּי בְּיּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְיּי בְּיבְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְי

dwelling-place, specifically an oasis, i. e. a verdant spot in the desert. מי מוות are waters, where the weary finds a most pleasant resting-place (according to Hitzig, it is a plural brought in by the plural of the governing word, but it is at any rate a superlative plural), and can at the same time refresh himself. is suited to this as being a pastoral word used of gentle leading, and more especially of guiding the herds to the watering-places, just as הרביץ is used of making them to rest, especially at noon-tide. Cant. i. 7; cf. δδηγεῖν, Apoc. vii. 17. שובב נפש (elsewhere השוב) signifies to bring back the soul that is as it were flown away, so that it comes to itself again, therefore to impart new life, recreare. This He does to the soul, by causing it amidst the dryness and heat of temptation and trouble, to taste the very essence of life which refreshes and strengthens it. The Hiph. הנהה (Arabic: to put on one side, as perhaps in Job xii. 23) is, as in cxliii. 10 the intensive of החה (lxxvii. 21). The poet glories that Jahve leads him carefully and without risk or wandering in מעולי-צרק, straight paths and leading to the right goal, and this שמן שמן (for His Name's sake). He has revealed Himself as the gracious One, and as such He will prove and glorify Himself even in the need of him who submits to His guidance.

Vers. 4-5. Rod and staff are here not so much those of the pilgrim, which would be a confusing transition to a different figure, but those of Jahve, the Shepherd (224, as in Mic. vii, 14, and in connection with it, cf. Num. xxi. 18, as the filling up of the picture), as the means of guidance and defence. The one rod, which the shepherd holds up to guide the flock and upon which he leans and anxiously watches over the flock, has assumed a double form in the conception of the idea. This rod and staff in the hand of God comfort him, i. e. preserve to him the feeling of security, and therefore a cheerful spirit. Even when he passes through a valley dark and gloomy as the shadow of death, where surprises and calamities of every kind threaten him, he fears no misfortune. The LXX. narrows the figure, rendering בניא according to the Aramaic פנוא, Dan. iii. 25, פֿע שָׁבֹּסשָ. The noun צלמות, which occurs in this passage for the first time in the Old Testament literature,

is originally not a compound word; but being formed from a verb אַלוֹם, וֹנְלֹשׁׁׁׁ, נִילֹם (cot שׁׁבֹּוֹלִי, du), to overshadow, darken, after the form אַבְּרְשִּׁׁחְ, but pronounced אַבְּרְשִּׁׁהְּׁ (cf. תְּבֶּרְשָׁהִ, Hadra-môt = the court of death, בַּצְלְאֵל in-God's-shadow), it signifies the shadow of death as an epithet of the most fearful darkness, as of Hades, Job x. 21 sq., but also of a shaft of a mine, Job xxviii. 3, and more especially of darkness such as makes itself felt in a wild, uninhabited desert, Jer. ii. 6.

After the figure of the shepherd fades away in ver. 4, that of the host appears. His enemies must look quietly on (נבד) as in xxxi. 20), without being able to do anything, and see how Jahve provides bountifully for His guest, anoints him with sweet perfumes as at a joyous and magnificent banquet (xcii. 11), and fills his cup to excess. What is meant thereby, is not necessarily only blessings of a spiritual kind. The king fleeing before Absolom and forsaken by the mass of his people was, with his army, even outwardly in danger of being destroyed by want; it is, therefore, even an abundance of daily bread streaming in upon them, as in 2 Sam. xvii. 27-29, that is meant; but even this, spiritually regarded, as a gift from heaven, and so that the satisfying, refreshing and quickening is only the outside phase of simultaneous inward experiences.* The future אמרה is followed, according to the customary return to the perfect ground-form, by השנה, which has, none the less, the signification of a present. And in the closing assertion, יכוֹם, my cup, is metonymically equivalent to the contents of my cup. This is דויה, a fulness satiating even to excess.

Ver. 6. Foes are now pursuing him, but prosperity and favour alone shall pursue him, and therefore drive his present pursuers out of the field. 34, originally affirmative, here restrictive, belongs only to the subject-notion in its signification nil nisi (xxxix. 6, 12, cxxxix. 11). The expression is remarkable and without example elsewhere: as good spirits Jahve sends forth and not overtake David's enemies, and to protect him against them to their shame,

^{*} In the mouth of the New Testament saint, especially on the dies viridium, it is the table of the Lord's supper, as Apollinarisalso hints when he applies to it the epithet ριγεδανών βρίθουσαν, horrendorum onusium.

and that all his life long (accusative of continuance). We have now no need, in connection with our reference of the Psalm to the persecution under Absolom, either to persuade ourselves that ישבהי is equivalent to ישבהי xxvii. 4, or that it is equivalent to וישבתי . The infinitive is logically inadmissible here, and unheard of with the vowel a instead of i, which would here (cf. on the other hand קחמי) be confusing and arbitrary. Nor can it be shewn from Jer. xlii. 10 to be probable that it is contracted from ושבתי, since in that passage wir signifies redeundo = rursus. The LXX., certainly, renders it by καθίσαντες, as in 1 Sam. xii. 2 by καὶ καθήσομαι; but (since so much uncertainty attaches to these translators and their text) we cannot draw a safe inference as to the existing usage of the language, which would, in connection with such a contraction, go out of the province of one verb into that of another, which is not the case with החה = נחתה in 2 Sam. xxii. 41. On the contrary we have before us in the present passage a construction prægnans: "and I shall return (perf. consec.) in the house of Jahve", i. e. again, having returned, dwell in the house of Jahve. In itself וַשְׁבְּהֵּי might also even mean et revertam ad (cf. vii. 17, Hos. xii. 7), like עלה ב, xxiv. 3, adscendere ad (in). But the additional assertion of continuance. לארד ימים (as in xciii. 5, Lam. v. 20, אֹרֶה, root ד, extension, lengthening = length) favours the explanation, that I is to be connected with the idea of ושבתי, which is involved in as a natural consequence.

PSALM XXIV.

PREPARATION FOR THE RECEPTION OF THE LORD WHO IS ABOUT TO COME.

A. Psalm on going up (below, on the hill of Zion).

Chorus of the festive procession.

- 1 JAHVE's is the earth, and its fulness, The world, and they that dwell therein.
- 2 For He, He hath founded it upon the seas, And upon streams did He set it fast.

A voice.

3 Who may ascend the hill of Jahve, Who may stand in His holy place?

Another voice.

4 He that is of innocent hands and of pure heart, He that doth not lift up his soul to vanity, And doth not swear deceitfully —

Chorus.

- 5 He shall receive a blessing from Jahve, And righteousness from the God of his salvation.
- 6 This is the generation of those who aspire after Him, Who seek Thy face — Jacob. (Sela)
 - B. Psalm on entering (above, on the citadel of Zion).

 Chorus of the festive procession.
- 7 Lift up, ye gates, your heads, And raise yourselves, ye ancient doors, That the King of Glory may come in.

A voice, as it were, from the gates.

8 Who is, then, the King of Glory?

Chorus.

Jahve, a mighty one and a hero, Jahve, a hero in battle.

9 Lift up, ye gates, your heads, And raise yourselves, ye ancient doors, That the King of Glory may come in.

As it were, from the gates.

10 Who is He, then, the King of Glory?

Chorus.

Jahve of Hosts, He is the King of Glory. (Sela)

Ps. xxiii. expressed a longing after the house of Jahve on Zion; Ps. xxiv. celebrates Jahve's entrance into Zion, and the true character of him who may enter with Him. It was composed when the Ark was brought from Kirjath Jearim to Mount Zion, where David had caused it to be set up in a tabernable built expressly for it, 2 Sam. vi. 17. cf. xi. 11. 1 Kings i. 39; or else, which is rendered the more probable by the description of Jahve as a warrior, at a time when the Ark was brought back to Mount Zion, after having been taken to accompany the army to battle (vid. Ps. lxviii.). Ps. xv. is very similar. But only xxiv. 1-6 is the counterpart of that Psalm; and there is nothing wanting to render the first part of Ps. xxiv. complete in itself. Hence Ewald divides Ps. xxiv. into two songs, belonging to different periods, although both old Davidic songs, viz. Ps. xxiv. 7-10, the song of victory sung at the removal of the Ark to Zion; and xxiv. 1-6, a purely didactic song pre-supposing this event which forms an era in their history. And it is relatively more natural to regard this Psalm rather than Ps. xix., as two songs combined and made into one; but these two songs have an internal coherence; in Jahve's coming to His temple is found that which occasioned them and that towards which They point; and consequently they form a whole consisting of two divisions. To the inscription לדור מומור the LXX. adds της μιᾶς σαββά-נשל אחד בשבת (בשבת tov* (בשבת בשבת for the first day of the week), according to which this Psalm was a customary Sunday Psalm. This addition is confirmed by B. Tamîd extr., Rosh ha-Shana 31a, Sofrim xviii. (cf. supra p. 32). In the second of these passages cited from the Talmud, R. Akiba seeks to determine the reasons for this choice by reference to the history of the creation.

Incorporated in Israel's hymn-book, this Psalm became, with a regard to its original occasion and purpose, an Old Testament Advent hymn in honour of the Lord who should come into His temple, Mal. iii. 1; and the cry: Lift up, ye

^{*} The London Papyrus fragments, in Tischendorf Monum. i. 247, read TH MIA T Ω N Σ ABBAT Ω N. In the Hexaplarian text, this addition to the inscription was wanting.

gates, your heads, obtained a meaning essentially the same as that of the voice of the crier in Isaiah xl. 3: Prepare ye Jahve's way, make smooth in the desert a road for our God! In the New Testament consciousness, the second appearing takes the place of the first, the coming of the Lord of Glory to His church, which is His spiritual temple; and in this Psalm we are called upon to prepare Him a worthy reception. The interpretation of the second half of the Psalm of the entry of the Conqueror of death into Hades, — an interpretation which has been started by the Gospel of Nicodemus (vid. Tischendorf's Evv. apocrypha p. 306 sq.) and still current in the Greek church, — and the patristic interpretation of it of the εἰς οὐρανοὺς ἀνάληψις τοῦ κυρίου, do as much violence to the rules of exegesis as to the parallelism of the facts of the Old and New Testaments.

Vers. 1—6. Jahve, whose throne of grace is now set upon Zion, has not a limited dominion, like the heathen deities: His right to sovereignty embraces the earth and its fulness (l. 12, lxxxix. 12), i. e. everything that is to be found upon it and in it.* For He, κιπ, is the owner of the world, because its Creator. He has founded it upon seas, i. e. the ocean and its streams, τιπη, ρέεθρα (Jon. ii. 4); for the waters existed before the dry land, and this has been cast up out of them at God's word, so that consequently the solid land, — which indeed also conceals in its interior a της (Gen. vii. 11), — rising above the surface of the sea, has the waters, as it were, for its foundation (cxxxvi. 6), although it would more readily sink down into them than keep itself above them, if it were not in itself upheld by the creative power of God. Hereupon arises the question, who

^{*} In 1 Cor. x. 26, Paul founds on this verse (cf. l. 12) the doctrine that a Christian (apart from a charitable regard for the weak) may eat whatever is sold in the shambles, without troubling himself to enquire whether it has been offered to idols or not. A Talmudic teacher, B. Berachoth 35a, infers from this passage the duty of prayer before meat: He who eats without giving thanks is like one who lays hands upon קרשי שמים (the sacred things of God); the right to eat is only obtained by prayer.

may ascend the mountain of Jahve, and stand above in His holy place? The futures have a potential signification: who can have courage to do it? what, therefore, must be be, whom Jahve receives into His fellowship, and with whose worship He is well-pleased? Answer: he must be one innocent in his actions and pure in mind, one who does not lift up his soul to that which is vain (לשוא), according to the Masora with Waw minusculum). (ל) נשא נפש אל, to direct one's soul, xxv. 1, or longing and striving, towards anything, Deut. xxiv. 15, Prov. xix. 18, Hos. iv. 8. The Kerî is old and acknowledged by the oldest authorities.* Even the LXX. Cod. Alex. translates: τὴν ψυχήν μου; whereas Cod. Vat. (Eus., Apollin., Theodor., et al.): την ψυχήν αὐτοῦ. Critically it is just as intangible, as it is exegetically incomprehensible; שמי might then be equivalent to שמי, Exod. xx. 7, an explanation, however, which does not seem possible even from Amos vi. 8, Jer. li. 14. We let this Kerî alone to its undisturbed critical rights. But that the poet did actual write thus, is incredible.

In ver. 5 (just as at the close of Ps. xv.), in continued predicates, we are told the character of the man, who is worthy of this privilege, to whom the question in ver. 3 refers. Such an one shall bear away, or acquire (אָלָיֵג), as e.g. Esth. ii. 17) blessing from Jahve and righteousness from

^{*} The reading נפשׁי is adopted by Saadia (in Emunoth ii, where ישׁכוּ is equivalent to שׁמוֹ, Juda ha-Levi (Cuzari iii. 27), Abulwalid (Rikma p. 180), Rashi, Kimchi, the Sohar, the Codices (and among others by that of the year 1294) and most editions (among which, the Complutensis has vibi in the text). Nor does Aben-Ezra, whom Norzi has misunderstood, by any means reverse the relation of the Chethib and Kerî: to him ישֹׁט is the Kerî, and he explains it as a metaphor (an anthropomorphism): וכחוב נפשי דרך כנוי . Elias Levita is the only one who rejects the Keri vin; but he does so through misunderstanding a Masora (vid. Baer's Psalterium p. 130) and not without admitting Masoretic testimony in favour of it (וכן ראיתי ברוב נוסחאות המסורת). He is the only textual critic who rejects it. For Jacob b. Chajim is merely astonished that IVPl is not to be found in the Masoreth register of words written with Wan and to be read with Jod. And even Norzi does not reject this Keri, which he is obliged to admit has greatly preponderating testimony in its favour, and he would only too gladly get rid of it.

the God of his salvation (xxv. 5, xxvii. 9). Righteousness, i. e. conformity to God and that which is well-pleasing to God, appears here as a gift, and in this sense it is used interchangeably with ישע (e. g. exxxii. 9, 16). It is the righteousness of God after which the righteous, but not the self-righteous, man hungers and thirsts; that moral perfection which is the likeness of God restored to him and at the same time brought about by his own endeavours; it is the being changed, or transfigured, into the image of the Holy One Himself. With ver. 5 the answer to the question of ver. 3 is at an end; ver. 6 adds that those thus qualified, who may accordingly expect to receive God's gifts of salvation, are the true church of Jahve, the Israel of God. קור (lit. a revolution, Arabic dahr, root דור, to turn, revolve) is used here, as in xiv. 5, lxxiii. 15, cxii. 2, of a collective whole, whose bond of union is not cotemporaneousness, but similarity of disposition; and it is an alliteration with the דרשו (Chethîb דרשוי, without the Jod plur.) which follows. is a second genitive depending on דור, as in xxvii. 8. Here at the close the predication passes into the form of invocation (Thy face). And you is a summarising predicate: in short, these are Jacob, not merely after the flesh, but after the spirit, and thus in truth (Isa. xliv. 2, cf. Rom. ix. 6, Gal. vi. 16). By interpolating אלהי, as is done in the LXX. and Peshîto, and adopted by Ewald, Olshausen, Hupfeld, and Böttcher, the nerve, as it were, of the assertion is cut through. The predicate, which has been expressed in different ways, is concentrated intelligibly enough in the one word יעקב, towards which it all along tends. And here the music becomes forte. The first part of this double Psalm dies away amidst the playing of the instruments of the Levitical priests; for the Ark was brought in בכל-עו ובשירים, as 2 Sam. vi. 5 (cf. 14) is to be read.

Vers. 7-10. The festal procession has now arrived above at the gates of the citadel of Zion. These are called פתחי עולם, doors of eternity (not "of the world" as Luther renders it contrary to the Old Testament usage of the language) either as doors which pious faith hopes will last for ever, as Hupfeld and Hitzig explain it, understanding them, in opposition to the inscription of the Psalm, to be the VOL. I.

gates of Solomon's Temple; or, what seems to us much more appropriate in the mouth of those who are now standing before the gates, as the portals dating back into the hoary ages of the past (עולם as e. g. in Gen. xlix. 26, Isa. lviii. 12), the time of the Jebusites, and even of Melchizedek, through which the King of Glory, whose whole being and acts is glory, is now about to enter. It is the gates of the citadel of Zion, to which the cry is addressed, to expand themselves in a manner worthy of the Lord who is about to enter, for whom they are too low and too strait. cing at the great honour, thus conferred upon them, they are to raise their heads (Job x. 15, Zech. ii. 4), i. e. lift up their portals (lintels); the doors of antiquity are to open high and wide.* Then the question echoes back to the festal procession from Zion's gates which are wont only to admit mighty lords: who, then (77 giving vividness to the question, Ges. § 122, 2), is this King of Glory; and they describe Him more minutely: it is the Hero-god, by whom Israel has wrested this Zion from the Jebusites with the sword, and by whom he has always been victorious in time past. The adjectival climactic form אוון (like למוד, with i instead of the a in הנון, העון is only found in one other passage, viz. Isa. xliii. 17. מלחמה refers back to Exod. xv. 3. Thus then shall the gates raise their heads and the ancient doors lift themselves, i. e. open high and wide; and this is expressed here by Kal instead of Niph. (שוא) to lift one's self up, rise, as in Nah. i. 5, Hos. xiii. 1, Hab. i. 3), according to the well-known order in which recurring verses and refrain-like repetitions move gently onwards. The gates of Zion ask once more, yet now no longer hesitatingly, but in order to hear more in praise of the great King. It is now the enquiry seeking fuller information; and the heaping up of the pronouns (as in Jer. xxx. 21, cf. xlvi. 7, Esth. vii. 5) expresses its urgency (quis tandem, ecquisnam). answer runs, "Jahve Tsebaoth, He is the King of Glory (now making His entry)". אַכאוֹת is the proper name of Jahve as King, which had become His customary name in the time

^{*} On the Munach instead of Metheg in אָרָהְבָּשְׁ, vid. Baer's Accent-system vii. 2.

of the kings of Israel. אַבְּאָיִי is a genitive governed by הוא, and, while it is otherwise found only in reference to human hosts, in this combination it gains, of itself, the reference to the angels and the stars, which are called יַבְּאִייִ in ciii. 21, cxlviii. 2: Jahve's hosts consisting of celestial heroes, Joel ii. 11, and of stars standing on the plain of the heavens as it were in battle array, Isa. xl. 26, — a reference for which experiences and utterances like those recorded in Gen. xxxii. 2 sq., Deut. xxxiii. 2, Judges v. 20, have prepared the way. It is, therefore, the Ruler commanding innumerable and invincible super-terrestrial powers, who desires admission. The gates are silent and open wide; and Jahve, sitting enthroned above the Cherubim of the sacred Ark, enters into Zion.

PSALM XXV.

PRAYER FOR GRACIOUS PROTECTION AND GUIDANCE.

- 1 & UNTO Thee, Jahve, do I lift up my soul.
- 2 3 My God in Thee do I trust, let me not be ashamed, Let not mine enemies triumph over me.
- 3 Yea none that wait on Thee shall be ashamed,
 They shall be ashamed who are faithless without cause.
- 4 7 Thy ways, Jahve, make known to me, Thy paths teach Thou me.
- 5 7 Lead me in Thy truth, and teach me; For Thou art the God of my salvation, On Thee do I hope continually.
- 6 Remember, Jahve, Thy tender mercies and Thy loving-kindnesses,

For they are ever of old.

7.7 The sins of my youth and my transgressions remember not,

According to Thy mercy remember Thou me For Thy goodness' sake, Jahve!

- 8 p Good and upright is Jahve;
 - Therefore He instructeth sinners in the right way.
- 9. He leadeth the humble in that which is right, And teacheth the humble His way.

- 10 > All the paths of Jahve are mercy and truth, To such as keep His covenant and His testimonies.
- 11 5 For Thy name's sake, Jahve, pardon my sin, For it is great.
- 12 n What man is he that feareth Jahve? Him shall He teach in the way of right choice.
- 13 I His soul shall dwell in prosperity, And his seed shall inherit the land.
- 14 D The secret of Jahve is with them that fear Him, And His covenant doth He make them know.
- 15 y Mine eyes are ever towards Jahve, For He will pluck my feet out of the net.
- 16 D Turn Thee unto me and be gracious unto me, For I am desolate and needy.
- 17 2 Troubles have spread over my heart, Out of my distresses bring Thou me forth!
- 18 7 Look upon mine affliction and my trouble, And forgive all my sins.
- 19 \(\) Look upon mine enemies, that they are many, And with cruel hatred they hate me.
- 20 w Keep my soul, and deliver me, Let me not be ashamed, for I trust in Thce.
- 21 n Let integrity and uprightness preserve me, For I hope in Thee.
- 22 5 Redeem Israel, Elohim, Out of all his troubles.

A question similar to the question, Who may ascend the mountain of Jahve? which Ps. xxiv. propounded, is thrown out by Ps. xxv., Who is he that feareth Jahve? in order to answer it in great and glorious promises. It is a calmly confident prayer for help against one's foes, and for God's instructing, pardoning, and leading grace. It is without any definite background indicating the history of the times in which it was composed; and also without any clearly marked traits of individuality. But it is one of the nine alphabetical Psalms of the whole collection, and the companion to Ps. xxxiv., to which it corresponds even in many peculiarities of the acrostic structure. For both Psalms

have no strophe; they are parallel both as to sound and meaning in the beginnings of the p, y, and the first 5 strophes; and both Psalms, after having gone through the alphabet, have a b strophe added as the concluding one, whose beginning and contents are closely related. This homogeneousness points to one common author. nothing in the alphabetical arrangement at least, which even here as in Ps. ix-x. is handled very freely and not fully carried out, to hinder us from regarding David as this author. But, in connection with the general ethical and religious character of the Psalm, it is wanting in positive proofs of this. In its universal character and harmony with the plan of redemption Ps. xxv. coincides with many post-exilic Psalms. It contains nothing but what is common to the believing consciousness of the church in every age; nothing specifically belonging to the Old Testament and Israelitish, hence Theodoret says: άρμόζει μάλιστα τοῖς ἐξ έθνων κεκλημένοις. The introits for the second and third Quadragesima Sundays are taken from vers. 6 and 15; hence these Sundays are called Reminiscere and Oculi. Paul Gerhardt's hymn "Nach dir, o Herr, verlanget mich" is a beautiful poetical rendering of this Psalm.

Vers. 1-2. The Psalm begins, like Ps. xvi. xxiii., with a monostich. Ver. 2 is the ב strophe, אלהי (unless one is disposed to read בך אלהי according to the position of the words in xxxi. 2), after the manner of the interjections in the tragedians, e. g. ωμοι, not being reckoned as belonging to the verse (J. D. Köhler). In need of help and full of longing for deliverance he raises his soul, drawn away from earthly desires, to Jahve (lxxxvi. 4, cxliji. 8), the God who alone can grant him that which shall truly satisfy his need. His ego, which has the soul within itself, directs his soul upwards to Him whom he calls אַלֹהַי, because in believing confidence he clings to Him and is united with The two on declare what Jahve is not to allow him to experience, just as in xxxi. 2, 18. According to xxxv. 19, 24, xxxviii. 17, it is safer to construe יעלצו with יעלצו (cf. lxxi. 10), as also in xxvii. 2, xxx. 2, Mic. vii. 8, although it

would be possible to construe it with אַיְכֵּר (cf. cxliv. 2). In ver. 3 the confident expectation of the individual is generalised.

Ver. 3. That wherewith the praying one comforts himself is no peculiar personal prerogative, but the certain, joyous prospect of all believers: ἡ ἐλπὶς οὐ καταισχύνει, Rom. v. 5. These are called קְיֵהְ (תְּבֶּרְ participle to תְּבֶּרְ is the participle to תְּבֶּרְ Hope is the eye of faith which looks forth clear and fixedly into the future. With those who hope in Jahve, who do not allow themselves to be in any way disconcerted respecting Him, are contrasted those who act treacherously towards Him (cxix. 158, Aq., Symm., Theodot., οἱ ἀποστατοῦντες), and that מִבְּרָבְּ, i. e.—and it can only mean this—from vain and worthless pretexts, and therefore from wanton unconscientiousness.

