Cambridge Patristic Texts.

GENERAL EDITOR—A. J. MASON, D.D., MASTER OF PEMBROKE COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

THE

CATECHETICAL ORATION

OF

GREGORY OF NYSSA.

London: C. J. CLAY AND SONS,

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,

AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgom: 50, WELLINGTON STREET.



Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

Reio Bork: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

Bombap and Calcutta: MACMILLAN & CO., Ltd.

THE

CATECHETICAL ORATION

OF

GREGORY OF NYSSA

EDITED BY

JAMES HERBERT SRAWLEY, M.A.,

LATE SCHOLAR OF GONVILLE AND CAIUS COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

THEOLOGICAL LECTURER AT SELWYN COLLEGE.

SOMETIME VICE-PRINCIPAL OF LICHFIELD THEOLOGICAL COLLEGE.

CAMBRIDGE: AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

1903

the Managers of the Hort Fund for the grants which have made it possible for him to obtain collations or photographs of these MSS. He has also to acknowledge much kindness and personal assistance rendered to him by the authorities of the various libraries to which he has had access. In this connexion a special debt of gratitude is due to Dr Mercati of the Vatican Library, and to M. Omont of the National Library, Paris. To Mr C. H. Turner he is indebted for valuable information and suggestions in connexion with the textual problems of the treatise. His thanks are also due to Dr H. Jackson for useful references and suggestions, and to the Rev. J. F. Bethune-Baker for criticisms and discussions of particular passages. Above all he has been indebted throughout to the unfailing courtesy and kindness of the General Editor of the present series of Patristic Texts, Dr A. J. Mason, who has placed his advice unreservedly at the service of the present editor, and who has read through the whole work in manuscript and proof, and offered numerous suggestions and criticisms.

The more important works to which reference has been made are mentioned in the Notes, and more fully in the List of Books given in the Introduction.

J. H. S.

Easter, 1903.

CONTENTS.

Litera o Divor	way	PAGE
INTRODUCT	TON	
§ 1.	On the character, date, genuineness, and literary history of the <i>Oratio Catechetica</i>	ix
§ 2.	On some points in the teaching of Gregory of Nyssa	xvii
§ 3.	History of the Text .	xliii
Тне Сате	CHETICAL ORATION	I
Indices		
I.	Subjects .	165
II.	Scripture Texts .	170
III.	Greek Words	172
Corrigenda.		182

INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. ON THE CHARACTER, DATE, GENUINENESS, AND LITERARY HISTORY OF THE ORATIO CATECHETICA.

THE central period of the literary activity of Gregory of Nyssa falls within the years 379—394. Within those years must be placed nearly all his more important works. It was the death of Basil in 379 which brought him prominently forward, and placed him in the position of the champion of Catholicism in Cappadocia. The time was rich in opportunities. The year which preceded the death of Basil had witnessed the fall of Arianism and the triumph of the Nicene cause, for which Gregory had borne his witness not only in teaching, but by submitting to banishment at the hands of an Arian governor¹.

In the stirring events of the years which followed Gregory played an important part. It was his own position as one of the foremost leaders of the Nicene cause in the East, rather than the importance of his see, which led to his being summoned to the Council of Constantinople in 381, and to his being named in the edict of the Emperor as one of the bishops with whom communion was required as a test of orthodoxy.

¹ See Basil Epp. 237, 239. Cp. Greg. Naz. Ep. 72 (ed. Ben.).

At Constantinople he made the acquaintance of Jerome, who had been attracted thither by the fame of Gregory of Nazianzus, and it was on this occasion that Jerome heard Gregory recite his work against Eunomius1. The period which followed the Council of Constantinople was full of hope for the leaders of orthodoxy. Arianism, though still fairly strong in the East, had received its death-blow at Adrianople. The way was opened for the restoration of the Catholic faith. In that task Gregory of Nyssa played a leading part. But with the restoration of the faith a fresh presentation of it in the terms of a scientific theology became necessary. That was a conviction which had already begun to occupy the minds of Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus. They were both students of Origen, whose theological system, though not accepted in its entirety, was the only adequate form of Christian scientific thought known to that age. compilation of the Philocalia2 is a testimony to their belief that Origen's thought contained the principles by means of which the faith might be presented as a rational theology. It is in their attempt to realize this dream of a 'league between Faith and Science3' that the importance of the Cappadocian Fathers largely consists. Gregory of Nyssa shared this belief4, and was more deeply imbued with the spirit of Origen than either Basil or Gregory of

¹ Jerome de Vir. Ill. c. 128. The work which Jerome heard recited was probably an earlier draft of the work which we possess. See infra.

² On the *Philocalia* see the letter sent by Gregory of Nazianzus (about 382) to Theodosius, Bp of Tyana (*Ep.* 115). On the obligations of both Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus to Origen see Socrates *H. E.* iv 26.

² Cp. Harnack H. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 89.

⁴ See de Vita Moysis (written in old age), p. 336 (Migne). Εστι γάρ τι και τῆς Εξω παιδεύσεως πρὸς συζυγίαν ἡμῶν εἰς τεκνογονίαν ἀρετῆς οὐκ ἀπό-βλητον. και γὰρ ἡ ἡθική τε και φυσική φιλοσοφία γένοιτο ἄν ποτε τῷ ὑψηλοτέρφ βίφ συζυγός τε και φίλη και κοινωνὸς τῆς ζωῆς.

Nazianzus. The *Oratio Catechetica* approaches more nearly to the spirit of the *de Principiis* than any other work of the fourth century¹.

The attempt to establish the doctrines of orthodoxy by rational thought was both opportune and necessary. In the first place current religious conceptions had been profoundly affected by the influence of Neoplatonism. It was rather as an attitude of mind than as a philosophical system that Neoplatonism played a part in the moral culture of the heathen world. It influenced men's way of looking at religious truth, by leading them to dwell upon the inner world, the life of thought and spirit, and to find in it the explanation of the universe. The result was a more spiritual conception of God. According to Plotinus the Divine Being is of the nature of thought and is indivisible2. This marked a great advance upon the materialistic conceptions of Deity which characterized Stoic teaching and popular thought, even within the Church³, and it rendered easier the task of those who had to state the Christian doctrine of the Trinity and defend it against the unitarian or tritheistic conclusions which so readily followed from a materialistic view of being. Again, the speculations of philosophers on the existence of hypostases within the Divine Being4 had made it easier to present to men's minds the unity and co-eternity of the Persons of the Godhead⁵. Once

¹ Cp. Harnack H. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 334.

² See e.g. Ennead. v 1. 3 sq. Cp. Bigg Neoplatonism (S. P. C. K.), p. 166 sq.

³ Tertullian is an example. We have a later illustration in the anthropomorphism of the Egyptian monks.

⁴ On the Trinity of Numenius see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 251. On the Trinity of Plotinus see Ennead. v 1. 6 sq.

⁵ There is of course a wide gulf between the Trinity of Plotinus and the doctrine of the Church. The former taught the unity and co-eternity of

more, the widespread fatalism¹ of the age and the existence of Manichaeism² called for some adequate treatment of the Divine Providence³, the origin of evil, and the nature and destiny of man⁴. Lastly there was the task of justifying to current thought the Christian doctrines of the Incarnation and Atonement.

Such were the circumstances under which the Oratio Catechetica was produced. The purpose of the treatise is stated in the opening words of the Prologue. It is intended not for catechumens, but for catechists, in order to enable them to present in a rational form to those whom they taught the contents of the Christian revelation. Yet it does not profess to set forth a complete system of doctrine. While it abounds in philosophic thought, the aim throughout is practical. The object of the writer is to enable the catechist to remove objections and to win conviction. When he calls to his aid the speculations of philosophers, he does so, not so much because he regards them as the necessary form of truth, as because they provide a common ground for argument. The apologetic character, in fact, is strongly marked throughout.

the hypostases, but excluded the idea of their co-equality. The Intelligence is inferior to the One, and the Soul is inferior to the Intelligence. Both Intelligence and Soul are emanations from the One, which is infinitely raised above them both. Cp. Bright Age of Fathers i p. 93.

- 1 See Gregory's treatise περί είμαρμένης.
- ² Cp. Or. Cat. prol. ή προς τον Μανιχαΐον μάχη, c. 7 οl τοῖς Μανιχαικοῖς δόγμασι παρασυρέντες. Edicts were issued against them throughout this period. See refl. in Gieseler Eccl. Hist. (Eng. tr.) i 369 note 3.
 - ³ See further notes on cc. 5-8.
- ⁴ Gr.'s polemic against Manichaeism also throws light upon his language on the ἀποκατάστασις in c. 26 (see notes) and his defence of human generation in c. 28.

The work falls roughly into four divisions:

- I. Chs. 1—4, in which he expounds the doctrine of the Trinity.
- II. Chs. 5—8, in which he treats of the creation of man and the origin of evil.
- III. Chs. 9—32, which deal at length with the Incarnation, removing objections, and showing its consistency with the moral attributes of God. In the same section Gregory treats of the method of the Atonement.
- IV. Chs. 33—40, which treat of the Sacraments of Baptism and the Eucharist, and the moral conditions (faith and repentance) which are necessary for their right use.

The only indication supplied by the book itself as to its date is the reference in c. 38 (init.) to his earlier controversial treatises on the faith. This has generally been taken to refer, or at least to include a reference, to his work against Eunomius. That work had been taken in hand as a reply to Eunomius, who had answered Basil's refutation of his former apology by an 'Apologia Apologiae.' Eunomius' book had appeared either shortly before or shortly after the death of Basil1. The rough draft $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \ \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota a)$ of Gregory's reply, as we gather from the prefatory letter to his brother Peter2, had already been made before Gregory's return from Armenia, where he had been towards the end of the year 380, probably, as Tillemont thinks, for the consecration of his brother Peter as bishop of Sebasteia3. It was only in response to the urgent requests of friends that

¹ For a discussion of the question see Heyns (p. 55, note 1) and Diekamp Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. Nyss. p. 126, note 2.

² p. 237 (Migne).

³ See Tillemont Mém. Eccl. ix 578.

Gregory was led to publish his book. How far it was advanced at the time when Jerome heard Gregory recite it at Constantinople¹ it is difficult to say, but it seems probable that the completed work, which is by far the longest of all Gregory's works, was not published before 382 or 3832. In 383 Gregory was present at a synod at Constantinople and delivered his oration de Deitate Filii et Spiritus Sancti, which also contains an attack upon the Anomœans³. These works fully satisfy the description which Gregory gives in c. 38 of his previous controversial and critical works on faith4. Thus the Or. Cat. would seem to be later than 383. But it is probably not much later. Though the danger from the Anomæan teaching does not occupy a prominent place in the book, it is still before his mind⁵. It is probable then that the Oratio Catechetica was written in one of the years immediately following 383.

The title is given in the best MSS as λόγος κατηχητικός. Similarly Photius (Bibl. Cod. 233) and Maximus, in his comment on Ps.-Dionys. de Eccl. Hier. iii. § 11, allude to it as ὁ κατηχητικός. But in some MSS and in the Paris editions the words ὁ μέγας have crept into the title.

¹ v. supra p. x. Rupp's suggestion (p. 134, note 4) that the last two books of Basil's Refutation, which are wrongly attributed to him, are the work which Gregory read to Jerome and Gregory Nazianzen, is devoid of any support. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 125, note 4.

² For a discussion of the relation of Gr.'s work to the apologies of Eunomius see Diekamp op. cit. p. 126, note 3.

³ On the date of this work see Ceillier Auteurs sacrés viii 353.

⁴ His shorter treatises de Fide, Quod non sint tres dii, and de S. Trinitate (which probably belongs to Gregory, rather than to Basil) were addressed to private individuals.

⁵ See *prol.* and cc. 38, 39.

⁶ Similarly Euthymius and the 'Disputatio Theoriani.'

⁷ The earliest Ms which has the words ὁ μέγαs is the Paris codex Gr. 1268 (Omont 294).

Its genuineness is well attested, as it is referred to by a succession of later writers. It is quoted by Theodoret1 in his Dialogues, and by Leontius of Byzantium in his treatise against Nestorius and Eutyches. John of Damascus in the de Fide Orthodoxa borrows largely from its language on the Trinity and again on the Eucharist. Germanus, Patriarch of Constantinople (ob. 733), in a work which Photius had read (Bibl. Cod. 233), refers to it. There are also clear reminiscences of some of its language on the Trinity in Ps.-Cyril de S. Trinitate. Euthymius Zigabenus in the twelfth century incorporates large sections of it into his Panoplia Dogmatica. In another twelfth century work containing the account of a discussion held between Nerses or Noreses, the Catholicos of Armenia, and Theorianus, who had been sent by the Emperor Manuel Comnenus to win him over to the doctrines of Chalcedon, there is a reproduction of Gregory's chapter on the Eucharist. But though the work is frequently cited as belonging to Gregory, a careful perusal of its contents excited the suspicions of orthodox readers. The traces of Origenistic teaching, especially on the ἀποκατάστασις, in the writings of one who ranked amongst the three great Fathers of the Eastern Church, needed explanation. Accordingly an attempt was made to prove that Gregory's writings had been interpolated by the Origenists. This idea first appears in the book written by Germanus, to which Photius refers. The work was entitled 'Ανταποδοτικός $\hat{\eta}$ 'Avo θ eutós. In the first part of the book Germanus refuted the teaching of Origen on the purgation of wicked spirits. In the latter part he maintains that the works of Gregory of Nyssa had been falsified by

¹ For fuller reffs. see infra.

the Origenists, who had inserted many passages from Origen's writings. The works to which he referred are, according to Photius, the *de Anima et Resurrectione*, the *Oratio Catechetica* and the *de Vita Perfecta*. But the idea of a universal restoration occurs too frequently in Gregory's writings¹ to be disposed of by a theory of interpolation, which further receives no support from any change of style.

An objection of a different character has been raised against the concluding chapter of the treatise by Aubertin², on the ground that Gregory, after treating of Baptism in cc. 34—36, and of the Eucharist in c. 37, again returns to Baptism in c. 40. But the objection is of little value, as the whole section, cc. 38—40, deals with the moral conditions which are essential to the life of grace, and as baptism marks the initiation into that life it is naturally chosen as the point of reference for his remarks.

The spurious addition to c. 40, found in the Paris editions and in some late manuscripts, is an extract from a work on the Incarnation by Theodore of Rhaithu, a monk of the seventh century, and its presence in the text is due to a blunder of transcription.

The Oratio Catechetica has received considerable attention in modern times as representing more adequately than any single treatise the characteristic features of Gregory's teaching. Ueberweg, who in his History of Philosophy (p. 326) speaks of Gregory as 'the first who sought to establish by rational considerations the whole

¹ Other passages in which Gregory teaches an ἀποκατάστασις are de Hom. Opif. c. 21, in Psalmos i 9, Or. in illud Tunc ipse Filius (of doubtful genuineness) p. 1316 (Migne), de Mortuis pp. 524, f. (Migne), in Chr. Resurr. Or. i pp. 609, f. (Migne).

² de Sacram. Eucharist. ii 487 (quoted by Rupp p. 147).

complex of orthodox doctrines,' devotes a special section to this work.

§ 2. ON SOME POINTS IN THE TEACHING OF GREGORY OF NYSSA.

The purpose of the *Oratio Catechetica* is to set forth in a manner suited to the needs of those engaged in the instruction of converts the contents of the Baptismal Creed. Gregory starts from the religious beliefs of the Greek and the Jew, and maintains that the Christian doctrine of God is the mean between Greek polytheism and Judaism. The former recognised a distinction of hypostases, the latter the unity of nature, in the Divine Being. He refers to non-Christian conceptions of a

¹ Bibl. Cod. 6.

² See the letter of Gregory of Nazianzus (Ερ. 11, ed. Ben.) written to Gr., reproving him for his excessive devotion to rhetorical studies, which had led him to resign his office of ἀναγνώστης.

³ The Or. Cat. abounds in similes. Especially characteristic are the following: the mixture of water with the oil of a lamp (c. 6), the mind of man wandering at will over the universe (c. (o), the flame of the wick (ibid.), the dog letting fall his food to catch at its reflection in the water (c. 21), the comparison of Satan to a ravenous fish who swallows both hook and bait (c. 24), the doctor waiting for the disease to come to a head (c. 29), the snake which has received its death-stroke, but still shows signs of life (c. 30).

Word of God, and further seeks to convince the Greek of the existence of a Word and Spirit of God by an appeal to 'general ideas,' based on the facts of human nature1. On the other hand he seeks to lead the Jew, from indications contained in the Old Testament*, to accept, as consonant with his earlier teaching, the Catholic faith. In dealing with the Greek his treatment is speculative. In dealing with the Jew he appeals to Scripture. In both cases he makes use of the facts of history. The miracles of Christ, the rise, growth, and extension of the Church⁸, all are adduced to confirm the impression of the truth of Christianity which has been gained from an examination of its contents. The argument from prophecy and Old Testament types, which played such an important part in earlier apologies, does not find a place in his treatment. But he states in the clearest way, when treating of the Incarnation, the moral argument. Again and again he appeals to the moral glory exhibited in God's plan of redemption. The Incarnation was an exhibition of the Love of God and was consistent with, and worthy of, His moral nature. This he regards as the sole and sufficient answer to all objections. It is consistent with God's honour to succour the needy. Such a work supplied the most splendid occasion for the exercise of His power. That His power could condescend so low was a greater miracle than any of the wonders of Creation. That Gregory appeals to each of these three classes of arguments, speculative, historical, and moral, is, as Rupp says, a proof of the impartiality of his judgment and of his theological acuteness.

¹ See prol. cc. 3, 1, 5. ² See c. 4. ³ See cc. 12, 18.

⁵ See cc. 8 (sub fin.), 9, 15, 17, 20, 24, 26.

⁵ Gregors Leben und Meinungen p. 246.

Gregory, as has been already remarked, does not attempt a complete scientific treatment of his subject. His aim is not to produce a *de Principiis* suited to the needs of the fourth century and based upon the Nicene Creed. He has in view the immediate, practical needs of Christian teachers. Yet there are at least the outlines of a theological system in the *Oratio Catechetica*, and it is to this fact that its resemblance to the work of Origen is due.

The influence of Origen upon Gregory's work is seen in three points.

- I. In the first place his general treatment of his subject shows how deeply he had imbibed the spirit of Origen. His attempt to illustrate and explain Christian truth with the help of the philosophical conceptions of Greek thought is inspired by Origen¹. Like his great master he too would seek to claim the philosophy of the heathen world as a friend and partner in the pursuit of the higher life².
- 2. Again, Gregory's exegesis of Scripture is derived, like that of Basil, from Origen. He expounds the principles of the allegorical method of interpretation in c. 32 of the Or. Cat., where he is dealing with objections to the manner of Christ's death. All words and acts of the Gospel have, he declares, a higher and more Divine meaning³ than that which lies upon the

¹ Cp. Rupp, *l.c.* 'Origen is great by virtue of the single thought of bringing philosophy into union with religion, and producing thereby a theology. With Clement of Alexandria this was still a mere instinct. Origen gave it consciousness, and so Christianity began to have a science of its own.'

² Cp. de Vit. Moysis, l.c. supra.

ε κατά τον ύψηλοτερον τε και θειότερον λόγον.

surface. There is in all passages alike an admixture of the Divine element with the human. The voice or action proceeds after a human manner, while the hidden meaning1 manifests the Divine element. So in the Death of Christ we can recognize the human element, the shame and weakness, while the outstretched arms of the Sufferer preach the Divine lesson of the all-embracing love of God. The early chapters of Genesis he treats, as Origen had done before him, as allegories. The stories of Paradise and the coats of skin2 contain doctrines written in the form of a narrative and after the manner of history. The coats of skin do not refer to literal skins. The inner meaning of the story, expressed in veiled languages, is that physical death was appointed by God as a merciful provision for undoing the effects of man's fall. Once more Gregory accuses the Jews of having misunderstood all that the Law had expressed in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning⁵. Such a method of exegesis was common in the age of Gregory. Allegorism was practised by all parties alike, when it suited their purpose. Some of these mystical interpretations of particular passages had passed into the current tradition of the Church. The allegorical method was, moreover, particularly suited to the work of the apologist. It enabled him to claim the Old Testament in support of Christian belief and to harmonize it with the doctrines of the Church. At the same time it afforded him a weapon

¹ τοῦ κατὰ τὸ κρυπτὸν νοουμένου.

² cc. 5, 8.

³ **ἰσ**τορικώτερον.

⁴ δι' αlνιγμάτων (c. 8).

⁵ δσα παρά τοῦ νόμου δι' αἰνιγμάτων τοῖς μυστικῶς ἐπαίειν ἐπισταμένοις διήρηται.

⁶ E.g. in c. 32 Gr. claims to have received the interpretation which he gives of the Cross έκ παραδόσεως.

wherewith to repel the counter-claims of Judaism. Behind Gregory's use of allegorism, however, there is often a profoundly spiritual conception of the meaning of Scripture¹.

3. Once more it is in his whole conception of the Divine Providence that Gregory shows himself the disciple of Origen. To him, as to Origen, the history of the world represents a vast movement from a beginning to an end, embracing all created beings, and advancing towards a final unity in which God will be all in all². To both alike it is God's goodness which is the cause of Creation3. In the system of Origen, however, man does not occupy quite the same central position in Creation as he does in the teaching of Gregory. According to Origen man is but one factor in the world of spirits4. Gregory returns to the view of earlier Fathers and regards man as the sole cause and the end of Creation⁵. In him the two worlds of sense and spirit find a meeting-point6. Origen's view was necessitated by his belief in the pre-existence of souls

¹ See a fine passage in c. Eunom. vii p. 744 (Migne) διὰ τοῦτο πᾶσα γραφή θεόπνευστος λέγεται, διὰ τὸ τῆς θείας ἐμπνεύσεως εἶναι διδασκαλίαν. εἰ περιαιρεθείη τὸ σωματικὸν τοῦ λόγου προκάλυμμα, τὸ λειπόμενον Κύριός ἐστι καὶ ζωή καὶ πνεῦμα, κατά τε τὸν μέγαν Παῦλον, καὶ κατὰ τὴν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου φωνήν. For further passages illustrating Gr.'s principles of exegesis see in Cant. Cantic. p. 756 sq. (Migne), and (on the συγκατάβασις of Scripture) de Comm. Not. p. 181 (Migne).

² St Paul's words, I Cor. xv 28, are a favourite text with Gr. as with Origen. Cp. e.g. de An. et Res. p. 104 (Migne).

³ See Or. Cat. c. 5. Cp. Origen de Princ. ii 9. 6.

⁴ See c. Celsum iv 99 (Philocalia, c. 20, p. 150, ed. Rob.) οξμαι δή αποδεδειχέναι έκ τῶν προειρημένων, πῶς ἀνθρώπφ καὶ παντὶ λογικῷ τὰ πάντα πεποίπται.

⁵ Or. Cat. c. 5.

 $^{^6}$ Or. Cat. c. 6 τοῦ αἰσθητοῦ πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν γίνεται τις κατὰ θείαν σοφίαν μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις.

and a pre-temporal fall, which Gregory rejects. But in his treatment of free-will and the origin of evil Gregory again shows himself the disciple of Origen¹. The possession of free-will was necessary to the perfection of that 'image of God' in which man was made. The result of its possession was that the participation in good was made the reward of virtue. It is through this endowment of free-will that evil becomes possible. For evil springs from within and is due to the action of man's will in turning away from what is good. Evil has no substantive existence but arises from the absence of virtue. The insistence on man's free-will, which had characterized Origen's teaching when face to face with the predestinarian views of the Gnostics, was no less important at the time when Gregory wrote, in face of the fatalism which characterized heathen thought, and above all in view of the danger from Manichaeism. The conception of the negative character of evil Gregory shares with other teachers of his age. It appears in Athanasius and Basil, and is an indication of their common debt to Origen. At the same time it marks a point of contact with Platonism3, originating as it does in the identification of $\tau \delta$ $d\gamma a\theta \delta \nu$ and $\tau \delta$ $\delta \nu^4$. But it is in the application of these two ideas of man's freewill and the negative character of evil to the larger question of the Providence of God that Gregory far outdistances his contemporaries and shows himself a thoroughgoing disciple of Origen. It is one of the merits of both teachers that they are able to assign a

¹ For Origen's treatment of free-will see de Principiis Bk iii (Philocalia, c. 21).

² For the whole of what follows see Or. Cat. c. 5.

³ See notes on c. 5.

⁴ Cp. Archer Hind Timaeus of Plato pp. 31-33.

real importance to man's free-will in their system of thought. But man's free-will cannot defeat the final purpose of God, and evil, from its unsubstantial character, cannot be eternal. God must finally be 'all in all.' The purpose of God includes the redemption and restoration to God of all created spirits, Satan included. The purification of man is the work of grace. But those who have not passed through the gate of Baptism have none the less their own appropriate purification. The Divine Power in contact with evil acts as a refining fire. Satan himself will be purged by it and be led to acknowledge the justice and redemptive power of God. Then, when the purifying fire has done its work, there will arise from all Creation a chorus of praise¹. This doctrine of $d\pi o$ κατάστασις, which proved such a stumbling-block to later ages and led to the suggestion that Gregory's works had been interpolated, shows how completely Gregory had made his own the main outlines of Origen's system². In their conception of a purifying discipline in the after-life both Origen and Gregory are re-echoing the thoughts of Plato in the Gorgias3, but the former certainly believed himself to be interpreting the language of Scripture4, while the great text of St Paul, already referred to, supplied them both with the Scriptural basis

¹ See cc. 8, 26, 35.

² For reff. to Origen see notes on the passages quoted above. For other passages in which Gr. adheres to traditional language on the subject of future punishment see notes on c. 26.

³ For reff. see notes on c. 8.

⁴ E.g. I Cor. iii 15. For other reff. see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 230. Gr.'s teaching on the κάθαρσις applies to a different stage in the history of the soul from that of the Western doctrine of Purgatory. The former takes place after the resurrection, the latter between death and judgment. Again the former deals with the purification of the bad, the latter with the purification of the good. See Mason Purgatory pp. 18—20.

which they sought for their belief in the final restoration of all created spirits to God.

In his treatment of human nature in the Or. Cat. Gregory departs from Origen, who adopted St Paul's terminology of 'body,' 'soul,' and 'spirit.' It suited better the purpose of Gregory's apology to adopt the simpler division into 'intelligible' and 'sensible' or 'invisible' and 'visible,' in order that he might exhibit man as the centre of creation and the meeting-point of the two worlds of matter and spirit. At the same time his method enables him to assert the closeness of the union between the two.

Once more Gregory appears to emphasize more clearly than Origen the antithesis of God and the world. Thus when dealing with Creation in its relation to God he no longer uses the antithesis of $\tau \delta$ $\nu o \eta \tau \delta \nu$ and $\tau \delta$ $a l \sigma \theta \eta \tau \delta \nu$, which would place all spiritual beings in the same category, but abandoning here Plato and Origen, he draws a contrast between 'created' and 'uncreated'.' This enabled him to assert the transcendence of God, an idea on which he is continually dwelling in his other works'.

It is a sign of Gregory's independence of thought and versatility of mind that, while he has shown himself a true disciple of Origen and has followed him in some

¹ He uses the words μίξιs, ἀνάκρασιs, συνανάκρασιs of this union. See c. 6. Gr.'s treatment of the union of soul and body, and the relation of his thought to that of Plotinus is discussed by Bergades de Universo et de Anima hominis doctr. Greg. Nyss. §§ 9-13.

² C. 27.

³ Cp. de An. et Res. p. 92 sq. (Migne), esp. the words Επεί δε οὖν παντὸς ἀγαθοῦ ἐπέκεινα ἡ θεία φύσις. See also c. Eunom. ii p. 473 (Migne), iii p. 601, de Hom. Op. c. 11. This feature, which Gr. shares with Athanasius and Gregory Nazianzen, marks a point of contact with the Neoplatonists. See, however, Diekamp op. cit. pp. 183, 184.

of his most daring speculations, he has also shown himself susceptible to influences from another teacher who led in his day a reaction from Origen, and even figured as one of his most determined opponents.

The influence of Methodius upon Gregory's thought in the Oratio Catechetica is unmistakeable, and extends not only to important conceptions, but even to similarity of literary expression. In the first place Gregory shares Methodius' conception of the place occupied by death in the Divine order. According to Gregory² death was a temporary institution⁸, not a necessity of man's nature. It affects only the physical or sensuous part of man, and the work which it fulfils in the remedial purpose of God4 is to free man's physical nature from the evil implanted in it by sin, by dissolving it and refashioning it⁵ in its original beauty. He illustrates this by the case of a potter, who, when he finds that some ill-disposed person has filled with molten lead the vessel which he has fashioned, breaks up the unbaked clay and remodels it. Methodius' account is similar. According to him 'God devised death that by this means we might be rendered

¹ The illustration of the potter in Or. Cat. c. 8 appears to be derived from Methodius de Resurrectione Lib. i c. 44 (ed. Bonwetsch, p. 146). Again the description of death in Or. Cat. cc. 16, 35 recalls the language of Method. de Resurr. Lib. i c. 38 (ed. Bon. p. 132) οὐδὲν γὰρ ἄλλο ὁ θάνατος ἢ διάκρισις και χωρισμὸς ψυχῆς ἀπὸ σώματος. Gr.'s description of the 'angel of the earth' and the φθόνος of Satan (Or. Cat. c. 6) recalls the passage in Method. de Resurr. Lib. i c. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130). For the coats of skin (Or. Cat. c. 8) cp. Method. de Resurr. Lib. i c. 39 (ed Bonw. p. 136). The illustration derived from human generation (Or. Cat. c. 33) is found in Method. de Resurr. Lib. ii c. 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).

² Or. Cat. c. 8.

³ ούχ ώς άει παραμένειν and ibid. πρός καιρόν.

⁴ του την κακίαν ημών ιατρεύοντα, ibid.

⁵ πρὸς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κάλλος ἀναστοιχειώσει.

altogether free from blemish and injury 1'; and he explains his meaning by the two illustrations of the worker in metal and the potter. Yet in adopting the point of view of Methodius with regard to the physical nature of man, Gregory shows his originality by combining with it the idea of the purification of the soul by the practice of virtue in this life and the purificatory discipline of the after-life. Starting from this conception of the redemption of the body, we find that both Methodius and Gregory take the same view of the redemptive work of Christ. According to the somewhat confused language of Methodius, Adam represents the whole of humanity which was assumed by Christ's. When man went astray, Christ the Shepherd came to seek him and 'bare him up' and 'wrapped Himself around him' that he might not again be overwhelmed and swallowed up by the waves and deceits of pleasure. 'For in this way the Word assumed man, in order that, overcoming the serpent, He might through Himself destroy the condemnation which had followed upon man's ruin. For it was fitting that by no other should the Evil One be overcome, but by him whom he had deceived and over whom he was boasting that he had gained the mastery; for in no other way was it possible that sin and condemnation should be destroyed, unless that same man, on whose account it had been said, "Earth thou art and unto earth shalt thou return," should be refashioned and

¹ de Resurr. Lib. i c. 42 sq. (ed. Bonw. pp. 142 sq.).

² Or. Cat. c. 8 ἐν μὲν τῆ παρούση ζωἢ τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς φάρμακον εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τοιούτων προσετέθη τραυμάτων. εἰ δὲ ἀθεράπευτος μένοι, ἐν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίφ τεταμίευται ἡ θεραπεία.

See Conviv. iii 6 οὐτω δὴ πάλιν καὶ ἐν τῷ ἀνειληφότι Χριστῷ τὸν ᾿Αδὰμ πάντες ζωοποιηθῶσιν (ed. Jahn, p. 19). Cp. also iii 4, 7, 8.

⁴ ibid. βαστάσαντος αὐτὸν τοῦ κυρίου και ἀμφιεσαμένου.

⁵ ἀναπλασθείς.

undo the sentence which on his account had issued forth upon all, that, as in Adam formerly all die, even so again in Christ, who assumed Adam, all should be made alive¹.'

There are resemblances in this exposition to the earlier teaching of Irenaeus2, but the many points of contact with Methodius' conceptions and the form in which he illustrates them3 seem to show fairly conclusively that Gregory chose the latter as his model. According to Gregory Christ assumed humanity for the purpose of knitting together in an inseparable union the body and soul which had been severed in death, and recalling the primal grace⁵ which had belonged to human nature. As the principle of death had passed throughout the whole of human nature, so the principle of life resulting from Christ's Resurrection passes to all. He first united the soul which He had assumed in an indissoluble union with His own body by His resurrection. Then on a larger scale⁶ he inaugurated the same union for all humanity. Thus He becomes the 'meetingground7' of life and death, by arresting the process of dissolution in man's nature, and Himself becoming

 $^{^1}$ ibid. Cp. also the words in c. 7 δπως ὁ Κύριος, ἡ ἀφθαρσία νικήσασα τὸν θάνατον, εὐήχως τὴν ἀνάστασιν μελφδήση τῆ σαρκί, μὴ ἐάσας αὐτὴν κληρονομηθῆναι πάλιν ὑπὸ τῆς φθορᾶς. See also the mystical application to the Church of the story of the creation of Eve, ibid. c. 8. The reff. throughout are to Jahn's edition.

² See Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.), vol. iii p. 105 (cp. ii 239 ff.).

³ See supra, p. xxv, note 1.

⁴ Or. Cat. c. 16.

 $^{^{8}}$ ώς 8 ή πρώτη περί το ἀνθρώπινον χάρις ἀνακληθείη. Cp. c. $_{35}$ ώστε της κακίας έν τη διαλύσει τοῦ σώματος καὶ της ψυχης έκρυείσης πάλιν διὰ της ἀναστάσεως σῶον καὶ ἀπαθη καὶ ἀκέραιον καὶ πάσης της κατὰ κακίαν ἐπιμιξίας ἀλλότριον ἀναστοιχειωθηναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον.

⁶ γενικωτέρω τινὶ λόγω.

⁷ μεθόριον.

the originating principle of the union of the severed portions¹.

In these somewhat realistic expositions of the work of redemption we find certain clearly marked conceptions which are held in common by Methodius and Gregory. There is the same idea of the purpose of death as a means of removing the evil which had entered man's physical nature through the Fall. There is the same idea of Christ's union with humanity as a whole. And lastly there is the same conception of the reconstitution of human nature through the Resurrection of Christ. These conceptions form the leading features of Gregory's doctrine of redemption.

Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation exhibits in detail many points of resemblance to that of Athanasius. As we have seen his general conceptions follow in the main those of Methodius. It is rather on the apologetic side that his expositions recall those of Athanasius. Both writers recognize the importance of history. They both appeal to the miracles of Christ², and to His Virgin-Birth and Resurrection; also to the witness of facts as exhibited in the rise and growth of the Church and in the decline of heathenism and Judaism³. They both deal with the question 'Why did not God restore man by a mere fiat?', though they answer it in different ways⁴. Both appeal to the immanence of God in Creation in order to justify the idea of an Incarnation⁵. Both treat

¹ See further the expositions in *Or. Cat.* cc. 32, 35, esp. the words in c. 32, $\dot{\eta}$ τοῦ μέρους ἀνάστασις έπὶ τὸ πᾶν διεξέρχεται, κατὰ τὸ συνεχές τε καὶ $\dot{\eta}$ νωμένον τῆς φύσεως ἐκ τοῦ μέρους ἐπὶ τὸ ὅλον συνεκδιδομένη.

² Or. Cat. cc. 12, 13. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 18, 38, 49, 50.

³ Or. Cat. cc. 13, 18. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 40, 46, 55.

⁴ Or. Cat. cc. 15, 17. Cp. Ath. de Inc. 44, Or. c. Ar. ii 68.

⁵ Or. Cat. c. 25. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 41, 42.

of the necessity of the death of Christ', but Gregory has emphasized more clearly than Athanasius the fact that death was necessary in order that Christ's assumption of human nature might be complete. The particular manner of the death, Crucifixion, is also discussed by both writers, though more fully by Athanasius?. Both see in the outstretched arms of Christ a manifestation of His purpose to unite all men to Himself's. While Athanasius asserts that man's ills could not be cured by any external remedy. Gregory maintains that man needed to be touched in order to be cured. On the other hand Athanasius emphasizes far more clearly than Gregory the purpose of the Incarnation to restore in man the knowledge of God which had been blurred by sin6. In one or two respects Gregory added to the expositions given by Athanasius, as when he deals with the question why the Incarnation was delayed, and answers it by the analogy of the physician who waits till the disease has reached a climax before applying a cure7.

Gregory deals with the question, why sin has not ceased to exist since the Incarnation, by adducing the simile of a serpent⁸ which has received its death-blow, though life continues for a time in its extremities. And again he answers the question why grace has not come to all by saying, in language that recalls Butler in later times, that God has left something to man's initiative and made him free to accept or refuse God's offer⁹. On

¹ Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 21, 22.

² Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 23-25.

³ Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. c. 25.

⁴ Ath. de Inc. c. 44. 5 Or. Cat. c. 27. 6 de Inc. cc. 11-19.

⁷ Or. Cat. c. 29. Cp. Ath. Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68.

⁸ Or. Cat. c. 30. ⁹ ibid.

the whole, however, Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation lacks the completeness and profundity which is found in Athanasius'.

What has been said above of Gregory's relation to Origen has served also to bring into notice the debt which both Fathers owe to Plato. Gregory's other works exhibit his intimate acquaintance with Plato's Dialogues², and show how freely he employed Plato's thoughts in setting forth the doctrine of the Trinity³. Yet Gregory fully understood the limits within which Platonism might be of service to the theology of the Church. It was at best a useful ally, which might be enlisted to strengthen and illustrate his exposition of the faith. It is thus that he employs the Platonic psychology to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity in the opening chapters of the Oratio Catechetica⁴.

¹ In his treatment of the Divine Word in Or. Cat. c. 1 Gr. uses language which resembles that of Athanasius, e.g. his statement that God was never without a Word (cp. Or. c. Ar. i 19), and his contrast between the Divine Word and its transitory, human counterpart (cp. Or. c. Ar. ii 35).

² See passages quoted by Diekamp Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. N. p. 33.

E.g. in the treatises c. Eunomium, Quod non sint tres dii and de Comm. Notionibus. See Rupp Gregors Leben und Meinungen p. 136. Bardenhewer (Patrologie p. 278) speaks of him as 'anticipating the extreme Realism of the Middle Ages.'

⁴ Of the influence of the later Platonists there is in the Or. Cat. apparently little trace. Similarly there is only a sparing use made of Aristotle. In his chapter on the Eucharist (c. 37) Gr. employs the Aristotleian antithesis of δύναμις and ἐνέργεια, and 'form' (είδος) and matter. But in this case he was probably only availing himself of terminology which had entered into the current eclectic philosophy of the day. His treatment of είδος in other works (e.g. de Hom. Op. c. 27) shows the influence of both Methodius and Origen. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 44, note 2. See further notes on άλλοιωτικής and reff. to Aristotle's doctrine of nutrition in c. 37.

We may now proceed to consider some points in the teaching of the Oratio Catechetica in which Gregory's independence of earlier Fathers is most apparent. First in order stands his presentation of the doctrine of the Trinity. His treatment of the subject is somewhat slight when compared with the length at which he discusses the Incarnation. There were two reasons for this. His earlier works had expounded at full length his ideas upon the subject1. And again it is assumed by him that in an apologetic work such as the Oratio Catechetica professes to be, there was less need to deal at length with objections to this doctrine than was the case in the doctrine of the Incarnation. The 'general ideas' of the Greek might be regarded as predisposing him to believe that there was a Word of God and a Spirit of God, while the indications in the Old Testament of hypostases within the Godhead might serve to convince the Jew2. But in what he does say his treatment is original and suggestive. He is the first Father to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity from the psychology of human nature. Starting from the Platonic analysis of human consciousness as consisting of vovs, λόγος, $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, he proceeds to argue that in the case of the Godhead this implies three distinct hypostases within the Divine Being. The Divine Logos and Spirit must correspond to the Divine Nature and be proportionately higher than their human counterparts. They must accordingly be living and have life in themselves. And in order to have life in the fullest sense they must be personal, possessing will and the power to perform what they will. Gregory's illustration is based upon the

¹ Cp. c. 38.

² Cp. the opening words of c. 5, where he also states the difficulties likely to be felt about the Incarnation. Cp. also c. 9.

belief, which he exhibits in other works, that human nature is a mirror, which faithfully reflects the traits of its Divine archetype. At the same time Gregory is conscious of the inadequacy of our faculties to explore the mode of the existence of Deity, and he acknowledges that we can only attain a moderate degree of apprehension of the Divine Being.

Another contribution which Gregory makes to Christian thought in the *Oratio Catechetica* is his treatment of the relation of the work of redemption to the attributes of God⁸. These he represents as four, power, righteousness, goodness, and wisdom⁴. The goodness of God was shown in his desire to rescue man, His wisdom in the method chosen to carry into effect this desire⁵. The power of God, which is not in its exhibition divorced from love⁶, was shown in the surpassing wonder of God's condescension, which enabled Him to come down to the level of man. Such humiliation was a wonder no less than that a flame should stream downwards, instead of upwards⁷. The righteousness of God was displayed in His manner of dealing with the great adversary of man⁸. In treating of this question Gregory

¹ Cp. de An. et Res. p. 41 (Migne) οῦτως ἐν τῆ βραχύτητι τῆς ἡμετέρας φύσεως τῶν ἀφράστων ἐκείνων τῆς θεότητος ἰδιωμάτων αὶ εἰκόνες ἐκλάμπουσιν: de Mortuis p. 509 (Migne) ἐπὶ μὲν γὰρ τῆς ἐν τῷ κατόπτρφ μορφῆς ἡ εἰκὼν πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον σχηματίζεται: ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς χαρακτῆρος, τὸ ἔμπαλιν νενσήκαμεν κατὰ γὰρ τὸ θεῖον κάλλος τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς εἶδος ἀπεικονίζεται. οὐκοῦν ὅταν πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον ἐαυτῆς βλέπη ἡ ψυχὴ τότε δι' ἀκριβείας ἐαυτὴν καθορᾶ. There are many such passages. The passage in Quid sit ad imag. Dei p. 1333 (Migne), which recalls Gr.'s language in the Or. Cat., cannot be adduced in illustration, as the treatise is almost certainly a later work, probably by Anastasius Sinaita. See Ceillier viii 248.

² c. 3 init. ² cc. 19—26.

⁶ c. 20. ⁵ c. 23 sub fin. Cp. c. 20.

⁶ Cp. c. 24, τὰ ἐφεξῆς τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκοπήσωμεν, ἐν οἰς μάλιστα δείκνυται συγκεκραμένη τῆ φιλανθρωπία ἡ δύναμις.

⁷ ibid. ⁸ cc. 21—23, 26.

is led to discuss the nature of the Atonement, and in so doing he develops in a highly original manner the theory which earlier Fathers had framed upon the subject1. His teaching finds a parallel in that of Ambrose and later writers, and the idea of a ransom paid to Satan and a deception practised upon him, though rejected by one or two important Fathers, became widely current in East and West until Anselm brought it to an edifying end2. His argument is as follows. As we had freely sold ourselves to evil, He who sought to restore us to liberty could not resort to arbitrary and tyrannical methods, but must proceed by methods of strict justice. This involved the payment to Satan, as owner of mankind, of such a ransom as he was willing to receive. The spectacle of Christ's miracles led the adversary to select Him as the ransom-price, while the veil of Christ's human nature, hiding the Godhead, removed all cause for fear, and led him to desire Christ as his prey. In reply to the argument that this involved an act of deception, since the Godhead of Christ was veiled from Satan, Gregory replies that it was an act of strict justice. Satan was requited according to his deserts, in that the deceiver was in turn deceived. But he adds a further answer. Behind the justice of God and this apparent act of deception there was a beneficent purpose. Just as a physician deceives

¹ For these earlier theories see reff. in notes on c. 23. It is a significant fact that in his exposition of the atonement Gregory does not treat of the ideas of propitiation or satisfaction, or of the relation of the sacrifice of Christ to the sacrifices of the Old Testament. In the passage in Chr. resurr. Or. i p. 612 (Migne) he speaks of the sacrifice of the lamb but only in connexion with the Eucharist. In c. Eunom. ii p. 473 (Migne) the shedding of the blood is the 'ransom price' by which we are delivered from death.

² See notes on c. 23.

his patient by mixing a drug with his food, so the purpose of the deceit practised in the Incarnation was to benefit the adversary himself. Satan himself will be purged by the Divine power, acting as a refining fire, and will be led at last to acknowledge the saving power of Christ's work of redemption'. In this exposition, with its combination of the thoughts of his master Origen and his own ingenious fancies, Gregory's imagination attains its highest flight. In his whole treatment of the Atonement Gregory falls far short of the more profound and Scriptural teaching of Athanasius.

The concluding section of the Oratio Catechetica is devoted to an exposition of the doctrine of the Sacraments². Gregory defends the principle involved in the Divine working through sacramental channels on general grounds by the same appeal to the Divine immanence which he had employed in dealing with the Incarnation³. But the assurance that God is present and works through such means is based upon His promise to be present in this particular way⁴. The validity of the sacramental rite accordingly depends upon the cooperation of our wills with the promise of God to act through these means. The prayers which are offered by us at baptism neither effect nor hinder the validity of the Sacrament, which depends upon the promise of God⁵.

Another feature in Gregory's treatment of the Sacraments is his insistence that through them there is a continuation of the process of the Incarnation. Thus

¹ c. 26.

² cc. 33—40.

³ cc. 34, 36, esp. the words in c. 36, τίς γὰρ πάρεστι πόνος τῷ πράγματι, πιστεῦσαι πανταχοῦ τὸν θεὸν εἶναι;

c. 34, καὶ θεοῦ παρέσεσθαι τοῖς γινομένοις ἐπηγγελμένου καὶ τὴν παρ'
ἐαυτοῦ δύναμιν ἐντεθεικότος τῷ ἔργῳ, καθ' ἄ πεπιστεύκαμεν.

⁵ ibid.

he says of Baptism1: 'Since the method of our salvation was made effectual, not so much by instruction in the way of teaching, as by the very acts of Him who has established a fellowship with man, and has effected life as an actual fact, in order that, by means of the flesh which He has assumed and at the same time deified, everything kindred and related to it may be saved along with it, it was necessary that some means should be devised by which there might be in the baptismal process a kind of affinity and likeness between him who follows and Him who leads the way.' Similarly, in dealing with the Eucharist, he says that the Incarnate Christ 'infused Himself into our perishable nature, that by communion with Deity mankind might at the same time be deified.' Then he proceeds: 'For this end it is that by dispensation of His grace He disseminates Himself in every believer through that flesh, whose substance is from bread and wine, blending Himself with the bodies of believers, that by this union with that which is immortal man too may be a sharer in incorruption.'

In both passages the idea is that the process of 'deification' which was consummated in the humanity of Christ by the hypostatic union of the Word with it, is continuously effected in mankind at large through union with Christ in the Sacraments. Gregory's language presents a fairly close parallel to the similar treatment of the question in the *de Trinitate* of St Hilary.