Ver. 4. Recognising the infamy of such black ingratitude, he prays for instruction as to the ways which he must take according to the precepts of God (xviii. 22). The will of God, it is true, lies before us in God's written word, but the expounder required for the right understanding of that word is God Himself. He prays Him for knowledge; but in order to make what he knows a perfect and living reality, he still further needs the grace of God, viz. both His enlightening and also His guiding grace.

Ver. 5. His truth is the lasting and self-verifying fact of His revelation of grace. To penetrate into this truth and to walk in it (xxvi. 3, lxxxvi. 11) without God, is a contradiction in its very self. Therefore the psalmist prays, as in cxix. 35, δδήγησόν με ἐν τῷ ἀληθεία σου (LXX. Cod. Alex.; whereas Cod. Vat. ἐπὶ τὴν . . . , cf. John xvi. 13). He prays thus, for his salvation comes from Jahve, yea Jahve is his salvation. He does not hope for this or that, but for Him, all the day, i. e. unceasingly, * for everything worth hoping for, everything that can satisfy the longing of the soul, is shut up in Him. All mercy or grace, however,

^{*} Hupfeld thinks the accentuation inappropriate; the first half of the verse, however, really extends to 'Y''; and consists of two parts, of which the second is the confirmation of the first: the second half contains a relatively new thought. The sequence of the accents: Rebia magnum, Athnach, therefore fully accords with the matter.

which proceeds from Him, has its foundation in His compassion and condescension.

- Ver. 6. The supplicatory reminiscere means, may God never forget to exercise His pity and grace towards him, which are (as the plurals imply) so rich and superabundant. The ground on which the prayer is based is introduced with in (nam, or even quonium). God's compassion and grace are as old in their operation and efficacy as man's feebleness and sin; in their counsels they are eternal, and therefore have also in themselves the pledge of eternal duration (c. 5, ciii. 17).
- Ver. 7. May Jahve not remember the faults of his youth (תואטת), into which lust and thoughtlessness have precipitated him, nor the transgressions (פֿשַעים), by which even in maturer and more thoughtful years he has turned the grace of God into licentiousness and broken off his fellowship with Him (שעע ב, of defection); but may He, on the contrary, turn His remembrance to him (בֶּר לָּן as in cxxxvi. 23) in accordance with His grace or loving-kindness, which אקה challenges as being the form of self-attestation most closely corresponding to the nature of God. quidem mei, observes Augustine, non secundum iram, qua ego dignus sum, sed secundum misericordiam tuam, quae te digna For God is In, which is really equivalent to saying, He is ἀγάπη. The next distich shews that την is intended here of God's goodness, and not, as e. g. in Neh. ix. 35, of His abundance of possessions.
- Ver. 8. The שולה מותה denotes the way, i. e. the right way (Job xxxi. 7), as the sphere and subject of the instruction, as in xxxii. 8, Prov. iv. 11, Job xxvii. 11. God condescends to sinners in order to teach them the way that leads to life, for He is שוברוְיִשׁר; well-doing is His delight, and, if His anger be not provoked (xviii. 27b), He has only the sincerest good intention in what He does.
- Ver. 9. The shortened form of the future stands here, according to Ges. § 128, 2, rem., instead of the full form (which, viz. אָרָהְי, is perhaps meant); for the connection which treats of general facts, does not admit of its being taken as optative. The 2 (cf. ver. 5, cvii. 7, cxix. 35) denotes the sphere of the guidance. אַפָּשִיה is the right so far as it is

traversed, i. e. practised or carried out. In this course of right He leads the ענוים, and teaches them the way that is pleasing to Himself. ענוים is the one word for the gentle, mansueti, and the humble, modesti. Jerome uses these words alternately in ver. 9a and 9b; but the poet designedly repeats the one word — the cardinal virtue of ענויה — here with the preponderating notion of lowliness. Upon the self-righteous and self-sufficient He would be obliged to force Himself even against their will. He wants disciples eager to learn; and how richly He rewards those who guard what they have learnt!

Ver. 10. The paths intended, are those which He takes with men in accordance with His revealed will and counsel. These paths are reprint [loving-kindness, mercy, or grace], for the salvation of men is their goal, and reprint [truth], for they give proof at every step of the certainty of His promises. But only they who keep His covenant and His testimonies faithfully and obediently shall share in this mercy and truth. To the psalmist the name of Jahve, which unfolds itself in mercy and truth, is precious. Upon it he bases the prayer that follows.

Ver. 11. The perf. consec. is attached to the אָרָי, which is, according to the sense, implied in אָרָי, just as in other instances it follows adverbial members of a clause, placed first for the sake of emphasis, when those members have reference to the future, Ges. § 126, rem. 1. Separate and manifold sins (ver. 7) are all comprehended in אין, which is in other instances also the collective word for the corruption and the guilt of sin. אין gives the ground of the need and urgency of the petition. A great and multiform load of sin lies upon him, but the name of God, i. e. His nature that has become manifest in His mercy and truth, permits him to ask and to hope for forgiveness, not for the sake of anything whatever that he has done, but just for the sake of this name (Jer. xiv. 7, Isa. xliii. 25). How happy therefore is he who fears God, in this matter!

Ver. 12. The question: quisnam est vir, which resembles xxxiv. 13, cvii. 43, Isa. 1. 10, is only propounded in order to draw attention to the person who bears the character described, and then to state what such an one has to expect.

In prose we should have a relative antecedent clause instead, vi2. qui (quisquis) talis est qui Dominum vereatur.* The attributive יְבְּהֶר, (viam) quam eligat (cf. Isa. xlviii. 17), might also be referred to God: in which He takes delight (LXX.); but parallels like cxix. 30, 173, favour the rendering: which he should chose. Among all the blessings which fall to the lot of him who fears God, the first place is given to this, that God raises him above the vacillation and hesitancy of human opinion.

Ver. 13. The verb לְלֵּיל), probably equivalent to לְּיֵל (from לָלִיל) signifies to tarry the night, to lodge. Good, i. e. inward and outward prosperity, is like the place where such an one turns in and finds shelter and protection. And in his posterity will be fulfilled what was promised to the patriarchs and to the people delivered from Egypt, viz. possession of the land, or as this promise runs in the New Testament, of the earth, Mat. v. 5 (cf. Ps. xxxvii. 11), Apoc. v. 10.

Ver. 14. The LXX. renders τις, πραταίωμα, as though it were equivalent to יסור. The reciprocal ונוסר, ii. 2 (which see), leads one to the right primary signification. Starting from the primary meaning of the root 70," to be or to make tight, firm, compressed", To signifies a being closely pressed together for the purpose of secret communication and converse, confidential communion or being together, lxxxix. 8, cxi. 1 (Symm. ὁμιλία), then the confidential communication itself, lv. 15, a secret (Aquila ἀπόβδητον, Theod. μυστήριον). So here: He opens his mind without any reserve, speaks confidentially with those who fear Him; cf. the derivative passage Prov. iii. 32, and an example of the thing itself in Gen. xviii. 17. In ver. 14b the infinitive with 5, according to Ges. § 132, rem. 1, as in Isa. xxxviii. 20, is an expression for the fut. periphrast.: fædus suum notum facturus est iis; the position of the words is like Dan. ii. 16, 18, iv. 15. is used of the imparting of not merely intellectual, but experimental knowledge. Hitzig renders it differently, viz.

^{*} The verb ver-eri, which signifies "to guard one's self, defend one's self from anything" according to its radical notion, has nothing to do with "", (",").

to enlighten them. But the Hiph. is not intended to be used thus absolutely even in 2 Sam. vii. 21. בְּרִיהוֹ is the object; it is intended of the rich and deep and glorious character of the covenant revelation. The poet has now on all sides confirmed the truth, that every good gift comes down from above, from the God of salvation; and he returns to the thought from which he started.

Ver. 15. He who keeps his eyes constantly directed towards God (cxli. 8, cxxiii. 1), is continually in a praying mood, which cannot remain unanswered. Τος corresponds to ἀδιαλείπτως in 1 Thess. v. 17. The aim of this constant looking upwards to God, in this instance, is deliverance out of the enemy's net. He can and will pull him out (xxxi. 5) of the net of complicated circumstances into which he has been ensnared without any fault of his own.

Ver. 16. The rendering "regard me", so far as שַּלֵּהְ אֵל means God's observant and sympathising turning to any one (LXX. ἐπιβλέπειν), corresponds to lxxxvi. 16, Lev. xxvi. 9. For this he longs, for men treat him as a stranger and refuse to have anything to do with him. יְהִוּיִ is the only one of his kind, one who has no companion, therefore the isolated one. The recurrence of the same sounds יְבִּי אַנִי אַנִי si designedly not avoided. To whom could he, the isolated one, pour forth his affliction, to whom could he unveil his inmost thoughts and feelings? to God alone! To Him he can bring all his complaints, to Him he can also again and again always make supplication.

Vers. 18-19. The falling away of the p is made up for

by a double אורים strophe. Even the LXX. has to twice over. The seeing that is prayed for, is in both instances a seeing into his condition, with which is conjoined the notion of interposing on his behalf, though the way and manner thereof is left to God. אָלָאָא לְ, with the object in the dative instead of the accusative (tollere peccata), signifies to bestow a taking away, i. e. forgiveness, upon any one (synon. אָלָאָר הַלְּבָּלְּה, is pleasing to the New Testament consciousness that God's vengeance is not expressly invoked upon his enemies. בּיִ בּי הַבְּעָבְּרָת שְּׁנְאָרָת הְּעָבָּרְת שִׁנְאָרָת הְּעָבָּרְת שִׁנְאָרָת הְּעָבְּרָת שִׁנְאָרָת הְּעָבְּרָת הַּעִבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַּבְּרָת וֹשִׁר הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרַת הַבְיב הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרַת הַבְּרָת הַבְּיב הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרָת הַבְּבְּת הַבְּרָת הַבְּרֵת הַבְּיב הַבְיב הַבְּיב הְיבְיב הַבְּיב הַבְיב הַבְיב הַבְּיב הַבְּיב הַבְּיב הַבְּיב הַבְּיב הַבְּיב

Ver. 20. He entreats for preservation and deliverance from God; and that He may not permit his hope to be disappointed (אַל־אַבּוֹשֵׁה, cf. 1 Chron. xxi. 13, instead of אַל־אַבוֹשֵׁה, which is usual in other instances). This his hope rests indeed in Him: he has taken refuge in Him and therefore He cannot forsake him, He cannot let him be destroyed.

Ver. 21. Devoutness that fills the whole man, that is not merely half-hearted and hypocritical, is called diff; and uprightness that follows the will of God without any bypaths and forbidden ways is called diff. These two radical virtues (cf. Job i. 1) he desires to have as his guardians on his way which is perilous not only by reason of outward foes, but also on account of his own sinfulness. These custodians are not to let him pass out of their sight, lest he should be taken away from them (cf. xl. 12, Prov. xx. 28). He can claim this for himself, for the cynosure of his hope is God, from whom proceed diff and diff like good angels.

Ver. 22. His experience is not singular, but the enmity of the world and sin bring all who belong to the people of God into straits just as they have him. And the need of the individual will not cease until the need of the whole undergoes a radical remedy. Hence the intercessory prayer of this meagre closing distich, whose connection with what precedes is not in this instance so close as in xxxiv. 23. It looks as though it was only added when Ps. xxv. came to be used in public worship; and the change of the name of God favours this view. Both Psalms close with a p in excess

of the alphabet. Perhaps the first \mathfrak{D} represents the π , and the second the φ ; for xxv. 16, xxxiv. 17 follow words ending in a consonant, and xxv. 22, xxxiv. 23, words ending in a vowel. Or is it a propensity for giving a special representation of the final letters, just as these are sometimes represented, though not always perfectly, at the close of the hymns of the synagogue (pijutim)?

PSALM XXVI.

THE LONGING OF ONE WHO IS PERSECUTED INNOCENTLY, TO GIVE THANKS TO GOD IN HIS HOUSE.

1 VINDICATE my cause, Jahve, for I have walked in mine integrity,

And in Jahve have I trusted without wavering.

- 2 Prove me, Jahve, and try me, Purify my reins and my heart.
- 3 For Thy loving-kindness is before mine eyes, And I walk in Thy truth,
- 4 I have not sat with vain persons, And with dissemblers I have no intercourse.
- 5 I hate the congregation of the wicked, And I sit not with the ungodly.
- 6 I will wash my hands in innocency, And I desire to compass Thine altar, Jahve;
- 7 That I may join in with the voice of thanksgiving. And tell of all Thy wondrous works.
- 8 Jahve, I love the habitation of Thy house, And the place where Thy glory dwelleth.
- 9 Gather not my soul with sinners, Nor my life with men of blood,
- 10 In whose hands is infamy, And whose right hand is full of bribery.
- I, however, do walk in mine integrity --Deliver me and be gracious unto me!

12 My foot is come to stand in a wide plain, In the choirs of the congregation will I praise Jahve.

Ps. xxv. and xxvi. are bound together by similarity of thought and expression. In the former as in this Psalm, we find the writer's testimony to his trust in God (בַּשְׁהָהָה), xxv. 2, xxvi. 1); there as here, the cry coming forth from a distressed condition for deliverance (הְּהַבָּּה, xxv. 22, xxvi. 11). and for some manifestation of mercy (אבי xxvi. 11, xxv. 16); and in the midst of these, other prominent points of contact (xxvi, 11, xxv. 21; xxvi, 3., xxv. 5). These are grounds sufficient for placing these two Psalms close together. But in R. xxvi. there is wanting the self-accusation that goes hand in hand with the self-attestation of piety, that confession of sin which so closely corresponds to the New Testament consciousness (vid. supra p. 72), which is thrice repeated in Ps. xxv. The harshness of the contrast in which the psalmist stands to his enemies, whose character is here more minutely described, does not admit of the introduction of such a lament concerning himself. The description applies well to the Absolomites. They are hypocrites, who, now that they have agreed together in their faithless and bloodly counsel, have thrown off their disguise and are won over by bribery to their new master; for Absolom had stolen the hearts of the men of Israel, 2 Sam. xv. 6. David at that time would not take the Ark with him in his flight, but said: If I shall find favour in the eyes of Jahve, He will bring me back, and grant me to see both it and His habitation, 2 Sam. xv. 25. The love for the house of God, which is expressed herein, is also the very heart of this Psalm.

Vers. 1—3. The poet, as one who is persecuted, prays for the vindication of his rights and for rescue; and bases this petition upon the relation in which he stands to God. this, as in vii. 9, xxxv. 24, cf. xliii. 1. nh (synon. nmm, which, however, does not take any suffix) is, according to Gen. xx. 5 sq., 1 Kings xxii. 34, perfect freedom from all sinful intent, purity of character, pureness, guilelessness (ἀχακία, ἀπλότης). Upon the fact, that he has walked in a

harmless mind, without cherishing or provoking enmity. and trusted unwaveringly (לא אמעד, an adverbial circumstantial clause, cf. xxi. 8) in Jahve, he bases the petition for the proving of his injured right. He does not selfrighteously hold himself to be morally perfect, he appeals only to the fundamental tendency of his inmost nature, which is turned towards God and to Him only. Ver. 2 also is not so much a challenge for God to satisfy Himself of his innocence, as rather a request to prove the state of his mind, and, if it be not as it appears to his consciousness, to make this clear to him (cxxxix. 23 sq). is not used in this passage of proving by trouble, but by a penetrating glance into the inmost nature (xi. 5, xaii. 3). not in the sense of πειράζειν, but of δοχιμάζειν. ης, to melt down, i. e. by the agency of fire, the precious metal, and separate the dross (xii. 7, lxvi. 10). The Chethîb is not to be read צרופה (which would be in contradiction to the request), but ארושה, as it is out of pause also in Isa. xxxii. 11, cf. Judges ix. 8, 12, 1 Sam. xxviii. 8. The reins are the seat of the emotions, the heart is the very centre of the life of the mind and soul.

Ver. 3 tells how confidently and cheerfully he would set himself in the light of God. God's grace or loving-kindness is the mark on which his eye is fixed, the desire of his eye, and he walks in God's truth. The is the divine love, condescending to His creatures, and more especially to sinners (xxv. 7), in unmerited kindness; The is the truth with which God adheres to and carries out the determination of His love and the word of His promise. This loving kindness of God has been always hitherto the model of his life, this truth of God the determining line and the boundary of his walk.

Vers. 4—5. He still further bases his petition upon his comportment towards the men of this world; how he has always observed a certain line of conduct and continues still to keep to it. With ver. 4a compare Jer. xv. 17. מְּרֵטְּהוֹ (Job xi. 11, cf. xxxi. 5, where the parallel word is מִרְטָּהוֹ are "not-real," unreal men, but in a deeper stronger sense than we are accustomed to use this word. אַשָּׁא (בּאַשִּׁי , from אַשִּׁי) is aridity, hollowness, worthlessness, and therefore

badness (של") of disposition; the chaotic void of alienation from God; untruth white-washed over with the lie of dissimulation (xii. 3), and therefore nothingness: it is the very opposite of being filled with the fulness of God and with that which is good, which is the morally real (its synonym is אָא, e. g. Job xxii. 15). באָלָבִיים, the veiled, are those who know how to keep their worthlessness and their mischievous designs secret and to mask them by hypocrisy; post-biblical אַבּרִּעִים, dyed (cf. ἀνοπόκριτος, Luther "ungefärbt", undyed). (אובן אַר עם (אַרוֹם) אַבּי עם (אַרוֹם) אָבּי עם (אַרוֹם) אָבּי עוֹם in with any one, is a short expression for: to go in and out with, i. e. to have intercourse with him, as in Prov. xxii. 24, cf. Gen. xxiii. 10. בּי עוֹם (from אַבְי) is the name for one who plots that which is evil and puts it into execution. On אַבּי see i. 1.

Vers. 6-8. The poet supports his petition by declaring his motive to be his love for the sanctuary of God, from which he is now far removed, without any fault of his own. The coloured future ואַסבבה, distinct from ואַסבבה (vid. on iii. 6 and lxxiii. 16), can only mean, in this passage, et ambiam, and not et ambibam as it does in a different connection (Isa. xliii. 26, cf. Judges vi. 9); it is the emotional continuation (cf. xxvii. 6, Cant. vii. 12, Isa. i. 24, v. 19, and frequently) of the plain and uncoloured expression אַרהץ. He wishes to wash his hands in innocence (of the state that is meant to be attested by the action), and compass (lix.7) the altar of Jahve. That which is elsewhere a symbolic act (Deut. xxi. 6, cf. Mat. xxvii. 24), is in this instance only a rhetorical figure made use of to confess his consciousness of innocence; and it naturally assumes this form (cf. lxxiii. 13) from the idea of the priest washing his hands preparatory to the service of the altar (Exod. xxxii. 20 sq.) being associated with the idea of the altar. And, in general, the expression of vers. 6 sq. takes a priestly form, without exceeding that which the ritual admits of, by virtue of the consciousness of being themselves priests which appertained even to the Israelitish laity (Exod. xix. 16). For and can be used even of half encompassing as it were like a semicircle (Gen. ii. 11, Num. xxi. 4), no matter whether it be in the immediate vicinity of, or at a prescribed distance

from, the central point. לְשֵׁמֵע is a syncopated and defectively written Hiph., for להשתיע, like להשתיל, Isa. xxiii. 11. Instead of לשמע קול חורה, "to cause the voice of thanksgiving to be heard", since השמיע is used absolutely (1 Chron. xv. 19, 2 Chron. v. 13) and the object is conceived of as the instrument of the act (Ges. § 138, 1, rem. 3), it is "in order to strike in with the voice of thanksgiving". In the expression "all Thy wondrous works" is included the latest of these, to which the voice of thanksgiving especially refers, viz. the bringing of him home from the exile he had suffered from Absolom. Longing to be back again he longs most of all for the gorgeous services in the house of his God, which are performed around the altar of the outer court; for he loves the habitation of the house of God, the place, where His doxa, - revealed on earth, and in fact revealed in grace, — has taken up its abode. jup does not mean refuge, shelter (Hupfeld), — for although it may obtain this meaning from the context, it has nothing whatever to do with مار, med. Wan, in the signification to help (whence ma'ûn, ma'ûne, ma'âne, help, assistance, succour or support), - but place, dwelling, habitation, like the Arabic ma'an, which the Kamus explains by menzil, a place to settle down in, and explains etymologically by محلّ العبي, i. e. "a spot on which the eye rests as an object of sight"; for in the Arabic ma'an is traced back to ened. Je, as is seen from the phrase hum minka bi-ma'anin, i. e. they are from thee on a point of sight (= on a spot where thou canst see them from the spot on which thou standest). The signification place, sojourn, abode (Targ. מָדוֹר) is undoubted; the primary meaning of the root is, however, questionable.

Vers. 9—11. It is now, for the first time, that the petition compressed into the one word (ver. 1) is divided out. He prays (as in xxviii. 3), that God may not connect him in one common lot with those whose fellowship of sentiment and conduct he has always shunned. אַנְשֵׁי דְכִּיִם, as in v. 7, cf. ἄνθρωποι αίμάτων, Sir. xxxi. 25. Elsewhere אַנְשֵׁי signifies purpose, and more particularly in a bad sense; but in this passage it means infamy, and not unnatural unchastity, to which בּוֹדִיהָב is inappropriate, but

scum of whatever is vicious in general: they are full of cunning and roguery, and their right hand, which ought to uphold the right — David has the lords of his people in his eye — is filled (מֵלְאָה, not מֵלְאָה) with accursed (Deut. xxvii. 25) bribery to the condemnation of the innocent. He, on the contrary, now, as he always has done, walks in his uprightness, so that now he can with all the more joyful conscience intreat God to interpose judicially in his behalf.

Ver. 12. The epilogue. The prayer is changed into rejoicing which is certain of the answer that shall be given. Hitherto shut in, as it were, in deep trackless gorges, he even now feels himself to be standing בְּמִרְתָּב,* upon a pleasant plain commanding a wide range of vision (cf. בַּמֶּרְתָּב, xxxi. 9), and now blends his grateful praise of God with the song of the worshipping congregation, אָק (LXX. ἐν ἐχχλησίας), and its full-voiced choirs.

PSALM XXVII.

TAKING HEART IN GOD, THE ALL-RECOMPENSING ONE.

- Jahve is my light and my salvation, whom shall I fear?
 Jahve is the defence of my life, of whom shall I be afraid?
- When the wicked come against me,
 to eat up my flesh,
 My oppressors and my enemies to me —
 they have stumbled and fallen.
- 3 Though a host should encamp against me,
 my heart shall not fear,
 Though war should rise up against me,
 in spite of it I will be confident.

^{*} The first labial of the combination 27, 27, when the preceding word ends with a vowel and the two words are closely connected, receives the Dagesh contrary to the general rule; on this orthophonic Dag. lene, vid. Luth. Zeitschr., 1863, S. 414.

VOL. I. 23

4 One thing have I asked of Jahve,
that do I desire:
That I may dwell in the house of Jahve
all the days of my life,
To behold the graciousness of Jahve,
and to meditate in His temple.

5 For He concealeth me in His pavilion in the day of evil,
He hideth me in the shelter of His tabernacle,
Upon a rock doth He raise me up.

6 Thus then shall my head be exalted above mine enemies round about me,
And I will effer in His tabernacle sacrifices of thankful joy.

I will sing and play the harp to Jahve.

- 7 Hear, Jahve, when I cry aloud; be gracious unto me and answer me.
- 8 To Thee saith my heart: Seek ye My face This Thy face, Jahve, will I seek.
- Hide not Thy face from me,
 Put not Thy servant away in anger;
 Thou art my help, cast me not away,
 And forsake me not, O God of my salvation.
- 10 For my father and mother have forsaken me, But Jahve taketh me up.
- 11 Teach me, Jahve, Thy way, And lead me in an even path because of my liers in wait.
- 12 Give me not over into the will of mine oppressors, For false witnesses rise up against me and such as breathe out violence.
- 13 Did I not believe to see Jahve's goodness in the land of the living —!
- 14 Hope in Jahve, Be of good courage, and let thine heart be strong, And hope in Jahve.