In his treatment of Baptism Gregory emphasizes the importance of a right faith for the practical needs of the Christian life. By his reference to his former controversial works on the Trinity³ he clearly shows the inner

¹ c. 35. ² c. 37 sub fin. ³ c. 38.

spiritual significance of the battle which the Church had been fighting with Arianism. It is of importance that he who is regenerate should know what is his spiritual parentage and into what manner of life he is born in baptism¹. To believe that the Son and Spirit are created beings is to make a man's salvation dependent on something which is imperfect and which itself needs redemption². His exposition of the inner significance of Baptism³ recalls the language of Cyril's Catecheses and is based on St Paul's teaching. Baptism involves repentance and a dying with Christ unto sin. It is also the beginning of a resurrection unto a life of blessedness. But he realizes the incapacity of man at present for a complete reproduction in himself of the death and resurrection of Christ. Still baptism marks the first stage. It is a break with evil⁵, and a preliminary rehearsal⁶ of that which will be completely accomplished hereafter. He insists strongly on the necessity of baptism for the resurrection to the life of blessedness. All will rise again, but there will be a difference. Each will go to his appropriate place. He who has been purified in the waters of baptism will pass to a passionless life of blessedness. For him who lacks such purification there waits the refiner's fire, which shall purge the nature through long ages and restore it at last pure to God7.

It is however in his treatment of the Eucharist that Gregory's teaching is most distinctive. His chapter on

¹ παρά τίνος γεννάται και ποΐον γίνεται ζφον.

 $^{^2}$ c. 39, μήποτε λάθη τ $\hat{\eta}$ έλλιπε $\hat{\iota}$ φύσει κα $\hat{\iota}$ δεομένη τοῦ άγαθύνοντος έαυτον ε $\hat{\iota}$ οποιών. 3 c. 35.

⁴ τοσούτον μιμούμεθα της υπερεχούσης δυνάμεως, βσον χωρεί ημών η πτωχεία της φύσεως, ibid.

⁵ διακοπήν. 6 προμελετήσαι.

⁷ ibid. On the κάθαρσις of souls see antea.

the subject marks an epoch in the history of the doctrine of the Eucharist in the Eastern Church. The frequency of its occurrence among patristic selections in later manuscripts, and the use made of it by John of Damascus, Euthymius Zigabenus, and the author of the dialogue *Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete* shows the importance assigned to it.

Gregory begins1 by stating the distinction between Baptism and the Eucharist. In Baptism the soul is knit to Christ through faith. But the body needs no less than the soul to be brought into union with its Saviour, and the Eucharist is specially intended for the body. This is the significance of the bodily participation of the Eucharistic food, which must be eaten, in order that the communicant's body may be transformed into the nature of the immortal Body of Christ. We notice here the same method of treatment which has characterized Gregory's doctrine of redemption². In thus insisting on the effect of the Eucharist upon the body he is using language which undoubtedly finds parallels in earlier Fathers3 and which asserts an important principle, i.e. that the whole man shares in the healing and life-giving work of grace. But his onesided treatment has the effect of seeming to lower the Eucharistic gift to a mere principle of life for the body. At the same time, however, he insists on the importance of faith in the recipient4.

A second feature of his teaching is his clear assertion of the fact that the consecration of the elements is effected by the prayer of consecration. It is 'by the

¹ c. 37. ² See antea, pp. xxvii, xxviii. ³ See reff. in notes.

⁴ E.g. the phrases: $\tau a \hat{s}$ τοσαύταις $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ πιστ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ μυριάσι— $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ δσοις $\hat{\eta}$ πίστις $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ —π $\hat{a} \sigma \iota$ το \hat{s} πεπιστευκόσι τ $\hat{\eta}$ οἰκονομία τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς χάριτος—το \hat{s} ς σώμασι τ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ πεπιστευκότων κατακιρνάμενος.

power of the blessing¹ that the Word transforms the nature of the visible elements to the immortal Body of Christ. Thus the change effected is, according to Gregory's view, an objective change.

A more difficult question is the relation in which, according to Gregory's teaching, the consecrated elements of bread and wine stand towards the Body and Blood of Christ, and the exact nature of the change which he regards them as having undergone by consecration. From the days of the Paris editor, Fronto Ducaeus, Gregory's words have been used to support the Western doctrine of Transubstantiation². The question which Gregory sets himself to answer in his chapter on the Eucharist is as follows. How can the one Body of Christ, while continually distributed to multitudes of believers, become in its entirety the possession of each through the portion received, and yet remain an undivided whole? In order to answer this question he makes use of an analogy derived from the process of nutrition. Bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, since they become such by the process of digestion. In the case of Christ's earthly Body bread and wine became in this way His Body and His Blood, while that Body, whose substance was from bread and thus in a manner was bread, was consecrated by the inhabitation of God the Word. So now in the Eucharist the bread and wine, which are consecrated by the Word, become the Body of the Word, no longer by eating, as in His earthly life, but immediately. The purpose of Gregory's illustration is to compare the relation in

 $^{^{1}}$ τ $\hat{\eta}$ τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς εὐλογίας δυνάμει (c. 37 fin.). On the question of what is meant by the εὐλογία, and the use which Gr. makes of the words of institution, see notes.

² See reff. in notes.

which bread and wine stand to the person of Christ in the Eucharist with that which the bread eaten by Him while on earth had to His earthly Body. The change in both cases is a change of relation. His language suggests a real change¹, but does not indicate the exact manner of the change. In view of the use which has been made of Gregory's language, and the ambiguity which attaches to such words as 'nature,' 'form,' 'change,' it is important to grasp clearly the conceptions which underlie the terms employed by him in his illustration from the process of digestion. Gregory is availing himself of ideas upon the body's flux and the relation of its 'elements' to its 'form,' which he has treated of at length elsewhere². In the background of his thought there is a perfectly consistent theory of eilos and στοιγεία, and the terms which he employs are correctly used and implicitly involve such a theory, even though they do not explicitly state it. He is thinking of the change effected when the constituent elements (στοιχεία) of bread and wine are, in the process of digestion, rearranged under a new form (είδος), so that they acquire the properties of 'body.' With this idea his use of the words είδος, φύσις, μεταποιείσθαι³ is perfectly consistent. The elements of bread and

¹ Gr. 's language goes beyond that of Theodoret Dial. i p. 25 (Schulze) οὐ τὴν φύσιν μεταβαλών, άλλὰ τὴν χάριν τῆ φύσει προστεθεικώς. But the word φύσις is here used in a different sense from that in which Gr. uses it, as is shown by the same writer's statement in Dial. ii p. 126 (Schulze), Oὐδὲ γὰρ μετὰ τὸν ἀγιασμὸν τὰ μυστικὰ σύμβολα τῆς οἰκείας ἐξίσταται φύσεως μένει γὰρ ἐπὶ τῆς προτέρας οὐσίας καὶ τοῦ σχήματος καὶ τοῦ εἴδους, καὶ ὀρατά ἐστιν καὶ ἀπτὰ οἶα καὶ πρότερον ἦν.

² On the flux of the body see *Or. Cat.* c. 16 and the reff. in notes. On the relation of the $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} a$ of the body to its $\epsilon t \delta o s$ see *de Hom. Op.* c. 27.

³ See notes on these words and on μεταστοιχειοῦν in c. 37, and esp. the discussion of the relation of είδοs, στοιχεῖα and φύσιs in the note on είδοs.

wine are brought into a new relation and acquire fresh qualities. Similarly in the Eucharist there is a change of the bread and wine, which acquire by their new relation to the person of Christ the properties of His Body and Blood. Harnack accordingly is right in his statement that Gregory teaches a qualitative unity between the bread and wine and the Body and Blood of Christ. Thus it is unjustifiable to argue that the words $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota o i \nu$ involve the idea of a change of substance, or a change of the elements $(\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i a)$ or constituent parts of the bread and wine. Gregory's language points to a change of form' only. He does not teach, as do the later schoolmen, a change both of 'material' and 'form'.

The Western doctrine of Transubstantiation, to which Gregory's language has been supposed to approximate, moves in a completely different circle of ideas, and is an attempt to explain the manner of the change by the help of the scholastic distinction of 'substantia' and 'accidentia.'

On the other hand Gregory's language must not be minimized by comparing it with what he says in the

¹ Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296.

² As is done e.g. by the writer in the Dublin Review quoted by Pusey Real Presence pp. 166, 167, and by Hilt des hl. Gr. von Nyssa Lehre vom Menschen p. 208. The latter says that Gr. teaches 'eine völlige und wirkliche direkte Umwandlung der Substanz des Brodes in den Leib,' and he maintains that expressions like μεταποιεῦσθαι and μεταστοιχειοῦν exclude any other supposition. He renders, quite unjustifiably, the concluding words of c. 37, 'durch die Kraft des Segens in jenen—seinen Leib—das Wesen der Gestalten verwandelt habe,' and says, 'Hier haben wir ganz klar die Wesensverwandlung des Brodes und Weines, wie auch die Hervorhebung, dass von Brod und Wein nur noch die Gestalten (τὰ φαινόμενα) übrig bleiben, da ihr Wesen jetzt der Leib Christi ist.'

³ Cp. Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vi p. 237.

⁴ As Neander e.g. does, Ch. Hist. (ed. Bohn) iv 438.

in Baptismum Christi¹. In that work he is simply adducing instances in which natural things, when taken into sacred uses, acquire a heightened efficacy, like that of the water in baptism. He illustrates his meaning by reference to the consecration of stone to be an altar, of oil for the purpose of chrism, of a man to be a priest in ordination, and of bread to be the Body of Christ. But his argument does not require us to assume that he understood each of these changes to be identical in character.

In his assertion of the vital character of the change effected in the elements by consecration it may be doubted whether Gregory's language intentionally goes beyond that of Cyril of Jerusalem and Chrysostom². It finds perhaps its closest parallel in the language of the *de Mysteriis* (ascribed to S. Ambrose).

Gregory's treatment of the question, however, gave a direction to the Eucharistic doctrine of the Eastern Church which finds its most complete expression in John of Damascus³. He starts from Gregory's language on the subject, and, like him, illustrates the change in the elements by the transformation of food in our bodies through digestion. But in several important respects he advances beyond Gregory's teaching. Thus he teaches the complete identity of the consecrated elements with the Body and Blood of Christ⁴. Gregory's illustration,

¹ p. 581 (Migne).

² On the use of the words μεταποιεῖν, μετατιθέναι, μεθιστάναι, μεταστοιχειοῦν see notes on c. 37. On the similar use by other patristic writers of μεταβάλλειν, μεταρρυθμίζειν, μετασκευάζειν, transfigurare, see Pusey Real Presence pp. 162 ff.

³ de Fid. Orth. iv 13.

 $^{^4}$ ibid. Οὐκ ἔστι τύπος ὁ ἄρτος καὶ ὁ οἶνος τοῦ σώματος καὶ αἴματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ (μὴ γένοιτο), ἀλλ' αὐτὸ τὸ σῶμα τοῦ Κυρίου τεθεωμένον: ibid. καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶ δύο, ἀλλ' ἔν καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ.

on the other hand, is offered tentatively¹, and he has no intention of denying that the elements still exist in their natural substances after consecration. John of Damascus further goes beyond Gregory in asserting the identity of the Eucharistic with the historical body of Christ, a question which Gregory does not discuss. But the statement of the former that the Body of Christ 'does not descend from Heaven, but the bread and wine are changed into the Body and Blood of God²,' accords with Gregory's idea of an assumption of the elements into the Body of the Word. From the points of contact between the two writers it will be seen that Gregory's teaching has had considerable influence upon that of John of Damascus³.

The above discussion of the points handled in the Oratio Catechetica, while it serves the purpose of showing Gregory's indebtedness to earlier Fathers, also illustrates his individuality and independence. He is never a mere copyist, but while adopting the thoughts of others he makes them his own, and frequently gives to them an original turn. It is this originality which gives to the Oratio Catechetica its peculiar character, and makes it one of the most interesting treatises of the fourth century.

¹ τάχα τοίνυν έγγὺς τοῦ εἰκότος λόγου γινόμεθα.

 $^{^2}$ ούχ δτι τὸ ἀναληφθὲν σῶμα έξ οὐρανοῦ κατέρχεται, άλλ' ὅτι αὐτὸς ὁ άρτος καὶ οἴνος μεταποιοῦνται εἰς σῶμα καὶ αἶμα θεοῦ.

³ The doctrine of John of Damascus became the recognized doctrine of the Eastern Church, as expressed in the Second Council of Nicaea in 787. The term μετουσίωσι was first adopted under Roman influence in the seventeenth century, and does not appear to have gained universal acceptance in the East.

§ 3. HISTORY OF THE TEXT.

The earliest printed edition of the Greek text of Gregory's works was preceded by several Latin versions of separate or collected treatises. Among these there appeared a version of the Oratio Catechetica, made by P. Morel of Tours, and published at Paris in 1568. A few years later, in 1573, there appeared at Paris an edition of several treatises, including the Oratio Catechetica, in a Latin version made by Gentianus Hervetus, Canon of Rheims. The Greek text, accompanied by a Latin version, was printed for the first time in the Paris edition of 1615 under the editorship of the Jesuit, Fronto Ducaeus. The work was in two volumes and the Oratio Catechetica occupies pp. 475—542 of the second volume. In 1638 appeared a second edition, published at Paris by Morel. This latter work was a reprint of the edition of 1615 with the addition of Gretser's Appendix, which had been published in 1618. It consisted of three volumes, the Or. Cat. being found in vol. iii, pp. 43-110. The work was done in a careless and mechanical manner, as Dr Loofs has pointed out (Hauck Realencyklop. vii 147). The Latin version in these editions is based upon that of Gentianus Hervetus, but has been subjected to revision. In the notes of Fronto Ducaeus upon the Oratio Catechetica he mentions three MSS employed by him in his work as editor:

- 1. A MS supplied by Dn J. Vulcobius.
- 2. A MS supplied by F. Morel, 'Regius Professor.'
- 3. A MS from the Royal Library.

The readings of the last two MSS, as quoted by Ducaeus, exhibit a superior character to those of the

first, but the text presented in these editions is very corrupt, and is disfigured by a series of lacunae (see below).

The text of the Oratio Catechetica contained in Migne (P. G. xlv) is a reprint of the edition of 1638. The only attempt that has been made since the days of the Paris editors to produce a critical text of the Oratio Catechetica is that of Krabinger, whose edition was published at Munich in 1838. He made use of three MSS, which, though of late date, exhibit a far purer text than that contained in the Paris editions. He also used the help afforded by some fragments of the Or. Cat. contained in three MSS of the Panoplia Dogmatica of Euthymius Zigabenus. With these resources he was able to fill up the lacunae exhibited in the common text and to remove many of the corruptions which had hitherto disfigured it. Krabinger's critical work was of great value, though a wider examination of MSS, and, above all, a study of their history, would have corrected many of his conclusions. Unfortunately his text is disfigured by some bad misprints.

The amount of material available for a reconstruction of the text of the *Or. Cat.* is considerable. The MSS which have been either collated in full or examined for the present edition are as follows:

- a=Cod. Monac. 23. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart. 415 foll. It is a folio MS and the Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 107—145. See Hardt, Catalogus Codd. MSS. Graec. Bibl. Reg. Bavaricae tom. i p. 105. It is quoted by Krabinger as A, and by Forbes, in the preface to his text of the Apologia in Hexaemeron (tom. i fasc. i p. i), as a.
- b=Cod. Monac. 84. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart. 476 foll. In folio size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 138 vo—170. See Hardt, op. cit. tom. i p. 477. [Krab. B.]
- c=Cod. Monac. 538. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart.
 125 foll. In quarto size. The *Or. Cat.* is contained in foll.
 1—26. The MS was written for the use of David Hoeschel

by Maximus Margunius, Bishop of Cythera, about 1590, and the margin contains the conjectural emendations of the latter. See Hardt, op. cit. tom. v p. 348. It was formerly at Augsburg and appears in Reiser's catalogue (Index MSS. Bibl. Augustanae) as No. 77. [Krab. C.]

- d=Trinity College, Cambridge, B. 9. 1. membr. 213 foll. In folio size $(14\frac{3}{4} \times 10\frac{7}{8} \text{ in.})$, written in a beautiful hand. It consists of two parts, which are of various dates:
 - (i) A life of St Alexius, of the eleventh century.
 - (ii) Various works of St Gregory of Nyssa and Anastasius, of the twelfth century.

It is one of the MSS brought by Bentley from the monastery of Pantocrator, Mt Athos. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 130 vo—162 vo.

- e=Codex Regius. Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1268 (Omont 294). Saec. XII. membr. 304 foll. Size of page $7\frac{1}{5} \times 5\frac{7}{5}$ in. It contains works by Justin, Basil, Gregory of Nyssa, and others. M. Omont informs me that in the fifteenth or sixteenth century it belonged to a Greek monastery, from which also came several other volumes that are found in different libraries of the West. On fol. 6 there is the ex-libris: ή βίβλος αὖτη τοῦ Γαλησίου πέλει. The MS was acquired in the sixteenth century by Antonius Eparchus, who on one of the covering leaves has written with his own hand a table of contents, concluding with the following ex-libris, also by his hand: Κτημα 'Αντωνίου τοῦ Έπάρχου, δ δέδωκεν είς σημείον εύχαριστίας τῷ ἐπιφανεστάτῷ Φραγκίσκω τω κραταίω βασιλεί Κελτών. It has successively borne the numbers CIOCCCLXX, 1605, and 2879, in the catalogues of the King's Library, drawn up by Rigault, Dupuy and Clément in the seventeenth century. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 152 vo—188 vo. [Forbes g.]
- f=British Museum, Add. 22509. Saec. X. or XI. membr. 93 foll. It was presented to the Library by Sir G. C. Lewis in 1858. It contains various works of Gregory of Nyssa and the de Spiritu Sancto of Basil. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 1—51 vo. The opening sentences are missing, the first words being οὐ γὰρ δι ὧν. There are also two leaves missing in c. 37.
- g=Cod. Cromw. IX. Bodleian Library, Oxford. 'Saec. XIII. et XII. ineuntis' (Coxe). membr. 342 foll. It is in quarto size. At the end there is the inscription in a later hand: τὸ παρὸν βιβλίον ἐμόν ἐστιν τοῦ Μανικαίτου Μιχαήλ, and in the margin of p. 682 there is a note stating that the owner was presented with the book παρὰ τοῦ...μου δεσπότου οἰκουμενικοῦ πατριάρχου Κυρίλλου. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 1—71). It is mutilated at the beginning, the opening words being καὶ τὸ μὴ διαφέρειν, which occur in

- the latter part of c. 1. There is another large gap in cc. 32-33. The MS contains many corrections made by the original hand, and taken from a MS whose readings frequently support the text of f. Another feature of this MS is the peculiar system of chapters, which number 21 instead of 40 and have in consequence distinct headings from those found in other MSS.
- h=Imperial Library, Vienna. Gr. suppl. 10 (Kollarii suppl. xviii, Fabricius, IX. 112). Saec. XV. chart. 413 foll. It is in folio size, and was presented, as the inscription states, to the Emperor Charles VI. in 1723, by Apostolo Zeno, his court poet and historiographer. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 127—172.
- i=Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 587 (Omont 137). Saec. xv—xvI. chart. 280 foll. In folio size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 1—40.
- k=Cod. Barocc. CCXII. Bodleian Library, Oxford. Saec. XVI. chart. 410 foll. ln quarto size. The Or. Cat. begins fol. 336.
- I= British Museum, Royal 16 D I. Saec. XIII. membr. 479 foll. Size of page 9½ in. × 6½ in. The MS contains the inscription ἐκ τῶν Μητροφάνους ἱερομοναχοῦ τοῦ Κριτοπούλου. Metrophanes Critopulus was sent to England by Cyril Lucar in 1616. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 283 vo—309). The original text has been subjected to many corrections and erasures by a later scribe, who had access to a MS containing a much purer type of text. [Forbes c.]
- m=British Museum, Royal 16 D XI. Saec. XIV. chart. 372 foll. Size of page 12\frac{1}{8} \times 8\frac{1}{4} in. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 40—95 vo). It is the only MS in the present list which contains the spurious addition to c. 40 found in the Paris editions.
- n=Vatican Library, Pii ii, cod. gr. 4. Saec. XI. membr. 316 foll. In folio. Stevenson says of it (Codices MSS. Gr. regin. Suec. et Pii P.P. ii Bibl. Vaticanae, p. 134): 'In imo margine folii primi et ultimi legitur τοῦ τροπαιοφόρου, i.e. monasterii S. Georgii. Olim S. Silvestri.' It contains 31 works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 151—197), and is written in a beautiful hand.
- p=Codex Venetus. Venice. Bibl. Marciana, Gr. 67. Saec. XI. (circiter, Zanetti, p. 45). membr. 432 foll. In quarto size. It contains various works of Gregory. The Or. Cat. is found foll. 338 vo—366. [Forbes h.]
- q=Codex Vaticanus. Rome. Vat. Gr. 423. Saec. X. A fragment of c. 10 is contained in foll. 36 vo—37.
- r=Codex Coislinianus. Paris. Coisl. CXX olim CCIX (Montfaucon, p. 193). Saec. x. Contains the same fragment as q in fol. 22—22 vo.

The following MSS of the *Panoplia Dogmatica* of Euthymius Zigabenus contain considerable fragments of the *Or. Cat.*

```
1=Cod. Monac. 55. Munich. Saec. XVI. [Krab. Euth. 1.]
```

The above list does not contain all the extant MSS of the Oratio Catechetica, but it includes the earliest which are known. In addition to the above MSS the Vatican Library contains three MSS of the thirteenth century, one of the fourteenth, two of the fifteenth, and three of the sixteenth. The Laurentian Library at Florence contains a MS of the fourteenth century, and the National Library at Turin one of the fifteenth, and another of the sixteenth century. The treatise is also contained in one or more MSS of the fifteenth or sixteenth century in the National Library at Paris. But most of these are too late to be of much service.

The quotations of later patristic writers, with the exception of those found in Euthymius Zigabenus, do not add much to our knowledge of the text. There are a few brief quotations, extending only to a few lines, in the Dialogues of Theodoret¹. The greater part of c. 37 is reproduced in *Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete*, printed in Mai *Script. Vett.* vi 366 ff., the text of which is much purer than that of the Paris editors. There is also a short extract from c. 10 in the treatise of Leontius

²⁼Cod. Monac. 367. Munich (formerly at Augsburg=Reiser No. 10). Saec. XIII. [Krab. Euth. 2.]

³⁼Cod. Monac. 551. Munich (formerly at Augsburg=Reiser No. 55). Saec. xv. [Krab. Euth.]

⁴⁼Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1230 (Omont 171). Saec. XIII.

⁵⁼Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1231 (Omont 170). Saec. XIII.

⁶⁼Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 76 (Nessel). Saec. XII.

^{7 =} Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 40 (Nessel). Saec. xv.

¹ See notes on cc. 10, 16, 32.

of Byzantium c. Nestor. et Entych. Bk iii. See Galland Bibl. Vet. Patr. xiii p. 699. In the work de S. Trinitate, falsely ascribed to Cyril of Alexandria, and in the de Fide Orthodoxa of John of Damascus, there are reminiscences of the Prologue and of cc. I and 2, but they are of no value for critical purposes. There appear to be no extracts from this treatise in the Sacra Parallela of St John of Damascus.

The evidence as to the text afforded by a study of the MSS may be briefly summarized as follows¹.

The MSS fall into two groups:

- (1) a, d, g, h, n, p, and (as far as their readings have been observed) i and k.
 - (2) c, f, l, m.

The two MSS e and b (which is dependent on e) contain a mixed text, deriving features from either group in turn.

I. The former of these two groups may be subdivided into two smaller groups containing respectively a, g, p and h, n.

The remaining MS d appears to incorporate elements from both these divisions.

In the group a, g, p, a is directly descended from p, while g exhibits a text closely allied to p.

With the text of the second group, comprising h, n, the text of the fragments of Gregory preserved in Euthymius presents a close affinity. The distinctive readings of this group, with one exception², appear to

¹ For a fuller discussion of the text of the *Or. Cat.* see the present writer's article in the *Journal of Theological Studies* Vol. iii, No. 11, pp. 421 ff.

² I.e. the words in c. 23, καὶ τὴν τῶν καταδίκων ἀνάρρυσιν, which have apparently fallen out of the other MSS and are preserved only in e h n.

be due to corruption or revision. The tendency to revision is still more marked in the distinctive readings of the text of Euthymius.

2. In the second of the two larger groups mentioned above, the text of c, l, m is closely allied to that of the Paris editions, with which it has in common a number of corrupt readings and the same series of lacunae¹. These MSS in fact present a late recension of the text, which f exhibits in its earlier and purer form. For the purposes of criticism the readings of f or f l are alone important, as c and m are only later and still more corrupt forms of the same original text.

We thus get as our primary authorities for the text: in Group 1, p and n; in Group 2, f and l, with which the readings of the corrector of g are frequently in agreement. Of these two groups the former exhibits traces of corruption at some early stage, while the readings of the latter show the influence of revision. But on the whole the readings of f l commend themselves as generally more likely to be genuine.

In the present edition it has not been thought necessary to give the readings of the late MSS a, b, c, i, k, m, as they possess no independent value. The MSS of Euthymius have been quoted collectively as Euth.; where they differ as Euth. I, z, z etc. An asterisk is used to denote the first hand of a MS, the figure I to denote the corrector's hand, e.g. g^* , g^1 , l^* , l^1 . For convenience the readings of the Paris edition of 1638 have been cited as vulg. Sirmond's edition of Theodoret and the Roman edition have been quoted respectively as Thdrtsirm and Thdrtrom.

¹ For these lacunae see cc. 3, 4, 5, 8 (bis), 9, 29 (app. crit.).

The following books may be found useful for reference:

Scient Writings and Letters of Gregory, Bishop of Nyssa, Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers. Ser. ii. vol. 5, 1893.

Dictionary of Christian Biography, vol. ii, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa.

Hauck, Realencyklopädie, vol. vii, 1899, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa by Dr Loofs.

Bardenhewer, Patrologie, pp. 272 ff.

Harnack, History of Dogma, Eng. Tr. vols. iii and iv.

Tillemont, Mémoires pour servir à l'Histoire Ecclésiastique, vol. ix.

Ceillier, Auteurs Sacrés et Ecclésiastiques, vol. viii.

Oudin, de Script. Eccl., vol. i diss. 4, pp. 584 sq.

St. P. Heyns, Disputatio historico-theologica de Gregorio Nysseno. Lugd. Bat. 1835.

J. Rupp, Gregors, des Bischofs von Nyssa, Leben und Meinungen. Leipzig, 1834.

E. G. Möller, Gregorii Nysseni doctrinam de hominis natura et illustravit et cum Origeniana comparavit E. G. M. Halae, 1854.

I. C. Bergades, De universo et de anima hominis doctrina Gregorii Nysseni. Thessalonicae, 1876.

A. Krampf, Der Urzustand des Menschen nach der Lehre des hl. Greg. v. N. Würzburg, 1899.

F. Hilt, Des hl. Greg. v. N. Lehre vom Menschen. Köln, 1890.

F. Diekamp, Die Gotteslehre des hl. Gregor. von Nyssa. Münster, 1896.

W. Vollert, Die Lehre Gregors v. N. vom Guten und Bösen. Leipzig, 1897.

ΛΟΓΟΣ ΚΑΤΗΧΗΤΙΚΟΣ.

Πρόλογος.

'Ο της κατηχήσεως λόγος ἀναγκαῖος μέν ἐστι τοῖς προεστηκόσι τοῦ μυστηρίου της εὐσεβείας, ὡς ἀν πληθύνοιτο τη προσθήκη τῶν σωζομένων ἡ ἐκκλησία, τοῦ κατὰ τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου τη ἀκοῆ τῶν ἀπίστων προσαγομένου. οὐ μὴν ὁ αὐτὸς της διδασκαλίας τρόπος 5 ἐπὶ πάντων ἀρμόσει τῶν προσιόντων τῷ λόγῳ, ἀλλὰ κατὰ

Πρ. 1 Verba ο της κατ. usque ad μυθοποιιας (p. 2, l. 15) dependita sunt in f. Desunt folia nonnulla in g \parallel 2 μυστηριου] βιου vulg \parallel 4 τη ακοη} την ακοην l

Prologue. The importance of catechetical teaching. Variety of method is necessary in dealing with the different standpoints of heresy and unbelief. Thus in dealing with atheism we shall adduce the art and wisdom shown in the ordering of the world as a proof of the existence of God. In dealing with polytheists we shall urge the perfection of God's attributes as a proof of the unity of God.

1. 'O της κατ. λόγος] 'the catechetical method of discourse.' The gen. defines the character of λόγος. Κατηχεῖν is used of Christian oral instruction in Lk. i 4, Acts xviii 25, 1 Cor. xiv 19, Gal. vi 6. An early example of a manual of Christian instruction is found in the Didache, of which Athanasius says (Fest. Ep. 39) that, though not included in the Canon, it was appointed for the instruction

of new converts. Under the influence of the School of Alexandria such instruction developed into a philosophical presentation of the faith to meet the needs of cultured heathen. The present *Or. Cat.* is a manual for catechists who are engaged in the instruction of educated converts.

2. προεστηκόσι] 'those who have charge of' or 'the ministers of.' Cp. Rom. xii 8, I Thess. v 2, I Tim. v 17. Similarly Justin M. speaks (Αροί. i 67) of ὁ προεστώς in the Christian assembly.

ib. τοῦ μυστ.τ.εὐσ.] I Tim. iii 16. Tò μυστ. is often used alone to denote the Christian religion or creed.

3. προσθήκη τ. σωζ.] a reminiscence of Acts ii 47.

ib. τοῦ κατὰ τὴν δ. π. λ.] Tit. i 9. Ἡ διδαχή is the Apostolic deposit of faith. τὰς τῶν θρησκειῶν διαφορὰς μεθαρμόζειν προσήκει καὶ τὴν κατήχησιν, πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν μὲν ὁρῶντας τοῦ λόγου σκοπόν, οὐχ ὁμοιοτρόπως δὲ ταῖς κατασκευαῖς ἐφ' ἐκάστου κεχρημένους. ἄλλαις γὰρ ὑπολήψεσιν ὁ ἰουδαίζων 5 προείληπται καὶ ὁ τῷ ἐλληνισμῷ συζῶν ἐτέραις, ὅ τε ᾿Ανόμοιος καὶ ὁ Μανιχαῖος καὶ οἱ κατὰ Μαρκίωνα καὶ Οὐαλεντῖνον καὶ Βασιλείδην καὶ ὁ λοιπὸς κατάλογος τῶν κατὰ τὰς αἰρέσεις πλανωμένων ἰδίαις ἔκαστος ὑπολήψεσι προειλημμένοι ἀναγκαίαν ποιοῦσι τὴν πρὸς τὰς τὸν τρόπον τῆς θεραπείας προσαρμοστέον. οὐ τοῖς αὐτοῖς θεραπεύσεις τοῦ ελληνος τὴν πολυθείαν καὶ τοῦ Ἰουδαίου τὴν περὶ τὸν μονογενῆ θεὸν ἀπιστίαν, οὐδὲ ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν τοῖς κατὰ τὰς αἰρέσεις πεπλανημένοις ἀνατρέψεις τὰς τοῦς κατὰ τὰς αἰρέσεις πεπλανημένοις ἀνατρέψεις τὰς τὰς πατημένας περὶ τῶν δυγμάτων μυθοποιίας· οὐ γὰρ δί

ι om και l vulg \parallel 3 om εφ εκαστου l vulg \parallel 4 χρωμενους efl vulg \parallel 5 ο τω ελλ.] om ο vulg \parallel 9 προειλημμενος d \parallel 11 θεραπειας] ιατρειας l¹ \parallel 15 ου γαρ] incipit f

3. κατασκευαῖs] Krabinger rightly gives the sense 'non eodem modo in singulis probando et confirmando.' Κατασκευή = 'a constructive argument,' 'a proof.'

5. προείληπται] 'is pre-possessed with.'

ib. συζων] 'he that is born and bred in Hellenism.' Cp. de Bapt. p. 425 (Migne) οι ταις ακαθαρσίαις συζωντες.

6. 'Aνόμοισs] i.e. the extreme Arian position, which Gregory attacks in his work against Eunomius. The starting-point of Eunomius' theology was the idea that the Divine Being is incapable of movement or self-communication, and that the being (οὐσία) must be distinguished from the energy (ἐνέργεια) of God. It was by the latter that all things were called into being.

ib. Marιχαιos] whose dualistic teaching Gr. has in view continually

throughout the present book. See esp. his treatment of the origin of evil in cc. 5—8, his defence of human generation in c. 28, and his teaching upon the ἀποκατάστασις in c. 26.

13. μονογενη θεόν] John i 18. See Hort's Dissertation on μονογενης θεόν in Scripture and tradition. The phrase μονογενης θεόν is found repeatedly in Athanasius, and was also used by Basil. Even Arius and Eunomius employed it, giving to it, of course, their own interpretation. For Gr.'s use of the phrase see c. 39, and cp. Quod non sint tres dii, pp. 129, 132 (Migne); de Fide, pp. 136, 137 (Migne).

ib. οὐδὲ ἀπὸ τ. αὐ.] 'Nor will you, in the case of those who have gone astray among heresis, overthrow by the same arguments in each case their delutled romances concerning

their doctrines.'

ών ἄν τις ἐπανορθώσαιτο τὸν Σαβέλλιον, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν ὡφελήσει καὶ τὸν ᾿Ανόμοιον, οὐδὲ ἡ πρὸς τὸν Μανιχαῖον μάχη καὶ τὸν Ἰουδαῖον ὀνίνησιν, ἀλλὰ χρή, καθῶς εἴρηται, πρὸς τὰς προλήψεις τῶν ἀνθρώπων βλέπειν καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἐγκειμένην ἑκάστῷ πλάνην ποιεῖσθαι 5 τὸν λόγον, ἀρχάς τινας καὶ προτάσεις εὐλόγους ἐφ᾽ ἐκάστης διαλέξεως προβαλλόμενον, ὡς ᾶν διὰ τῶν παρ᾽ ἀμφοτέροις ὁμολογουμένων ἐκκαλυφθείη κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἡ ἀλήθεια. οὐκοῦν ὅταν πρός τινα τῶν ἑλληνιζόντων ἡ διάλεξις ἢ, καλῶς ᾶν ἔχοι ταύτην ποιεῖσθαι τοῦ λόγου 10 τὴν ἀρχήν. πότερον εἶναι τὸ θεῖον ὑπείληφεν, ἢ τῷ τῶν ἀθέων συμφέρεται δόγματι; εἰ μὲν οὖν μὴ εἶναι λέγοι, ἐκ

I επανορθωσοιτο dnp \parallel 4 υποληψεις l vulg \parallel 9 αληθεια \rbrack διανοια vulg \parallel 0ταν \rbrack exstant seqq in euth 12456 \parallel 10 ταυτην αρχ. π . τ . λ . dhnp* euth 12 (ταυτην την 4) 56

1. $\Sigma \alpha \beta \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \gamma$] used, as often, for an adjective, 'Sabellian.' Sabellius maintained that the three personal names, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, represent mere phases and energies of One Divine Being. But, beyond the fact that he denied the essential Trinity and identified the Father and the Son, there is considerable obscurity about his teaching. Athanasius (Or. c. Ar. iv 25) attributes to him the statement: ώσπερ διαιρέσεις χαρισμάτων είσι, τὸ δὲ αὐτὸ πνεῦμα, οὕτω καὶ ό πατήρ ὁ αὐτὸς μέν ἐστι, πλατύνεται δὲ είς υίδν και πνεθμα, and he implies that Marcellus held the same view (Or. c. Ar. iv 13). But it is probable that Athanasius in attacking Marcellus and proving that his teaching led to Sabellianism has not carefully distinguished the views of the two teachers. See Zahn Marcellus, 1867, pp. 198 sq., Robertson N. and P. N. F. vol. iv, p. 431 sq.

4. προλήψεις] 'preconceptions,' almost 'prejudices,' answering to προείληπται above. The Stoics

distinguished between $\pi\rho o\lambda \dot{\eta}\psi\epsilon is$, conceptions built on experience without elaborate reasoning, and $\ell\nu roiat$, conceptions reached by the consciously applied reason.

6. ἀρχάς τινας] 'propounding in each discussion certain principles and reasonable propositions.'
9. οὐκοῦν] In dealing with the

9. oὐκοῦν] In dealing with the representatives of Hellenic thought the first step is to make sure that they recognize the existence of God. The next step is to lead them to acknowledge the unity of God. The section which follows, as far as the end of c. 3, is found in Euthymius Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i, tit. 1, pp. 33 sq. (Migne).

ib. ελληνιζόντων] "Ελλην practically = 'heathen' as opposed to Jew, as in the N.T., e.g. Gal. iii 28.

12. ἀθέων] such as the later Academics. The Epicureans too were practical atheists, not denying the existence of the gods, but contending that they took no part in the government of the world.

των τεχνικώς καὶ σοφώς κατά τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομουμένων προσαχθήσεται πρὸς τὸ διὰ τούτων είναί τινα δύναμιν την έν τούτοις διαδεικνυμένην και του παντός ύπερκειμένην όμολογήσαι· εἰ δὲ τὸ μὲν εἶναι μὴ ἀμφι-5 βάλλοι, είς πλήθος δὲ θεῶν ταῖς ὑπονοίαις ἐκφέροιτο, τοιαύτη χρησώμεθα πρός αὐτὸν τῆ ἀκολουθία. πότερον τέλειον ή έλλιπες ήγειται τὸ θείον; τοῦ δε κατά τὸ εἰκὸς την τελειότητα προσμαρτυρούντος τη θεία φύσει, τὸ διὰ πάντων αὐτὸν τῶν ἐνθεωρουμένων τῆ θεότητι τέλειον το ἀπαιτήσωμεν, ώς ἃν μὴ σύμμικτον ἐκ τῶν ἐναντίων θεωροίτο τὸ θείον, ἐξ ἐλλιποῦς καὶ τελείου. ἀλλ' εἴτε κατά την δύναμιν, είτε κατά την του άγαθου επίνοιαν, είτε κατά τὸ σοφόν τε καὶ ἄφθαρτον καὶ ἀίδιον καὶ εἴ τι άλλο θεοπρεπές νόημα τη θεωρία προσκείμενον τύχοι, 15 εν παντί την τελειότητα θεωρείσθαι περί την θείαν φύσιν

3 διαδεικ.] δεικνυμένην ehn euth \parallel 4 αμφιβαλοι fh \parallel 5 πληθυν d \parallel $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu$] $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \tau \omega \nu$ fl vulg || 6 χρησομεθα p euth || 10 απαιτησομεν p euth -ομαι 1 -ωμαι $f \mid 12$ επινοιαν| εννοια $efl \mid 14$ τυχη ef

 τεχνικώς κ. σοφώς] Τεχν. refers to the finished and artistic skill displayed in individual parts of Creation, while σοφώς refers to the wise adaptation of means to ends.

3. δύναμιν] 'a certain power which is plainly manifested in created things and transcends the whole.'

5. εis πληθος] 'he led astray by his notions to believe in a plurality

6. ακολουθία] 'course of argument.'

7. τοῦ δέ] 'And if he, as is probable, testifies to the perfection of (lit. testifies perfection to) the Divine Nature, let us require him to grant that this perfection extends through everything that is observed in the Deity.

12. ἐπίνοιαν] In his answer to

Eunomius' second book Gr. discusses this word. Eunomius had disparaged έπίνοια on the ground that the faculty denoted by it was untrustworthy and created monstrosities. He thus appears to have used it in the sense of 'fancy.' Gr. however defends $\epsilon \pi l \nu o \iota a$ and defines it as ξφοδος εύρετική τῶν άγνοουμένων, διά τῶν προσεχῶν τε καὶ άκολούθων τη πρώτη περί το σπουδαζόμενον νοήσει τὸ έφεξῆς έξευρισκουσα. It is in his view an inventive faculty and at the same time it is more trustworthy than 'fancy.' It is best represented here by 'imagination' or 'conception.' See further on the word Wilson N. and P. N. Fathers, vol. v, p. 249.

13. εί τι άλλο] 'any other thought worthy of God that might happen to be connected with the subject of our contemplation.'

κατά τὸ εὔλογον τῆς ἀκολουθίας ταύτης συγκαταθήσεται. τούτου δὲ δοθέντος οὐκέτ' ᾶν είη χαλεπὸν τὸ ἐσκεδασμένον της διανοίας είς πληθος θεών πρός μιας θεότητος περιαγαγείν όμολογίαν. εί γάρ τὸ τέλειον έν παντὶ δοίη περὶ τὸ ὑποκείμενον ὁμολογεῖσθαι, πολλὰ δὲ εἶναι τὰ τέλεια 5 διά τῶν αὐτῶν χαρακτηριζόμενα λέγοι, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα ἐπὶ των μηδεμιά παραλλαγή διακρινομένων άλλ' έν τοίς αὐτοῖς θεωρουμένων ἡ ἐπιδεῖξαι τὸ ἴδιον ή, εἰ μηδὲν ίδιαζόντως καταλαμβάνοι ή έννοια έφ' ών τὸ διακρίνον ούκ έστι, μη ύπονοείν την διάκρισιν. εί γαρ μήτε παρά 10 τὸ πλέον καὶ ἔλαττον τὴν διαφορὰν έξευρίσκοι, διότι τὴν έλάττωσιν ο της τελειότητος οὐ παραδέχεται λόγος, μήτε την παρά το χείρον και προτιμότερον ου γάρ αν έτι θεότητος ὑπόληψιν σχοίη οὖ ή τοῦ χείρονος οὐκ ἄπεστι προσηγορία· μήτε κατά τὸ ἀρχαΐον καὶ πρόσφατον· τὸ 15 γαρ μη αεί ον έξω της περί το θείον έστιν υπολήψεως.

2 δοθεντος] + ημιν $11 \parallel 9$ καταλαβοι $11 \text{ vulg} \parallel 13$ om την euth $126 \parallel 14$ υποληψιν] + τις 14υποληψιν 14

2. το εσκεδασμένον] the thought which he has dissipated over a

plurality of gods.'

4. εl γάρ] The argument of Gr. in the following passage is as follows. The perfection of God's attributes prevents us from attributing to the Divine Nature any diversity or plurality. For such diversity could only arise from the differences of degree in which the separate entities possessed these attributes. Otherwise there would be no reason for maintaining their distinct and separate existence. But such differences of degree, involving 'more' and 'less' are excluded by the very idea of 'perfection.' 'For if he were to admit that he acknowledges absolute perfection in the being of whom we speak, but were to maintain that there are many of these perfect entities, marked by the same characteristics.'

5. $\tau \delta \ \dot{\nu} \pi o \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$] here = 'the subject matter of our discourse.'

6. ἐπὶ τῶν μηδ.] 'in the case of things which are distinguished by no variation, but are observed to possess the same attributes.'

8. el μηθέν] 'if thought grasped nothing in the way of peculiarity in beings between whom no distinguishing mark exists, he should cease to assume such distinction.'

13. οὐ γάρ] a parenthesis. The main sentence is resumed after προστηγορία, and again broken by another parenthesis το γάρ...ὑπολή-ψεως.

14. ὑπόληψν σχοίη] Some MSS insert τις, but the subject is the antecedent of oδ. 'For a being, with reference to whom the term "worse" is not excluded, could no longer be supposed to be God.'

άλλ' είς καὶ ὁ αὐτὸς τῆς θεότητος λόγος, οὐδεμιᾶς ἰδιότητος ἐν οὐδενὶ κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον εὐρισκομένης, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα πρὸς μιᾶς θεότητος ὁμολογίαν συνθλιβῆναι τὴν πεπλανημένην περὶ τοῦ πλήθους τῶν θεῶν φαντασίαν. 5 εἰ γὰρ τὸ ἀγαθὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον, τό τε σοφὸν καὶ τὸ δυνατὸν ὡσαύτως λέγοιτο, ἥ τε ἀφθαρσία καὶ ἡ ἀιδιότης καὶ πᾶσα εὐσεβὴς διάνοια κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν ὁμολογοῖτο τρόπον, πάσης κατὰ πάντα λόγον διαφορᾶς ὑφαιρουμένης συνυφαιρεῖται κατ' ἀνάγκην τὸ τῶν θεῶν πλῆθος ἀπὸ τοῦ το δόγματος, τῆς διὰ πάντων ταὐτότητος εἰς τὸ ἐν τὴν πίστιν περιαγούσης.

- 1. 'Αλλ' ἐπειδή καὶ ὁ τῆς εὐσεβείας λόγος οἶδέ τινα
- 1 ιδιστητος] αιδ- d \parallel 3 μιαν θεοτητος dehnp euth \parallel 6 η αιδιστης] om η d euth 5 vulg
- 1. axx' els] 'but the idea of Godhead is one and the same, no particularity, naturally enough, being discovered in any one respect.'
- 2. ἀνάγκη] 'the mistaken fancy of a plurality of gods cannot help being reduced to confess that Deity

is one.

6. ωσαύτως λέγοιτο] 'were ascribed to it in an equal degree.' The argument of this passage is reproduced, and the language closely followed in Ps.-Cyril de Trin. 4 and also in Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. i 5.

CHAPS. I-IV. THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF GOD.

1. In refuting polytheism, however, we must defend our argument against Judaism. It will be granted that Deity has a Logos; otherwise God would be without reason (dhoyos). But this Logos corresponds to the nature of God, and as God's nature is infinitely higher than that of man. so must the Logos of God be proportionately higher. Man is mortal and his logos transitory. In God the Logos corresponds to His nature and is eternal and self-subsistent. At the same time the Logos is living and does not share life, but possesses it absolutely. This further involves the possession of will and the power to effect what He wills. The will of

the Logos is directed to good ends, for goodness is an attribute of God. The Universe exhibits the wisdom, power, and goodness of the Logos. The Logos, moreover, is distinct from Him Whose Logos He is. Thus is our position defined against the errors alike of Hellenism and Judaism. The Logos is living and active, and yet is one in nature and attributes with the Father from Whom He is derived.

12. $\dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma$.] 'the doctrine of our religion.'

ib. olδε] Kr. renders 'solet,' but it may mean simply 'is able' to perceive.