The same longing after Zion meets us sounding forth from this as from the preceding Psalm. To remain his whole life long in the vicinity of the house of God, is here his only prayer; and that, rescued from his enemies, he shall there offer sacrifices of thanksgiving, is his confident expecta-The היכל of God, the King, is at present only a אהל which, however, on account of Him who sits enthroned therein, may just as much be called היכל as the היכל which Ezekiel beheld in remembrance of the Mosaic tabernacle, אהל, Ezek. xli. 1. Cut off from the sanctuary, the poet is himself threatened on all sides by the dangers of war; but he is just as courageous in God as in iii. 7, where the battle is already going on: "I do not fear the myriads of people, who are encamped against me." The situation, therefore, resembles that of David during the time of Absolom. this holds good only of the first half, vers. 1-6. second half, ver. 10 is not in favour of its being composed by David. In fact the two halves are very unlike one They form a hysteron-proteron, inasmuch as the fides triumphans of the first part changes into fides supplex in the second, and with the beginning of the δέησις in ver. 7, the style becomes heavy and awkward, the strophic arrangement obscure, and even the boundaries of the lines of the verses uncertain; so that one is tempted to regard vers. 7-14 as the appendage of another writer. The compiler, however, must have had the Psalm before him exactly as we now have it; for the grounds for his placing it to follow Ps. xxvi. are to be found in both portions, cf. ver. 7 with xxvi. 11; ver. 11 with xxvi. 12.

as an unfigurative, side by side with a figurative expression; for the statement that God is light, is not a metaphor. David calls Him his "salvation" in regard to everything that oppresses him, and the "stronghold (מעוד from אַיַע, with an unchangeable a) of his life in regard to everything that exposes him to peril. In Jahve he conquers far and wide; in Him his life is hidden as it were behind a fortress built upon a rock (xxxi. 3). When to the wicked who come upon him in a hostile way (קרב אל differing from קרב אל), he attributes the intention of devouring his flesh, they are conceived of as wild beasts. To eat up any one's flesh signifies, even in Job xix. 22. the same as to pursue any one by evil speaking (in Aramaic by slander, back-biting) to his destruction. In בַּקְרֹב the Shebû of the only faintly closed syllable is raised to a Chateph, as in לשכני, xxxi. 12, לשמול, and the like. The איבי לי of איבי לי as also in xxv. 2 (cf. cxliv. 2), be regarded as giving intensity to the notion of special, personal enmity; but a mere repetition of the subject (the enemy) without the repetition of their hostile purpose would be tame in the parallel member of the verse: לי is a variation of the preceding אַלי, as in Lam. iii. 60 sq. In the apodosis המה כשלו ונפלו, the overthrow of the enemy is regarded beforehand as an accomplished fact. The holy boldness and imperturbable repose are expressed in ver. 3 in the very rhythm. The thesis or downward movement in ver. 3a is spondaic: he does not allow himself to be disturbed; the thesis in ver. 3b is iambic: he can be bold. The rendering of Hitzig (as of Rashi): "in this do I trust, viz. that Jahve is my light, &c.," is erroneous. Such might be the interpretation, if בואה אני מושה closed ver. 2: but it cannot refer back over ver. 2 to ver. 1; and why should the poet have expressed himself thus materially, instead of saying ביהוה? The fact of the case is this, בוטה signifies even by itself "of good courage", e. g. Prov. xi. 15; and מוֹם "in spite of this" (Coccejus: hoc non obstante), Lev. xxvi. 27, cf. Ps. lxxviii. 32, begins the apodosis, at the head of which we expect to find an adversative conjunction.

Vers. 4—5. There is only one thing, that he desires, although he also has besides full satisfaction in Jahve in the midst of strangers and in trouble. The future is used

side by side with the perfect in ver. 4a, in order to express an ardent longing which extends out of the past into the future, and therefore runs through his whole life. The one thing sought is unfolded in שבתי וגוי. A life-long dwelling in the house of Jahve, that is to say intimate spiritual intercourse with the God, who has His dwelling (בית), His palace (היכל) in the holy tent, is the one desire of David's heart, in order that he may behold and feast upon (and of a clinging, lingering, chained gaze, and consequently a more significant form of expression than min with an accusative, lxiii. 3) נעם ה' (xc. 17), the pleasantness (or gracefulness) of Jahve, i. e. His revelation, full of grace, which is there visible to the eye of the spirit. The interpretation which regards amænitas as being equivalent to amænus cultus takes hold of the idea from the wrong side. The assertion that בקר ב is intended as a synonym of החוה ב of a pleased and lingering contemplation (Hupf., Hitz.), is contrary to the meaning of the verb, which signifies "to examine (with 5 to seek or spie about after anything, Lev. xiii. 36), to reflect on, or consider"; even the post-biblical signification to visit, more especially the sick (whence בקור הלים), comes from the primary meaning investigare. An appropriate sense may be obtained in the present instance by regarding it as a denominative from בֹּקר and rendering it as Dunash and Rashi have done, "and to appear early in His temple"; but it is unnecessary to depart from the general usage of the lan-Hengstenberg rightly retains the signification "to meditate on". בהיכלו is a designation of the place consecrated to devotion, and לבקר is meant to refer to contemplative meditation that loses itself in God who is there In ver. 5 David bases the justification of his desire upon that which the sanctuary of God is to him; the futures affirm what Jahve will provide for him in His sanctuary. It is a refuge in which he may hide himself, where Jahve takes good care of him who takes refuge therein from the storms of trouble that rage outside: there he is far removed from all dangers, he is lifted high above them and his feet are upon rocky ground. The Chethîb may be read בְּסַבֶּה, as in xxxi. 21 and with Ewald § 257,d; but, in this passage, with אהל alternates ה, which takes the place

of not in the poetic style (lxxvi. 3, Lam. ii. 6), though it does not do so by itself, but always with a suffix.*

Ver. 6. With וְלְחָהְ the poet predicts inferentially (cf. ii. 10) the fulfilment of what he fervently desires, the guarantee of which lies in his very longing itself. בְּחֵי הְרוּעָה do not mean sacrifices in connection with which the trumpets are blown by the priests; for this was only the case in connection with the sacrifices of the whole congregation (Num. x. 10), not with those of individuals. הַרְּעָה is a synonym of הַרְּעָה xxvi. 7; and בְּחֵי הְרִעָּה is a stronger form of expression for הַבְּחֵי בְּחֵי הְרִבְּה (cvii. 22), i. e. (cf. בְּחֵי צֶּהֶר בָּחָר (i. 21) sacrifices of jubilant thanksgiving: he will offer sacrifices in which his gratitude plays a prominent part, and will sing songs of thanksgiving, accompanied by the playing of stringed instruments, to his Deliverer, who has again and so gloriously verified His promises.

Vers. 7—8. Vows of thanksgiving on the assumption of the answering of the prayer and the fulfilment of the thing supplicated, are very common at the close of Psalms. But in this Psalm the prayer is only just beginning at this stage. The transition is brought about by the preceding conception of the danger that threatens him from the side of his foes who are round about him. The reality, which, in the first part, is overcome and surmounted by his faith, makes itself consciously felt here. It is not to be rendered, as has been done by the Vulgate, Exaudi Domine vocem qua clamavi (rather, clamo) ad te (the introit of the Dominica exspectationis in the interval of preparation between Ascension and Pentecost). You has Dechi, and accordingly in North voce mea (as in iii. 5) clamo, is an adverbial clause equivalent to voce mea clamante me. In ver. 8 75 cannot

possibly be so rendered that is treated as Lamed auctoris (Dathe, Olshausen): Thine, saith my heart, is (the utterance:) seek ye my face. The declaration is opposed to this sense, thus artificially put upon it. מר are undoubtedly to be construed together; and what the heart says to Jahve is not: Seek ye my face, but by reason of this, and as its echo (Calvin: velut Deo succinens): I will therefore seek Thy Just as in Job xlii. 3, a personal inference is drawn from a directly quoted saying of God. In the periodic style it would be necessary to transpose בַּקשׁוּ פַנֵי thus: since Thou hast permitted and exhorted us, or in accordance with Thy persuasive invitation, that we should seek Thy face, I do seek Thy face (Hupfeld). There is no retrospective reference to any particular passage in the Tôra, such as Deut. iv. 29. The prayer is not based upon any single passage of Scripture, but upon God's commands and promises in general.

Vers. 9-10. The requests are now poured forth with all the greater freedom and importunity, that God may be willing to be entreated and invoked. The Hiph. אפה signifies in this passage standing by itself (cf. Job xxiv. 4): to push aside. The clause עזרחי היים does not say: be Thou my help (which is impossible on syntactical grounds), nor is it to be taken relatively: Thou who wast my help (for which there is no ground in what precedes); but on the contrary the præt. gives the ground of the request that follows "Thou art my help (lit. Thou has become, or hast ever been) - cast me, then, not away", and it is, moreover, accented accordingly. Ver. 10, as we have already observed, does not sound as though it came from the lips of David, of whom it is only said during the time of his persecution by Saul, that at that time he was obliged to part from his parents, 1 Sam. xxii. 3 sq. The words certainly might be David's, if ver. 10a would admit of being taken hypothetically, as is done by Ewald, § 362,b: should my father and my mother forsake me, yet Jahve will &c. But the entreaty "forsake me not" is naturally followed by the reason: for my father and my mother have forsaken me; and just as naturally does the consolation: but Jahve will take me up, prepare the way for the entreaties which begin anew in

ver. 11. Whereas, if כי is taken hypothetically, ver. 11 stands disconnectedly in the midst of the surrounding requests. On יאספני cf. Josh. xx. 4.

Vers. 11—12. He is now wandering about like a hunted deer; but God is able to guide him so that he may escape all dangers. And this is what he prays for. As in cxliii. 10, מישור is used in an ethical sense; and differs in this respect from its use in xxvi.. 12. On שׁררים, see the primary passage v. 9, of which this is an echo. Wily spies dodge his every step and would gladly see what they have invented against him and wished for him, realised. Should he enter the way of sin leading to destruction, it would tend to the dishonour of God, just as on the contrary it is a matter of honour with God not to let His servant fall. prays to be led in the way of God, for a oneness of his own will with the divine renders a man inaccessible [toevil]. עיב), ver. 12, is used, as in xvii. 9, and in the similar passage, which is genuinely Davidic, xli. 3, in the signification passion or strong desire; because the soul, in its natural state, is selfishness and inordinate desire. אים is a collateral form of יפוק; they are both adjectives formed from the future of the verb מות (like יריב, ירב): accustomed to breathe out (exhale), i.e. either to express, or to snort, breathe forth (cf. πνείν, or ἐμπνείν φόνον and φόνοῦ, θυμου, and the like, Acts ix. 1). In both Hitzig sees participles of no (Jer. iv. 31); but x. 5 and Hab. ii. 3 lead back to THE (THE); and Hupfeld rightly recognises such nouns formed from futures to be, according to their original source, circumlocutions of the participle after the manner of an elliptical relative clause (the صَفَة of the Arabic syntax), and explains יָפִּיחֵ כְּוָבָים, together with pan non, from the verbal construction which still continues in force.

Vers. 13—14. Self-encouragement to firmer confidence of faith. Joined to ver. 12 (Aben-Ezra, Kimchi), ver. 13 trails badly after it. We must, with Geier, Dachselt, and others, suppose that the apodosis is wanting to the protasis with its خاج pointed with three points above,* and four

^{*} The i has not any point above it, because it might be easily mistaken for a Cholem, vid. Baer's Psalterium p. 130.

below, according to the Masora (cf. B. Berachoth 4a), but a word which is indispensably necessary, and is even attested by the LXX. (ἐαυτῆ) and the Targum (although not by any other of the ancient versions); cf. the protasis with \$\frac{1}{2}\$, which has no apodosis, in Gen. 1. 15, and the apodoses with pafter in Gen. xxxi. 42, xliji. 10, 1 Sam. xxxv. 34, 2 Sam. ii. 27 (also Num. xxii. 33, where לולי = אם לא = אולי), which are likewise to be explained per aposiopesin. The perfect after has sometimes the sense of a plusquamperfectum (as in Gen. xliii. 10, nisi cunctati essemus), and sometimes the sense of an imperfect, as in the present passage (cf. Deut. xxxii. 29, si saperent). The poet does not speak of a faith that he once had, a past faith, but, in regard to the danger that is even now abiding and present, of the faith he now has, a present faith. The apodosis ought to run something like this (cxix. 92, xciv. 17): did I not believe, were not confidence preserved to me . . . then (מי או T should perish; or: then I had suddenly perished. But he has such faith, and he accordingly in ver. 14 encourages himself to go on cheerfully waiting and hoping; he speaks to himself, it is, as it were, the believing half of his soul addressing the despondent and weaker half. Instead of אמין (Deut.xxxi. 7) the expression is, as in xxxi. 25, וַיַּאָמִץ לְבֶּךְ, let thy heart be strong, let it give proof of strength. The rendering "May He (Jahve) strengthen thy heart" would require יאמץ; but , as e.g. האמיץ xxv. 17, belongs to the transitive denominatives applying to the mind or spirit, in which the Hebrew is by no means poor, and in which the Arabic is especially rich.

PSALM XXVIII.

CRY FOR HELP AND THANKSGIVING, IN A TIME OF REBELLION.

- TO Thee, O Jahve, do I cry;
 My Rock, remain not deaf to me,
 Lest, if Thou be silent to me, I be like them that go down to the pit.
- 2 Hear the voice of my supplication, when I cry unto Thee, When I lift up my hands to Thy holy sanctuary.
- 3 Carry me not away with the ungodly and with the workers of iniquity,

Who speak peace with their neighbours, While evil is in their hearts.

4 Give to them according to their work and the wickedness of their deeds;

According to the work of their hands give to them, Requite them what they have done!

- 5 For they regard not the doings of Jahve, Nor the work of His hands — He shall pull them down, not build them up.
- 6 Blessed be Jahve, Because He hath heard my loud supplication!
- 7 Jahve is my defence and my shield, In Him my heart trusted and I was helped — Therefore my heart exulteth, and with my song do I praise Him.
- 8 Jahve is a defence to them, And the saving defence of His anointed one is He.
- O help Thy people
 And bless Thy heritage,
 And feed them, and bear them up for ever!

To Ps. xxvi. and xxvii. a third Psalm is here added, belonging to the time of the persecution by Absolom. In this. Psalm, also, the drawing towards the sanctuary of God cannot be lost sight of; and in addition thereto we have the intercession of the anointed one, when personally imperilled, on behalf of the people who are equally in need of help, an intercession which can only be rightly estimated in connection with the circumstances of that time. Like Ps. xxvii. this, its neighbour, also divides into two parts; these parts, however, though their lines are of a different order, nevertheless bear a similar poetic impress. Both are composed of verses consisting of two and three lines. There are many points of contact between this Psalm and Ps. xxvii.; e.g. in the epithet applied to God, nun; but compare also ver. 3 with xxvi. 9; ver. 2 with xxxi. 23; ver. 9 with xxix. 11. The echoes of this Psalm in Isaiah are very many, and also in Jeremiah.

Vers. 1—5. This first half of the Psalm (vers. 1—5) is supplicatory. The preposition מוֹ in connection with the verbs אררש, to be deaf, dumb, and הייה, to keep silence, is a pregnant form of expression denoting an aversion or turning away which does not deign to give the suppliant an answer. Jahve is his צור, his ground of confidence; but if He continues thus to keep silence, then he who confides in Him will become like those who are going down (xxii. 30), or are gone down (Isa. xiv. 19) to the pit. The participle of the past answers better to the situation of one already on the brink of the abyss. In the double sentence with 19, the chief accent falls upon the second clause, for which the first only paratactically opens up the way (cf. Isa. v. 4, xii. 1); in Latin it would be ne, te mihi non respondente, similis fiam. Olshausen, and Baur with him, believes that because ומשלחי has not the accent on the ultima as being perf. consec., it must be interpreted according to the accentuation thus, "in order that Thou mayst no longer keep silence, whilst I am already become like . ." But this ought to be ואני נמשל, or at least ואני נמשלחי. And if ונמשלחי were to be taken as a real perfect, it would then rather have to be rendered "and I should then be like." But, notwithstanding ונמשלתי is Milel, it is still perf. consecutivum ("and I am become like"); for if, in a sentence of more than one member following upon 15, the fut., as is usually the case (vid. on xxxviii. 17), goes over into the perf., then the latter, in most instances, has the tone of the perf. consec. (Deut. iv. 19, Judges xviii. 25, Prov. v. 9-12, Mal. iii. 24), but not always. The penultimaaccentuation is necessarily retained in connection with the two great pausal accents, Silluk and Athnach, Deut. viii. 12, Prov. xxx. 9; in this passage in connection with Rebia mugrash, just as we may say, in general, the perf. consec. sometimes retains its penultima-accentuation in connection with distinctives instead of being accented on the ultima; e. g. in connection with Rebia mugrash, Prov. xxx. 9; with Rebia, xix. 14 (cf. Prov. xxx. 9 with Ezek. xiv. 17); with Zakeph. 1 Sam. xxix. 8; and even with Tiphcha Obad. ver. 10, Joel iv. 21. The national grammarians are ignorant of any law on this subject.*

^{*} Aben-Ezra (Moznajim 36b) explains the perfect accented on the

The point towards which the psalmist stretches forth his hands in prayer is Jahve's holy דְּבִיך . Such is the word (after the form יְבָיִה, בְּרִיהָ, שׁבִיל,) used only in the Books of Kings and Chronicles, with the exception of this passage, to denote the Holy of Holies, not as being χρηματιστήριον . (Aquila and Symmachus), or λαλητήριον, oraculum (Jerome), as it were, Jahve's audience chamber (Hengstenberg) - a meaning that is not in accordance with the formation of the word, -- but as the hinder part of the tent, from קבר, Arabic dabara, to be behind, whence dubr (Talmudic דובר), that which is behind (opp. kubl, kibal, that which is in the front), cf. Jesurun p. 87 sq. In vers. 3, 4 the prayer is expanded. משה (instead of which we find אָסָה in xxvi. 9), to draw any one down forcibly to destruction, or to drag him to the place of judgment, Ezek. xxxii. 20. cf. x. 9, Job. xxiv. 22. The delineation of the ungodly David borrows from his actual foes. Should he succumb to them, then his fate would be like that which awaits them, to whom he is conscious that he is radically unlike. He therefore prays that God's recompensing justice may anticipate him, i. e. that He may requite them according to their desert, before he succumbs, to whom they have feigned שלום, a good understanding, or being on good terms, whereas they cherished in their heart the רעה that is now unmasked (cf. Jer. ix. 7). נתן, used of an official adjudication, as in Hos. ix. 14, Jer. xxxii. 19. The epanaphora of בּוֹלְהָוֹם is like xxvii. 14.* The phrase שלם), which occurs frequently in the prophets, signifies to recompense or repay to any one his accomplishing, his manifestation, that is to say, what he has done and merited; the thoughts and expression call to mind more particularly Isa. iii. 8-11, i. 16. The right to pray for recompense (vengeance) is grounded, in ver. 5, upon their blindness to God's just and merciful rule as it is to be seen in human history (cf. Isa. v. 12, xxii. 11). The contrast of מנה and הַרֶּכ , to pull down (with a personal object, as in

penull. in Prov. xxx. 9 from the conformity of sound, and Kimchi (Mich-lol 6b) simply records the phenomenon.

^{*} This repetition, at the end, of a significant word that has been used at the beginning of a verse, is a favourite custom of Isaiah's (Comment. S. 387; transl. ii. 134).

Exod. xv. 7), is like Jeremiah's style (ch. xlii. 10, cf. i. 10, xviii. 9, and frequently, Sir. xlix. 7). In ver. 5a, the prominent thought in David's mind is, that they shamefully fail to recognise how gloriously and graciously God has again and again acknowledged him as His anointed one. He has (2 Sam. vii.) received the promise, that God would build him a house, i.e. grant perpetual continuance to his kingship. The Absolomites are in the act of rebellion against this divine appointment. Hence they shall experience the very reverse of the divine promise given to David: Jahve will pull them down and not build them up, He will destroy, at its very commencement, this dynasty set up in opposition to God.

Vers. 6-9. The first half of the Psalm prayed for deliverance and for judgment; this second half gives thanks for both. If the poet wrote the Psalm at one sitting then at this point the certainty of being answered dawns upon him. But it is even possible that he added this second part later on, as a memorial of the answer he experienced to his prayer (Hitzig, Ewald). It sounds, at all events, like the record of something that has actually taken place. Jahve is his defence and shield. The conjoined perfects in ver. 7b. denote that which is closely united in actual realisation; and in the fut. consec., as is frequently the case, e.g. in Job. xiv. 2, the historical signification retreats into the background before the more essential idea of that which has been produced. In משרי, the song is conceived as the spring whence the אורנה bubble forth; and instead of אורנה we have the more impressive form אַרוֹרָשׁ, as in xlv. 18, cxvi. 6, 1 Sam. xvii. 47, the syncope being omitted. From suffering (Leid) springs song (Lied), and from song springs the praise (Lob) of Him, who has "turned" the suffering, just as it is attuned in vers. 6 and 8.*The αὐτοί, who are intended by in ver. 8a, are those of Israel, as in xii. 8, Isa. xxxiii. 2 (Hitzig). The LXX. (κραταίωμα τοῦ λαοῦ αὐτοῦ) reads לעמו, as in xxix. 11, which is approved by Böttcher, Olshausen and Hupfeld; but yields a similar sense. First of all

^{*} There is a play of words and an alliteration in this sentence which we cannot fully reproduce in the English. — TR.

David thinks of the people, then of himself; for his private character retreats behind his official, by virtue of which he is the head of Israel. For this very reason his deliverance is the deliverance of Israel, to whom, so far as they have become unfaithful to His anointed, Jahve has not requited this faithlessness, and to whom, so far as they have remained true to him, He has rewarded this fidelity. Jahve is any to them, inasmuch as He preserves them by His might from the destruction into which they would have precipitated themselves, or into which others would have precipitated them; and He is the מעוד ישועות of His anointed. inasmuch as He surrounds him as an inaccessible place of refuge which secures to him salvation in all its fulness instead of the destruction anticipated. Israel's salvation and blessing were at stake; but Israel is in fact God's people and God's inheritance - may He, then, work salvation for them in every future need and bless them. Apostatised from David, it was a flock in the hands of the hireling may He ever take the place of shepherd to them and carry them in His arms through the destruction. coupled with וְרַעָם (thus it is to be pointed according to Ben-Asher) calls to mind Deut. i. 31, "Jahve carried Israel as a man doth carry his son", and Exod. xix. 4, Deut. xxxii. 11, "as on eagles' wings." The Piel, as in Isa. lxiii. 9, is used of carrying the weak, whom one lifts up and thus removes out of its helplessness and danger. Ps. iii. closes just in the same way with an intercession; and the close of Ps. xxix. is similar, but promissory, and consequently it is placed next to Ps. xxviii.

PSALM XXIX.

THE PSALM OF THE SEVEN THUNDERS.

- 1 GIVE unto Jahve, ye sons of God, Give unto Jahve glory and might!
- 2 Give unto Jahve the glory of His name, Do homage to Jahve in holy attire!
- 3 The voice of Jahve is upon the waters, The God of Glory thundereth,

- Jahve is upon the great waters.
- 4 The voice of Jahve goeth forth in power, The voice of Jahve goeth forth in majesty.
- 5 The voice of Jahve breaketh the cedars, Yea, Jahve breaketh the cedars of Lebanon.
- 6 And He maketh them to skip like a calf, Lebanon and Sirion like a young antelope.
- 7 The voice of Jahve flameth forth quivering fire.
- 8 The voice of Jahve shaketh the wilderness, Jahve shaketh the wilderness of Kadesh.
- 9 The voice of Jahve maketh the hinds to travail, He strippeth the forest — And in His temple everything saith: "Glory!"
- 10 Jahve hath sat at the Flood, And Jahve sitteth a King for ever.
- 11 Jahve will give power to His people, Jahve will bless His people with peace.