διάκρισιν ὑποστάσεων ἐν τῆ ἐνότητι τῆς φύσεως βλέπειν, ώς ἃν μὴ τῆ πρὸς τοὺς Ελληνας μάχη πρὸς τὸν Ἰουδαισμὸν ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος ὑπενεχθείη, πάλιν προσήκει διαστολῆ τινὶ τεχνικῆ καὶ τὴν περὶ τοῦτο πλάνην ἐπανορθώσασθαι. οὐδὲ γὰρ τοῖς ἔξω τοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς δόγματος ἄλογον εἶναι τὸ 5 θεῖον ὑπείληπται· τοῦτο δὲ παρ' ἐκείνων ὁμολογούμενον ἱκανῶς διαρθρώσει τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον. ὁ γὰρ ὁμολογῶν μὴ ἄλογον εἶναι τὸν θεὸν πάντως λόγον ἔχειν τὸν μὴ ἄλογον συγκαταθήσεται. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὁ ἀνθρώπινος ὁμωνύμως λέγεται λόγος. οὐκοῦν εἰ λέγοι καθ' ὁμοιότητα 10

1. 3 υπενεχθησεται e || 7 διορθωσει d

1. ὑποστάσεων] For a full discussion of the history of this word Bethune-Baker Texts Studies, vol. vii, no. 1, p. 75. In its earlier sense it was synonymous with οὐσία, as in the anathema of the Nicene Creed (ἐξ ἐτέρας ὑποστάσεως ή οὐσίας). Both Basil and Gr. occasionally employ it in this earlier sense. Cp. infra c. 4 sub fin. λόγον έν οὐσία καὶ πνεῦμα έν ὑποστάσει. The later formula however to which currency was given by the teaching of the Cappadocians was μία οὐσία έν τρισίν ὑποστάσεσιν. For the distinction of υπόστασις and ούσία according to this view cp. Basil Ep. ccxxxvi 6 ούσία δὲ καὶ ὑπόστασις ταύτην έχει τὴν διαφορὰν ῆν έχει τὸ κοινὸν πρὸς τὸ καθ' ἔκαστον: and still more precisely in Ep. xxxviii 3 τοῦτο οὖν ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπόστασις, οὐχ ή ἀδριστος τῆς οὐσίας ἔννοια, μηδεμίαν έκ της κοινότητος τοῦ σημαινομένου στάσιν ευρίσκουσα, άλλ' ή τὸ κοινόν τε καὶ ἀπερίγραπτον ἐν τῷ τινί πράγματι διά των έπιφαινομένων ιδιωμάτων παριστώσα και περιγράφουσα. It thus denotes 'a particular centre of conscious being.' As Dr Moberly (Atonement and Personality, p. 158'sq.) has pointed out, the word is free from many of the associations which have gathered round the word 'person' in its later

Western sense.

ib. φύσεως] It is not necessary to assume that φύσις is here used loosely in the sense of οὐσία. The latter word denotes 'being,' while φύσις denotes the 'quality' of such 'being,' and has reference to the attributes. But in many cases where the writer might have used οὐσία, his thought is sufficiently expressed by φύσις.

3. υπενεχθείη] 'our argument may not lapse into Judaism,' i.e. a barren monotheism, admitting of no distinction in the Divine Being.

ib. διαστολη τ. τ.] 'a skilful distinction,' such as is involved in the διάκρισι ν ν τ. referred to above.

5. τοῖς έξω] The most conspicuous example is Philo. But the belief in a Word as a mediating influence was not confined to Alexandria. In Palestine it affected the language of the Targums.

ib. Δλογον] implies the absence of 'reason' as well as 'word.'

7. διαρθρώσει] 'will make our argument sufficiently clear.'

10. οὐκοῦν] 'If then he were to say that he formed his idea of the Word of God exactly on the analogy of our human counterparts, he will thus be led on to a higher notion.' With this illustration from the human λόγος cp. Tert. adv. Prax. c. 5.

τῶν παρ' ἡμῖν καὶ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ὑπονοεῖν, οὕτω μεταχθήσεται προς την υψηλοτέραν υπόληψιν. ανάγκη γὰρ πᾶσα κατάλληλον είναι πιστεύειν τῆ φύσει τὸν λόγον, ώς καὶ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα. καὶ γὰρ δύναμίς τις καὶ ζωὴ καὶ 5 σοφία περὶ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον βλέπεται άλλ' οὐκ ἄν τις ἐκ της όμωνυμίας τοιαύτην καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τὴν ζωὴν ἡ τὴν δύναμιν ή την σοφίαν υπονοήσειεν, άλλα προς το της φύσεως της ήμετέρας μέτρον συνταπεινοῦνται καὶ αἱ τῶν τοιούτων ονομάτων εμφάσεις. επειδή γάρ φθαρτή καὶ 10 ἀσθενης ημῶν η φύσις, διὰ τοῦτο ἀκύμορος η ζωή, ἀνυπόστατος ή δύναμις, ἀπαγής ὁ λόγος. ἐπὶ δὲ τῆς ὑπερκειμένης φύσεως τῶ μεγαλείω τοῦ θεωρουμένου πᾶν τὸ περὶ αὐτης λεγόμενον συνεπαίρεται, οὐκοῦν κᾶν λόγος θεοῦ λέγηται, οὐκ ἐν τἢ ὁρμἢ τοῦ φθεγγομένου καὶ τὴν ὑπόστασιν 15 έχειν νομισθήσεται, καθ' δμοιότητα τοῦ ἡμετέρου μεταχωρων είς ἀνύπαρκτον ἀλλ' ὅσπερ ἡ ἡμετέρα φύσις ἐπίκηρος οὖσα καὶ ἐπίκηρον τὸν λόγον ἔχει, οὕτως ἡ άφθαρτος καὶ ἀεὶ ἐστῶσα φύσις ἀίδιον ἔχει καὶ ὑφεστῶτα τὸν λόγον. εἰ δὴ τοῦτο κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ὁμολογηθείη

5 τα ανθρωπινα $d \parallel 6$ τοιαυτην]+τινα $d \parallel 13$ αυτην vulg $\parallel 14$ om και fh euth $\parallel 17$ επικηρος] ανυπαρκτος f

3. κατάλλ.] 'corresponding to.'
8. σωνταπ.] Such words as 'power,' 'life,' 'wisdom' have a lower significance in the case of men than in that of God.

10. ἀκύμορος] 'fleeting.'

ib. avvmboraros] 'unsubstantial,' i.e. having no separate existence of its own. Hence 'shadowy,' 'unreal.'

11. ἀπαγής] lit. 'not fixed,' 'unstable.' Similarly Ath. c. Ar. ii 34, 35. Cp. Iren. c. Haer. ii 13. 8.

ib. ὑπερκειμένης] 'transcendent.' See antea, p. 4, l. 3 δύναμιν την... τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

12. τψ μεγαλείψ] 'along with the greatness of the object of our contemplation.' For μεγαλείον = 'mag-

nitude' see c. 2, p. 14.

14. υπόστασω] 'it will not be thought to have its subsistence in the expression of him who speaks.' Υπόστασις is here 'that in virtue of which a thing is what it is,' the essence or being of a thing. A human word is merely the expression of the speaker's mind. It has no ὑπόστασις apart from such expression, and in the utterance it passes out of existence (μεταχωρῶν εἰς ἀνύπαρκτον). The Divine Logos is ἀίδιος and ὑφεστώς.

16. ἀλλ' ωσπερ] The argument of this chapter is partly reproduced in Ps.-Cyril Al. de Trin. c. 5, and in Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth.

i 6.

τὸ ὑφεστάναι τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ἀιδίως, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα ἐν ζωή του λόγου την υπόστασιν είναι όμολογείν. ου γάρ καθ' όμοιότητα των λίθων άψύχως ύφεστάναι τὸν λόγον εὐαγές ἐστιν οἴεσθαι. ἀλλ' εἰ ὑφέστηκε νοερόν τι χρημα καὶ ἀσώματον ὤν, ζη πάντως: εἰ δὲ τοῦ ζην κεχώρισται, ς οὐδὲ ἐν ὑποστάσει πάντως ἐστίν. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἀσεβὲς άπεδείχθη τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ἀνυπόστατον είναι. οὐκοῦν συναπεδείχθη κατά τὸ ἀκόλουθον τὸ ἐν ζωῆ τοῦτον θεωρείσθαι τὸν λόγον. άπλης δὲ της τοῦ λόγου φύσεως κατά τὸ εἰκὸς εἶναι πεπιστευμένης καὶ οὐδεμίαν διπλόην καὶ το σύνθεσιν έν ξαυτή δεικνυούσης, οὐκέτ' ἄν τις κατά μετουσίαν ζωής τὸν λόγον ἐν ζωή θεωροίη οὐ γὰρ αν ἐκτὸς εἴη συνθέσεως ή τοιαύτη ὑπόληψις, τὸ ἔτερον ἐν ἐτέρω λέγειν είναι άλλ ἀνάγκη πᾶσα, της άπλότητος όμολογουμένης, αὐτοζωὴν είναι τὸν λόγον οἴεσθαι, οὐ ζωῆς μετουσίαν. εἰ 13 οὖν ζη ὁ λόγος ὁ ζωὴ ὤν, καὶ προαιρετικὴν πάντως δύναμιν

5 ων] ον 1 || 8 ζωη] τη ζωη 1 || 12 εν ζωη θ . τ. λογον 1 vulg τη ζωη f || 15 om ειναι f || 16 ζη] ζωη e || 0 ζωη] ως ζ . dehnp euth || προαιρετικην]+ ητοι θ ελητικην euth 1456 || δ υναμιν εχει παντως 1 vulg

 ἐν ζωŷ...εlvai] 'that the subsistence of the Word is living.'
For the phrase elvat ev see c. 24 δει γάρ διά πάντων το θείον έν ταις πρεπούσαις υπολήψεσιν είναι. Gr. is illustrating the doctrine of distinctions in the Divine Being by an analysis of human consciousness. But it might be urged that the human analogy does not suggest the idea of distinct hypostases. This further step Gr. attempts to prove by showing that all relationships within the Divine Being must be living, and in order to be living in the full sense they must be personal. Augustine approached the same question from the moral consciousness and the idea of Divine Love. See de Trin. vi 5, viii 10,

6. οὐδὲ ἐν ὑποστάσει] 'it does not possess any subsistence at all,' i.e.

it is $d\nu\nu\pi\delta\sigma\tau a\tau os$ like the human $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os, which Gr. has declared to be $\ell\pi\ell\kappa\eta\rho$ os.

10. $\delta i\pi \lambda \delta \eta \nu$] 'doubleness.' $\Delta i\pi \lambda \delta \eta$ is used of that which has a double character, e.g. the fold of a garment, or the overlapping of the bones in the skull. Here it is used of the combination of different elements.

11. κατὰ μετουσίαν] 'consider the Word as living by a participation in life.' This, acc. to Gr., would involve διπλόη and σύνθεσις, whereas he maintains that the Word is αὐτοζωή. The Word does not partake of life, as we do. Life is absolutely His. Cp. infra αὐτοζωήν...οὐ ζωής μετουσίαν.

15. εί οὖν] As a result of the possession of life we must postulate that the Word possesses will and the power to carry out what He wills.

έχει οὐδεν γαρ απροαίρετον των ζώντων έστί. την δε προαίρεσιν ταύτην καὶ δυνατήν είναι κατά τὸ ἀκόλουθον εὐσεβές ἐστι λογίζεσθαι. εὶ γὰρ μή τις τὸ δυνατὸν όμολογοίη, τὸ ἀδύνατον πάντως κατασκευάσει. άλλὰ 5 μην πόρρω της περί το θείον ύποληψεώς έστι το άδύνατον. οὐδὲν γὰρ τῶν ἀπεμφαινόντων περὶ τὴν θείαν θεωρείται φύσιν, ανάγκη δὲ πᾶσα τοσαύτην είναι όμολογείν του λόγου την δύναμιν, όση έστι και ή πρόθεσις, ΐνα μή τις μίξις τῶν ἐναντίων καὶ συνδρομή περὶ το τὸ ἀπλοῦν θεωροῖτο, ἀδυναμίας τε καὶ δυνάμεως ἐν τῆ αὐτή προθέσει θεωρουμένων, εἴπερ τὸ μέν τι δύναιτο, πρὸς δέ τι άδυνάτως έχοι πάντα δὲ δυναμένην τὴν τοῦ λόγου προαίρεσιν πρός οὐδεν των κακών την ροπην έχειν αλλότρια γαρ της θείας φύσεως ή πρὸς κακίαν όρμή: 15 αλλα παν δ τι πέρ έστιν αγαθόν, τοῦτο καὶ βούλεσθαι, Βουλομένην δὲ πάντως καὶ δύνασθαι, δυναμένην δὲ μή ανενέργητον είναι, αλλά πασαν αγαθού πρόθεσιν είς ενέργειαν ἄγειν. ἀγαθὸν δὲ ὁ κόσμος καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ πάντα σοφώς τε καὶ τεχνικώς θεωρούμενα. άρα τοῦ

Ι ζωντων] οντων d \parallel 5 εστιν υποληψεως e \parallel 6 των απεμφ.] απεμφαινον των l vulg \parallel 7 om δε fl vulg \parallel 11 τι] τοι vulg \parallel δυναται n euth \parallel 12 εχει dnp euth oin εχοι vulg \parallel 15 ο τι περ] οπερ e \parallel 17 προθεσιν] προαιρεσιν vulg

 κατασκευάσει] 'he will prove' or 'establish.' Cp. κατασκευαίς prol. p. 2.

6. των ἀπεμφαινόντων] 'Απεμφαίνειν is 'to present a different appearance,' 'to be incongruous,' a common word in Gr. Cp. c. 10 όσον εὐπρεπές έστι...δεξάμενος, τὸ ἀπεμφαίνον ἀποποιείσθω. Cp. also C. 15 ώς ἀπαμμοστά τε καὶ ἀπεμφαίνοντα περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως δογματιζόντων.

8. $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$] used as almost equivalent to $\pi \rho o \alpha l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$.

12. πάντα δέ] A further step in the argument, postulating goodness as a necessary quality of the activity

of the Word. 'Po $\pi\dot{\eta}$ = 'inclination,' $\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\dot{\eta}$ = 'impulse.'

17. ανενέργητον] 'inoperative,' inactive.' A common word in Gr. Cp. c. 4 ανενέργητα τε και ανυπόστατα τα παρ' ημών ρήματα.

18. ἀγαθόν] The outcome of the activity of the Word is to be seen in the Universe, which is the expression of His character.

19. σοφώς] For this predicative force of adverbs and adverbial clauses with θεωρεῖν cp. c. 2, p. 14 μετὰ πνεύματος θεωρομένου, and esp. c. 16 τὸ δ' ὅσον ἐν τῆ φύσει... διεξοδικώς θεωρεῖται.

λόγου ἔργα τὰ πάντα τοῦ ζῶντος μὲν καὶ ὑφεστῶτος, δτι θεοῦ λόγος ἐστί, προαιρουμένου δέ, ὅτι ζῆ· δυναμένου δὲ πῶν ὅ τι περ ἄν ἔληται, αἰρουμένου δὲ τὸ ἀγαθόν τε καὶ σοφὸν πάντως, καὶ εἴ τι τῆς κρείττονος σημασίας έστίν. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἀγαθόν τι ὁ κόσμος ὁμολογεῖται, 5 ἀπεδείχθη δὲ διὰ τῶν εἰρημένων τοῦ λόγου ἔργον τὸν κόσμον είναι, τοῦ τὸ ἀγαθὸν καὶ αίρουμένου καὶ δυναμένου, δ δὲ λόγος οὖτος ἔτερός ἐστι παρὰ τὸν οὖ ἐστὶ λόγος τρόπου γάρ τινα τῶν πρός τι λεγομένων καὶ τοῦτό έστιν, ἐπειδὴ χρὴ πάντως τῷ λόγω καὶ τὸν πατέρα τοῦ 10 λόγου συνυπακούεσθαι ου γάρ αν είη λόγος, μή τινος ών λόγος εί οθυ διακρίνει τῷ σχετικῷ τῆς σημασίας ή τῶν ακουόντων διάνοια αὐτόν τε τὸν λόγον καὶ τὸν ὅθεν ἐστίν, οὐκέτ' αν ήμιν κινδυνεύοι τὸ μυστήριον ταις Ελληνικαις μαχόμενον ὑπολήψεσι τοῖς τὰ τῶν Ἰουδαίων πρεσβεύουσι 15 συνενεχθηναι άλλ' έπ' ἴσης έκατέρων την άτοπίαν έκφεύξεται, τόν τε ζώντα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον καὶ ἐνεργὸν καὶ

3 payta l vulg \parallel oper eh \parallel 5 whologypta hn euth whologeta e omologeta o kosmos f \parallel 6 to logov error dehnp \parallel 8 o de l.] ara o l. euth \parallel 9 touto] outos euth \parallel 12 diakriph vulg \parallel 14 kindupeuel defin vulg \parallel 15 tols te vulg \parallel om two hl vulg

4. Kpelttovos onhaolas] 'and' everything else that indicates excellence.'

9. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau i$] 'a relative term.' We cannot understand the term 'Word' without reference to some other Being.

συνυπακούεσθαι] 'understood'
 implied along with the Word.'

12. τῷ σχετικῷ τῆς σημ.] 'owing to the relative character of the term.' Σχέσις denotes 'relation.'

15. τὰ τῶν Ἰ. πρεσβεύουσι] 'those who pay honour to the beliefs of the Jews.' Πρεσβεύειν here = σέβειν οτ τιμᾶν. Cp. Plato Symp. 186 Β βρομαι δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς ἰατρικῆς λέγων, ἴνα και πρεσβεύωμεν τὴν τέχνην. Cp. Aesch. Cho. 488.

16. συνενεχθηναι] 'agree with.'

The Christian doctrine of God is unique, but it presents points of contact with Hellenism and Judaism. Cp. the summary of Gr. in c. 2.

Cp. the summary of Gr. in c. 3.

ib. eκφ.] i.e. the personal subject implied in τais Ε. μαχ. vπ.

17. τόν τε ζώντα] The article belongs also to ἐνεργόν and ποιητικόν. 'Confessing the living and active and creative Word of God, a thing which the few does not admit.' He is referring to Heb. iv 12, which was understood by many of the Fathers to refer to the Personal Word. The Logos doctrine of Philo is the nearest approach to the belief of which Gr. is speaking. But in Philo the Logos is rather an abstraction than a personal power, and could not be said to be ζών.

ποιητικον όμολογων, ὅπερ ὁ Ἰουδαίος οὐ δέχεται, καὶ τὸ μὴ διαφέρειν κατὰ τὴν φύσιν αὐτόν τε τὸν λόγον καὶ τὸν ὅθεν ἐστίν. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐφ' ἡμῶν ἐκ τοῦ νοῦ φαμὲν εἶναι τὸν λόγον, οὔτε δι' ὅλου τὸν αὐτὸν ὄντα τῷ νῷ, οὔτε 5 παντάπασιν ἔτερον τῷ μὲν γὰρ ἐξ ἐκείνου εἶναι ἄλλο τι καὶ οὐκ ἐκεῖνό ἐστι' τῷ δὲ αὐτὸν τὸν νοῦν εἰς τὸ ἐμφανὲς ἄγειν οὐκέτ' ἄν ἔτερόν τι παρ' ἐκεῖνον ὑπονοοῖτο, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν ἐν ὧν ἔτερον τῷ ὑποκειμένῳ ἐστίν' οὔτως καὶ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος τῷ μὲν ὑφεστάναι καθ' ἐαυτὸν το διἡρηται πρὸς ἐκεῖνον, παρ' οὖ τὴν ὑπόστασιν ἔχει' τῷ δὲ ταῦτα δεικνύειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ, ὰ περὶ τὸν θεὸν καθορᾶται, ὁ αὐτός ἐστι κατὰ τὴν φύσιν ἐκείνω τῷ διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν γνωρισμάτων εὐρισκομένω εἴτε γὰρ ἀγαθότης, εἴτε δύναμις, εἴτε σοφία, εἴτε τὸ ἀιδίως εἶναι, εἴτε τὸ κακίας καὶ θανάτου

1 om 0 eh || και το μη] incipit g || 2 διαφθειρειν vulg || 3 εκ τ. ν. εφ ημων l vulg || 4 οντα] ειναι dl vulg || 5–6 το μεν...το δε efn euth 45 || 7 om τι l vulg || εκεινο l vulg || 8 ων] ον l vulg || 13 αγαθοτητα—δυναμιν—σοφιαν euth || 14 κακιας κ. φ. αν. και θανατου g

1. καὶ τὸ μὴ διαφ.] Though distinct from the Father, the Word possesses the same nature. This is illustrated by the relation of the human word to the mind of him who utters it.

τφ δε] 'but owing to the fact that it manifests the mind itself.'

8. τῷ ὑποκειμένφ] With Gr. τὸ ὑποκείμενον practically always is used in a sense approaching to ovola. See c. Eunom. i, p. 520 (Migne) ένὶ τῷ ὑποκειμένῳ τρεῖς έφαρμόζοντες προσηγορίας. Cp. c. 3 of this treatise διακέκριται τη υποστάσει, και ου διώρισται τῶ υποκειμένω (note). On account of Gr.'s strict use of terms, Rupp (Gregor von Nyssa, p. 168) thinks that the present passage contains a gloss, but there is no variation in the MSS, and the assumption is quite unnecessary, as τῷ ὑποκειμένφ may have its common Aristotelic sense of 'subject.' The word

is one 'subject,' and the mind another. Cp. for this sense of τδ υποκ. prol. p. 5 el γὰρ τδ τέλειον ...δοίη περί τὸ υποκείμενον ὁμολογεῖσθαι. For a similar use of the word cp. Basil Ερ. ix 2, and see Bethune-Baker Texts and Studies, vol. vii, no. 1, p. 82.

13. εὐρωκομένω] 'who is known by' or 'who is discerned by the possession of' the same distinctive characteristics.

ib. dyaθbτηs] The construction is broken. The text of Euthymius (which reads dyaθbτητα...δύναμνν... σοφίαν against the unanimous verdict of the MSS of the Or. Cat.) represents an attempt to correct the grammar of the passage. Prob. Gr. intended to make the words the subject to a verb, but in completing the sentence he has given it a different turn. For a similar break of construction cp. c. q.

καὶ φθορᾶς ἀνεπίδεκτον, εἴτε τὸ ἐν παντὶ τέλειον, εἴτε τι τοιοῦτον ὅλως σημεῖόν τις ποιοῖτο τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς καταλήψεως, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν εὐρήσει σημείων καὶ τὸν ἐξ ἐκείνου ὑφεστῶτα λόγον.

2. "Ωσπερ δὲ τὸν λόγον ἐκ τῶν καθ' ἡμᾶς ἀναγωγικῶς 5 ἐπὶ τῆς ὑπερκειμένης ἔγνωμεν φύσεως, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ τῆ περὶ τοῦ πνεύματος ἐννοίᾳ προσαχθησόμεθα, σκιάς τινας καὶ μιμήματα τῆς ἀφράστου δυνάμεως ἐν τῆ καθ' ἡμᾶς θεωροῦντες φύσει. ἀλλ' ἐφ' ἡμῶν μὲν τὸ πνεῦμα ἡ τοῦ ἀέρος ἐστὶν ὁλκή, ἀλλοτρίου πράγματος πρὸς τὴν τοῦ 10 σώματος σύστασιν ἀναγκαίως εἰσελκομένου τε καὶ προχεομένου, ὅπερ ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς ἐκφωνήσεως τοῦ λόγου

2 om 718 l vulg \parallel 3 eurhofeis vulg \parallel 5 aralogikus fl vulg \parallel 6 ept] uper h

 εἶτε τι τοιοῦτον] 'whatsoever of this kind is made an indication of the way of apprehending the Father.'

3. διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν] The unity of nature gives to the Word the same characteristic qualities as the Father possesses.

ih. έξ έκείνου ὑφεστῶτα] 'that

subsists from Him.

2. Human nature supplies also an analogy to the existence of the Spirit in the Godhead. In man breath (πνεῦμα) accompanies the utterance of the human word (λόγος). So in God the Spirit cannot be separated from the Word. But while the human breath is something foreign to man's nature, the Spirit of God is one with God in being, and at the same time, like the Word, is self-subsistent, possessing will, activity, and power.

5. ἀναγωγικῶs] The alternative reading ἀναλογικῶs is a correction of the text. ἀναγωγή or ἀναγωγή μυστική is a phrase which constantly occurs in Origen. See Philocalia (ed. Rob.), i 22, xxvi 4, 8. It is used by him to denote the process by which the reader of

Scripture 'ascends' from the literal and moral meaning of Scripture to its spiritual significance. Here the phrase is used of 'ascending' from the experience of human nature $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \theta' \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a}s)$ to the nature of God. 'Just as, by an ascent from the facts of our own nature, we recognized in the transcendent nature the existence of the Word.'

9. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\phi}'$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$] This passage is adapted in the form of a paraphrase by Ps.-Cyr. Al. de Trin. c. 6 and Io. Damasc. F. O. i 7. In the case of our bodies the breath which we inhale and breathe out is something foreign to our nature. The Divine $\Pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu$ a, however, is one with God.

10. ολκή] 'drawing.'

ib. άλλοτρίου πράγματος] i.e. τοῦ ἀἐρος, which is foreign to the nature of the body. Πρός is governed by ἀλλοτρίου. Cp. c. 5 ἀλλοτριωθεῖσα τῷ ἀνομοίψ πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον (note).

12. ὅπερ] i.e. τὸ πνεῦμα, which becomes $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}$, 'a voice' or 'utterance,' revealing the 'force' or 'meaning' of the word.

φωνή γίνεται, τήν τοῦ λόγου δύναμιν εν εαυτή φανεροῦσα. έπὶ δὲ τῆς θείας φύσεως τὸ μὲν είναι πνεῦμα θεοῦ εὐσεβὲς ένομίσθη, καθώς έδόθη καὶ λόγον είναι θεοῦ διὰ τὸ μὴ δεῖν έλλιπέστερον τοῦ ήμετέρου λόγου τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ εἶναι λόγον, ς είπερ τούτου μετά πνεύματος θεωρουμένου έκεινος δίχα πνεύματος είναι πιστεύοιτο. οὐ μὴν ἀλλότριόν τι καθ' όμοιότητα του ήμετέρου πνεύματος έξωθεν ἐπιρρεῖν τῷ θεώ καὶ ἐν αὐτώ γίνεσθαι τὸ πνεῦμα θεοπρεπές ἐστιν οἴεσθαι άλλ ώς θεοῦ λόγον ἀκούσαντες οὐκ ἀνυπόστατόν 10 τι πράγμα τὸν λόγον ωήθημεν, οὐδὲ ἐκ μαθήσεως ἐγγινόμενον, οὔτε διὰ φωνής προφαινόμενον, οὔτε μετὰ τὸ προενεχθήναι διαλυόμενον, οὐδὲ ἄλλο τι πάσχοντα τοιοῦτον, οἷα περὶ τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον θεωρεῖται πάθη, ἀλλ' οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστῶτα, προαιρετικόν τε καὶ ἐνεργὸν καὶ ις παντοδύναμον· οὕτως καὶ πνεῦμα μεμαθηκότες θεοῦ, τὸ συμπαρομαρτούν τῷ λόγω καὶ φανερούν αὐτού τὴν ἐνέργειαν, οὐ πνοὴν ἄσθματος έννοοῦμεν ἡ γὰρ ἂν καθαιροῖτο πρὸς ταπεινότητα τὸ μεγαλείον τῆς θείας δυνάμεως, εἰ

3 logos vulg $\parallel \mu\eta$ deip $\parallel \mu\eta$ dev f $\parallel 5$ tov $\pi\nu$. I vulg $\parallel 6$ om eival e \parallel om $\tau\iota$ vulg $\parallel 7$ epecifically $\parallel 8$ om τo euth \parallel 11 oude...oude fl vulg \parallel 11 proferometor [g1] euth 245 \parallel 15 to $\pi\nu$. f Ps-Cyr Al

5. ε[περ τούτου] τούτου refers to the human word, the expression of which is always seen to be accompanied with breath (μετὰ πνεύματος θεωρουμένου). The Divine Word (ἐκεῖνος), which certainly does not fall short of its human counterpart, must similarly be accompanied by πνεῦμα.

6. ἀλλότριόν τι] We are not to suppose that in the case of the Divine πνεῦμα something foreign has an influx from without (ξωθεν ἐπιρρεῖν) into God, and that this becomes in Him the Spirit.

14. οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστῶτα] The Divine Word has no mere transitory existence. It does not come to exist in the Godhead as the result of instruction. It is not a mere utterance of the voice, passing away

after it has been uttered. It 'exists after the manner of real being' (ούσωδως ὑφεστώσα). Cp. c. 4 οὐσιωδως ὑφεστώσας δυνάμεις. In both places the phrase οὐσ. ὑφ. denotes that the existence is real and not merely relative or contingent.

15. μεμαθηκότες] 'having learnt that there is a Breath or Spirit of God.' Τὸ συμπαρομαρτοῦν is an explanatory clause, 'which accompanies' &c.

17. πνοὴν ἄσθ.] 'we do not conceive of it as an emission of breath.' The sentence is resumed by άλλά below, the clause ἢ γὰρ... ὑπονοοῖτο being parenthetical.

18. ταπεινότητα] Cf. antea c. 1 πρὸς τὸ τῆς φύσεως τῆς ἡμετέρας μέτρον συνταπεινοῦνται.

ib. μεγαλείον] Cp. c. 1, p. 8.

καθ' όμοιότητα τοῦ ἡμετέρου καὶ τὸ ἐν αὐτῷ πνεῦμα ὑπονοοῖτο· ἀλλὰ δύναμιν οὐσιώδη αὐτὴν ἐφ' ἑαυτῆς ἐν ἰδιαζούση ὑποστάσει θεωρουμένην, οὕτε χωρισθῆναι τοῦ θεοῦ, ἐν ῷ ἔστιν, ἡ τοῦ λόγου τοῦ θεοῦ, ῷ παρομαρτεῖ, δυναμένην· οὕτε πρὸς τὸ ἀνύπαρκτον ἀναχεομένην, ἀλλὰ 5 καθ' ὁμοιότητα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου καθ' ὑπόστασιν οὖσαν, προαιρετικήν, αὐτοκίνητον, ἐνεργόν, πάντοτε τὸ ἀγαθὸν αἰρουμένην καὶ πρὸς πᾶσαν πρόθεσιν σύνδρομον ἔχουσαν τῆ βουλήσει τὴν δύναμιν.

3. "Ωστε τὸν ἀκριβῶς τὰ βάθη τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκο- 10 πούμενον ἐν μὲν τῷ ψυχῷ κατὰ τὸ ἀπόρρητον μετρίαν τινὰ κατανόησιν τῆς κατὰ τὴν θεογνωσίαν διδασκαλίας λαμβάνειν, μὴ μέντοι δύνασθαι λόγῳ διασαφεῖν τὴν ἀνέκφραστον ταύτην τοῦ μυστηρίου βαθύτητα· πῶς τὸ αὐτὸ καὶ ἀριθμητόν ἐστι καὶ διαφεύγει τὴν ἐξαρίθμησιν, 15

1 om το d 3. 13 λαβειν fl vulg | διασαφησαι fg'l vulg

2. δύναμιν οὐσιώδη] 'as a power really in being, to be regarded as existing in its own right in a subsistence of its own.' For ὑπόστασις in this sense cp. c. i init. διάκρισιν ὑποστάσεων.

5. ἀναχεομένην] 'dissolving into non-existence.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. κχνίϊι 13 λόγον... χεόμενον; όκνῶ γὰρ εἰπεῖν λυόμενον. 'Ανύπ. Cp. c. 1, p. 8 μεταχωρῶν εἰς ἀνύπαρκτον.

6. καθ' υπόστασιν] 'after the manner of an individual subsistence,' almost = 'as a person.'

3. This doctrine of God is full of mystery. Yet it is the mean between the opposing doctrines of Judaism and Hellenism. With Judaism it preserves the unity of the Divine nature. With Hellenism it teaches the distinction of Persons. At the same time it is a safeguard against the errors of both.

11. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ τ . ψ .] The corresponding clause is $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau o\iota$. It is possible to have an inner, secret

(κατὰ τὸ ἀπόρρητον) apprehension of the nature of Deity in the mind (ψνχή), without being able to express it in words.

ib. ψυχή] used here as comm. in Plato for the organ of the νοῦς. Cp. Plat. Tim. 30 Β νοῦν δ' αῦ χωρὶς ψυχής ἀδύνατον παραγενέσθαι τψ.

ib. μετρίαν τυνά] 'a moderate degree of apprehension.' Gr. is conscious that the doctrine of the Trinity is a mystery. He does not claim that his argument is in any sense a 'proof' of it. His analysis of man's nature is only an illustration.

15. ἀριθμητόν] 'admits of being numbered.' Cp. Just. Mart. Dial. 128, where the Son is spoken of as ἀριθμῷ ἔτερόν τι, and ibid. 52, where he says that the God Who appeared to Moses ἔτερός ἐστι τοῦ τὰ πάντα ποιήσαντος θεοῦ, ἀριθμῷ λέγω ἀλλ' οῦ γνώμη. Such expressions are intended to mark the individual existence of the Persons in the Godhead.

καὶ διηρημένως ὁρᾶται καὶ ἐν μονάδι καταλαμβάνεται, καὶ διακέκριται τῷ ὑποστάσει καὶ οὐ διώρισται τῷ ὑποκειμένω. ἄλλο γάρ τι τῷ ὑποστάσει τὸ πνεῦμα, καὶ ἔτερον ὁ λόγος, καὶ ἄλλο πάλιν ἐκεῖνο, οὐ καὶ ὁ λόγος 5 ἐστὶ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα ἀλλὶ ἐπειδὰν τὸ διακεκριμένον ἐν τούτοις κατανοήσης, πάλιν ἡ τῆς φύσεως ἐνότης τὸν διαμερισμὸν οὐ προσίεται, ὡς μήτε τὸ τῆς μοναρχίας σχίζεσθαι κράτος εἰς θεότητας διαφόρους κατατεμνόμενον, μήτε τῷ Ἰουδαικῷ δόγματι συμβαίνειν τὸν λόγον, ἀλλὰ 10 διὰ μέσου τῶν δύο ὑπολήψεων χωρεῖν τὴν ἀλήθειαν,

2 diwr.] μεμερισται fg^1 vulg \parallel τω υποκειμένω] τη φυσει euth 16 τη ουσια euth 24 \parallel 3-4 allo γαρ...έτερον ο logos] desunt in 1* vulg \parallel 7 ωs] ωστε l vulg \parallel 10 μέσον vulg

 διηρημένως] 'is perceived in a way that involves division.'

2. διακέκριται] 'it is distinct as regards person, and not divided as regards subject-matter.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxi 14 (Mason, p. 163) αμέριστος èν μεμερισμένοις, εἰ δεῖ

συντόμως είπεῖν, ἡ θεότης.

ib. τῷ ὑποκειμένω] Τὸ ὑποκ. is used in Aristotle to denote 'subject' or 'subject-matter.' For instances of such use in the Or. Cat. cp. prol., c. 1 sub fin., and c. 5. The Stoic writers used the word to denote the 'substratum' of things, the real existence lying behind that which was perceived by the senses. Cp. Sextus A. M. 7, 346 sq. αlσθήσεσι μέν οὖν μόναις λαβεῖν τάληθές οὐ δύναται...συνέσεώς τε δεί και μνήμης πρὸς ἀντίληψιν τῶν ὑποκειμένων, οίον ανθρώπου, φυτοῦ, τῶν ἐοικότων. Thus it comes to be a synonym for οὐσία. The glosses τŷ ούσία and τη φύσει found in some MSS of Euthymius, where this passage is quoted, are attempts to interpret τὸ ὑποκείμενον.

 οὐ προσίεται] 'does not admit of division,' in the sense of the polytheists, who are here in view, although the Arian opinion led to the same result.

ib. τὸ τῆς μον.] 'the might of the Divine sovereignty is not split up by being divided into different kinds of Godhead.' Gr. has to be on his guard against the charge of tritheism, which was actually brought against his teaching. His two works, Quod non sint tres Dii and the de Communibus Notionibus, are intended to guard against misconceptions of the Tripersonality of the Godhead. On the μοναρχία see Ath. c. Ar. iv 1 ωστε δύο μέν είναι Πατέρα και Υιόν, μονάδα δὲ θεότητος άδιαίρετον καὶ ἄσχιστον. λεχθείη δ' ἄν καὶ οὕτω μία άρχὴ θεότητος, καὶ οὐ δύο ἀρχαί ὅθεν κυρίως και μον-αρχία έστίν. Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 2, where the μοναρχία is defined as ούχ ήν εν περιγράφει πρόσωπον... άλλ' ήν φύσεως ομοτιμία συνίστησι, καί γνώμης σύμπνοια, καὶ ταὐτότης κινήσεως, και πρός τὸ εν τῶν έξ αὐτοῦ σύννευσις.

9. συμβαίνειν] 'agree with.' For this use of the word see c. 17 την λύσιν τοῖς προλαβοῦσι συμβαίνουσαν. Cp. c. 27.

10. διὰ μέσου] Acc. to Gr. the Christian doctrine of God mediates between opposite errors. Its strength

έκατέραν τε τῶν αἰρέσεων καθαιροῦσαν καὶ ἀφ' ἐκατέρας παραδεχομένην τὸ χρήσιμον. τοῦ μὲν γὰρ Ἰουδαίου καθαιρεῖται τὸ δόγμα τῆ τε τοῦ λόγου παραδοχῆ καὶ τῆ πίστει τοῦ πνεύματος τῶν δὲ ἐλληνιζόντων ἡ πολύθεος ἐξαφανίζεται πλάνη, τῆς κατὰ φύσιν ἐνότητος 5 παραγραφομένης τὴν πληθυντικὴν φαντασίαν. πάλιν δὲ αῦ ἐκ μὲν τῆς Ἰουδαικῆς ὑπολήψεως ἡ τῆς φύσεως ἐνότης παραμενέτω ἐκ δὲ τοῦ Ἑλληνισμοῦ ἡ κατὰ τὰς ὑποστάσεις διάκρισις μόνη, θεραπευθείσης ἐκατέρωθεν καταλλήλως τῆς ἀσεβοῦς ὑπονοίας ἔστι γὰρ ὥσπερ θεραπεία 10 τῶν μὲν περὶ τὸ ἐν πλανωμένων ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῆς τριάδος, τῶν δὲ εἰς πλῆθος ἐσκεδασμένων ὁ τῆς ἐνότητος λόγος.

4. Εί δὲ ἀντιλέγοι τούτοις ὁ Ἰουδαίος, οὐκέτ' αν ήμιν

6 σημασίαν d || 10 ωσπερ] + τις l vulg || 12 λογος] desinit euth 4. 13 αντιλεγει vulg

lies in presenting truth in its proper proportion, and in setting forth both sides of the antithesis exhibited by the Tripersonality and Unity of God. Cp. Bern. Tract. de Errore Abael. 3. 7 Novit pietas fidei... medium iter tenens, regia incedere via.

1. $alp\acute{e}\sigma \epsilon \omega r$] $A\"{r}_{p-}$ is here used in its earlier and non-ecclesiastical sense = 'a school of thought,' 'a sect of philosophy.'

3. καθαιρείται] 'is overthrown.' This passage is reproduced by Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. i 7.

6. παραγραφομένης] Παραγράφειν = 'to draw a line across,' 'cancel,' 'annul.'

ih. πληθ. φαντ.] 'fancy of a plurality.' Φαντασία, a term found both in Plato and Aristotle, is the process by which objects are presented to the mind, so that it may receive impressions from them. Then it is used of impressions received in this way. It is a favourite word with Stoic writers, who distinguish φαντασία, which may be fallible and have no real object behind it, from

καταληπτική φαντασία, which is infallible and the equivalent of κατά-ληψιs. In the present passage the word suggests an unreal imagination.

 καταλλήλωs] 'an appropriate remedy being found for the wrong opinion entertained on either side.' For καταλλήλωs cp. c. I κατάλληλον ...τῆ φύσει τὸν λόγον.

12. ἐσκεδ.] the doctrine of the unity is a remedy for those whose allegiance has been divided amongst a plurality,' lit. 'who have been scattered amongst a plurality.' The word ἐσκεδ. as compared with the preceding πλανωμένων may suggest the thought that polytheists had not merely 'wandered' from the truth, but had become 'scattered' by the very nature of their creed. There is possibly also the thought of a distraction of mind. Cp. prol. p. 5 τὸ ἐσκεδασμένον τῆς διανοίας εἰς πλῆθος θεῶν.

4. The Jew may be further convinced by an appeal to Scripture. To take one passage out of many, the words 'By the Word of the Lord

ἐκ τοῦ ἴσου δύσκολος ὁ πρὸς ἐκεῖνον γενήσεται λόγος. ἐκ γὰρ τῶν συντρόφων αὐτῷ διδαγμάτων ἡ τῆς ἀληθείας ἔσται φανέρωσις. τὸ γὰρ εἶναι λόγον θεοῦ καὶ πνεῦμα θεοῦ, οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστώσας δυνάμεις, ποιητικάς τε τῶν 5 γεγενημένων καὶ περιεκτικὰς τῶν ὄντων, ἐκ τῶν θεοπνεύστων γραφῶν ἐναργέστερον δείκνυται. ἀρκεῖ δὲ μιᾶς μαρτυρίας ἐπιμνησθέντας τοῖς φιλοτιμοτέροις καταλιπεῖν τῶν πλειόνων τὴν εὕρεσιν. Τῷ λόγῳ τοῦ κυρίου, φησίν, οἱ οὐρανοὶ ἐστερεώθησαν καὶ τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ στόματος οἰ οὐρανοὶ ἐστερεώθησαν καὶ τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ πᾶσα ἡ δύναμις αὐτῶν. ποίῳ λόγῳ καὶ ποίῳ πνεύματι; οὕτε γὰρ ῥῆμα ὁ λόγος, οὕτε ἀσθμα τὸ πνεῦμα. ἡ γὰρ ἄν καθ΄ ὁμοιότητα τῆς ἡμετέρας φύσεως καὶ τὸ θεῖον ἐξανθρωπίζοιτο, εἰ τοιούτῳ κεχρῆσθαι λόγῳ καὶ τοιούτῳ πνεύματι τὸν τοῦ παντὸς ποιητὴν δογματίζοιεν.

1 ισου] ιδιου vulg \parallel 7 φιλοπονωτεροις fg* vulg \parallel 8 ευρεσιν \mid εφεσιν f \parallel 13 ει] και vulg

were the heavens established, and all the power of them by the breath of His mouth' point to the existence of the Word and the Spirit as personal, self-subsistent powers.

 γενήσεται] For this use of αν with Fut. Indic. see Goodwin Greek

Moods and Tenses, § 37.

4. οὐσιωδῶς ὑφ.] Cp. antea c. 2 [λόγον] οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστῶτα with note.

5. περιεκτικάς τῶν ὄντων] 'containing all things which exist.' The single quotation which Gr. gives only illustrates the existence of λόγος and πνεύμα as ποιητικαί δυνάμεις. But he is thinking of other passages of the O.T. which speak of the Divine Spirit as upholding and containing all things. Cp. Ps. civ (ciii) 29, 30, cxxxix (cxxxviii) 7, Job xxxiii 4, and esp. Wisd. i 7.

7. φιλοτιμοτέροιs] i.e. more ambitious for complete investigation. The Paris edd. read φιλοπονωτέροιs which is a correction of the text.

Τῷ λόγφ] from Ps. xxxiii (xxxii)
 In the original passage there

is no reason to suppose that a reference to a personal Word is intended, though the passage may have influenced the Logos doctrine. The word for 'breath' is identical with that for 'spirit' in Gen. i 2, but the parallelism suggests that the 'breath of His mouth' is synonymous with 'word.' Gr. interprets the passage acc. to the methods of his time.

10. δύναμις] in the original passage means 'host' and refers to sun, moon, and stars.

11. ἀῆμα] The Word is not mere 'utterance,' neither is the Spirit mere 'breath.' These ideas he has refuted in cc. 2 and 3.

13. ἐξανθρωπίζοιτο] further defined by καθ' όμ. τ. ἡ. φύσεως. Cp. infra τὸ θεῖον κατάγοντες. Translate 'would be lowered to the level of man.'

14. δογματίζοιεν] used freq. by Gr. with reference to the doctrines held by heretics. Cp. c. 9 (sub fin.), c. 15.

τίς δὲ καὶ δύναμις ἀπὸ ῥημάτων καὶ ἄσθματος τηλικαύτη. ώς έξαρκείν πρός οὐρανών σύστασιν καὶ τών ἐν τούτοις δυνάμεων; εί γὰρ ὅμοιος τῷ ἡμετέρω ῥήματι καὶ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τῷ πνεύματι, ὁμοία πάντως ἐκ των ομοίων ή δύναμις καὶ όσην ο ήμέτερος, τοσαύτην καὶ ς ό τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος τὴν ἰσχὺν ἔχει. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἀνενέργητά τε καὶ ἀνυπόστατα τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν ῥήματα καὶ τὸ τοῖς ρήμασι συνδιεξερχόμενον πνεθμα. ἄπρακτα πάντως καὶ άνυπόστατα κάκείνα κατασκευάσουσιν οί πρὸς τὴν όμοιότητα τοῦ παρ' ἡμῖν λόγου τὸ θεῖον κατάγοντες. εἰ δέ, 10 καθώς λέγει Δαβίδ, έστερεώθησαν τῷ λόγφ τοῦ κυρίου οί οὐρανοὶ καὶ αί δυνάμεις αὐτῶν ἐν τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ θεοῦ τὴν σύστασιν ἔσχον, ἄρα συνέστηκε τὸ τῆς ἀληθείας μυστήριον, λόγον ἐν οὐσία καὶ πνεῦμα ἐν ὑποστάσει λέγειν ύφηγούμενον. 15

'Αλλὰ τὸ μὲν εἶναι λόγον θεοῦ καὶ πνεῦμα διά τε

1 δε και] δαι και dp δ οιχεται vulg || 2 ουρανου deghlnp || 7 om παρ ημιν ρηματα και το l* vulg || 8 συνδιεξερχομενα πνευματα vulg || παντως] παντα $f \parallel g$ κατασκευαζουσιν egl vulg $\parallel II$ o Δ . e \parallel om του ep $\parallel I2$ πνευματι] λογω g* | 13 εχουσιν e | 14 πνευμα] πνευματι e **5.** 16 λογον] om p

 τίς δέ] Mere 'utterances' and 'breath' would not suffice for the framing (σύστασιν) of heaven and the powers therein.

 άλλὰ μήν] In our case the utterances and the breath which accompanies them are 'inoperative' and 'unsubstantial.' Cp. antea, c. 1, of the power of the Word, δυναμένην δέ μη άνενέργητον είναι.

 κάκεῖνα] i.e. the Divine λόγος and πνεθμα:- ' prove that the Divine Word and Spirit are absolutely inactive and unsubstantial.' For kaτασκευάζειν cp. c. I κατασκευάσει (note) and κατασκευαίς (prol.).

συνέστηκε] 'is established.'

14. υποστάσει] used here as a synonym for οὐσία. Cp. the anathema of the Nicene Creed ex exepas υποστάσεως η ούσίας. 'Teaching us to speak of a Word in actual being and a Spirit in subsistence.'

15. \dot{v} φηγούμενον] 'Υφ. = 'to guide,' 'instruct.' Cp. Plat. Rep. 403 E ημείς δὲ οσον τοὺς τύπους ὑφηγησαίμεθα.

CHAPS, V-VIII. THE CREATION OF MAN AND THE ORIGIN OF EVIL.