The occasion of this Psalm is a thunderstorm; it is not, however, limited to the outward natural phenomena, but therein is perceived the self-attestation of the God of the redemptive history. Just as in the second part of Ps. xix. the God of the revelation of salvation is called אונה seven times in distinction from the God revealed in nature, so in this Psalm of thunders, און is repeated seven times, so that it may be called the Psalm of the έπτα βρονταί (Apoc. x. 3 sq.). During the time of the second Temple, as the addition to the inscription by the LXX. ἐξοδίου (ἐξόδου) σχηνῆς (= σχηνόπηγίας) seems to imply *, it was sung on the Shemini Azereth, the last day (ἐξόδιον, Lev. xxiii. 36) of the feast of tabernacles. Between two tetrastichs, in each of which the name

^{*} The TW of the Temple liturgy of the Shemini Azereth is not stated in the Talmud (vid. Tosefoth to B. Succa 47a, where, according to Sofrim xix. § 2 and a statement of the Jerusalem Talmud, Ps. vi., or xii, is guessed at). We only know, that Ps. xxix. belongs to the Psalmportions for the intervening days of the feast of tabernacles, which are

יהוה occurs four times, lie three pentastichs, which, in their sevenfold קל הי, represent the peals of thunder which follow in rapid succession as the storm increases in its fury.

Vers. 1-2. The opening strophe calls upon the celestial spirits to praise Jahve; for a revelation of divine glory is in preparation, which, in its first movements, they are accounted worthy to behold, for the roots of everything that takes place in this world are in the invisible world. It is not the mighty of the earth, who are called in lxxxii. 6 בני אל הים but the angels, who are elsewhere called בני אל הים (e.g. Job ii. 1), that are here, as in lxxxix. 7, called בני אלים. Since אלים never means God, like אלהים (so that it could be rendered sons of the deity), but gods, Exod. xv. 11, Dan. ix. 36, the expression בני אלים must be translated as a double plural from בן־אל, after the analogy of בואל, Isa. xlii. 22, from בות כלא (Ges. § 108, 3), "sons of God", not "sons of gods." They, the God-begotten, i.e. created in the image of God, who form with God their Father as it were one family (vid. Genesis S. 121), are here called upon to give unto God glory and might (the primary passage is Deut. xxxii. 3), i.e. to render back to Him cheerfully and joyously in a laudatory recognition, as it were by an echo, His glory and might, which are revealed and to be revealed in the created world, and to give unto Him the glory of His name, i.e. to praise His glorious name (lxxii. 19) according its deserts. in all three instances has the accent on the ultima according to rule (cf., on the other hand, Job vi. 22). is holy vestments, splendid festal attire, 2 Chron.

comprehended in the vox memorialis הומ"בהי (Succa 55a, cf. Rashi on Joma 3a), viz. Ps. xxix. (ה); l. 16 (1); xciv. 16 (D); xciv. 8 (ב); lxxxi. 7 (ה); lxxxii. 5b (1). Besides this the treatise Sofrim xviii. § 3 mentions Ps. xxix. as the Psalm for the festival of Pentecost and the tradition of the synagogue which prevails even at the present day recognises it only as a festival Psalm of the first day of Shabuoth [Pentecost]; the Psalm for Shemini Azereth is the 65th. The only confirmation of the statement of the LXX. is to be found in the Sohar; for there (section 2) Ps. xxix. is referred to the pouring forth of the water on the seventh day of the feast of tabernacles (Hosianna rabba), since it is said, that by means of the seven of the Sephiroth open the flood-gates of heaven.

xx. 21, cf. Ps. cx. 3.* A revelation of the power of God is near at hand. The heavenly spirits are to prepare themselves for it with all the outward display of which they are capable. If ver. 2 were a summons to the church on earth, or, as in xcvi. 9, to the dwellers upon the earth, then there ought to be some expression to indicate the change in the parties addressed; it is, therefore, in ver. 2 as in ver. 1, directed to the priests of the heavenly הכל. In the Apocalypse, also, the songs of praise and trumpeting of the angels precede the judgments of God.

Vers. 3-9. Now follows the description of the revelation of God's power, which is the ground of the summons, and is to be the subject-matter of their praise. The Allglorious One makes Himself heard in the language (Apoc. x. 3 sq.) of the thunder, and reveals Himself in the storm. There are fifteen lines, which naturally arrange themselves into three five-line strophes. The chief matter with the poet, however, is the sevenfold קל הי. Although קל is sometimes used almost as an ejaculatory "Hark!" (Gen. iv. 10, Isa. lii. 8), this must not, with Ewald (§ 286, f), be applied to the is of the Psalm before us, the theme of which is the voice of God, who announces Himself from heaven, a voice which moves the world. The dull sounding by serves not merely to denote the thunder of the storm, but even the thunder of the earthquake, the roar of the tempest, and in general, every low, dull, rumbling sound, by which God makes Himself audible to the world, and more especially from the wrathful side of His doxa. The waters in ver. 3 are not the lower waters. Then the question arises what are they? Were the waters of the Mediterranean intended, they would be more definitely denoted in such a vivid description. It is, however, far more appropriate to the commencement of this description to understand them to mean the mass of water gathered together in the thick, black storm-clouds (vid. xviii. 12, Jer. x. 13). The rumb-

^{*} The reading proposed in B. Berachoth 30b ກວຸກຸລຸ (with holy trembling) has never been a various reading; nor has ກົວຊຸກຸລຸ after which the LXX. renders it ຂ້າ ແບ້ນີ້, ລ້າໄຊ ແບ້ວບັ.

ling* of Jahve is, as the poet himself explains in ver. 3b, the thunder produced on high by the מלך הכבור (cf. מלך הכבור, xxiv. 7 sqq.), which rolls over the sea of waters floating above the earth in the sky. Ver. 4a and 4b, just like ver. 3a and 3b, are independent substantival clauses. The rumbling of Jahve is, issues forth, or passes by; 2 with the abstract article as in lxxvii. 14, Prov. xxiv. 5 (cf. Prov. viii. 8, Luke iv. 32, ἐν ἰσχόϊ Apoc. xviii. 2), is the 2 of the distinctive attribute. In ver. 3 the first peals of thunder are heard; in ver. 4 the storm is coming nearer, and the peals become stronger, and now it bursts forth with its full violence; ver. 5a describes this in a general form, and ver. 5b expresses by the fut. consec., as it were inferentially, that which is at present taking place: amidst - the rolling of the thunder the descending lightning flashes rive the cedars of Lebanon (as is well-known, the lightning takes the outermost points). The suffix in ver. 6a does not refer proleptically to the mountains mentioned afterwards, but naturally to the cedars (Hengst., Hupf., Hitz.), which bend down before the storm and quickly rise up again. The skipping of Lebanon and Sirion, however, is not to be referred to the fact, that their wooded summits bend down and rise again, but, according to exiv. 4, to their being shaken by the crash of the thunder, - a feature in the picture which certainly does not rest upon what is actually true in nature, but figuratively describes the apparent quaking of the earth during a heavy thunderstorm. שרון, according to Deut. iii. 9, is the Sidonian name of Hermon, and therefore side by side with Lebanon it represents Anti-Lebanon. The word, according to the Masora, has w sinistrum, and consequently is שֵׁרָשִי, wherefere Hitzig correctly derives it from أشرا, fut. i., to gleam, sparkle, cf. the passage from an Arab poet at exxxiii. 3. The lightning makes these mountains bound (Luther, lecken, i.e. according to his

^{*} The simple rendering of '7' by "voice" has been retained in the text of the Psalm, as in the Authorised Version. The word, however, which Dr. Delitzsch uses is *Gedröhn*, the best English equivalent of which is a "rumbling." — TR.

explanation: to spring, skip) like young antelopes. ΣΥ, like βούβαλος, βούβαλις, is a generic name of the antelope, and of the buffalo that roams in herds through the forests beyond the Jordan even at the present day; for there are antelopes that resemble the buffalo and also (except in the formation of the head and the cloven hoofs) those that resemble the horse. The LXX. renders: ὡς νίὸς μονοκερώτων. Does this mean the unicorn [Germ. one-horn] depicted on Persian and African monuments? Is this unicorn distinct from the one horned antelope? Neither an unicorn nor an one horned antelope have been seen to the present day by any traveller. Both animals, and consequently also their relation to one another, are up to the present time still undefinable from a scientific point of view.**

Each peal of thunder is immediately followed by a flash of lightning; Jahve's thunder cleaveth flames of fire, i. e. forms (as it were λατομεῖ) the fire-matter of the storm-clouds into cloven flames of fire, into lightnings that pass swiftly along; in connection with which it must be remembered that 'הֹל הֹן denotes not merely the thunder as a phenomenon, but at the same time it denotes the omnipotence of God expressing itself therein. The brevity and threefold division of ver. 7 depicts the incessant, zigzag, quivering movement

^{*} On vid. Seetzen's Reisen iii. 339 and also iv. 496.

^{**} By DN Ludolf in opposition to Bochart understands the rhinoceros; but this animal, belonging to the swine tribe, is certainly not meant, or even merely associated with it. Moreover, the rhinoceros [Germ. nose-horn] is called in Egypt charnin (from قرن = خرن), but the unicorn, charnit. "In the year 1862 the French archæologist, M. Waddington, was with me in Damascus when an antiquary brought me an ancient vessel on which a number of animals were engraved, their names being written on their bellies. Among the well known animals there was also an unicorn, exactly like a zebra or a horse, but with a long horn standing out upon its forehead; on its body was the word خنيت. M. Waddington wished to have the vessel and I gave it up to him; and he took it with him to Paris. We talked a good deal about this unicorn, and felt obliged to come to the conclusion that the form of the fabulous animal might have become known to the Arabs at the time of the crusades, when the English coat of arms came to Syria." - Wetzstein.

of the lightning (tela trisulca, ignes trisulci, in Ovid). From the northern mountains the storm sweeps on towards the south of Palestine into the Arabian desert, viz. as we are told in ver. 8b (cf. ver. 5, according to the schema of "parallelism by reservation"), the wilderness region of Kadesh (Kadesh Barnea), which, however we may define its position, must certainly have lain near the steep western slope of the mountains of Edom toward the Arabah. Jahve's thunder. viz. the thunderstorm, puts this desert in a state of whirl, inasmuch as it drives the sand (קול) before it in whirlwinds; and among the mountains it, viz. the strong lightning and thundering, makes the hinds to writhe, inasmuch as from fright they bring forth prematurely. Both the Hiph. יהיל and the Pil. יהולל are used with a causative meaning (root וח. זה. to move in a circle, to encircle). The poet continues with ויהשוף, since he makes one effect of the storm to develope from another, merging as it were out of its chrysalis state. יערות is a poetical plural form; and הערות describes the effect of the storm which "shells" the woods, inasmuch as it beats down the branches of the trees, both the tops and the foliage. While Jahve thus reveals Himself from heaven upon the earth in all His irresistible power, בהיכלן, in His heavenly palace (xi. 4, xviii. 7), כלן (note how בהיכלו resolves this 120 out of itself), i. e. each of the beings therein, says: כבוד. That which the poet, in vers. 1-2, has called upon them to do, now takes place. Jahve receives back His glory, which is immanent in the universe, in the thousandvoiced echo of adoration.

Vers. 10—11. Luther renders it: "The Lord sitteth to prepare a Flood", thus putting meaning into the unintelligible rendering of the Vulgate and LXX.; and in fact a meaning that accords with the language — for לאני is most certainly intended to be understood after the analogy of משלי , exxii. 5, cf. ix. 8— just as much as with the context; for the poet has not thus far expressly referred to the torrents of rain, in which the storm empties itself. Engelhardt also (Lutherische Zeitschrift, 1861, 216 f.), Kurtz (Bibel und Astronomie, S. 568, Aufl. 4), Riehm (Liter.-Blatt of the Allgem. Kirchen-Zeit., 1864, S. 110), and others understand by לשבול the quasi-flood of the torrent of rain accompanying

the lightning and thunder. But the word is not למבול, but , and המבול (Syr. momûl) occurs exclusively in Gen. vi. - xi. as the name of the great Flood. Every tempest, however, calls to mind this judgment and its merciful issue, for it comes before us in sacred history as the first appearance of rain with lightning and thunder, and of the bow in the clouds speaking its message of peace (Genesis, S. 276). The retrospective reference to this event is also still further confirmed by the agrist אושב which follows the perfect בשֵׁי (Hofmann, Schriftbeweis i. 208). Jahve — says the poet — sat (upon His throne) at the Flood (to execute it), and sits (enthroned) in consequence thereof, or since that time, as this present revelation of Him in the tempest shews, as King for ever, inasmuch as He rules down here upon earth from His throne in the heavens (cxv. 16) in wrath and in mercy, judging and dispensing blessing. earth He has a people, whom from above He endows with a share of His own might and blesses with peace, while the tempests of His wrath burst over their foes. How expressive is בישלום as the closing word of this particular Psalm! It spans the Psalm like a rain-bow. The opening of the Psalm shews us the heavens opened and the throne of God in the midst of the angelic songs of praise, and the close of the Psalm shews us, on earth, His people victorious and blessed with peace (2 as in Gen. xxiv. 1*), in the midst of Jahve's voice of anger, which shakes all things. Gloria in excelsis is its beginning, and pax in terris its conclusion.

PSALM XXX.

SONG OF THANKSGIVING AFTER RECOVERY FROM DANGEROUS SICKNESS.

- 2 I WILL extol Thee, Jahve, that Thou hast raised me up, And hast not made mine enemies to rejoice over me.
- 3 Jahve, my God, I cried to Thee, then Thou didst heal me;

^{*} The Holy One, blessed be He — says the Mishna, Uksin iii. 12, with reference to this passage in the Psalms — has not found any other vessel (כלי) to hold the blessing specially allotted to Israel but peace.

- 4 Jahve, Thou hast brought up my soul from Hades,
 Thou hast revived me, that I should not go down to
 the grave.
- 5 Sing unto Jahve, ye saints of His, And give thanks to His holy name.
- 6 For His anger endureth but for a moment, His favour for a life long;

At eventide weeping cometh in for the night — And in the morning cometh a shout of joy.

- 7 I, however, thought in my security:
 "I shall not totter for ever."
- 8 Jahve, by Thy favour hadst Thou made my mountain to stand strong;
 Thou hast hidden Thy face, I became troubled.
- 9 To Thee, Jahve, did I cry, And to Jahve, made I supplication:
- 10 "What profit is there in my blood, in my going down to the grave?

"Shall the dust praise Thee? shall it declare Thy truth?

- 11 "Hear, Jahve, and be gracious unto me! "Jahve, be Thou my helper!"
- 12 Thou hast turned for me my mourning into dancing,
 Thou hast put off my sackcloth and didst gird me
 with joy;
- 13 To the end that my glory might sing of Thee, and not be silent —

Jahve, my God, for ever will I praise Thee.

The summons to praise God which is addressed to the angels above in Ps. xxix., is directed in Ps. xxx. to the pious here below. There is nothing against the adoption of the לרווי. Hitzig again in this instance finds all kinds of indications of Jeremiah's hand; but the parallels in Jeremiah are echoes of the Psalms, and דְּלִיתְנֵי in ver. 2 does not need to be explained of a lowering into a tank or dungeon, it is a metaphorical expression for raising up out of the depths

of affliction. Even Hezekiah's song of thanksgiving in Isa. xxxviii. has grown out of the two closing strophes of this Psalm under the influence of an intimate acquaintance with the Book of Job. We are therefore warranted in supposing that it is David, who here, having in the midst of the stability of his power come to the verge of the grave, and now being roused from all carnal security, as one who has been rescued, praises the Lord, whom he has made his refuge, and calls upon all the pious to join with him in his song. The Psalm bears the inscription: A Song-Psalm at the Dedication of the House, by David. This has been referred to the dedication of the site of the future Temple, 2 Sam. xxiv., 1 Chron. xxi.; but although the place of the future Temple together with the altar then erected on it, can be called בית יהוה (1 Chron. xxii. 1), and might also at any rate be called absolutely הבית (as הר הבית, the Temple hill); yet we know that David did not himself suffer (2 Sam. xxiv. 17) from the pestilence, which followed as a punishment upon the numbering of the people which he instituted in his arrogant self-magnification. The Psalm, however, also does not contain anything that should point to a dedication of a sanctuary, whether Mount Moriah, or the tabernacle, 2 Sam. vi. 17. It might more naturally be referred to the re-consecration of the palace, that was defiled by Absolom, after David's return; but the Psalm mentions some imminent peril, the gracious averting of which does not consist in the turning away of bloodthirsty foes, but in recovery from some sickness that might have proved fatal. Thus then it must be the dedication of the citadel on Zion, the building of which was just completed. From 2 Sam. v. 12 we see that David regarded this building as a pledge of the stability and exaltation of his kingdom; and all that is needed in order to understand the Psalm is, with Aben-Ezra, Flaminius, Crusius, and Vaihinger, to infer from the Psalm itself, that David had been delayed by some severe illness from taking possession of the new building. The situation of Ps. xvi. is just like it. The regular official title אשר על-רבית (majordomo) shews, that num, used thus absolutely, may denote the palace just as well as the Temple. The LXX. which renders it τοῦ ἐγκαινισμοῦ τοῦ οἴκου (τοῦ) Δαυίδ, understands the palace, not the Temple. In the Jewish ritual, Ps. xxx. is certainly, as is even stated in the Tractate Sofrim xviii. § 2, the Psalm for the feast of Chanucca, or Dedication, which refers to 1 Macc. iv. 52 sqq.

Vers. 2-4. The Psalm begins like a hymn. The Piel קלה (from דַלַה, Arab. לוס, to hold anything long, loose and pendulous, whether upwards or downwards, conj. V. تدنيً, to dangle) signifies to lift or draw up, like a bucket (יְּלִי), Greek arthiov, Latin tollo, tolleno in Festus). The poet himself says what that depth is into which he had sunk and out of which God had drawn him up without his enèmies rejoicing over him (לי) as in xxv, 2), i. e. without allowing them the wished for joy at his destruction: he was brought down almost into Hades in consequence of some fatal sickness. היה (never: to call into being out of nothing) always means to restore to life that which has apparently or really succumbed to death, or to preserve anything living in life. With this is easily and satisfactorily joined the Kerî מִירָדִי כוֹר (without Makkeph in the correct text), ita ut non descenderem; the infinitive of in this instance following the analogy of the strong verb is ירד, like יהד, and with suffix jordi (like josdi, Job xxxviii. 4) or jāredi, for here it is to be read thus, and not jordi (vid. on xvi. 1, lxxxvi. 2).* The Chethib מיורדי might also be the infinitive, written with Cholem plenum, as an infinitive Gen. xxxii. 20, and an imperative Num. xxiii. 8, is each pointed with Cholem instead of Kametz chatuph; but it is probably intended to be read as a participle, מיורדי: Thou hast revived me from those who sink away into the grave (xxviii. 1), or out of the state of such (cf. xxii. 22b) — a perfectly admissible and pregnant construction.

Vers. 5—6 call upon all the pious to praise this God, who after a short season of anger is at once and henceforth gracious. Instead of Dr of Jahve, we find the expression

^{*} The Masora does not place the word under אלין חיכוחא יחירין ו"יו וחספין קסצין (Introduction 28b), as one would expect to find it if it were to be read mijordi, and proceeds on the assumption that mijardi is infinitive like אָרָיָיָסָ (read 'amādcha) Obad. ver. 11, not participle (Ewald, S. 533).

in this instance, as in xcvii. 12 after Exod. iii. 15. Jahve, by revealing Himself, renders Himself capable of being both named and remembered, and that in the most illustrious The history of redemption is, as it were, an unfolding of the Name of Jahve and at the same time a setting up of a monument, an establishment of a memorial, and in fact the erection of a ובר קרש; because all God's self-attestations, whether in love or in wrath, flow from the sea of light When He manifests Himself to His own of His holiness. love prevails; and wrath is, in relation to them, only a vanishing moment: a moment passes in His anger, a (whole) life in His favour, i. e. the former endures only for a moment, the latter the whole life of a man. "Alles Ding währt seine Zeit. Gottes Lieb' in Ewigkeit." All things last their season, God's love to all eternity. The preposition a does not here, as in the beautiful parallel Isa. liv. 7 sq., cf. lx. 10, denote the time and mode of that which takes place, but the state in which one spends the time. Ver. 6bc portrays the rapidity with which love takes back wrath (cf. Isa. xvii. 14): in the evening weeping takes up its abode with us for the night, but in the morning another guest, viz. קנה, appears, like a rescuing angel, before whom בכי disappears. The predicate ילין does not belong to ver. 6c as well (Hupfeld, The substantival clause: and in the morning joy = joy is present, depicts the unexpectedness and surprise of the help of Him who sends בכי and הנה

Vers. 7—8. David now relates his experience in detail, beginning with the cause of the chastisement, which he has just undergone. In אַמָּי אָמָרְאָּי (as in xxxi. 23, Psa. xlix. 4) he contrasts his former self-confidence, in which (like the www, x. 6) he thought himself to be immoveable, with the God-ward trust he has now gained in the school of affliction. Instead of confiding in the Giver, he trusted in the gift, as though it had been his own work. It is uncertain, — but it is all the same in the end, — whether אַשָּׁי is the inflected infinitive אַשִּׁי of the verb אַשִּׁי (which we adopt in our translation), or the inflected noun אַשִּׁי (אַשִּׁי בְּשִׁלִּי), after the form אַשִּׁי a swimming, Ezek. xlvii. 5, — אַשִּׁי, Jer. xxii. 21. The inevitable consequence of such carnal security, as it is more minutely described in Deut. viii. 11—18, is some

humbling divine chastisement. This intimate connection is expressed by the perfects in ver. 8, which represent God's pardon, God's withdrawal of favour, which is brought about by his self-exaltation, and the surprise of his being undeceived, as synchronous. העמיד עו , to set up might is equivalent to: to give it as a lasting possession; cf. 2 Chron. xxxiii.8, which passage is a varied, but not (as Riehm supposes) a corrupted, repetition of 2 Kings xxi. 8. It is, therefore, unnecessary, as Hitzig does, to take 5 as accusatival and by as adverbial; in Thy favour hadst Thou made my mountain to stand firm. The mountain is Zion, which is strong by natural position and by the additions of art (2 Sam.v. 9); and this, as being the castle-hill, is the emblem of the kingdom of David: Jahve had strongly established his kingdom for David, when on account of his trust in himself He made him to feel how all that he was he was only by Him, and without Him he was nothing whatever. The form of the inflexion הררי, instead of הרי *harri*, is defended by Gen. xiv. 6 and Jer. xvii. 3 (where it is הררי as if from הרר). The reading להדרי (LXX., Syr.), i. e. to my kingly dignity is a happy substitution; whereas the reading of the Targum להררי, "placed (me) on firm mountains", at once refutes itself by the necessity for supplying "me."

Vers. 9-11. Nevertheless he who is thus chastened prayed fervently. The futures in ver. 9, standing as they do in the full flow of the narration, have the force of imperfects, of "the present in the past" as the Arabian grammarians call it. From the question "What profit is there (the usual expression for τί ὄφελος, quid lucri) in my blood?", it is not to be inferred that David was in danger of death by the hand of a foe; for ותרפאני in ver. 3 teaches us very different, "what profit would there be in my blood?" is therefore equivalent to (cf. Job xvi. 18) what advantage would there be in Thy slaving me before my time? On the contrary God would rob Himself of the praise, which the living one would render to Him, and would so gladly render. His request that his life may be prolonged was not, therefore, for the sake of worldly possessions and enjoyment, but for the glory of God. He feared death as being the end of the praise of God. For beyond the grave there will be no more psalms

sung, vi. 6. In the Old Testament, Hades was as yet unvanquished, Heaven was not yet opened. In Heaven are the בני אלים, but as yet no blessed.

Vers. 12—13. In order to express the immediate sequence of the fulfilling of the prayer upon the prayer itself, the otherwise $(e.g. \times x \times ii. 5)$ usual γ of conjunction is omitted; on אהככת ונו cf. the echoes in Jer. xxxi. 13, Lam. v. 15. According to our interpretation of the relation of the Psalm to the events of the time, there is as little reason for thinking of 2 Sam. vi. 14 in connection with מהול, as of 1 Chron. xxi. 16 in connection with yw. In place of the garment of penitence and mourning (cf. מְהֵוֹרָת שִׂק, Isa. iii. 24) slung round the body (perhaps fastened only with a cord) came a girding up (הגרה, אזר lxv. 13, whence הגר, אזר) with joy. The designed result of such a speedy and radical change in his affliction, after it had had the salutary effect of humbling him, was the praise of Jahve: in order that my glory (כבודי for נפש = פבון , as in vii. 6, xvi. 9, cviii. 2) may sing Thy praises without ceasing (שֹלה fut. Kal). And the praise of Jahve for ever is moreover his resolve, just as he vows, and at the same time carries it out, in this Psalm.

PSALM XXXI.

SURRENDER OF ONE SORELY PERSECUTED INTO THE HAND OF GOD.

- 2 IN Thee, Jahve, have I hidden Let me not be ashamed for ever; In Thy righteousness set me free.
- 3 Bow down Thine ear to me, deliver me speedily; Be Thou to me a rock of refuge, A house of fortresses, to save me.
- 4 For my rock and my fortress art Thou, And for Thy Name's sake wilt Thou lead me and guide me.
- 5 Thou wilt pull me out of the net they have laid privily for me,
 - For Thou art my defence.
- 6 Into Thy hand do I commend my spirit, Thou redeemest me, Jahve, God of truth!

- 7 Hateful to me are the worshippers of vain idols, Whereas I cleave to Jahve.
- 8 I will exult and rejoice in Thy mercy,
 That Thou hast regarded my poverty,
 That Thou hast taken knowledge of the distresses of
 my soul.
- 9 And hast not shut me up in the hand of the enemy, Thou hast set my feet in a broad place.
- 10 Be gracious unto me, Jahve, for I am straitened: Consumed with grief is mine eye, and my soul, and my body.
- 11 For spent is my life with sorrow,
 And my years with sighing;
 My strength has failed by reason of mine iniquity,
 And my bones are consumed.
- 12 Because of all mine adversaries I am become a reproach, And a burden to my neighbours, and a terror to my friends;

Those who see me in the streets flee from me.

- 13 I am forgotten as a dead man out of mind; I am become like a broken vessel.
- 14 For I hear the slander of many,
 Fear on every side;
 While they take counsel together against me—
 They devise to take away my life.
- 15 But I in Thee do I trust, Jahve, I say: Thou art my God.
- 16 In Thy hand are my times,
 Deliver me out of the hand of mine enemies, and from
 my persecutors!
- 17 Make Thy face to shine upon Thy servant, Save me in Thy mercy.
- 18 Jahve, I shall not be ashamed, for on Thee do I call; The wicked shall be ashamed, they shall be silent in Hades.
- 19 Lying lips shall be put to silence, Which speak insolently of the righteous, With pride and contempt.

20 How great is Thy goodness, which Thou hast reserved for them that fear Thee,

Which Thou dost effect for them that hide in Thee in the presence of the children of men.

21 Thou protectest them in the hiding-place of Thy presence from the factions of man;

Thou keepest them in a pavilion from the strife of tongues.

22 Blessed be Jahve,

That He hath shewed me marvellous lovingkindness in a strong city,

23 Whilst I said in my feeble faith:

"I am cut off from the vision of Thine eyes." --

Nevertheless Thou heardest the cry of my supplication when I cried to Thee.

24 O love Jahve, all ye His saints;

The faithful doth Jahve preserve,

And plentifully rewardeth the proud doer.

25 Be strong and let your heart take courage, All ye that wait on Jahve!

In Ps. xxxi. the poet also, in וֵאֵנֵי אָמֶרְהָּוֹ (ver. 23), looks back upon a previous state of mind, viz. that of conflict, just as in xxx. 7 upon that of security. And here, also, he makes all the המדים partakers with him of the healthful fruit of his deliverance (cf. xxxi. 24 with xxx. 5). But in other respects the situation of the two Psalms is very different. They are both Davidic. Hitzig, however, regards them both as composed by Jeremiah. With reference to Ps. xxxi., which Ewald also ascribes to "Jéremjá", this view is well worthy of notice. Not only do we find ver. 14a recurring in Jeremiah, ch. xx. 10, but the whole Psalm, in its language (cf., e. g., ver. 10 with Lam. i. 20; ver. 11 with Jer. xx. 18; ver. 18 with Jer. xvii. 18; ver. 23 with Lam. iii. 54) and its plaintive tenderness, reminds one of Jeremiah. But this relationship does not decide the question. The passage Jer. xx. 10, like many other passages of this prophet, whose language is so strongly imbued with that of the Psalter, may be just as much a reminiscence as Jon. ii. 5, 9; and as regards its plaintive tenderness there are no two

characters more closely allied naturally and in spirit than David and Jeremiah; both are servants of Jahve, whose noble, tender spirits were capable of strong feeling, who cherished earnest longings, and abounded in tribulations, We abide, though not without some degree of hesitation, by the testimony of the inscription; and regard the Psalm as a song springing from the outward and inward conflict (LXX. έκοτάσεως, probably by a combination of ver. 23, έν έκστάσει, שור, with Sam. xxiii. 26) of the time of Saul. While ver. 12c is not suited to the mouth of the captive Jeremiah (Hitzig), the Psalm has much that is common not only to Ps. lxix. (more especially lxix. 9, 33), a Psalm that sounds much like Jeremiah's, but also to others, which we regard as Davidic; viz. the figures corresponding to the life of warfare which David then lived among the rocks and caves of the wilderness; the cheering call, xxxi. 25, cf. xxii. 27, xxvii. 14; the rare use of the Hiph. איגא הפליא xxxi. 22, xvii. 7; the desire to be hidden by God, xxxi. 21, cf. xvii. 8, lxiv. 3; etc. In common with Ps. xxii. this may be noted, that the crucified Christ takes His last word from this Psalm, just as He takes His last utterance but three from that Psalm. But in xxxi. 10-14, the prefigurement of the Passion is confined within the limits of the type and does not undergo the same prophetical enhancement as it does in that unique Ps. xxii., to which only Ps. lxix. is in any degree comparable. The opening, vers. 2-4, is repeated in the centonic Ps. lxxi., the work of a later anonymous poet, just as ver. 23 is in part repeated in cxvi. 11. The arrangement of the strophes is not very clear.

Vers 2—9. The poet begins with the prayer for deliverance, based upon the trust which Jahve, to whom he surrenders himself, cannot possibly disappoint; and rejoices beforehand in the protection which he assumes will, without any doubt, be granted. Out of his confident security in God (הְּכֵּיתָה) springs the prayer: may it never come to this with me, that I am put to confusion by the disappointment of my hope. This prayer in the form of intense desire is followed by prayers in the direct form of supplication. The supplicatory בֹּלְשֵׁבֵי is based upon God's righteousness, which cannot

refrain from repaying conduct consistent with the order of redemption, though after prolonged trial, with the longed for tokens of deliverance. In the second paragraph, the prayer is moulded in accordance with the circumstances of him who is chased by Saul hither and thither among the mountains and in the desert, homeless and defenceless. In the expression מעוד, צור מעון is genit. appositionis: a rock of defence (nun from nu, as in xxvii. 1), or rather: of refuge משול = מעוו), from משול = שוו , as in xxxvii. 39, lii. 9, and probably also in Isa. xxx. 2 and elsewhere);* a rockcastle, i. e. a castle upon a rock, would be called מעון צור, reversing the order of the words. צור in lxxi. 3, a rock of habitation, i. e. of safe sojourn, fully warrants this interpretation. מצורה, prop. specula, signifies a mountain height or the summit of a mountain; a house on the mountain height is one that is situated on some high mountain

^{*} It can hardly be doubted, that, in opposition to the pointing as we have it, which only recognises one Typ (1yp) from Ty, to be strong, there are two different substantives having this principal form, viz. a fortress. secure place, bulwark, which according to its derivation is inflected אָצָיִי , etc., and אַטְטָ equivalent to the Arabic ma'âdh, a hiding-place, defence, refuge, which ought to have been declined אָעוֹיִי or קעווי like the synonymous קעוסי (Olshausen § 201, 202). Moreover ווע, בול , like חסח, of which it is the parallel word in Isa. xxx. 2, means to hide one's self anywhere (Piel and Hiph., Hebrew אָלי, according to the Kamus, Zamachshari and Neshwan: to hide any one, e. g. Koran iii. 31); hence عائنة, a plant that grows among bushes (bên esh-shôk according to the Kamus) or in the crevices of the rocks (fi-l-hazn according to Neshwan) and is thus inaccessible to the herds; gazelles that are invisible, i.e. keep hidden, for seven days after giving birth, also used of pieces of flesh of which part is hidden among the bones; vi, an amulet with which a man covers himself (protegit), and so forth. - Wetzstein.

Consequently אוֹטְבְיׁ (formed like סבל, according to Neshwan equivalent to (סבל) is prop. a place in which to hide one's self, synonymous with אוֹם, בוֹנוֹם, סוֹנוֹם, and the like. True, the two substantives from אוֹט and אוֹט meet in their meanings like praesidium and asylum, and according to passages like Jer. xvi. 19 appear to be blended in the genius of the language, but they are radically distinct.

top and affords a safe asylum (vid. on xviii. 3). The thought "shew me Thy salvation, for Thou art my Saviour", underlies the connection expressed by in vers. 4 and 5b. Köster considers it to be illogical, but it is the logic of every believing prayer. The poet prays that God would become to him, actu reflexo, that which to the actus directus of his faith He is even now. The futures in vers. 4, 5 express hopes which necessarily arise out of that which Jahve is to the poet. The interchangeable notions הולה and אולה , with which we are familiar from Ps. xxiii., stand side by side, in order to give urgency to the utterance of the longing for God's gentle and safe guidance. Instead of translating it "out of the net, which etc.," according to the accents (cf. x. 2, xii. 8) it should be rendered "out of the net there", so that אולה is a relative clause without the relative.

Into the hand of this God, who is and will be all this to him, he commends his spirit; he gives it over into His hand as a trust or deposit (פַקּדּוֹן); for whatsoever is deposited there is safely kept, and freed from all danger and all distress. The word used is not נפשי, which Theodotion substitutes when he renders it την έμαυτοῦ ψυγήν τῆ σῆ παρατίθημι προμηθεία, but γης; and this is used designedly. The language of the prayer lays hold of life'at its root, as springing directly from God and as also living in the believer from God and in God: and this life it places under His protection. who is the true life of all spirit-life (Isa. xxxviii. 16) and of all life. It is the language of prayer with which the dving Christ breathed forth His life, Luke xxiii. 46. The period of David's persecution by Saul is the most prolific in types of the Passion; and this language of prayer, which proceeded from the furnace of affliction through which David at that time passed, denotes, in the mouth of Christ, a crisis in the history of redemption in which the Old Testament receives its fulfilment. Like David, He commends His spirit to God; but not, that He may not die, but that dying He may not die, i. e. that He may receive back again His spirit-corporeal life, which is hidden in the hand of God, in imperishable power and glory. That which is so ardently desired and hoped for is regarded by him, who thus in faith commends himself to God, as having already taken place,

"Thou hast redeemed me, Jahve, God of truth." The perfect is not used here, as in iv. 2, of that which is past, but of that which is already as good as past; it is not precative (Ew. § 223, b), but, like the perfects in vers. 8, 9, an expression of believing anticipation of redemption. It is the præt. confidentiæ which is closely related to the præt. prophet.; for the spirit of faith, like the spirit of the prophets, speaks of the future with historic certainty. In the notion of אל אמת it is impossible to exclude the reference to false gods which is contained in אלהי אמת, 2 Chron. xv. 3, since, in ver. 7, "vain illusions" are used as an antithesis. הבלים, ever since Deut. xxxii. 21, has become a favourite name for idols, and more particularly in Jeremiah (e. g. ch. viii, 19). On the other hand, according to the context, it may also not differ very greatly from אל אמאה, Deut. xxxii. 4; since the idea of God as a depositary or trustee still influences the thought, and אמנה are used interchangeably in other passages as personal attributes. We may say that is being that lasts and verifies itself, and אמת is sentiment that lasts and verifies itself. Therefore אל אמת is the God, who as the true God, maintains the truth of His revelation, and more especially of His promises, by a living authority or rule.

In ver. 7, David appeals to his entire and simple surrender to this true and faithful God: hateful to him are those, who worship vain images, whilst he, on the other hand, cleaves to Jahve. It is the false gods, which are called as beings without being, which are of no service to their worshippers and only disappoint their expectations. Probably (as in v. 6) it is to be read שנאח with the LXX., Vulgate, Syriac, and Arabic versions (Hitzig, Ewald, Olshausen, and others). In the text before us, which gives us no corrective Kerî as in 2 Sam. xiv. 21, Ruth iv. 5, ואני is not an antithesis to the preceding clause, but to the member of that clause which immediately precedes it. Jonah's psalm, ch. ii. 9, this is expressed by משַׁמרים הבלי־שוא; in the present instance the Kal is used in the signification observare, colere, as in Hos. iv. 10, and even in Prov. xxvii. 18. In the waiting of service is included, according to lix. 10, the waiting of trust. The word שַּׁבֶּשׁ which denotes the VOL. I.

fiducia fidei is usually construed with a of adhering to, or של of resting upon; but here it is combined with א of hanging on. The cohortatives in ver. 8 express intentions. Olshausen and Hitzig translate them as optatives: may I be able to rejoice; but this, as a continuation of ver. 7, seems less appropriate. Certain that he will be heard, he determines to manifest thankful joy for Jahve's mercy, that (מאמר as in Gen. xxxiv. 27) He has regarded (ἐπέβλεψε, Luke i. 48) his affliction, that He has known and exerted Himself about his soul's distresses. The construction יָדֵע בָּ, in the presence of Gen. xix. 33, 35, Job xii. 9, xxxv. 15, cannot be doubted (Hupfeld); it is more significant than the expression "to know of anything"; a is like ἐπί in ἐπιγιγνώσκειν used of the perception or comprehensive knowledge, which grasps an object and takes possession of it, or makes itself master of רסגיר, ver. 9, συγκλείειν, as in 1 Sam. xxiii. 11 (in the mouth of David) is so to abandon, that the hand of another closes upon that which is abandoned to it, i. e. has it completely in its power. מרחב, as in xviii. 20, cf. xxvi. 12. The language is David's, in which the language of the Tôra, and more especially of Deuteronomy (xxxii. 30, xxiii. 16), is re-echoed.

Vers. 10—14. After the pean before victory, which he has sung in the fulness of his faith, in this second part of the Psalm (with groups, or strophes, of diminishing compass: 6.5.4) there again breaks forth the petition, based upon the greatness of the suffering which the psalmist, after having strengthened himself in his trust in God, now all the more vividly sets before Him. צר־לי, angustum est mihi, as in lxix. 18, cf. xviii. 7. Ver. 10b is word for word like vi. -8, except that in this passage to מָּנִי, the eye which mirrors the state of suffering in which the sensuous perception and objective receptivity of the man are concentrated, are added we, the soul forming the nexus of the spirit and the body, and בָּטֵן, the inward parts of the body reflecting the energies and feelings of the spirit and the soul. Dung, with which is combined the idea of the organic intermingling of the powers of soul and body, has the predicate in the plural, as in lxxxviii. 4. The fact that the poet makes mention of his iniquity as that 'by which his physical strength has become tottering (בְּשֵל) as in Neh. iv. 4), is nothing surprising even in a Psalm that belongs to the time of his persecution by Saul; for the longer this persecution continued, the more deeply must David have felt that he needed this furnace of affliction.

The text of ver. 12ab upon which the LXX. rendering is based, was just the same as ours: παρά πάντας τούς ἐχθρούς μου έγενήθην όνειδος, καὶ τοῖς γείτοσί μου σφόδρα καὶ φόβος τοῖς γνωστοῖς μου. But this σφδόρα (Jerome nimis) would certainly only be tolerable, if it could be rendered, "I am become a reproach even to my neighbours exceedingly" — in favour of this position of the we might compare Judges xii. 2, and this rendering is not really an impossible one; for not only has i frequently the sense of "even" as in 2 Sam. i. 23, but (independently of passages, in which it may even be explained as "and that", an expression which takes up what has been omitted, as in Amos iv. 10) it sometimes has this meaning direct (like xai, et = etiam), Isa. xxxii. 7, Hos. viii. 6 (according to the accents), 2 Chron. xxvii. 5, Eccl. v. 5 (cf. Ew. § 352,b). Inasmuch, however, as this usage, in Hebrew, was not definitely developed, but was only as it were just developing, it may be asked whether it is not possible to find a suitable explanation without having recourse to this rendering of the 1 as equivalent to D1, a rendering which is always hazardous. Olshausen places למידעי after למידעי, a change which certainly gets rid of all difficulty. Hitzig alters וור מוד into מנד into, frightened, scared. But one naturally looks for a parallel substantive to הַקְּבָּה, somewhat like "terror" (Syriac) or "burden". Still מנור (dread) and משאת (a burden) do not look as though מאך could be a corruption of either of those words. Is it not perhaps possible for אמו itself to be equivalent in meaning to משאת? Since in the signification σφόδρα it is so unsuited to this passage, the expression would not be ambiguous, if it were here used in a special sense.

J. D. Michaelis has even compared the Arabic לُوْرَةً) in the sense of onus. We can, without the hesitation felt by Maurer and Hupfeld, suppose that מאר has indeed this meaning in this passage, and without any necessity for its being pointed אָם; for even the adverb מאר is originally a sub-

stantive derived from אור, אור (after the form קַּצֶר from נצוּר מוּר קַּצָר from קַּצָר קַּרָּאָר קַּצָּר קַּיּרָּאָר (after the form קַּצָּר from gravitas, firmitas, which is then used in the sense of graviter,

firmiter (cf. the French ferme). The, of, however, has the radical signification to be compressed, compact, firm, and solid, from which proceed the significations, which are divided between âda, jaîdu, and âda, jaûdu, to be strong, powerful, and to press upon, to burden, both of which meanings of unites within itself (cf. on xx. 9).

The number of opponents that David had, at length made him a reproach even in the eyes of the better disposed of his people, as being a revolter and usurper. Those among whom he found friendly shelter began to feel themselves burdened by his presence because they were thereby imperilled; and we see from the sad fate of Abimelech and the other priests of Nob what cause, humanly speaking, they, who were not merely slightly, but even intimately acquainted with him מידעים) as in lv. 14, lxxxviii. 9, 19), had for avoiding all intercourse with him. Thus, then, he is like one dead, whom as soon as he is borne out of his home to the grave, men are wont, in general, to put out of mind also (שנהן סלב, oblivione extingui ex corde; cf. מלב, Deut. xxxi. 21). All intimate connection with him is as it were sundered, he is become ככלי אבר, — a phrase, which, as we consider the confirmation which follows in ver. 14, has the sense of vas periens (not vas perditum), a vessel that is in the act of אבר, i. e. one that is set aside or thrown away, being abandoned to utter destruction and no more cared for (cf. Hos. viii. 8, together with Jer. xlviii. 38, and Jer. xxii. 28). With is he gives the ground for his comparison of himself to a household vessel that has become worthless. The insinuations and slanders of many brand him as a transgressor, dread surrounds him on every side (this is word for word the same as in Jer. xx. 10, where the prophet, with whom in other passages also מגור משבים is a frequent and standing formula. under similar circumstances uses the language of the psalmist); when they come together to take counsel concerning him (according to the accents the second half of the verse begins with בתוכבם), they think only how they may get rid of him. If the construction of 2 with its infinitive were intended to be

continued in ver. 14d, it would have been וְמְכֵוּ לקחת נפשי or

לקחת נפשי יומי

Vers. 15-19. But, although a curse of the world and an offscouring of all people, he is confident in God, his Deliverer and Avenger. By إيون prominence is given to the subject by way of contrast, as in ver. 7. It appears as though Jahve had given him up in His anger; but he confides in Him, and in spite of this appearance, he even confides in Him with the prayer of appropriating faith. אַתִּים or עַתְּיַל (1 Chron. xxix. 30) are the appointed events and circumstances, the vicissitudes of human life; like the Arabic 'idât (like עד from נעד), the appointed rewards and punishments. The times, with whatsoever they bring with them, are in the Lord's hand, every lot is of His appointment or sending. The Vulgate follows the LXX., in manibus tuis sortes meæ. petitions of vers. 16b, 17, spring from this consciousness that the almighty and faithful hand of God has moulded his life. There are three petitions; the middle one is an echo of the Aaronitish blessing in Num. vi. 25. כי קראתיד, which gives the ground of his hope that he shall not be put to shame (cf. ver. 2), is to be understood like אמרתי in ver. 15, according to Ges. § 126, 3. The expression of the ground for אל־אבושה, favours the explanation of it not so much as the language of petition (let me not be ashamed) as of hope. The futures which follow might be none the less regarded as optatives, but the order of the words does not require this. And we prefer to take them as expressing hope, so that the three petitions in vers. 16, 17, correspond to the three hopes in vers. 18, 19. He will not be ashamed, but the wicked shall be ashamed and silenced for ever. The form וְּרָמֵם, from דְּמָם, is, as in Jer. viii. 14, the plural of the fut. Kal אָרָם, with the doubling of the first radical, which is customary in Aramaic (other examples of which we have in יקר, ישׁם, חוֹם, not of the fut. Niph. ידם, the plural of which would be ידכוי, as in 1 Sam. ii. 9; conticescere in orcum is equivalent to: to be silent, i. e. being made powerless to fall a prey to hades. It is only in accordance with the connection, that in this instance גאלם, ver. 19, just like במם, denotes that which is forcibly laid upon them by the judicial intervention of God: all lying lips shall be dumb, i. e. made dumb.

pṇy prop. that which is unrestrained, free, insolent (cf. Arabic atik, atik, unrestrained, free) is the accusative of the object, as in xciv. 4, and as it is the nominative of the subject in 1 Sam. ii. 3.

Vers. 20-25. In this part well-grounded hope expands to triumphant certainty; and this breaks forth into grateful praise of the goodness of God to His own, and an exhortation to all to wait with steadfast faith on Jahve. The thought: how gracious hath Jahve been to me, takes a more universal form in ver. 20. It is an exclamation (מה, as in xxxvi. 8) of adoring admiration. מוב יהוה is the sum of the good which God has treasured up for the constant and ever increasing use and enjoyment of His saints. אָנַיָּ is used in the same sense as in xvii. 14; cf. τὸ μάννα τὸ κεκρυμμένον, Apoc. ii. 17. Instead of מַלָּח it ought strictly to be נַחָה; for we can say פעל מוב but not פעל מוב. What is meant is, the doing or manifesting of IN springing from this IN, which is the treasure of grace. Jahve thus makes Himself known to His saints for the confounding of their enemies and in defiance of all the world besides, xxiii. 5. He takes those who are His under His protection from the רֶּלְםֵי אָישׁ, confederations of men (from בֻׁם, תֹבֶם, magna copia), from the wrangling, i. e. the slanderous scourging, of tongues. Elsewhere it is said, that God hides one in מֶתֶר אָהָלוֹ (xxvii. 5), or in מֶתֶר (xxvii. 5), or in His shadow (נְבָּיו (lxi. 5), or in His shadow (נְבָּיו it is: in the defence and protection of His countenance, i. e. in the region of the unapproachable light that emanates from His presence. The ago is the safe and comfortable protection of the Almighty which spans over the persecuted one like an arbour of rich foliage. With ברוך ה David again passes over to his own personal experience. The unity of the Psalm requires us to refer the praise to the fact of the deliverance which is anticipated by faith. Jahve has shewn him wondrous favour, inasmuch as He has given him a שיר as a place of abode. מצור, from צור to shut in (Arabic misr with the denominative verb massara, to found a fortified city), signifies both a siege, i. e. a shutting in by siege-

^{*} But these Arabic words do not pass over into the signification 'insolent".

works, and a fortifying (cf. lx. 11 with cviii. 11), i. e. a shutting in by fortified works against the attack of the enemy, The fenced city is mostly interpreted as 2 Chron. viii. 5. God Himself and His powerful and gracious protection. We might then compare Isa. xxxiii. 21 and other passages. But why may not an actual city be intended, viz. Ziklag? The fact, that after long and troublous days David there found a strong and sure resting-place, he here celebrates beforehand, and unconsciously prophetically, as a wondrous token of divine favour. To him Ziklag was indeed the turningpoint between his degradation and exaltation. already said in his trepidation (in trepidare), cf. cxvi. 11: I am cut away from the range of Thine eyes. גַּרְוְהַיּ is explained according to נֵרָוֹן, an axe; Lam. iii. 54, מָרָוֹם, and Jonah ii. 5, נגרשהי, favour this interpretation. He thought in his fear and despair, that God would never more care DN, verum enim vero, but Jahve heard the cry about him. of his entreaty, when he cried unto Him (the same words as in xxviii. 2). On the ground of these experiences he calls upon all the godly to love the God who has done such gracious things, i. e. to love Love itself. On the one hand, He preserves the faithful (אַמוּנִים, from אָמוּן = אָמוּן, πιστοί, as in xii. 2), who keep faith with Him, by also proving to them His faithfulness by protection in every danger; on the other hand, not scantily, but plentifully (by as in Isa. lx. 7. Jer. vi. 14: κατά περισσείαν) He rewardeth those that practise pride — in the sight of God, the Lord, the sin of sins. An animating appeal to the godly (metamorphosed out of the usual form of the expression אָהַוּק, macte esto), resembling the animating call to his own heart in xxvii. 14, The godly and faithful are here called closes the Psalm. "those who wait upon Jahve". They are to wait patiently, for this waiting has a glorious end; the bright, spring sun at length breaks through the dark, angry aspect of the heavens, and the esto mihi is changed into halleluja. eye of hope patiently directed towards Jahve is the characteristic of the Old Testament faith. The substantial unity, however, of the Old Testament order of grace, or mercy, with that of the New Testament, is set before us in Ps. xxxii.,

which, in its New Testament and Pauline character, is the counterpart of Ps. xix.