5. Our next step is to vindicate the Incarnation against the objections of Greeks and Jews. Man, like the rest of Creation, owes his existence to the activity of the self-subsistent Word of God. The motive of man's creaτῶν κοινῶν ἐννοιῶν ὁ Ἑλλην καὶ διὰ τῶν γραφικῶν ὁ Ἰουδαῖος ἴσως οὐκ ἀντιλέξει· τὴν δὲ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίαν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἐκάτερος αὐτῶν ἀποδοκιμάσει ὡς ἀπίθανόν τε καὶ ἀπρεπῆ περὶ θεοῦ λέγεσθαι. οὐκοῦν ἐξ ἐτέρας ἀρχῆς καὶ εἰς τὴν περὶ τούτου πίστιν τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας προσαξόμεθα. λόγφ τὰ πάντα γεγενῆσθαι καὶ σοφία παρὰ τοῦ τὸ πᾶν

ι γραφικων] γραφων $h^* \parallel 2$ τον ανθρωπον fl vulg $\parallel 4$ απρεπες eg $\parallel 5$ ετερας f $\parallel 6$ προσαξωμεθα degnp

tion was not any necessity, but the superabundance of love, and the desire to impart the perfections of the Divine Being. Man's nature accordingly was fashioned in a way that fitted him to participate in those perfections. Thus man was equipped with various gifts and amongst them with immor-The Scriptural expression 'the image of God' and the account of Creation indicate these truths, and show that man's nature was originally good and surrounded with good. To the objection that man's actual state is the reverse of this we reply that man's present evil condition is due to another cause than the will of God. Being in 'the image of God' involved the possession of free-will and self-determination, so that the participation in the Divine blessings should be the reward of virtue. Whence then did evil spring? Not from the Divine will, but from man's free choice and his withdrawal from good. For evil has no substantive existence, but is the absence of good.

1. κοινῶν ἐννοιῶν] 'general ideas.'
The expression κοιναί ἔννοιαι οccurs freq. in Origen in the sense of moral or religious notions which are common to mankind. Cp. Philocal. ix 2 (p. 56 ed. Rob.) ὁ γὰρ γραπτὸς ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις νόμος καὶ ἐν ἐθνικοῖς φύσει τὰ τοῦ νόμου ποιοῦσιν οὐκ ἄλλος ἐστὶ τοῦ κατὰ τὰς κοινὰς ἐννοίας φύσει ἐγγεγραμμένου τῷ της ἐνροικῷ ἡμῶν.

In the present passage Gr. is referring to the illustrations, derived from the facts of human nature, given in cc. 1—3. On the points of contact between Greek thought and Christian theology see Introd. pp. xi, xvii.

 την δ. κ. ά. οἰκονομίαν] Οἰκ. is used commonly in patristic writers of the plan or 'dispensation' of God in the Incarnation. Hence Theodoret Dial. ii p. 129 (Migne) says την ένανθρώπησιν του Θεού Λόγου καλοῦμεν οἰκονομίαν. The source of the phrase is Eph. i 10. See Lightfoot Notes on Epp. p. 319, for a valuable note on the word. fuller expression ή κατα ἄνθρωπον olkovoula occurs also twice in c. 20 and in Ep. ad Eustathiam, p. 1020 (Migne). The expression κατά αν- $\theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ refers to the form which the olkovoµía took. It was a dispensation κατ' ἄνθρωπον 'after a human manner' i.e. in the form of an Incarnation. Other expressions to denote the Incarnation found in patristic writers are ή κατά σάρκα οίκονομία (Greg. Nyss.), ή ένσαρκος οίκονομία (Chrys., Ath., Thdrt.), ή ανθρωπίνη οίκονομία (Chrys.). The gen. in τοῦ Θεοῦ Λόγου is subjective, and the whole expression is equivalent to 'the Incarnation of God the Word.'

 άρχῆs] i.e. a starting point or basis of proof. Cp. prol. ἀρχάs τινας καὶ προτάσεις.

6. $\lambda \delta \gamma \psi$] here = 'reason.'

συστησαμένου πιστεύουσιν, ή καὶ πρὸς ταύτην δυσ- $\pi \epsilon \iota^{H} \hat{\omega}_{S}$ έχουσι τὴν ὑπόλη ψ ιν. ἀλλ' $\epsilon \dot{\iota}$ μὴ δο $\hat{\iota}$ εν λόγον καθηγείσθαι καὶ σοφίαν της των όντων συστάσεως. άλογίαν τε καὶ ἀτεχνίαν τῆ ἀρχῆ τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστήσουσιν. εί δε τοῦτο ἄτοπόν τε καὶ ἀσεβές, όμολογείται ς πάντως ὅτι λόγον τε καὶ σοφίαν ἡγεμονεύειν τῶν ὄντων όμολογήσουσιν. αλλά μην έν τοις φθάσασιν αποδέδεικται μη αὐτὸ τοῦτο ρημα ὧν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, η έξις ἐπιστήμης τινὸς ή σοφίας, άλλὰ κατ' οὐσίαν τις ὑφεστῶσα δύναμις, προαιρετική τε παντὸς ἀγαθοῦ καὶ ἐν ἰσχύι πᾶν τὸ κατὰ 10 προαίρεσιν έχουσα · άγαθοῦ δὲ ὄντος τοῦ κόσμου τὴν τῶν άγαθων προεκτικήν τε καὶ ποιητικήν δύναμιν αἰτίαν είναι. εὶ δὲ τοῦ κόσμου παντὸς ἡ ὑπόστασις τῆς τοῦ λόγου δυνάμεως εξήπται, καθώς ή ἀκολουθία παρέδειξεν, ἀνάγκη πασα καὶ των τοῦ κόσμου μερών μη ἄλλην ἐπινοεῖν αἰτίαν 15 τινὰ τῆς συστάσεως, ἀλλ' ἡ τὸν λόγον αὐτόν, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα τὴν εἰς τὸ γενέσθαι πάροδον ἔσχε. τοῦτον δὲ εἴτε λόγον, εἴτε σοφίαν, εἴτε δύναμιν, εἴτε θεόν, εἴτε ἄλλο τι τῶν ὑψηλῶν τε καὶ τιμίων ὀνομάζειν τις ἐθέλοι, οὐ διοισόμεθα. ὅ τι γὰρ ἄν εύρεθη δεικτικὸν τοῦ ὑπο-20

4 του π. τ. αρχη f \parallel 8 εξ επιστημης efl vulg \parallel 12 προεκτικην] ορεκτικην fl ορεκτην vulg \parallel 15 τινα αιτιαν g \parallel 17 τουτο vulg

4. επιστήσουσικ] 'they will set up unreason and unskilfulness to rule over the beginning of the Universe.'

7. $\phi\theta$ άσασιν] i.e. in c. 1. Αὐτὸ τ. ρ. i.e. 'just this—an utterance,'

and nothing more.

8. ἔξες] 'possession.' Cp. c. 6, where blindness is called προλαβούσης ἔξεως στέρησω. The exact phrase ἔξει ἐπιστήμης in the sense of 'having possession of knowledge' occurs in Plat. Theaet. 197 A (cp. Arist. Eth. vii 3. 7) where ἔξες is contrasted with κτῆσες.

11. ἀγαθοῦ δέ] 'and it has been shown that, since the world is good, its cause is the power which offers and creates all good things.' The MSS are divided between δρεκτικήν

and προεκτικήν. The former is obviously a correction. Hesychius (i 376) and Suidas (ed. Gaisford, p. 3091) regard the form προεκτικόs as a corruption of προετικόs. But here it may quite well be derived from προέχειν οτ προέχεσθαι in the sense of 'hold forth,' 'offer.' Its sense would thus be similar to προετικήν. So it appears to have been understood by P. Morel, who in his Latin version has 'vim illam, quae bona largitur et efficit.'

14. $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \alpha i$] 'depends on.' Cp. c. 25 τοῦ γὰρ ὄντος $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \alpha i$ τὰ ὄντα.

19. οὐ διοισόμεθα] in pass. sense, 'we shall not differ' or 'quarrel.'

20. ὅ τι γάρ κτλ.] i.e. whatever 'word' or 'name' we use to express

κειμένου ρημα η δνομα, εν έστι το δια των φωνών σημαινόμενον, ή ἀίδιος τοῦ θεοῦ δύναμις, ή ποιητική τῶν ὄντων, ἡ εύρετικὴ τῶν μὴ ὄντων, ἡ συνεκτικὴ τῶν γεγονότων, ή προορατική των μελλόντων, ούτος τοίνυν 5 ὁ θεὸς λόγος, ή σοφία, ή δύναμις, ἀπεδείχθη κατὰ τὸ ακόλουθον της ανθρωπίνης φύσεως ποιητής, οὐκ ανάγκη τινὶ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου κατασκευὴν ἐναγθείς, ἀλλ' άγάπης περιουσία τοῦ τοιούτου ζώου δημιουργήσας τὴν γένεσιν. έδει γὰρ μήτε τὸ φῶς ἀθέατον, μήτε τὴν δόξαν το ἀμάρτυρου, μήτε ἀναπόλαυστον είναι τὴν ἀγαθότητα, μήτε τὰ ἄλλα πάντα, ὅσα περὶ τὴν θείαν καθορᾶται Φύσιν. άργὰ κείσθαι, μὴ ὄντος τοῦ μετέχοντός τε καὶ ἀπολαύοντος. εὶ τοίνυν ἐπὶ τούτοις ὁ ἄνθρωπος εἰς γένεσιν ἔρχεται, ἐφ' ῷ τε μέτοχος τῶν θείων ἀγαθῶν γενέσθαι, ἀναγκαίως 15 τοιούτος κατασκευάζεται, ώς έπιτηδείως πρός την των άγαθων μετουσίαν έχειν. καθάπερ γάρ ὁ ὀφθαλμὸς διὰ

5 o logos l' vulg \parallel 7 energheis gl' \parallel 9 abeaton] asustaton d' \parallel 10 anatol.]+autou fl' vulg

the idea of God. For τὸ ὑπ.=
'subject' cp. prol. εί γαρ τὸ τέλειον
έν παντὶ δοίη περί τὸ ὑποκείμενον
ὁμολογείσθαι.

3. συνεκτική] fr. συνέχειν, 'to hold together,' 'maintain.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 6, with

Dr Mason's note.

5. ὁ θεὸς λόγος] This is the correct reading. The variant ὁ λόγος arose from a desire to bring the passage into closer harmony with the preceding words τοῦτον δὲ εἶτε λόγον, εἶτε σοφίαν κτλ. The expression ὁ θεὸς λόγος is common in Athanasius, e.g. de Inc. cc. 18, 19. Cp. also οἰκοτομίαν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου in this chapter. 'So then this Being, who is God the Word, Wisdom, Power.'

6. οὐκ ἀνάγκη] The world and man are not parts of some necessary evolution from the Divine Being, as in the Gnostic theories of emana-

tions.

8. ἀγάπης περιουσία] The love of God is with Gr. not only the cause of man's creation, but it also renders possible the self-humiliation of the Word in the Incarnation, in which there was exhibited συγκεκραμένη τῆ φιλαυθρωπία ἡ δύναμις (c. 24). Cp. also cc. 19, 20. The same standpoint is taken by Athanasius de Inc. cc. 4, 6.

13. ἐπὶ τούτοις] 'for these ends,' 'for this purpose.' Cp. infra ἐπὶ

τῆ... ἀπολαύσει.

15. ἐπιτηδείως...ἐχειν] In what follows Gr. shews that man is fitted to enjoy Divine blessings by his possession of reason, wisdom, and, above all, immortality. He illustrates this from the way in which the natural organs and the life of animals are adapted to their surroundings.

16. καθάπερ γάρ] 'For just as the eye by means of the bright beam which is planted by nature in it της εγκειμένης αὐτῷ φυσικῶς αὐγης εν κοινωνία τοῦ φωτὸς γίνεται, διὰ τῆς ἐμφύτου δυνάμεως τὸ συγγενὲς έφελκόμενος, ούτως άναγκαῖον ἢν ἐγκραθῆναί τι τῆ ανθρωπίνη φύσει συγγενές πρός τὸ θεῖον, ώς αν διὰ τοῦ καταλλήλου πρός τὸ οἰκεῖον τὴν ἔφεσιν ἔχοι. καὶ γὰρ 5 καὶ ἐν τῆ τῶν ἀλόγων φύσει, ὅσα τὸν ἔνυδρον καὶ ἐναέριον έλαχε βίου, καταλλήλως εκαστου τῶ τῆς ζωῆς εἴδει κατεσκεύασται, ώς οἰκεῖον έκατέρου καὶ ὁμόφυλον διὰ τῆς ποιᾶς τοῦ σώματος διαπλάσεως τῷ μὲν τὸν ἀέρα, τῷ δὲ τὸ ὕδωρ είναι. οὕτως οὖν καὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐπὶ τῆ τῶν 10 θείων ἀγαθῶν ἀπολαύσει γενόμενον ἔδει τι συγγενες ἐν τῆ φύσει πρὸς τὸ μετεχόμενον έχειν. διὰ τοῦτο καὶ ζωή καὶ λόγφ καὶ σοφία καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς θεοπρεπέσιν ἀγαθοῖς κατεκοσμήθη, ώς αν δι' έκάστου τούτων πρός το οἰκείον την έπιθυμίαν έγοι. ἐπεὶ οὖν εν τῶν περὶ τὴν θείαν φύσιν 15 άγαθων καὶ ή ἀιδιότης ἐστίν, ἔδει πάντως μηδὲ τούτου την κατασκευην είναι της φύσεως ήμων απόκληρον, άλλ' έγειν εν εαυτή το αθάνατον, ώς αν δια της εγκειμένης

6 και εν.] η τον αεριον fg 1 \parallel 7 κατεσκευασθη fl vulg \parallel 8 εκατερον f \parallel 10 το υδωρ] om το n \parallel ουτω γουν f

comes to partake of the light, attracting by its innate capacity that which is akin to it.' Gr. conceives of the eye as possessing a light within itself, by which it is enabled to attract light from without. The idea is found in Plato Tim. 45 B—D, and is commented on by Aristotle de Sensu c. ii. Dr H. Jackson, to whom I am indebted for the above references, has also called my attention to a passage in a fragment of Theophrastus de Sensibus § 5 (see Diels Doxographi Graeci, p. 500) where Plato's view is set forth.

4. διά τ. κατ.] 'in order that by means of this corresponding gift it might have a desire for that which is akin to it.' For καταλλ. cp. c. 1 κατάλληλον...τῆ φύσει ὁ λόγος. The possession of the higher faculties leads man to seek after communion with God and the divine life.

6. άλόγων] In irrational animals we find the same adaptation to their intended environment. Each is constituted in a way that corresponds with (καταλλήλως) its manner of life.

8. ωs olkelov] 'so that in consequence of the particular formation of the body, each finds its own proper and kindred element, the one in the air, the other in the water.' For this use of the indefinite adj. ποιός cp. de Hon. Op. c. 27 ai ποιαί τῆς κράσεως παραλλαγαί.

ib. ὁμόφυλον] 'kindred' i.e. corresponding with its manner of life.

επίτῆ] Cp. supra ἐπὶ τούτοις.
 13. θεοπρεπ.] 'appropriate to God.'

18. τὸ ἀθάνατον] is that part of man which is immortal, while ἀιδιότης denotes the actual possession of immortality which belongs to God.

ib. ωs άν] Man's innate capacity

δυνάμεως γνωρίζοι τε τὸ ὑπερκείμενον καὶ ἐν ἐπιθυμία τῆς θείας ἀιδιότητος εἴη. ταῦτά τοι περιληπτικῆ φωνῆ δι' ἐνὸς ῥήματος ὁ τῆς κοσμογονίας ἐνεδείξατο λόγος, κατ' εἰκόνα θεοῦ τὸν ἄνθρωπον γεγενῆσθαι λέγων· ἐν γὰρ τῆ 5 ὁμοιώσει τῆ κατὰ τὴν εἰκόνα πάντων ἐστὶ τῶν τὸ θεῖον χαρακτηριζόντων ἡ ἀπαρίθμησις, καὶ ὅσα περὶ τούτων ἱστορικώτερον ὁ Μωσῆς διεξέρχεται, ἐν διηγήσεως εἴδει δόγματα ἡμῖν παρατιθέμενος, τῆς αὐτῆς ἔχεται διδασκαλίας. ὁ γὰρ παράδεισος ἐκεῖνος καὶ ἡ τῶν καρπῶν 10 ἰδιότης, ὧν ἡ βρῶσις οὐ γαστρὸς πλησμονήν, ἀλλὰ γνῶσιν καὶ ἀιδιότητα ζωῆς τοῖς γευσαμένοις δίδωσι, πάντα ταῦτα συνάδει τοῖς προτεθεωρημένοις περὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ὡς

Ι γνωριζοιτο f vulg \parallel 2 τοι \rfloor τη g^{1} \rfloor vulg \parallel 3 κοσμογενείας $degl^*np$ \parallel 4 γεγ. τ. ανθρ. f \parallel 5 παντως vulg \parallel om των l^* \parallel 7-8 ιστορικ....παρατιθεμένος desunt in l^* vulg \parallel 8 αντης \rfloor τοιαντης l^* vulg \parallel 10 αιδιστης ef l^* πλησμονην \rfloor ηδονην f \parallel 11 ταντα παντα d

(έγκειμένης δυνάμεως) for immortality was intended to enable him to recognize that which transcended his nature (τὸ ὑπερκείμενον), and lead him to desire the immortal life of God. For τὸ ὑπερκείμενον cp. prol. δύναμν...τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

περιληπτικῆ] 'comprehensive.'
 The phrase κατ' εἰκόνα θεοῦ (Gen. i
 sums up all that Gr. has been

saying.

5. ομοιώσει...είκονα] 'in the likeness according to the image there is the enumeration of all that characterizes the Divine Being.' Gr. does not appear to observe the clear distinction between εἰκών, the natural image of God in man, and όμοίωσις, the supernatural likeness resulting from grace, which is found in Origen. This distinction is, indeed, attributed to Gr. by Hilt (Des hl. Greg. v. Nyssa Lehre von Menschen, pp. 77 sq.), but the only passage which can be quoted in support of it is In verba Faciamus, p. 273 (Migne), where the writer says κατ' είκονα γαρ έχω τὸ λογικὸς είναι, καθ' ὁμοίωσιν δὲ γίνομαι έν τῷ Χριστιανὸς γενέσθαι. But this work, though quoted as

Gr.'s by Harnack (Hist. of Dogma, Eng. Tr. iii 277, note 2), is of very doubtful authorship, and Bardenhewer (Patrol. p. 260 f.) thinks that it cannot be assigned either to Basil or to Gregory. In c. 21 infra, Gr. certainly uses δμοlωσις with reference to the natural endowments of man, and especially free-will, without any necessary reference to moral likeness.

6. $\dot{a}\pi a \rho l \theta \mu$.] almost = 'the sum total.'

8. δόγματα] 'setting before us doctrines in the form of narrative.' This is an instance of the application of ἀναγωγή to the narrative of the O.T. See note on ἀναγωγικῶs c. 2 init. For a similar treatment of the narratives in Genesis see Origen de Princ. iv 16 (Philocal., ed. Rob. p. 24).

ib. έχεται] 'belongs to the same

teaching.'

9. ὁ γὰρ παράδ.] The story of Paradise is a representation of the truth that man's nature as created was good and surrounded by good (ἐν ἀγαθοῖς).

άγαθης τε καὶ ἐν ἀγαθοῖς οὕσης κατ' ἀρχὰς ἡμῖν τῆς φύσεως. άλλ' άντιλέγει τυχὸν τοῖς εἰρημένοις ὁ πρὸς τὰ παρόντα βλέπων καὶ οἴεται διελέγχειν τὸν λόγον οὐκ άληθεύοντα τῷ μὴ ἐν ἐκείνοις νῦν, άλλ' ἐν πᾶσι σχεδὸν τοις ύπεναντίοις, όρασθαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ποῦ γὰρ τῆς ς ψυχής τὸ θεοειδές; ποῦ δὲ ἡ ἀπάθεια τοῦ σώματος; ποῦ της ζωής τὸ ἀίδιον; ἀκύμορον, ἐμπαθές, ἐπίκηρον, πρὸς πασαν παθημάτων ιδέαν κατά τε σώμα καὶ ψυχὴν ἐπιτήδειον, ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα λέγων καὶ κατατρέχων της φύσεως, ανατρέπειν τον αποδοθέντα περί τοῦ αν- 10 θοώπου λόγον οἰήσεται. ἀλλ' ώς αν μηδαμοῦ τῆς ακολουθίας ο λόγος παρατραπείη, και περι τούτων έν ολίγοις διαληψόμεθα. τὸ νῦν ἐν ἀτόποις εἶναι τὴν ανθρωπίνην ζωήν οὐχ ίκανός ἐστιν ἔλεγχος τοῦ μηδέποτε τον ἄνθρωπον ἐν ἀγαθοῖς γεγενήσθαι ἐπειδή γὰρ θεοῦ 15 έργον ὁ ἄνθρωπος, τοῦ δι' ἀγαθότητα τὸ ζῷον τοῦτο παραγαγόντος είς γένεσιν, οὐκ ἄν τις εὐλόγως, οὖ ή αίτία της συστάσεως άγαθότης έστί, τοῦτον έν κακοῖς γεγενησθαι παρά τοῦ πεποιηκότος καθυποπτεύσειεν άλλ' ετερόν έστιν αἴτιον τοῦ ταῦτά τε νῦν περὶ ἡμᾶς εἶναι καὶ 20

2 αντιλέγοι dh \parallel 8 ψυχην και σωμα l vulg \parallel 10 λογον π . τ. ανθρωπου deghnp \parallel 11 ποιησεται l \parallel του ακολουθου vulg \parallel 13 το νυν] exstant seqq in euth 12456 \parallel ατοποιε] κακοιε euth 16 \parallel 18–19 τουτον...πεποιηκοτοε desunt in l* vulg \parallel 20 αιτ. εστιν ετ. l

2. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota$] It may be objected that man's present condition is the reverse of good. In what follows Gr. argues that man's actual state is due to another cause than the creative agency of God. The evil in man springs from within $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu-\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}o\theta\epsilon\nu)$, and is the result of his possession of free-will.

άπάθεια] i.e. freedom from 'passions' rather than from 'suffering.'

7. ωκύμορον] 'Man is a fleeting being, subject to passions, a prey to death, exposed to every form of suffering in body and soul.' The adjs.

are neuter, and the subject has to be supplied from the preceding $\tau \partial \nu \, d\nu - \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$. For $\dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\nu} \mu \sigma \rho \rho \nu$ cp. c. I $\dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\nu} \mu \sigma \rho \sigma \nu$ $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \dot{\gamma}$.

 κατατρέχων] 'inveighing against.' Cp. c. 15 κατατρέχειν τῆς πίστεως.

13. τὸ νῦν κτλ.] The remainder of this chap. is quoted by Euthym. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i, tit. 6.

17. ouk av ris] tone could not roith any good reason suspect that he, whose constitution has its source in goodness, was created by his Maker in a state of evil.

19. ἀλλ' ἔτερον] The fact that

τῶν προτιμοτέρων ἐρημωθῆναι. ἀρχὴ δὲ πάλιν καὶ πρὸς τοῦτον ἡμῖν τὸν λόγον οὐκ ἔξω τῆς τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων ἐστὶ συγκαταθέσεως. ὁ γὰρ ἐπὶ μετουσία τῶν ἰδίων ἀγαθῶν ποιήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ πάντων αὐτῷ τῶν καλῶν τὰς ὁ ἀφορμὰς ἐγκατασκευάσας τῆ φύσει, ὡς ἃν διὶ ἐκάστου καταλλήλως πρὸς τὸ ὅμοιον ἡ ὅρεξις φέροιτο, οὐκ ἂν τοῦ καλλίστου τε καὶ τιμιωτάτου τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀπεστέρησε, λέγω δὴ τῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀδέσποτον καὶ αὐτεξούσιον χάριτος. εἰ γάρ τις ἀνάγκη τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ἐπεστάτει ζωῆς, το διεψεύσθη ἃν ἡ εἰκὼν κατὶ ἐκεῖνο τὸ μέρος, ἀλλοτριωθεῖσα τῷ ἀνομοίῳ πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον τῆς γὰρ βασιλευούσης φύσεως ἡ ἀνάγκαις τισὶν ὑπεζευγμένη τε καὶ δουλεύουσα πῶς ἃν εἰκὼν ὀνομάζοιτο; οὐκοῦν τὸ διὰ πάντων πρὸς τὸ

I προτερων l^1 euth \parallel 2 εξωθεν fl vulg \parallel 4 om τas euth \parallel 6 om καταλληλως fl vulg \parallel 9 τη ανθρωπινη...ζωη l vulg

man is in his present condition $(\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \ v \hat{v} v \ \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\iota} \ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s \ \epsilon \hat{l} v a \iota)$, and that he has lost his more desirable estate $(\tau \hat{\omega} v \ \pi \rho \sigma \tau \iota \mu \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho u v \ \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta \iota \omega \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota)$ is due to a different cause than the creative action of God.