PSALM XXXII.

THE WAY TO THE FORGIVENESS OF SINS.

- 1 BLESSED is he whose transgression is taken away, whose sin is covered.
- 2 Blessed is the man to whom Jahve doth not reckon iniquity,

And in whose spirit there is no guile.

- 3 When I kept silence, my bones rotted Through my constant groaning.
- 4 For day and night Thy hand was heavy upon me, My moisture was changed with the drought of summer. (Sela)
- 5 I acknowledged my sin unto Thee and did not cover my guilt;
 - I said: "I will confess my transgressions unto Jahve" And Thou, Thou hast taken away the guilt of my sin. (Sela)
- 6 For this cause let every godly man pray unto Thee in a time when Thou mayest be found;
 Surely, when the great waters rise —
 They shall not reach him.
- 7 Thou art my hiding-place, from trouble Thou wilt guard me,
 - With songs of deliverance wilt Thou compass me about. (Sela)
- 8 I will instruct thee and teach thee concerning the way thou shalt go.
 - I will give counsel, keeping mine eye upon thee.
- 9 Be ye not as horses, as mules without understanding, With bit and bridle is their mouth to be curbed, Otherwise they will not come near unto thee.

- 10 Many sorrows are to the ungodly,
 But whose trusteth in Jahve, with favour doth He compass him about.
- 11 Be glad in Jahve, and rejoice, ye righteous, And shout for joy all ye that are upright in heart!

There are several prominent marks by which this Psalm is coupled with the preceding (vid. Symbolæ § 52). In both Psalms, with the word אַמֶרה, the psalmist looks back upon some fact of his spiritual life; and both close with an exhortation to the godly, which stands in the relation of a general inference to the whole Psalm. But in other respects the two Psalms differ. For Ps. xxxi. is a prayer under circumstances of outward distress, and Ps. xxxii. is a didactic Psalm, concerning the way of penitence which leads to the forgiveness of sins; it is the second of the seven Psalmi pænitentiales of the church, and Augustine's favourite Psalm. We might take Augustine's words as its motto: intelligentia prima est ut te noris peccatorem. The poet bases it upon his own personal experience, and then applies the general teaching which he deduces from it, to each individual in the church of God. For a whole year after his adultery David was like one under sentence of condemnation. In the midst of this fearful. anguish of soul he composed Ps. li., whereas Ps. xxxii. was composed after his deliverance from this state of mind. The former was written in the very midst of the penitential struggle; the latter after he had recovered his inward peace. The theme of this Psalm is the precious treasure which he brought up out of that abyss of spiritual distress, viz. the doctrine of the blessedness of forgiveness, the sincere and unreserved confession of sin as the way to it, and the protection of God in every danger, together with joy in God, as its fruits.

In the signification psalmus didascalicus s. informatorius (Reuchlin: ut si liceret dicere intellectificum vel resipiscentificum), שׁמָשׁכִּיל would after all be as appropriate a designation as we could have for this Psalm which teaches the way of salvation. This meaning, however, cannot be sustained. It is improbable that מַשְבִּיל, which, in all other instances,

signifies intelligens, should, as a technical term, mean intelligentem faciens; because the Hiph. השביל, in the causative meaning "to impart understanding", occurs only in solitary instances (ver. 8, Prov. xxi. 11) in the Hebrew of the period before the Exile, and only came into common use in the later language (in Daniel, Chronicles, and Nehemiah). But, that which is decisive against the meaning "a didactic poem" is the fact, that among the thirteen Psalms which are inscribed לשביל, there are only two (xxxii. and lxxviii.) which can be regarded as didactic poems. Ps. xlv. is called, in addition, שיר ידידת, and Ps. cxlii., חפלה, two names which ill accord with a didactic intention and plan. Even Ps. xlvii. 8, a passage of importance in the determining of the right idea of the word, in which משכיל occurs as an accusative of the object, excludes the meaning "didactic poem". Ewald observes (Dichter des Alten Bundes, i, 31) that "in Ps. xlvii. 8 we have the safest guide to the correct meaning of the word; in this passage משכיל stands side by side with as a more exact definition of the singing and there can be no doubt, that an intelligent, melodious song must be equivalent to a · choice or delicate, skillfully composed song". But in all other cases, משביל is only found as an attribute of persons, because it is not that which makes prudent, but that which is in *itself intelligent, that is so named. Even in 2 Chron. xxx. 22, where allusion is made to the Maskil Psalms, it is the Levite musicians themselves who are called (שכל מוב) המשכילים (i. e. those who play skillfully with delicate tact). Thus then we are driven to the Hiphil meaning of pensive meditation in cvi. 7, cf. xli. 2, Prov. xvi. 20; so that משכול signifies that which meditates, then meditation, just like מכביר, that which - multiplies, and then fulness: משחים, that which destroys, and then destruction. From the Maskil Psalms, as e.g. from liv. and cxlii., we cannot discover anything special as to the technical meaning or use of the word. The word means just pia meditatio, a devout meditation, and nothing more.

Vers. 1—2. The Psalm begins with the celebration of the happiness of the man who experiences God's justifying grace, when he gives himself up unreservedly to Him. Sin is called yy's, as being a breaking loose or tearing away

from God; המאד, as a deviation from that which is wellpleasing to God; ny, as a perversion, distortion, misdeed. The forgiveness of sin is styled NW1 (Exod. xxxiv. 7), as a lifting up and taking away, αἴρειν and ἀφαιρεῖν, Exod. xxxiv. 7; הסם (lxxxv. 3, Prov. x. 12, Neh. iii. 37), as a covering, so that it becomes invisible to God, the Holy One, and is as though it had never taken place; לא השב (2 Sam. xix. 20, cf. בשני, to number, reckon, οδ λογίζεσθαι, Rom. iv. 6-9), as a non-imputing; the δικαιοσύνη χωρίς έργων is here distinctly expressed. The justified one is called נשׁוִי־פַשׁע, as being one who is exempted from transgression, prævaricatione levatus (Ges. § 135, 1); נשא, instead of נשא, Isa. xxxiii. 24, is intended to rhyme with comp (which is the part. to יפָּקּה, just as בַּרוּדְ is the participle to בָּרָדָּ, vid. on Isa. xxii. 13. One "covered of sin" is one over whose sin lies the covering of expiation (כָּפַר, root כָּף, to cover, cogn. בֹּבֹּ غمر,خمر,خفر) before the holy eyes of God. The third designation is an attributive clause: "to whom Jahve doth not reckon misdeed", inasmuch as He, on the contrary, regards it as discharged or as settled. He who is thus justified, however, is only he in whose spirit there is no דְמָיָה, no deceit, which denies and hides, or extenuates and excuses, this or that favourite sin. One such sin designedly retained is a secret ban, which stands in the way of justification.

Vers. 3—5. For, as his own experience has taught the poet, he who does not in confession pour out all his corruption before God, only tortures himself until he unburdens himself of his secret curse. Since ver. 3 by itself cannot be regarded as the reason for the proposition just laid down, is signifies either "because, quod" (e. g. Prov. xxii. 22) or "when, quum" (Judges xvi. 16, Hos. xi. 1). The two was an outburst of the tortures which his accusing conscience prepared for him. The more he strove against confessing, the louder did conscience speak; and while it was not in his power to silence this inward voice, in which the wrath of God found utterance, he cried the whole day, viz. for help; but while his heart was still unbroken, he cried yet received no answer. He cried all day long, for God's punishing right hand (xxxviii. 3, xxxix. 11) lay heavy upon him day and

night; the feeling of divine wrath left him no rest, cf. Job xxxiii. 14 sqq. A fire burned within him which threatened completely to devour him. The expression is בהרכני (like in xxxvii. 20, cii. 4), without בעשון in asmuch as the fears which burn fiercely within him even to his heart and, as it were, scorch him up, he directly calls the droughts of summer. The 2 is the Beth of the state or condition, in connection with which the change, i. e. degeneration (Job xx. 14), took place; for mutare in aliquid is expressed by הפהל. The (which Saadia and other have mistaken) in לשהי is part of the root; לשר (from לשר, to suck), inflected after the analogy of and the like, signifies succus. In the summerheat of anxiety his vital moisture underwent a change: it burned and dried up. Here the music becomes louder and does its part in depicting these torments of the awakened conscience in connection with a heart that still remains unbroken. In spite of this διάψαλμα, however, the historical connection still retains sufficient influence to give אוריעה the force of the imperfect (cf. xxx. 9): "I made known my sin and my guilt did I not cover up (not used here as in Prov. xxviii. 13, Job xxxi. 33); I made the resolve: I will confess my transgressions to the Lord (החודה = הודה, Neh. i. 6, ix. 2; elsewhere construed with the accusative, vid. Prov. xxviii. 13) - then Thou forgavest", etc. Hupfeld is inclined to place אמרחי before חמאתי אודיעד, by which אדיער and אורה would become futures; but ועוני לא כסיתי sounds like an assertion of a fact, not the statement of an intention, and אמרתי is the natural continuation of the אמרתי which immediately precedes. The form ואחה נשאח is designedly used instead of אוֹבוּא. Simultaneously with his confession of sin, made fide supplice, came also the absolution: then Thou forgavest the guilt (iv, misdeed, as a deed and also as a matter of fact, i. e. guilt contracted, and penance or punishment, cf. Lam. iv. 6, Zech. xiv. 19) of my sin. Vox nondum est in ore, says Augustine, et vulnus sanatur in corde. The other is the antithesis of the former one. There we have a shrill lament over the sinner who tortures himself in vain. here the clear tones of joy at the blessed experience of one who pours forth his soul to God — a musical Yea and Amen to the great truth of justifying grace.

Vers. 6—7. For this mercy, which is provided for every sinner who repents and confesses his sin, let then, every , who longs for הסר, turn in prayer to Jahve לעת מצא, at the time (xxi. 10, 1 Chron. xii. 22; cf. בעת, Isa. xlix. 8) when He, and His mercy, is to be found (cf. Deut. iv. 29 with Jer. xxix. 13, Isa. lv. 6, בהמצאן). This hortatory wish is followed by a promissory assurance. The fact of לשמף being virtually a protasis: quum inundant aquæ magnæ (יְ of the time), which separates אָלֵין, prohibits our regarding רק as belonging to אליו in this instance, although like מָל, אָר, אָר, and בְּק, בָּן is also placed per hypallage at the head of the clause (as in Prov. xiii. 10: with pride there is only contention), even when belonging to a part of the clause that follows further on. The restrictive meaning of pan here, as is frequently the case (Deut. iv. 6, Judges xiv. 16, 1 Kings xxi. 25, cf. Ps. xci. 8), has passed over to the affirmative: certo quum, etc. Inundation or flooding is an exemplificative description of the divine judgment (cf. Nah. i. 8); ver. 6bc is a brief form of expressing the promise which is expanded in Ps. xci. In ver. 7, David confirms it from his own experience. The assonance in אמר מצרט (Thou wilt preserve me, so that ארנה, angustum = angustiæ, does not come upon me, cxix. 143) is not undesigned; and after כלן comes רני after כלן in xxix. 9. There is no sufficient ground for setting aside , with Houbigant and others, as a repetition of the half of the word תצרני. The infinitive רן (Job xxxviii. 7) might, like הצרני, plur. רָבֶּי, חָק, ni, plur. הָק, with equal right be inflected as a substantive; and 250 (as in lvi. 8), which is likewise treated as a substantive, cf. נפץ, Dan. xii. 7, presents, as a genitive, no more difficulty than does איש דעה in the expression איש דעה. With songs of deliverance doth Jahve surround him, so that they encompass him on all sides, and an occasion of exulting meets him in whatever direction he turns. The music here again for the third time becomes forte, and that to express the highest feeling of delight.

Vers. 8—10. It is not Jahve, who here speaks in answer to the words that have been thus far addressed to Him. In this case the person addressed must be the poet, who, however, has already attained the knowledge here treated of.

It is he himself who now directly adopts the tone of the teacher (cf. xxxiv. 12). That which David, in Ps. li. 15, promises to do, he here takes in hand, viz. the instruction of sinners in the way of salvation. It is unnecessary to read אינפּר instead of איעצה, as Olshausen does; the suffix of איעצה, as Olshausen does; and אורף (for אורף) avails also for this third verb, to which עליף עיני, equivalent to שם עליף עיני (fixing my eye upon thee, i. e. with sympathising love taking an interest in thee), stands in the relation of a subordinate relative clause. The LXX. renders it by ἐπιστηριῶ ἐπὶ σὲ τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς μου, so that it takes יעץ, in accordance with its radical signification firmare, as the regens of עינו (I will fix my eye steadfastly upon thee); but for this there is no support in the general usage of the language. The accents give a still different rendering; they apparently make עיני an accus. adverb. (since אעצה עליך עיני is transformed from איעצה עליך עיני): I will counsel thee with mine eye; but in every other instance, יעץ על means only a hostile determination against any one, e. g. Isa. vii. 5. The form of address, without changing its object, passes over, in ver. 9, into the plural and the expression becomes harsh in perfect keeping with the perverted character which it describes. The sense is on the whole clear: not constrained, but willing obedience is becoming to man, in distinction from an irrational animal which must be led by a bridle drawn through its mouth. The asyndeton clause: like a horse, a mule (קרף as an animal that is isolated and does not pair; cf. غَرْه alone of its kind, single, unlike, the opposite of which is , a pair, equal number), has nothing remarkable about it, cf. xxxv. 14, Isa. xxxviii. 14. But it is not clear what עדין is intended to mean. We might take it in its usual signification "ornament", and render "with bit and bridle, its ornament, and perhaps at once recognise therein an allusion to the senseless servility of the animal, viz. that its ornament is also the means by which it is kept in check, unless עדי, ornament, is perhaps directly equivalent to "harness". Still the rendering of the LXX. is to be respected: in camo et fræno — as Jerome reproduces it — maxillas eorum constringere qui non approximant ad te. If אַרי means jaw, mouth or cheek, then עדיו לבלום is equivalent to ora

eorum obturanda sunt (Ges. § 132, rem. 1), which the LXX. expresses by ayka, constringe, or, following the Cod. Alex., ἄγξις (ἄγξεις), constringes. Like Ewald and Hitzig (on Ezek. xvi. 7), we may compare with ערי, the cheek, the Arabic שֹׁב", which, being connected with אָבה, a furrow, signifies properly the furrow of the face, i. e. the indented part running downwards from the inner corners of the eyes to both sides of the nose, but then by synecdoche the cheek. If עריי refers to the mouth or jaws, then it looks as if בַל must be translated: in order that they may not come too near thee, viz. to hurt thee (Targ., Syriac, Rashi, etc.); but this rendering does not produce any point of comparison corresponding to the context of this Psalm. Therefore, it is rather to be rendered: otherwise there is no coming near to thee. This interpretation takes the emphasis of the into account, and assumes that, according to a usage of the language that is without further support, one might, for instance, say: בֵּל לְכַחֵּי שַׁמֵּה, "I will never go thither." In Prov. xxiii. 17, 52 also includes within itself the verb to be. So here: by no means an approaching to thee, i. e. there is, if thou dost not bridle them, no approaching or coming near to thee. These words are not addressed to God, but to man, who is obliged to use harsh and forcible means in taming animals, and can only thus keep them under his control and near to him. In the antitype, it is the sinner, who will not come to God, although God only is his help, and who, as David has learned by experience, must first of all endure inward torture, before he comes to a right state This agonising life of the guilty conscience which the ungodly man leads, is contrasted in ver. 10 with the mercy which encompasses on all sides him, who trusts in God. רבים, in accordance with the treatment of this adjective as if it were a numeral (vid. lxxxix. 51), is an attributive or adjective placed before its noun. The final clause might be rendered: mercy encompasses him; but the Poel and ver. 7 favour the rendering: with mercy doth He encompass him.

Ver. 11. After the doctrine of the Psalm has been unfolded in three unequal groups of verses, there follows, cor-

responding to the brief introduction, a still shorter close, which calls upon those whose happy state is there celebrated, to join in songs of exultant joy.

PSALM XXXIII.

PRAISE OF THE RULER OF THE WORLD AS BEING THE DEFENDER OF HIS PEOPLE.

- 1 SHOUT for joy, O ye righteous, in Jahve, For the upright praise is comely.
- 2 Praise Jahve with cithern, With a ten-stringed nabla play unto Him.
- 3 Sing unto Him a new song, Play merrily with a joyful noise.
- 4 For upright is the word of Jahve, And all His working is in faithfulness.
- 5 He loveth righteousness and judgment; The earth is full of the mercy of Jahve.
- 6 By the word of Jahve were the heavens made, And by the breath of His mouth all their host.
- 7 He gathereth the waters of the sea together as a heap, He layeth up the depths in storehouses.
- 8 Let all the earth fear before Jahve, Let all the inhabitants of the world stand in awe of Him.
- 9 For He spake, and it was done; He commanded, and it stood fast.
- 10 Jahve hath brought the counsel of the heathen to nought, He hath made the thoughts of the people of none effect.
- 11 The counsel of Jahve standeth for ever, The thoughts of His heart to all generations.
- 12 Blessed is the nation whose God is Jahve, The people whom He chooseth for His own inheritance.

- 13 From heaven Jahve looketh down, He seeth all the children of men.
- 14 From the place of His habitation He looketh Upon all the inhabitants of the earth,
- 15 He, who fashioneth their heart together, Who considereth all their works.
- 16 A king doth not triumph by great strength, A mighty man is not delivered by great power.
- 17 A vain thing is a horse for victory, And its great strength cannot deliver.
- 18 Behold, the eye of Jahve is upon them that fear Him, Upon them that hope in His mercy,
- 19 To deliver their soul from death, And to keep them alive in famine.
- 20 Our soul waiteth for Jahve, Our help and our shield is He.
- 21 For in Him shall our heart rejoice, Because we trust in His holy Name
- 22 Let, then, Thy mercy, O Jahve, be upon us, According as we hope in Thee!

The Davidic Maskîl, Ps. xxxii., is followed by an anonymous congregational song of a hymnic character, which begins just like the former closes. It owes its composition apparently to some deliverance of the nation from heathen oppression, which had resulted from God's interposition and without war. Moreover it exhibits no trace of dependence upon earlier models, such as might compel us to assign a late date to it; the time of Jeremiah, for instance, which Hitzig adopts. The structure is symmetrical. Between the two hexastichs, vers. 1—3, 20—22, the materia laudis is set forth in eight tetrastichs.

Vers. 1—3. The call contained in this hexastich is addressed to the righteous and upright, who earnestly seek VOL. I.

to live a godly and God-pleasing life, and the sole determining rule of whose conduct is the will and good pleasure of God. These alone know God, whose true nature finds in them a clear mirror; so on their part they are joyfully to confess what they possess in Him. For it is their duty, and at the same time their honour, to praise him, and make their boast in Him. נאוה is the feminine of the adjective נאוה (formed out of נאין), as in exlvii. 1, cf. Prov. xix. 10. On כנור (LXX. κιθάρα, κινόρα) and נבל (LXX. ψαλτήριον, νάβλα, ναῦλα, etc.) vid. Introduction § II. נבל is the name given to the harp or lyre on account of its resemblance to a skin bottle or flask (root בול עשור to swell, to be distended), and נכל עשור, "harp of the decade", is the ten-stringed harp, which is also called absolutely עשור, and distinguished from the customary בל. in xcii. 4. By a comparison of the asyndeton expressions in xxxv. 14, Jer. xi. 19, Aben-Ezra understands by נבל עשור twoinstruments, contrary to the tenour of the words. Gecatilia, whom he controverts, is only so far in error as that he refers the ten to holes (נקבים) instead of to strings. The n is Beth instrum., just like the expression αιθαρίζειν έν αιθάpais, Apoc. xiv. 2. A "new song" is one which, in consequence of some new mighty deeds of God, comes from a new impulse of gratitude in the heart, xl. 4, and frequently in the Psalms, Isa. xlii. 10, Judith vi. 13, Apoc. v. 9. In הישיכו the notions of scite and strenue, suaviter and naviter, blend. With בתרועה, referring back to רננו, the call to praise forms, as it were, a circle as it closes.

Vers. 4—5. Now begins the body of the song. The summons to praise God is supported (1) by a setting forth of His praiseworthiness* (a) as the God of revelation in the kingdom of Grace. His word is אָשָׁי, upright in intention, and, without becoming in any way whatever untrue to itself, straightway fulfilling itself. His every act is an act in אָמִינָה, truth, which verifies the truth of His word, and one which accomplishes itself. On אָהָרֶב הַּוֹּא vid. vii. 10, xxii. 29.

^{*} We have adopted the word "praiseworthiness" for the sake of conciseness of expression, in order to avoid an awkward periphrasis, in the sense of being worthy to be praised. — TR.

conduct; parin is right as a rule of judgment and a state or condition. This is an accusative, as in exix. 64: misericordia Domini plena est terra (the introit for Misercordias Sunday or the second Sunday after Easter).

Vers. 6—9. God's praiseworthiness (b) as the Creator of the world in the kingdom of Nature. Jahve's ז דבר is His almighty "Let there be"; and רות פין (inasmuch as the breath is here regarded as the material of which the word is formed and the bearer of the word) is the command, or in general, the operation of His commanding omnipotence (Job xv. 30, cf. iv. 9; Isa. xxxiv. 16, cf. xi. 4). The heavens above and the waters beneath stand side by side as miracles of creation. The display of His power in the waters of the sea consists in His having confined them within fixed bounds and keeping them within these. is a pile, i. e. a piled up heap (Arabic nadd), and more especially in reference to harvest: like such a heap do the convex waters of the sea, being firmly held together, rise above the level of the continents. The expression is like that in Josh. iii. 13, 16, cf. Exod. xv. 8; although there the reference is to a miracle occurring in the course of history, and in this passage to a miracle of creation. Did refers to the heap itself, not to the walls of the storehouses as holding together. This latter figure is not introduced until ver. 7b: the bed of the sea and those of the rivers are, as it were, אוצרות, treasuries or storehouses, in which God has deposited the deep, foaming waves or surging mass of waters. The inhabitants (ישבי, not of the earth have cause to fear God who is thus omnipotent (12), in the sense of falling back from in terror); for He need only speak the word and that which He wills comes into being out of nothing, as we see from the hexaëmeron or history of Creation, but which is also confirmed in human history (Lam. iii. 37). He need only command and it stands forth like an obedient servant, that appears in all haste at the call of his lord, cxix. 91.

Vers. 10—11. His praiseworthiness (c) as the irresistible Ruler in the history of men. Since in 2 Sam. xv. 34, xvii. 14, and frequently, הַפַר עצָה is a common phrase, therefore as in lxxxix. 34, Ezek. xvii. 19, is equivalent to הַפַּר (Ges. § 67, rem. 9). The perfects are not used in the abstract,

but of that which has been experienced most recently, since the "new song" presupposes new matter. With ver. 11 compare Prov. xix. 21. The ngy of God is the unity of the "thoughts of His heart," i. e. of the ideas, which form the immost part, the ultimate motives of everything that takes place. The whole history of the world is the uninterrupted carrying out of a divine plan of salvation, the primary object of which is His people, but in and with these are included humanity at large.

Vers. 12-19. Hence the call to praise God is supported (2) by a setting forth of that which His people possess in Him. This portion of the song is like a paraphrase of the in Deut. xxxiii. 29. The theme in ver. 12 is proved in vers. 13-15 by the fact, that Jahve is the omniscient Ruler, because He is the Creator of men, without whose knowledge nothing is undertaken either secretly or openly, and especially if against His people. Then in vers. 16-19 it is supported by the fact, that His people have in Jahve a stronger defence than the greatest worldly power would be. Jahve is called the fashioner of all the hearts of men, as in Zech. xii. 1, cf. Prov. xxiv. 12, as being their Maker. As such He is also the observer of all the works of men; for He is acquainted with their origin in the laboratory of the heart, which He . as Creator has formed. Hupfeld takes as an equalisation (pariter ac) of the two appositions; but then it ought to be (cf. xlix. 3, 11). The LXX. correctly renders it אמבין μόνας, singillatim. It is also needless to translate it, as Hupfeld does: He who formed, qui finxit; for the hearts of men were not from the very first created all at one time, but the primeval impartation of spirit-life is continued at every birth in some mysterious way. God is the Father of spirits, Hebr. xii. 9. For this very reason everything that exists, even to the most hidden thing, is encompassed by His omniscience and omnipotence. He exercises an omniscient control over all things, and makes all things subservient to the designs of His plan of the universe, which, so far as His people are concerned, is the plan of salvation. Without Him nothing comes to pass; but through Him everything takes place. The victory of the king, and the safety of the warrior, are not their own works. Their great military power and bodily

strength can accomplish nothing without God, who can also be mighty in the feeble. Even for purposes of victory (השועה, cf. ישועה, xxi. 2) the war-horse is שקר, i. e. a thing that promises much, but can in reality do nothing; it is not its great strength, by which it enables the trooper to escape (ימלים). "The horse", says Solomon in Prov. xxi. 31, "is equipped for the day of battle, but לה' התשועה, Jahve's is the victory", He giveth it to whomsoever He will. The ultimate ends of all things that come to pass are in His hands, and as vers. 18 sq. say, directing special attention to this important truth by הנה — the eye of this God, that is to say the final aim of His government of the world, is directed towards them that fear Him, is pointed at them that hope in His mercy (למיחלים). In ver. 19, the object, זָקְחָבֶּרוּ, is expanded by way of example. From His mercy or loving-kindness, not from any acts of their own, conscious of their limited condition and feebleness, they look for protection in the midst of the greatest peril, and for the preservation of their life in famine. Ps. xx. 8 is very similar; but the one passage sounds as independent as the other.

Vers. 20-22. Accordingly, in this closing hexastich, the church acknowledges Him as its help, its shield, and its source of joy. Besides the passage before us, nem occurs in only one other instance in the Psalter, viz. cvi. 13. This word, which belongs to the group of words signifying hoping and waiting, is perhaps from the root حكى, حكى, firmiter constringere sc. nodum), to be firm, compact, like from , to pull tight or fast, cf. the German harren (to wait) and hart (hard, compact). In ver. 20b we still hear the echo of the primary passage Deut. xxxiii. 29 (cf. ver. 26). The emphasis, as in cxv. 9—11, rests upon הוא, into which 12, in ver. 21, puts this thought, viz. He is the unlimited sphere, the inexhaustible matter, the perennial spring of our joy. The second confirms this subjectively. His holy Name is His church's ground of faith, of love, and of hope; for from thence comes its salvation. It can boldly pray that the mercy of the Lord may be upon it, for it waits upon Him, and man's waiting or hoping and God's giving are reciprocally conditioned. This is the meaning of the

קאָשֶׁר. God is true to His word. The Te Deum laudamus of Ambrose closes in the same way.

PSALM XXXIV.

THANKSGIVING AND TEACHING OF ONE WHO HAS EXPERIENCED DELIVERANCE.

- 2 & I WILL bless Jahve at all times, Continually let His praise be in my mouth.
- 3 In Jahve shall my soul make her boast, The patient shall hear thereof and be glad.
- 4 O magnify Jahve with me, And let us exalt His name together.
- 5 7 I sought Jahve, and He answered me, And out of all my fears did He deliver me.
- 6 7 Looking unto Him they are lightened, And their faces shall not be ashamed.
- 7 This afflicted one cried, and Jahve heard, And saved him out of all his troubles.
- 8 7. The Angel of Jahve encampeth round about them that fear Him,

And delivereth them.

- 9 D Taste and see, that Jahve is good Blessed is the man that trusteth in Him.
- 10 Fear Jahve, ye His saints!

 For there is no want to them that fear Him.
- 11 > Young lions do lack and suffer hunger, But they that seek Jahve do not want any good thing.
- 12 5 Come, ye children, hearken unto me! The fear of Jahve will I teach you.
- 13 D Whosoever thou art, dost thou desire long life,
 Dost thou love days that thou mayst see good —:
- 14 > Keep thy tongue from evil, And thy lips from deceitful speaking.
- 15 Depart from evil and do good, Seek peace, and pursue it.
- 16 y The eyes of Jahve observe the righteous, And His ears their cry.

- 17 De The face of Jahve is against the evil doers,
 To cut off their remembrance from the earth.
- 18 3 The former cry unto Jahve, and He heareth, And out of all their troubles He delivereth them.
- 19 p Jahve is nigh unto them that are of a broken heart, And saveth such as be of a contrite spirit.
- 20 7 Many are the afflictions of the righteous, But out of them all doth Jahve deliver him.
- 21 w He preserveth all his bones, Not one of them is broken.
- 22 n Evil shall slay the wicked, And they that hate the righteous shall be punished.
- 23 D Jahve redeemeth the soul of His servant,
 And they shall not be punished who trust in Him.

In Ps. xxxiii. 18 we heard the words, "Behold, the eye of Jahve is directed towards them that fear Him, and in xxxiv. 16 we hear this same grand thought, "the eyes of Jahve are directed towards the righteous". Ps. xxxiv. is one of the eight Psalms which are assigned, by their inscriptions, to the time of David's persecution by Saul, and were composed upon that weary way of suffering extending from Gibea of Saul to Ziklag. (The following is an approximation to their chronological order: vii., lix., lvi., xxxiv., lii., lvii., cxlii., liv.). The inscription runs: Of David, when he disguised his understanding (שמש with Dag., lest it should be pronounced (מעמן before Abimelech, and he drove him away (ויגרשהו, with Chateph Pathach, as is always the case with verbs whose second radical is 7, if the accent is on the third radical) and he departed. David, being pressed by Saul, fled into the territory of the Philistines; here he was recognised as the man who had proved such a dangerous enemy to them years since and he was brought before Achish, the king. Ps. lvi. is a prayer which implores help in the trouble of this period (and its relation to Ps. xxiv. resembles that of Ps. li. to xxxii.). David's life would have been lost had not his desperate attempt to escape by playing the part of a madman been successful. The king commanded him to depart, and David betook himself to a place of concealment in his own country, viz. the cave of Adullam in the wilderness of Judah.

The correctness of the inscription has been disputed. Hupfeld maintains that the writer has blindly taken it from 1 Sam. xxi. 14. According to Redslob, Hitzig, Olshausen, and Stähelin, he had reasons for so doing, although they are invalid. The מעמר of the Psalm (ver. 9) seemed to him to accord with טַעָּמַ, 1 Sam. xxi. 14; and in addition to this, he combined לחתה, gloriaris, of the Psalm (ver. 3) with ויסראלל, insanivit, 1 Sam. xxi 14. We come to a different conclusion. The Psalm does not contain any express reference to that incident in Philistia, hence we infer that the writer of the inscription knew of this reference from tradition. His source of information is not the Books of Samuel; for there the king is called אַכישׁ, whereas he calls him אבימלה, and this, as even Basil has perceived (vid. Euthymius Zigadenus' introduction to this Psalm), is the title of the Philistine kings, just as Pharaoh is title of the Egyptian, Agag of the Amalekite, and Lucumo of the Etruscan kings. His source of information, as a comparison of 2 Sam. xxii. 1 with Ps. xviii. 1 shews, is a different work, viz. the Annals of David, in which he has traced the Psalm before us and other Psalms to their historical connection, and then indicated it by an inscription in words taken from that source. The fact of the Psalm being alphabetical says nothing against David as its author (vid. on Ps. ix. -x.). It is not arranged for music; for although it begins after the manner of a song of praise, it soon passes into the didactic tone. It consists of verses of two lines, which follow one another according to the order of the letters of the alphabet. The is wanting, just as the I is wanting in Ps. cxlv.; and after I, as in Ps. xxv., which is the counterpart to xxxiv., follows a second supernumerary D.

Vers. 2—4. The poet begins with the praise of Jahve, and calls upon all the pious to unite with him in praising Him. The substantival clause ver. 2b, is intended to have just as much the force of a cohortative as the verbal clause ver. 2a. אברכה, like אברל is to be written with Chateph-Pathach in the middle syllable. In distinction from שניים signifies submissi, those who have learnt endurance or patience in the school of affliction. The praise of

Vers. 5-7. The poet now gives the reason for this praise by setting forth the deliverance he has experienced. He longed for God and took pains to find Him (such is the meaning of דרש in distinction from בקש), and this striving, which took the form of prayer, did not remain without some actual answer (ענה is used of the being heard and the fulfilment as an answer to the petition of the praying one). The perfects, as also in vers. 6, 7, describe facts, one of which did not take place without the other; whereas ויענני would give them the relation of antecedent and consequent. In ver. 6, his own personal experience is generalised into an experimental truth, expressed in the historical form: they look unto Him and brighten up, i. e. whosoever looketh unto Him (הביש אל of a look of intense yearning, eager for salvation, as in Num. xxi. 9, Zech. xii. 10) brightens up. It is impracticable to make the ענום from ver. 3 the subject; it is an act and the experience that immediately accompanies it, that is expressed with an aniversal subject and in gnomical perfects. The verb נהר, here as in Isa. lx. 5, has the signification to shine, glitter (whence נהרה, light). Theodoret renders it: Ο μετά πίστεως τῷ θεῷ προσιών φωτός ἀχτῖνας δέχεται νοεροῦ, the gracious countenance of God is reflected on their faces; to the actus directus of fides supplex succeeds the actus reflexus of fides triumphans. comes to pass that their countenances must be covered with shame on account of disappointed hope: this shall not and

cannot be, as the sympathetic force of implies. In all the three dialects חפר) has the signification of being ashamed and scared; according to Gesenius and Fürst (root בר) it proceeds from the primary signification of reddening, blushing; in reality, however, since it is to be combined, not with ,..., but with خبر (cf. کفر, حقار), it proceeds from the primary signification of covering, hiding, veiling (Arabic chafira, tachaffara, used of a woman, cf. chamara, to be ashamed, to blush, to be modest, used of both sexes), so that consequently the shame-covered countenance is contrasted with that which has a bright, bold, and free look. In ver. 7, this general truth is again individualised. By זה סיני (like זה שני (like) in lxviii. 9) David points to himself. From the great peril in which he was placed at the court of the Philistines, from which God has rescued him, he turns his thoughts with gratitude and praise to all the deliverances which lie in the past.

Vers. 8—11. This praise is supported by a setting forth of the gracious protection under which God's saints continually are. The מלאה יהוה, is none other than He who was the medium of Jahve's intercourse with the patriarchs, and who accompanied Israel to Canaan. This name is not collective (Calvin, Hupfeld, Kamphausen, and others). He, the One, encampeth round about them, in so far as He is the Captain of the host of Jahve (Josh. v. 14), and consequently is accompanied by a host of inferior ministering angels; or insofar as He can, as being a spirit not limited by space, furnish protection that covers them on every side. הנה (cf. Zech. ix. 8) is perhaps an allusion to מחנים in Gen. xxxii. 2 sq., that angel-camp which joined itself to Jacob's camp, and surrounded it like a harricade or carrago. On the fut. consec. מְהַלְּצֵם, et expedit eos, as a simple expression of the sequence, or even only of a weak or loose internal connection, vid. Ewald, § 343, a. By reason of this protection by the Angel of God arises (ver. 9) the summons to test the graciousness of God in their own experience. Tasting (γεύσασθαι, Hebr. vi. 4 sq., 1 Pet. ii. 3) stands before seeing; for spiritual experience leads to spiritual perception or knowledge, and not vice versa. Nisi gustaveris, says Bernard, non videbis.

David is desirous that others also should experience what he has experienced in order that they may come to know what he has come to know, viz. the goodness of God.* Hence, in ver. 10, the call to the saints to fear Jahve יראוי instead of יראו, in order to preserve the distinction between veremini and videbunt, as in Josh. xxiv. 14, 1 Sam. xii. 24); for whoso fears Him, possesses everything in Him. The young mature lions may sooner lack and suffer hunger, because they have no prey, than that he should suffer any want whatsoever, the goal of whose striving is fellowship with God. The verb רוש (to lack, be poor, once by metaplasm ירוש, 1 Sam. ii. 7, root w7, to be or to make loose, lax), elsewhere used only of men, is here, like civ. 21 בקש מאל, transferred to the lions, without כפירים being intended to refer emblematically (as in xxxv. 17, lvii. 5, xvii. 12) to his powerful foes at the courts of Saul and of Achish.

Vers. 12—15. The first main division of the Psalm is ended; the second (much the same as in Ps. xxxii.) assumes more the tone of a didactic poem; although even vers. 6, 9-11 have something of the didactic style about them. The poet first of all gives a direction for fearing God. We may compare xxxii. 8, li. 15 - how thoroughly Davidic is the turn which the Psalm here takes! בנים are not children in years or in understanding; but it is a tender form of address of a master experienced in the ways of God to each one and to all, as in Prov. i. 8, and frequently. In ver. 13 he throws out the question, which he himself answers in vers. 14 sq. This form of giving impressiveness to a truth by setting it forth as a solution of some question that has been propounded is a habit with David: xv. 1, xxiv. 8, 10, xxv. 12. In the use made of this passage from the Psalms in 1 Pet. iii. 10-12 (= vers. 13—17 a of the Psalm) this form of the question is lost sight of. To חַכַץ הַיִּים, as being just as exclusive in sense, corresponds אהב ימים, so that consequently ולראות is a definition of the purpose. ימים signifies days in the mass, just as קיים means long-enduring life. We see from James

^{*} On account of this ver. 9, Γεύσασθε καὶ ἔδετε, κ. τ. λ., Ps. xxxiii. (xxxiv.) was the Communion Psalm of the early church, Constit. Apost. viii. 13, Cyril, Catech. Myst. v. 17.

iii. 2 sqq., where ver. 13 also, in its form, calls to mind the Psalm before us, why the poet give the pre-eminence to the avoiding of sins of the tongue. In ver. 15, from among what is good peace is made prominent, — peace, which not only are we not to disturb, but which we are to seek, yea, pursue it like as the hunter pursues the finest of the herds. Let us follow, says the apostle Paul also, Rom. xiv. 19 (cf. Hebr. xii. 14), after those things which make for peace. The idea of the bond of fellowship is connected with the corresponding word εἰρήνη, according to its radical notion.

Vers. 17-22. The poet now recommends the fear of God, to which he has given a brief direction, by setting forth its reward in contrast with the punishment of the ungodly. The prepositions \aleph and Σ , in vers 16α and 17α , are a well considered interchange of expression: the former, of gracious inclination (xxxiii. 18), the latter, of hostile intention or determining, as in Job vii. 8, Jer. xxi. 10, xliv. 11, after the phrase in Lev. xvii. 10. The evil doers are overwhelmed by the power of destruction that proceeds from the countenance of Jahve, which is opposed to them, until there is not the slightest trace of their earthly existence left. The subjects to ver. 18 are not, according to cvii. 17-19, the עשי רע (evil doers), since the indispensable characteristic of penitence is in this instance wanting, but the צדיקים (the righteous). Probably the p strophe stood originally before the y strophe, just as in Lam. ii - iv. the p precedes the y (Hitzig). In connection with the present sequence of the thoughts, the structure of ver. 18 is just like ver. 6: Clamant et Dominus audit = si qui (quicunque) clamant. What is meant is the cry out the depth of a soul that despairs of itself. Such crying meets with a hearing with God, and in its realisation, an answer that bears its own credentials. "The broken in heart" are those in whom the egotistical, i. e. self-loving, life, which encircles its own personality, is broken at the very root; "the crushed or contrite (ינאי), from אילות, with a changeable a, after the form אילות from in spirit" are those whom grievous experiences, leading to penitence, of the false eminence to which their proud selfconsciousness has raised them, have subdued and thoroughly humbled. To all such Jahve is nigh, He preserves them from despair, He is ready to raise up in them a new life upon the ruins of the old and to cover or conceal their infinitive deficiency; and, they, on their part, being capable of receiving, and desirous of, salvation, He makes them partakers of His salvation. It is true these afflictions come upon the righteous, but Jahve rescues him out of them all, מבלן = מבלם (the same enallage generis as in Ruth i. 19, iv. 11). He is under the most special providence, "He keepeth all his bones, not one of them (ne unum quidem) is broken" - a pictorial exemplification of the thought that God does not suffer the righteous to come to the extremity, that He does not suffer him to be severed from His almighty protecting love, nor to become the sport of the oppressors. Nevertheless we call to mind the literal fulfilment which these words of the psalmist received in the Crucified One; for the Old Testament prophecy, which is quoted in John xix. 33-37, may be just as well referred to our Psalm as to Exod. xii. 46. Not only the Paschal lamb, but in a comparative sense even every affliction of the righteous, is a type. Not only is the essence of the symbolism of the worship of the sanctuary realised in Jesus Christ, not only is the history of Israel and of David repeated in Him, not only does human suffering attain in connection with Him its utmost intensity, but all the promises given to the righteous are fulfilled in Him κατ' ἐξογήν; because He is the righteous One in the most absolute sense, the Holy One of God in a sense altogether unique (Isa. liii. 11, Jer. xxiii. 5, Zach. ix. 9, Acts iii. 14, xxii. 14). — The righteous is always preserved from extreme peril, whereas evil (רעה) slays מותח stronger than המית the ungodly: evil, which he loved and cherished, becomes the executioner's power, beneath which he falls. And they that hate the righteous must pay the penalty. Of the meanings to incur guilt, to feel one's self guilty, and to undergo punishment as being guilty, ששׁמ (vid. on iv. 11) has the last in this instance.

Ver. 23. The order of the alphabet having been gone through, there now follows a second ב exactly like xxv. 22. Just as the first ב, xxv. 16, is בוה, so here in ver. 17 it is

פֿבי; and in like manner the two supernumerary *Phe's* correspond to one another — the Elohimic in the former Psalm, and the Jehovic in this latter.

, PSALM XXXV.

CALL TO ARMS AGAINST UNGRATEFUL PERSECUTORS, ADDRESSED TO GOD.

- 1 CONTEND, Jahve, with those who contend with me, Fight Thou against those who fight against me.
- 2 Lay hold of shield and buckler, And stand up as my help.
- 3 And draw forth the spear and shut up the way against my persecutors,

Say unto my soul: I am thy salvation.

- 4 Let those be confounded and ashamed who seek after my soul,
 - Let those fall back and be covered with shame who devise my hurt.
- 5 Let them become as chaff before the wind, The Angel of Jahve thrusting them away.
- 6 Let their way become darkness and slipperinesses, The Angel of Jahve pursuing them.
- 7 For without cause have they hid for me their net, Without cause a pit have they digged for my soul.
- 8 Let destruction come upon him at unawares, And let his net, which he hath hid, catch himself, With a crash let him fall into it.
- 9 So shall my soul exult in Jahve, It shall rejoice in His salvation.
- 10 All my bones shall say: Jahve, who is like unto Thee, Who deliverest the afflicted from him who is too strong for him,

The afflicted and the poor from him who robbeth him!

11 Unjust witnesses rise up;
That which I know not, they ask of me.

12 They reward me evil for good, Bereavement hath come upon my soul.

13 And I — when they were sick, my clothing was sackcloth,

I mortified my soul with fasting, And my prayer returned into my own bosom.

14 As for a friend, a brother to me, did I go about,
As one who sorroweth for a mother, I went softly about
in mourning attire.

15 And now when I halt they are joyous and gather themselves together,

The abjects gather themselves together against me, and those whom I do not know,

They mock and cease not.

- 16 After the manner of common parasites, They gnash upon me with their teeth.
- 17 O Lord, how long wilt Thou look on?!
 Bring back my soul from their destructions,
 My only one from the lions.
- 18 I will praise Thee in a great congregation, Among much people will I sing praise unto Thee.
- 19 Let not mine enemies falsely rejoice over me, Let not those who hate me without a cause wink the eye.
- 20 For they utter not peaceful words,
 But against those who are quiet in the land they devise
 deceitful matters.
- 21 And they open their mouth wide concerning me, They say: Aha, aha, now our eye sees it.
- 22 Thou seest it, Jahve, therefore keep not silence; O Lord, remain not far from me.
- 23 Stir up Thyself and awake to my right, My God and my Lord, to my cause.

24 Do justice to me according to Thy righteousness, Jahve, my God,

And let them not rejoice over me.

- 25 Let them not say in their heart: Aha, it is our desire! Let them not say: We have swallowed him up.
- 26 Let those be ashamed and be covered with confusion together

Who rejoice at my hurt, Let those be clothed with shame and dishonour Who magnify themselves against me.

27 Let those shout for joy and rejoice who do not envy me my right.

And let them say continually: Jahve be magnified, Who hath pleasure in the prosperity of His servant.

28 And my tongue shall declare Thy righteousness, Thy praise at all times.

This Ps. xxxv. and Ps. xxxiv. form a pair. They are the only Psalms in which the name מלאך יהוה is mentioned. The Psalms that belong to the time of David's persecution by Saul are the Psalms which are more especially pervaded by such retrospective references to the Tôra. And in fact this whole Psalm is, as it were, the lyrical expansion of that which David expresses before Saul in 1 Sam. xxiv. 16 [15. Engl.]. The critical opinion as to the authorship of this Psalm is closely allied with that respecting the author of Ps. xl. and lxix. to which Ps. xxxv. is nearly related; cf. vers. 21, 27 with xl. 16 sq.; ver. 13 with lxix. 11 sq.; whereas the relation of Ps. lxxi. to Ps. xxxv. is decidedly a secondary one. Hitzig conjectures it to be Jeremiah; but vers. 1-3 are appropriate in the lips of a persecuted king, and not of a persecuted prophet. The points of contact of the writings of Jeremiah with our Psalm (Jer. xviii. 19 sq., xxiii. 12, Lam. ii. 16), may therefore in this instance be more safely regarded as reminiscences of an earlier writer than in Ps. lxix. Throughout the whole Psalm there prevails a deep vexation of spirit (to which corresponds the suffix pr., as in Ps. lix, lvi. xi. xvii. xxii. lxiv.)

and strong emotion; it is not until the second part, where the poet describes the base ingratitude of his enemies, that the language becomes more calm and transparent, and a more quiet sadness takes the place of indignation and rage.

Each of the three parts opens with a cry for deliverance; and closes, in the certain assumption that it will take place, with a vow of thanksgiving. The divisions cannot therefore be mistaken, viz. vers. 1—10, 11—18, 19—28. The relative numbers of the stichs in the separate groups is as follows: 6. 6. 5. 5. \ 7. 7. 5. \ 6. 6. 6. 5.