3. συγκαταθέσεως] 'assent.' The argument starts from a principle with which Gr.'s opponents will find

themselves in agreement.

5. αφορμάs] Krab. translates 'occasiones.' 'Αφορμή is freq. used by St Paul in the sense of 'occasion,' opportunity.' Cp. Rom. vii 8, 2 Cor. xii 12, Gal. v 13, 1 Tim. v 14. In the military sense it = 'a base of operations.' In the present passage Gr. is referring to man's possession of the higher faculties, which become the 'starting-point' or 'means' of acquiring all forms of excellence. For its use in this passage cp. c. 6 sub fin. της αρχης εκείνης τοῦ τοιούτου τέλους τὰς άφορμάς παρασχούσης.

ib. δι' ἐκάστου] i.e. through each endowment of his nature which is an ἀφορμή τῶν καλῶν. The following word καταλλήλως is omitted by one

important group of MSS. But its presence here is in accordance with the language of Gr. in this same chapter. Cp. antea διὰ τοῦ καταλλήλου πρὸς τὸ οἰκεῖον τὴν ξφεσιν ξχοι. That passage determines the meaning here. It is not 'vicissim' as Krab. renders, but rather 'through a corresponding movement.' Τὸ δμοιον, i.e. that attribute of God which corresponds to the human endowment.

9. εl γάρ] The possession of freewill is a necessary part of the εlκών in which man was made, and which would have been falsified (διεψεύσθη), had it not resembled its archetype in this respect. Cf. de Mortuis, p. 524 (Migne) ἰσόθεον γάρ ἐστι τὸ αὐτεξούσων.

11. ἀνομοίψ πρός] Cp. c. 2, p. 13 άλλοτρίου...πρός (note).

13. obvoor! The effect of the gift of free-will is to make man's participation in good the reward of virtue. How then, it is asked, did man come to exchange good for evil? This leads Gr. to a discussion of the origin of evil, which he maintains

θεῖον ώμοιωμένον ἔδει πάντως ἔχειν ἐν τῆ φύσει τὸ αὐτοκρατές καὶ ἀδέσποτον, ώστε ἀθλον ἀρετής είναι την τών άγαθων μετουσίαν. πόθεν οὖν, ἐρεῖς, ὁ διὰ πάντων τοῖς καλλίστοις τετιμημένος τὰ χείρω τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀντηλλάξατο; σαφής καὶ ὁ περὶ τούτου λόγος. οὐδεμία κακοῦ ς γένεσις εκ τοῦ θείου βουλήματος την άρχην έσχεν ή γάρ αν έξω μέμψεως ην ή κακία, θεον έαυτης επιγραφομένη ποιητήν καὶ πατέρα· ἀλλ' ἐμφύεταί πως τὸ κακὸν ἔνδοθεν. τη προαιρέσει τότε συνιστάμενον, όταν τις ἀπὸ τοῦ καλοῦ γένηται της ψυχης αναχώρησις. καθάπερ γαρ ή δρασις το φύσεώς έστιν ένέργεια, ή δὲ πήρωσις στέρησίς έστι τῆς φυσικής ενεργείας, ούτω καὶ ή άρετη πρὸς την κακίαν

5 σαφης]+δε el*vid vulg || 6 om του fl vulg || 8 εκφυεται vulg

has no substantive existence but is άπὸ τοῦ καλοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀναχώρησις.

5. οὐδεμία] In the margin of MSS b and e, opposite the passage which follows, are written the words κατά Μανιχαίων. All through the Or. Cat. Gr. has the Manichaeans in view. For his treatment of the problem of evil see further a fine passage in de Virg. c. 12. Cp. also de Anima et Res. p. 93 (Migne), de Infant. p. 176 (Migne). The idea is emphasized still further in c. 6 of the Or. Cat. Cp. also Plato Rep. ii 379 C, x 617 E.

7. ἐπιγραφομένη] 'if it could claim God as its creator and father.' $\mathbf{E}\pi \iota \mathbf{\gamma} \rho$, is used here as in the phrase προστάτην ἐπιγράφεσθαι 'to choose a patron' by enrolling under his name on the register. The idea is that evil might shelter itself under the name of God, if it could be ascribed to Him. With the application of the words ποιητήν και πατέρα to God cp.

Plato Tim. 28 C.

8. ἐμφύεται] For the idea cp. James i 13-15.

συνιστάμενον arising in the will whenever.'

ib. τοῦ καλοῦ] 'the good.'

καλόν is the Greek term for moral beauty or virtue, translated by Cicero 'honestum.'

10. άναχώρησις] For the idea of evil as the negation of good (ἀρετῆς aπουσίαν infra) cf. Basil Hom. ii in Hex. § 4 τὸ κακὸν ούχὶ ούσία ζώσα καὶ ἔμψυχος άλλα διάθεσις ἐν ψυχῆ έναντίως έχουσα πρός αρετήν. Similarly Aug. Ench. xi 'cum omnino mali nomen non sit nisi privationis boni.' Cp. de Civ. Dei xii 6 seq. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes 5-7, de Inc. 4. The conception is a piece of Platonism and has its roots in the teaching of the Timaeus which profoundly influenced the later Platonists. Cp. Plotinus Enn. iii 2. 5 δλως δέ τὸ κακόν ἔλλειψιν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ θετέον. Its adoption by later fathers is due to the influence of Origen. Cp. de Princ. ii 9. 2 'Recedere a bono non aliud est quam effici in malo. Certum namque est, malum esse bono carere.' Cp. also in Ioann. ii 7, c. Celsum iv 65-66.

 πήρωσις] here = 'blindness.' $\Pi \eta \rho \delta \omega$ is used of anything which incapacitates man's faculties. See J. A. Robinson Journal of Theol. Studies iii o p. 90 ff. In cc. 6 and αντικαθέστηκεν. οὐ γὰρ ἔστιν ἄλλως κακίας γένεσιν ἐννοῆσαι, ἡ ἀρετῆς ἀπουσίαν. ὅσπερ γὰρ τοῦ φωτὸς ὑφαιρεθέντος ὁ ζόφος ἐπηκολούθησε, παρόντος δὲ οὐκ ἔστιν, οὕτως, ἔως ἃν παρῆ τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἐν τῆ φύσει, 5 ἀνύπαρκτόν τί ἐστι καθ' ἑαυτὴν ἡ κακία ἡ δὲ τοῦ κρείττονος ἀναχώρησις τοῦ ἐναντίου γίνεται γένεσις. ἐπεὶ οὖν τοῦτο τῆς αὐτεξουσιότητός ἐστι τὸ ἰδίωμα, τὸ κατ' ἐξουσίαν αἰρεῖσθαι τὸ καταθύμιον, οὐχ ὁ θεός σοι τῶν παρόντων ἐστὶν αἴτιος κακῶν, ἀδέσποτόν τε καὶ 10 ἄνετόν σοι κατασκευάσας τὴν φύσιν, ἀλλ' ἡ ἀβουλία τὸ χεῖρον ἀντὶ τοῦ κρείττονος προελομένη.

6. Ζητεῖς δὲ καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν τυχὸν τῆς κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν διαμαρτίας εἰς τοῦτο γὰρ ἡ ἀκολουθία τὸν λόγον φέρει. οὐκοῦν πάλιν ἀρχή τις ἡμῖν κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον εὑρεθήσεται,
 15 ἡ καὶ τοῦτο σαφηνίσει τὸ ζήτημα. τοιοῦτόν τινα λόγον παρὰ τῶν πατέρων διεδεξάμεθα ἔστι δὲ ὁ λόγος οὐ

ι ανθεστηκεν l vulg \parallel αλλην fl vulg \parallel 2 απουσία n euth \parallel 4 παρη \mid η fl vulg \parallel 5 ανυπ. τ_l \mid om τ_l l euth 456 vulg \parallel καθ εαυτο gl vulg \parallel 6 γ_l ν. τ . ε. γενεσίς fl vulg \parallel 8 αναιρείσθαι vulg \parallel om σοι e euth 45 \parallel 11 απο του κρείττ. euth 16 \parallel προελομένη f e desinit euth f 12 om δε f dehn \parallel βουλην f βουλην f βουλην f f 13 των λογων f vulg

7 Gr. uses the illustration of a man shutting his eyes to the sunlight, to show that evil is the refusal of good.

5. ἀνύπαρκτον] Cp. c. 1, p. 8, and c. 2, p. 15.

καταθύμων] a common word in Gr. = τὸ ἡδύ here. Cp. c. 8.
 ανετον] 'free,' 'uncontrolled.'

ib. η άβουλία] It is not God, but man's folly in preferring evil to good, which is the cause of man's present miserv.

6. We must now consider the causes which led man's judgment astray. The natural creation comprises two worlds, the world of intelligence and the world of sense. But though these are opposite to each other, yet, just as Nature exhibits a general harmony embracing indi-

vidual differences, so the Divine visdom has ordained a means of blending the intelligent and sensible elements by creating man. Man's nature excited the envy of one of the created spirits, for in a nature that was created and subject to change, like Satan's, such a passion was possible, involving the turning away from his Creator and the inclination to evil. Thus the rebellious spirit sank lower and lower in evil, and finally beguited man to turn away from God, mingling evil with his will.

12. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau$. $\beta o \nu \lambda$.] referring to $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \beta o \nu \lambda l \alpha$ at the close of c. 5.

14. $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$] used as in *prol*. p. 3, and c. 5, p. 20 (see note).

16. πατέρων] Among earlier writ-

μυθώδης διήγησις, ἀλλ' ἐξ αὐτῆς τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὸ πιστὸν ἐπαγόμενος. διπλῆ τίς ἐστιν ἐν τοῖς οὖσιν ἡ κατανόησις, εἰς τὸ νοητόν τε καὶ αἰσθητὸν τῆς θεωρίας διηρημένης. καὶ οὐδὲν ᾶν παρὰ ταῦτα καταληφθείη ἐν τῆ τῶν ὅντων φύσει τῆς διαιρέσεως ταύτης ἔξω φερόμενον. 5 διήρηται δὲ ταῦτα πρὸς ἄλληλα πολλῷ τῷ μέσῳ, ὡς μήτε τὴν αἰσθητὴν ἐν τοῖς νοητοῖς εἶναι γνωρίσμασι, μήτε ἐν τοῖς αἰσθητοῖς ἐκείνην, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ τῶν ἐναντίων ἐκατέραν χαρακτηρίζεσθαι. ἡ μὲν γὰρ νοητὴ φύσις ἀσώματόν τι χρῆμά ἐστι καὶ ἀναφὲς καὶ ἀνείδεον ἡ δὲ αἰσθητηρίων κατανοήσεως. ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐν αὐτῷ τῷ αἰσθητῷ κόσμῳ, πολλῆς πρὸς ἄλληλα τῶν στοιχείων οὔσης ἐναντιώσεως,

2 η καταν.] om η vulg \parallel 4 $\lambda\eta\phi\theta\epsilon$ ιη dgnp \parallel 5 $\phi\epsilon$ ρομένον] $\phi\epsilon$ ρομένης ϵ φαινομένον fg¹ \parallel 6 διαιρείται f \parallel 10 ανείδεον] αίδιον g

ers, teaching to the same effect is found in Justin Dial. c. 119, p. 205, Iren. v 22. 2. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes 3, de Inc. 4, 25. In spite of the influence of Origen's thought on Gr., the latter does not adopt Origen's idea of a pre-temporal fall of souls. Cp. Origen de Princ. iii 5.

 μυθώδης διήγησις] 'a mythical account,' i.e. an account given in some such form as the μῦθοι of Plato, which present truth in the form of poetic fiction. Gr. claims that his account of the origin of sin is one which invites credence (τὸ πιστὸν έπαγόμενος), owing to the very constitution of our nature (έξ αὐτῆς τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν). It is possible that in μυθώδης διήγησις Gr. is thinking of the account in Genesis, which, like Origen, he interpreted (cp. cc. 5, 8) by αναγωγή. In accordance with the plan of the Or. Cat. he illustrates the origin of sin from human experience, i.e. the existence in man of τὸ νοητόν and τὸ αἰσθητόν, whose harmony has been disturbed. In the same way he has illustrated the doctrine of the Trinity from human consciousness.

διπλῆ] 'a twofold classification may be discerned.' For διπλῆ κατανόησις cp. Plat. Tim. 82 C δευτέρα κατανόησις.

3. νοητόν...αίσθητόν] 'the world of intelligence' (i.e. apprehended by intelligence), 'the world of sense' (i.e. that falls under the observation of the senses). For the idea of νοῦς see note on διανοητικήν δύναμιν infra. In what follows Gr. adopts a twofold division of human nature, and so departs from Origen, who retained St Paul's division of σωμα, ψυχή, πνεῦμα (I Thess. ν 23).

6. πολλφ τφ μέσφ] 'by a considerable distance' or 'interval.'

7. èv τ . v. elvai] For elvai èv cp. c. i èv $(\omega \hat{\eta}...$ elvai.

8. ἀπό τῶν ἐν.] 'Από denotes here the source. 'But each of them receives its particular character from qualities opposite to those of the other.'

12. ἀλλ' ὤσπερ] Gr. illustrates the unity of man's nature, in spite of its combination of the opposites τ ο νοητόν and τ ο αἰσθητόν, from the

επινενόηταί τις άρμονία διὰ τῶν ἐναντίων άρμοζομένη παρὰ τῆς τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστατούσης σοφίας, καὶ οὕτως πάσης γίνεται πρὸς ἑαυτὴν συμφωνία τῆς κτίσεως, οὐδαμοῦ τῆς φυσικῆς ἐναντιότητος τὸν τῆς συμπνοίας 5 είρμὸν διαλυούσης κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ τοῦ αἰσθητοῦ πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν γίνεταί τις κατὰ θείαν σοφίαν μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις, ὡς ἃν πάντα τοῦ καλοῦ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον μετέχοι καὶ μηδὲν τῶν ὅντων ἀμοιροίη τῆς τοῦ κρείττονος φύσεως. διὰ τοῦτο τὸ μὲν κατάλληλον τῆ 10 νοητῆ φύσει χωρίον ἡ λεπτὴ καὶ εὐκίνητός ἐστιν οὐσία, κατὰ τὴν ὑπερκόσμιον λῆξιν πολλὴν ἔχουσα τῷ ἰδιάζοντι τῆς φύσεως πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν τὴν συγγένειαν, προμηθεία δὲ κρείττονι πρὸς τὴν αἰσθητὴν κτίσιν γίνεταί τις τοῦ

8 om tou fl vulg \parallel 10 $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta$] $\lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa \eta$ l + $\lambda o \gamma \iota \kappa \eta$ vulg \parallel 13 $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$] vulg

harmony in diversity exhibited in the visible Universe.

ἐπινενόηται] 'a certain harmony has been devised by the wisdom which presides over the Universe, a harmony effected by means of opposites.'

 εἰρμόν] = seriem. 'A chain of agreement,' which is not broken by the individual differences of the con-

stituent parts.

7. μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις] 'mixture and blending.' For this idea of the interpenetration of spirit and matter, which is a characteristic feature in Gr. cp. de Orat. Dom. iv p. 1165 (Migne).

ib. ώς ἀν πάντα] Acc. to Gr. it is in and through man, whose nature is the meeting point of the worlds of spirit and of sense, that Creation

reaches its final goal.

8. τῆς τ. κ. φύσεως] Krab. takes this as equiv. to 'ejus quod natura praestantius est.' Cp. Mayor's note on James iii 7. The whole phrase is synonymous with τοῦ καλοῦ above.

9. το μέν κατάλλ.] 'though the proper sphere for the intelligent na-

ture is the realm of subtle and mobile essence, having, by virtue of its dwelling above the Universe, considerable affinity with the intelligible element, in consequence of the peculiarity of its nature, yet &c.' The clause introduced by μ er is best taken as concessive. Hert...ovola is the subject, and χ wplor the predicate. The idea is that λ e π r $\dot{\eta}$ kal eveluptors ovola is the natural abode for $\dot{\eta}$ ropt $\dot{\eta}$ dosis, but that God accomplished His purpose of bringing all things into union with Himself by a blending of the intelligent and sensible elements in man.

11. λῆξω] 'Lot,' 'appointed place' (λαγχάνω). Moeller (Greg. Nyss. doctr. de hominis natura, p. 19) has a long discussion of this expression, in which he says 'a nonnullis vertitur sors, a nonnullis locus sive regio. Et revera utrumque inest. Significat enim certam vitae sortem atque consuetudinem certo loco inhaerentem.' Other examples of Gr.'s use of the word are de Orat. Dom. iv p. 1165 (Migne) ἡ μὲν οῦν νοητή [sc. φύσις] τὴν ἀψω λῆξιν ἐπιπορεύεται, where he

νοητοῦ συνανάκρασις, ὡς ἂν μηδὲν ἀπόβλητον εἴη τῆς κτίσεως, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, μηδὲ τῆς θείας κοινωνίας ἀπόκληρον. τούτου χάριν ἐκ νοητοῦ τε καὶ αἰσθητοῦ τὸ κατὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον μίγμα παρὰ τῆς θείας ἀναδείκνυται φύσεως, καθὼς διδάσκει τῆς κοσμογονίας ὁ 5 λόγος. Λαβὼν γὰρ ὁ θεός, φησιν, χοῦν ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἔπλασε καὶ διὰ τῆς ἰδίας ἐμπνεύσεως τῷ πλάσματι τὴν ζωὴν ἐνεφύτευσεν, ὡς ᾶν συνεπαρθείη τῷ θείῳ τὸ γήινον καὶ μία τις κατὰ τὸ ὁμότιμον διὰ πάσης τῆς κτίσεως ἡ χάρις διήκοι, τῆς κάτω φύσεως πρὸς τὴν ὑπερ- 10 κόσμιον συγκιρναμένης. ἐπεὶ οὖν τῆς νοητῆς κτίσεως προυποστάσης, καὶ ἑκάστη τῶν ἀγγελικῶν δυνάμεων πρὸς

5 κοσμογενείαs elp vulg \parallel 6 φησιν ο θ . dl vulg \parallel 8 ενεφυσησεν d vulg \parallel 9 om της f \parallel 10 διηκεί p διηκή dgn

is speaking of the angels. In contra Eunom. v p. 681 (Migne) he speaks of τήν τε γήν και τήν θάλασσαν και τήν ὑποχθόνιον λήξιν. Cp. de Hom. Op. c. 17; contr. Eunom. xii p. 1004 (Migne). Moeller concludes 'Patet etiam ex hoc vocabulo, Gr. sicut reliquos patres fere omnes nulum creaturarum licet intelligibilium vitae statum loci finibus prorsus exemptum docuisse.'

 συνανάκρασις] 'commingling.' In de Hom. Op. c. 12 Gr. discusses the relations of mind and matter in man and denies that the former can be limited to any particular part of the body. 'Ο νοῦς δι' ὅλου τοῦ ὁργάνου διήκων, καί καταλλήλως ταῖς νοητικαῖς ένεργείαις, καθό πέφυκεν, ἐκάστῳ τῶν μερών προσαπτόμενος, έπι μέν τών κατά φύσιν διακειμένων τὸ οἰκεῖον ἐνήργησεν. Cp. de An. et Resurr. p. 69 (Migne) ψυχήν δε ασώματον οδσαν μηδεμίαν άνάγκην έχειν έκ φύσεως τόποις τισίν έγκατέχεσθαι. The nearest approach to these views is to be found in Plotinus. See Enn. iv 3. 20 sq.

ib. aπbβλητον] 1 Tim. iv 4 'that no part of creation might be rejected.'

There is a similar passage in the de Infant. qui praem. pp. 172 sq. (Migne).

5. ἀναδείκνυται] in the sense of 'appoint,' 'constitute,' 'make.'

6. χοῦν] Gen. ii 7.

8. ἐνεφὖντευσεν] 'planted.' Cp. Plat. Tim. 42 A ὅποτε δὴ σώμασιν ἐμφυτευθεῖεν (sc. al ψυχαί). The reading ἐνεφύσησεν is due to a desire to bring the passage into closer accord with Gen. ii 7, where ἐνεφύσησεν is found in the LXX.

ib. ώs ἀν συνεπ.] The object in creating such a being as man was that the earthly nature might be carried up by union with (συνεπαρθείη) the Divine, so that the Divine grace in one even course as it were (μία τις) might equally extend through the whole creation.

11. ἐπεὶ οὖν] The protasis begun in the clause ἐπεὶ...ἦν τις is continued by the following clause εἶτα κατεσκευάσθη, and again resumed, after the parenthesis τοῦτο δέ, in the clause καὶ ἦν. The apodosis begins with δεινὸν ποιεῖται.

ib. νοητής κτίσεως] refers to τῶν ἀγγελικῶν δυνάμεων which follows.

τὴν τοῦ παυτὸς σύστασιν ἐνεργείας τινὸς παρὰ τῆς τῶν πάντων ἐπιστατούσης ἐξουσίας προσνεμηθείσης, ἦν τις δύναμις καὶ ἡ τὸν περίγειον τόπον συνέχειν τε καὶ περικρατεῖν τεταγμένη, εἰς αὐτὸ τοῦτο δυναμωθεῖσα παρὰ τῆς τὸ πᾶν οἰκονομούσης δυνάμεως εἶτα κατεσκευάσθη τὸ γήινον πλάσμα, τῆς ἄνω δυνάμεως ἀπεικόνισμα τοῦτο δὲ τὸ ζῷον ὁ ἄνθρωπος καὶ ἦν ἐν αὐτῷ τὸ θεοειδὲς τῆς νοητῆς φύσεως κάλλος, ἀρρήτῳ τινὶ δυνάμει συγκεκραμένον δεινὸν ποιεῖται καὶ οὐκ ἀνεκτὸν ὁ τὴν περίγειον 10 οἰκονομίαν λαχών, εἰ ἐκ τῆς ὑποχειρίου αὐτῷ φύσεως ἀναδειχθήσεταί τις οὐσία πρὸς τὴν ὑπερέχουσαν ἀξίαν ώμοιωμένη. τὸ δὶ ὅπως ἐπὶ τὸ πάθος κατερρύη τοῦ φθόνου ὁ ἐπὶ μηδενὶ κακῷ κτισθεὶς παρὰ τοῦ τὸ πᾶν ἐν ἀγαθότητι συστησαμένου, τὸ μὲν διὶ ἀκριβείας ἐπεξιέναι

3 om. kai efl vulg \parallel 12 ομοιουμένη g \parallel οπως] οπέρ vulg \parallel 14 επέξ.] διέξ. l vulg

2. προσνεμηθείσης] The angelic powers are represented as having each been assigned some activity in the constitution of the Universe. The idea is found in Methodius de Resurr. i 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130), and still earlier in Origen c. Celsum v 30—31. Its source is to be found in the later Judaism. Cp. Rev. xiv 18, xvi 5. For σύστασις cp. c. 4 πρὸς οὐρανῶν σύστασω.

3. περίγειον τόπον] One such angel was appointed to maintain and govern the region about the earth. For this epic notion cp. Methodius l. c.; also Rufin. in Symb. Ap. art. 4; Basil Hom. ix 8 sq.; Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. ii 4. See further Schwane Dogmengesch. ii p. 218; Godet Bibl. Studies: Old Testament (