There are only a few Psalms of David belonging to the time of Saul's persecution, which, like Ps. xxii., keep within the limits of deep inward grief; and in scarcely a single instance do we find him confining himself to the expression of the accursed fate of his enemies with prophetic certainty, as that which he confidently expects will be realised (as, e.g., in vii. 13-17). But for the most part the objective announcement of punishment is swallowed up by the force of his inmost feelings, and changed into the most importunate prayer (as in vii. 7, xvii. 13, and frequently); and this feverish glow of feeling becomes still more harshly prominent, when the prayer for the revelation of divine judgment in punishment passes over into a wish that it may actually take place. In this respect Ps. vii. xxxv. lxix. cix. form a fearful gradation. In Ps. cix., the old expositors count as many as thirty anathemas. What explanation can we give of such language coming from the lips and heart of the poet? Perhaps as paroxysms of a desire for revenge? His advance against Nabal shews that even a David was susceptible of such feelings; but 1 Sam. xxv. 32 sq. also shews that only a gentle stirring up of his conscience was needed to dissuade him from it. How much more natural — we throw out this consideration in agreement with Kurtz - that the preponderance of that magnanimity peculiar to him should have maintained its ascendancy in the moments of the highest religious consecration in which he composed his Psalms! It is inconceivable that the unholy fire of personal passion could be here mingled with the holy fire of his love to God. It is in fact the Psalms more especially, which are the purest and most faithful mirror of the piety of the Old Testament:

the duty of love towards one's enemies, however, is so little alien to the Old Testament (Exod. xxiii. 4 sq., Lev. xix. 18, Prov. xx. 22, xxiv. 17, xxv. 21 sq., Job xxxi. 29 sq.), that the very words of the Old Testament are made use of even in the New to inculcate this love. And from Ps. vii., in its agreement with the history of his conduct towards Saul. we have seen that David was conscious of having fulfilled this duty. All the imprecatory words in these Psalms come, therefore, from the pure spring of unself-seeking zeal for the honour of God. That this zeal appears in this instance as zeal for his own person or character arises from the fact, that David, as the God-anointed heir of the kingdom, stands in antagonism to Saul, the king alienated from God; and, that to his mind the cause of God, the continuance of the church, and the future of Israel, coincide with his own destiny. The fire of his anger is kindled at this focus (so to speak) of the view which he has of his own position in the course of the history of redemption. It is therefore a holy fire; but the spirit of the New Testament, as Jesus Himself declares in Luke ix. 55, is in this respect, nevertheless, a relatively different spirit from that of the Old. That act of divine love, redemption, out of the open fountain of which there flowed forth the impulse of a love which embraces and conquers the world, was then as yet not completed; and a curtain then still hung before eternity, before heaven and hell, so that imprecations like lxix. 20 were not understood, even by him who uttered them, in their infinite depth of meaning. Now that this curtain is drawn up, the New Testament faith shrinks back from invoking upon any one a destruction that lasts לעולם; and love seeks, so long as a mere shadow of possibility exists, to rescue everything human from the perdition of an unhappy future, - a perdition the full meaning of which cannot be exhausted by human thought.

In connection with all this, however, there still remains one important consideration. The curses, which are contained in the Davidic Psalms of the time of Saul's persecution, are referred to in the New Testament as fulfilled in the enemies of Jesus Christ, Acts i. 20, Rom.xi. 7—10. One expression found in our Psalm, ἐμίσησάν με δωρεάν (cf. lxix. 5) is used by Jesus

(John xv. 25) as fulfilled in Him; it therefore appears as though the whole Psalm ought to be, or at least may be, taken typically as the words of Christ. But nowhere in the Gospels do we read an imprecation used by Jesus against His own and the enemies of the kingdom of God; David's imprecations are not suited to the lips of the Saviour, nor do the instances in which they are cited in the New Testament give them the impress of being His direct words: they are treated as the language of prophecy by virtue of the Spirit, whose instrument David was, and whose work the Scriptures are. And it is only in this sense that the Christian adopts them in prayer. For after the pattern of his Lord, who on the cross prayed "Father forgive them", he desires that even his bitterest enemies may not be eternally lost, but, though it be only when in articulo mortis, that they may come to their right mind. Even the anathemas of the apostle against the Judaising false teachers and against Alexander the smith (Gal. i. 9, v. 12, 2 Tim. iv. 14), refer only to temporal removal and chastisement, not to eternal perdition. They mark the extreme boundary where, in extraordinary instances, the holy zeal of the New Testament comes in contact with the holy fervour of the Old Testament.

Vers. 1-3. The psalmist begins in a martial and anthropomorphical style such as we have not hitherto met with. On the ultima-accentuation of רכה, vid. on iii. 8. Both are signs of the accusative. This is a more natural rendering here, where the psalmist implores God to subjugate his foes, than to regard no as equivalent to by (cf. Isa. xlix. 25 with ib. xxvii. 8, Job x. 2); and, moreover, for the very same reason the expression in this instance is (in the Kal, which otherwise only lends the part. Day, lvi. 2 sq., to the Niph. נלחם) instead of the reciprocal form חלחם. It is usually supposed that לחם means properly vorare, and war is consequently conceived of as a devouring of men; but the Arabic offers another primary meaning: to press close and compact (Niph. to one another), consequently מלחמה means a dense crowd, a dense bustle and tumult (cf. the Homeric xhóvos). The summons to Jahve to

arm, and that in a twofold manner, viz. with the מָנוֹן for warding off the hostile blow and צנה (vid. v. 13) which covers the body like a testudo — by which, inasmuch as it is impossible to hold both shields at the same time, the figure is idealised — is meant to express, that He is to make Himself felt by the foes, in every possible way, to their own confounding, as the unapproachable One. The כעורתי of בעורתי (in the character of help turned towards me) is the so-called Beth essentiæ,* as in Exod. xviii. 4, Prov. iii. 26, Isa. xlviii. 10 (tanquam argentum), and frequently. הריק has the same meaning as in Exod. xv. 9, cf. Gen. xiv. 14, viz. to bring forth, draw forth, to draw or unsheath (a sword); for as a sword is sheathed when not in use, so a spear is kept in the δουροδόκη (Odyss. i. 128). Even Parchon understands סנֹכ to mean a weapon; and the word σάγαρις, in Herodotus, Xenophon, and Strabo, a northern Asiatic, more especially a Scythian, battle-axe, has been compared here; ** but the battle-axe was not a Hebrew weapon, and המנה, which, thus defectively written, has the look of an imperative, also gives the best sense when so taken (LXX. סטראבוססע, Targ. וטרוק), viz. close, i. e. cut off, interclude scil. viam. The word has Dechî, because לְקרֵאת רֹדְפַּי, "casting Thyself against my per-

^{*} The Hebrew Beth essentiæ is used much more freely and extensively than the Arabic, which is joined exclusively to the predicate of a simple clause, where in our language the verb is "to be", and as a rule only to the predicate of negative clauses: laisa bi-hakimin, he is not wise, or laisa bi-l-hakimi, he is not the wise man. The predicate can accordingly be indeterminate or determinate. Moreover, in Hebrew, where this I is found with the predicate, with the complement of the subject, or even, though only as a solecism (vid. Gesenius' Thesaurus p. 175), with the subject itself, the word to which it is prefixed may be determinate, whether as an attribute determined by itself (Exod. vi. 3, 'I''), by a suffix (as above, xxxv. 2, cf. cxlvi. 5, Exod. xviii. 4, Prov. iii. 26), or even by the article. At all events no syntactic objection can be brought against the interpretations of 'YUZ, "in the quality of smoke", xxxvii. 20; cf. 'IXXVIII. 33, and of 'YZZ, "in the character of the soul", Lev. xvii. 11.

^{**} Probably one and the same word with the Armenian sakr, to which are assigned the (Italian) meanings mannaja, scure, brando ferro, in Ciakciak's Armenian Lexicon; cf. Lagarde's Gesammelte Abhandlungen, 1866, S. 203.

secutors", belongs to both the preceding summonses. Dachselt rightly directs attention to the similar sequence of the accents in lv. 19, lxvi. 15. The Mosaic figure of Jahve as a man of war (אַליש מלחמה), Exod. xv. 3, Deut. xxxii. 41 sq.) is worked out here with brilliant colours, under the impulse of a wrathful spirit. But we see from ver. 3b what a spiritual meaning, nevertheless, the whole description is intended to convey. In God's intervention, thus manifested in facts, he would gladly hear His consolatory utterance to himself. The burden of his cry is that God's love may break through the present outward appearance of wrath and make itself felt by him.

Vers. 4-8. Throughout the next two strophes follow terrible imprecations. According to Fürst and others the relation of שום and is like that of erblassen, to turn pale (cf. Isa. xxix. 22 with Ps. xxxiv. 6), and erröthen, to turn red, to blush. 212 has, however, no connection with וץ, nor has בוץ, כשׁב, , any connection with בוץ, to be red; but, according to its radical notion, will means disturbari (vid. vi. 11), and and, obtegere, abscondere (vid. xxxiv. 6). ילנו, properly "let them be made to fall back" (cf., e. g., Isa. xlii. 17). On the figure in ver. 5a cf. lxxxiii. 14. The clauses respecting the Angel of Jahve, vers. 5b and 6b, are circumstantial clauses, viz. clauses defining the manner. הַהָּד (giving, viz. them, the push that shall cause their downfall, equivalent to on or or, lxviii. 28) is closely connected with the figure in ver. 6a, and DETT, with the figure in ver. 5a; consequently it seems as though the original position of these two clauses respecting the Angel of Jahve had been disturbed; just as in Ps. xxxiv., the y strophe and the strophe have changed their original places. It is the Angel, who took off Pharaoh's chariot wheels so that they drave them heavily (Exod. xiv. 25) that is intended here. The fact that this Angel is concerned here, where the point at issue is whether the kingship of the promise shall be destroyed at its very beginning or not, harmonises with the appearing of the מלאך ה' at all critical junctures in the course of the history of redemption. חלקלקות, loca passim lubrica, is an intensive form of expression for הַלְּקוֹת, lxxiii. 18. Just as הַהָּד recalls to mind Exod.

xv., so recalls Judges v. In this latter passage the Angel of Jahve also appears in the midst of the conquerors who are pursuing the smitten foe, incarnate as it were in Deborah.

Ver. 7 also needs re-organising, just as in vers. 5 sq. the original positions of החה and בדלם are exchanged. would be a pit deceptively covered over with a net concealed below; but, as even some of the older critics have felt, אחש is without doubt to be brought down from ver. 7a into 7b: without cause, i. e. without any provocation on my part, have they secretly laid their net for me (as in ix. 16, xxxi. 5), without cause have they digged a pit for my soul. In ver. 8 the foes are treated of collectively. לא ידע is a negative circumstantial clause (Ew. § 341, b): improviso, as in Prov. v. 6, Isa. xlvii. 11 extrem. Instead of תלכדם, the expression is חלכרו, as in Hos. viii. 3; the sharper form is better adapted to depict the suddenness and certainty of the capture. According to Hupfeld, the verb signifies a wild, dreary, confused noise or crash, then devastation and destruction, a transition of meaning which — as follows from שואה (cf. ההר) as a name of the desolate steppe, from אוא, a waste, emptiness, and from other indications — is solely brought about by transferring the idea of a desolate confusion of tones to a desolate confusion of things, without any intermediate notion of the crashing in of ruins. But it may be asked whether the reverse is not rather the case, viz. that the signification of a waste, desert, emptiness or void is the primary one, and the meaning that has reference to sound (cf. , , to gape, be empty; to drive along, fall down headlong, then also: to make a dull sound as of something falling, just like rumor from ruere, fragor from frangi) the derived one. Both etymology (cf. ההה, whence הלהו) and the preponderance of other meanings, favour this latter view. Here the two significations are found side by side, inasmuch as שואה in the first instance means a waste = devastation, desolation, and in the second a waste = a heavy, dull sound, a rumbling (δουπεῖν). In the Syriac version it is rendered: "into the pit which he has digged let him fall", as though it were שואה in the second instance instead of שואה; and from this Hupfeld, with J. H. Michaelis, Stier, and others,

is of opinion that it must be rendered: "into the destruction which he himself has prepared let him fall". But this quam ipse paravit is not found in the text, and to mould the text accordingly would be a very arbitrary proceeding.

Vers. 9-10. This strophe, with which the first part of the song closes, contains the logical apodosis of those imprecatory justives. The downfall of the power that is opposed to God will be followed by the joy of triumph. The bones of the body, which elsewhere are mentioned as sharing only in the anguish of the soul (vi. 3, xxxi. 11, xxxii. 3, li. 10), are here made to share (as also in li. 10) in the joy, into which the anxiety, that agitated even the marrow of the bones, is changed. The joy which he experiences in his soul shall throb through every member of his body and multiply itself, as it were, into a choir of praiseful voices. with a conjunctive accent and without Makkeph, as also in Prov. xix. 7 (not -5), vid. the Masora in Baer's Psalterium p. 133), is to be read $c\bar{a}l$ (with קמץ, opp. קמץ, מכף) according to Kimchi. According to Lonzano, however, it is to be read col, the conjunctive accent having an equal power with Makkeph; but this view is false, since an accent can never be placed against Kametz chatuph. The exclamation is taken from Exod. xv. 11, where, according to the Masora, it is to be pointed מי כמוך, as Ben Naphtali also points it in the passage before us. The Dagesh, which is found in the former passage and is wanting here, sharpens and hardens at the same time; it requires that the expression should be emphatically pronounced (without there being any danger in this instance of its being slurred over); it does not serve to denote the closer connection, but to give it especial prominence. אַקָּק מְמֵנּוּ, stronger than he, is equivalent to: strong, whereas the other is weak, just as in Jer. xxxi. 11, cf. Hab. i. 13, צדיק ממנו, righteous, whereas he is ungodly. The repetition of יעני is meant to say: He rescues the אָביון, who is אָביון (poor) enough already, from him who would take even the few goods that he possesses.

Vers. 11—16. The second part begins with two strophes of sorrowful description of the wickedness of the enemy. The futures in vers. 11, 12 describe that which at present takes place.

Σχη ατο μάρτορες άδικοι (LXX.). They demand from him

a confession of acts and things which lie entirely outside his consciousness and his way of acting (cf. lxix. 5): they would gladly brand him as a perjurer, as an usurper, and as a plunderer. What David complains of in ver. 12a, we hear Saul confess in 1 Sam. xxiv. 18; the charge of ingratitude is therefore well-grounded. שבול לנפשי is not dependent on ישלמוני, in which case one would have looked for rather than שבול, but a substantival clause: "bereavement is to my soul", its condition is that of being forsaken by all those who formerly shewed me marks of affection; all these have, as it were, died off so far as I am concerned. Not only had David been obliged to save his parents by causing them to flee to Moab, but Michal was also torn from him, Jonathan removed, and all those at the court of Saul, who had hitherto sought the favour and friendship of the highly-gifted and highly-honoured son-in-law of the king, were alienated from him. And how sincerely and sympathisingly had he reciprocated their leanings towards himself! By ואני in ver. 13, he contrasts himself with the ungrateful and unfeeling ones. Instead of לכשתי שכן, the expression is לבושר שיק; the tendency of poetry for the use of the substantival clause is closely allied to its fondness for well-conceived brevity and pictorial definition. He manifested towards them a love which knew no distinction between the ego and tu, which regarded their sorrow and their guilt as his own, and joined with them in their expiation for it; his head was lowered upon his breast, or he cowered, like Elijah (1 Kings xviii. 42), upon the ground with his head hanging down upon his breast even to his knees, so that that which came forth from the inmost depths of his nature returned again as it were in broken accents into his bosom. Riehm's rendering, "at their ungodliness and hostility my prayer for things not executed came back", is contrary to the connection, and makes one look for אלי instead of אל-חיקי. Perret-Gentil correctly renders it, Je priai la tête penchée sur la poitrine.

The psalmist goes on to say in ver. 14, I went about as for a friend, for a brother to me, i. e. as if the sufferer had been such to me. With הַחָהֵל, used of the solemn slowness of gait; which corresponds to the sacredness of pain, alternates שַׁהַשְּׁ used of the being bowed down very

low, in which the heavy weight of pain finds expression. הבל אם, not: like the mourning (from אבל , like הבל from of a mother (Hitzig), but, since a personal אבל is more natural, and next to the mourning for an only child the loss of a mother (cf. Gen. xxiv. 67) strikes the deepest wound: like one who mourns (לְבֶּךְ , like לְבֶּךְ, Gen. xlix. 12, from אבל. construct state, like מממא for a mother (the objective genitive, as in Gen. xxvii. 41, Deut. xxxiv. 8, Amos viii. 10, Jer. vi. 26). קרך signifies the colours, outward appearance, and attire of mourning: with dark clothes, with tearful unwashed face, and with neglected beard. But as for them how do they act at the present time, when he finds himself in צלע (xxxviii. 17, Job. xviii. 12), a sideway direction, i. e. likely to fall (from מֹלֹב, צַלֵע, to incline towards the side)? They rejoice and gather themselves together, and this assemblage of ungrateful friends rejoicing over another's misfortune, is augmented by the lowest rabble that attach themselves to them. The verb נַכָה means to smite; Niph. אבא, Job xxx. 6, to be driven forth with a whip, after which the LXX. renders it μάστιγες, Symm. πληκται, and the Targum conterentes me verbis suis; cf. הבה בלשון, Jer. xviii. 18. But כבים cannot by itself mean smiters with the tongue. The adjective בכה signifies elsewhere with רגלים, one who is smitter in the feet, i. e. one who limps or halts, and with רוּה, but also without any addition, in Isa. xvi. 7, one smitten in spirit, i. e. one deeply troubled or sorrowful. Thus, therefore, נָכֶה from נָכָה, like נָאָה from אָאָה, may mean smitten men, i. e. men who are brought low or reduced (Hengstenberg). It might also, after the Arabic nanika, to be injured in mind, anwak, stupid, silly (from the same root 73, to prick, smite, wound, cf. ichtalla, to be pierced through = mad), be understood as those mentally deranged, enraged at nothing or without cause. But the former definition of the notion of the word is favoured by the continua-

^{*} According to the old Babylonian reading (belonging to a period when Pathach and Segol were as yet not distinguished from one another), אַכֶּל (with the sign of Pathach and the stroke for Raphe below = a); vid. Pinsker, Zur Geschichte des Karaismus, S. 141, and Einleitung, S. 118.

tion of the idea of the verbal adjective וַלֹא יַרְעָהֵי by ,וְלֹא יַרְעָהִי, persons of whom I have hitherto taken no notice because they were far removed from me, i. e. men belonging to the dregs of the people (cf. Job xix. 18, xxx. 1). The addition of ילא ידעהי certainly makes Olshausen's conjecture that we should read נכרים somewhat natural; but the expression then becomes tautological, and there are other instances also in which psalm-poesy goes beyond the ordinary range of words, in order to find language to describe that which is loathsome, in the most glaring way. קרַע, to tear, rend in pieces, viz. with abusive and slanderous words (like قرع II.) also does not occur anywhere else. And what remarkable language we now meet with in ver. 16 a! מעונ does not mean scorn or buffoonery, as Böttcher and Hitzig imagine*, but according to 1 Kings xvii. 12, a cake of a round formation (like the Talmudic לְעֵי:, a circle); לֶעָי, jeering, jesting. Therefore לעני מעוג means: mockers for a cake, i. e. those who for a delicate morsel, for the sake of dainty fare, make scornful jokes, viz. about me, the persecuted one, vile parasites; German Tellerlecker, Bratenriecher, Greek wusσοκόλακες, ψωμοκόλακες, Mediæval Latin buccellarii. This לעני מעוג, which even Rashi interprets in substantially the same manner, stands either in a logical co-ordinate relation (vid. on Isa. xix. 11) or in a logical as well as grammatical subordinate relation to its regens הנפי. In the former case, it would be equivalent to: the profane, viz. the cake-jesters; in the latter, which is the more natural, and quite suitable: the profane (= the profanest, vid. xlv. 13, Isa. xxix. 19, Ezek. vii. 24) among cake-jesters. The \supset is not the Beth of companionship or fellowship, to express which שא or הא (Hos. vii. 5) would have been used, but Beth essentiæ or the Beth of characterisation: in the character of the most abject examples of this class of men do they gnash upon him with their teeth. The gerund הָרֹק (of the noise of the teeth being pressed together, like of the crackling of a fire and the grating of a file), which is used according to Ges. § 131,

^{*} The Talmudic לְנִינוֹ (לְינֵין), B. Sanhedrin 101b, which is said to mean "a jesting way of speaking", has all the less place here, as the reading wavers between אוא (אוא) and אוא.

4, b, carries its subject in itself. They gnash upon him with their teeth after the manner of the profanest among those, by whom their neighbour's honour is sold for a delicate morsel.

Vers. 17—18. Just as the first part of the Psalm closed with wishes, and thanksgiving for their fulfilment, so the second part also closes with prayer and thanksgiving. במה (compounded of ב, instar, and the interrogative שה which is drawn into the genitive by it; Aramaic כמא, Arabic kam, Hebrew, like ממה, with Dag. forte conjunct., properly: the total of what?), which elsewhere means quot, here has the signification of quousque, as in Job vii. 19. משאיהם from לשאה, the plural of which may be both שאם and מאה (this latter, however, does not occur), like the plural of אימה, terror, אימים and אימים. The suffix, which refers to the enemies as the authors of the destructions (Prov. iii. 25), shews that it is not to be rendered "from their destroyers" (Hitzig). If God continues thus to look on instead of acting, then the destructions, which are passing over David's soul, will utterly destroy it. Hence the prayer: lead it back, bring that back, which is already well nigh borne away to destruction. On יְחֵירֶה vid. xxii. 21. The בפירים, which is intended literally in xxxiv. 11, is here emblematical. is the cohortative. Duy as a parallel word to always refers, according to the context, to strength of numbers or to strength of power.

Vers. 19—21. In the third part, vers. 19—28 the description of the godlessness of his enemies is renewed; but the soul of the praying psalmist has become more tranquil, and accordingly the language also is more clear and moves on with its accustomed calmness. They and are genitives, having an attributive sense (vid. on 2 Sam. xxii. 23). The verb psignifies both to pinch = nip, Job xxxiii. 6 (cf. the Arabic karada, to cut off), and to pinch together, compress = to wink, generally used of the eyes, but also of the lips, Prov. xvi. 30, and always as an insidiously malicious gesture. They rules over both members of the verse as in lxxv. 6, and frequently. They in ver. 20 is the word for whatever proceeds from good intentions and aims at the promotion or restoration of a harmonious relationship.

רְגַעִי־אָרֶץ, (from יְרֵגֵעִי־אָרֶץ, cf. עָבִיִי־אָרֶץ, lxxvi. 10, Zeph. ii. 3, lxxxiii. 4) are those who quietly and unostentatiously walk in the ways of God. Against such they devise mischievous, lying slanders and accusations. And with wideopened mouth, i. e. haughty scorn, they cry, as they carouse in sight of the misfortune of those they have persecuted: now we have that which we have longed to see. אָדוֹ (composed of הַהָּדֹ and הַאָּר) is a cry of joy, and more especially of malignant joy at another's hurt (cf. Ezek.xxv. 3).

Vers. 22—24. The poet takes up this malignant "now our eye sees it" and gives another turn to it. With יהורה, alternates in vers. 22, 23, cf. ver. 17, אֵלְנֵי, the pronominal force of which is revived in the combination אֵלְנֵי (vid. xvi. 2). הַּעִּיר , carrying its object within itself, signifies to stir, rouse up, and הַקִּין, to break off, tear one's self away, gather one's self up from, sleep. "To my right", viz. to prove it by facts; "to my cause", to carry it on in my defence.

Vers. 25—26. On the metonymical use of מים, like τὸ ὁρεκτικόν for ὁρεξις, vid. Psychol. S. 203 [tr. p. 239]. The climax of desire is to swallow David up, i. e. to overpower him and clear him out of the way so that there is not a trace of him left. בּלְעֵנְהְדְּהְּ with y before J, as in cxxxii. 6, and frequently; on the law of the wowels which applies to this, vid. Ewald, § 60, a. שֹׁבְּהִר נְעָהִי נִל (בִּ) בְּעָהִי זֹל (בִּן בְּעָהִי זֹל (בִּן בְּעָהִי מִל (בַּן בְּעָהִי מִל (בַּן בְּעָהִי מִל (בַּן בְּעָהִי מִל (בַּן בַּעָהִי מִל (בַּן בַּעָהִי מַל (בַּן בַּעָהִי cover them, and their public external appearance corresponds with their innermost nature.

Vers. 27—28. Those who wish that David's righteousness may be made manifest and be avenged are said to take delight in it. When this takes place, Jahve's righteousness is proved. יבול, let Him be acknowledged and praised as great, i. e. let Him be magnified! David desires that all who remain true to him may thus speak; and he, on his part, is determined to stir up the revelation of God's righteousness in his heart, and to speak of that of which his heart is full (lxxi. 24).



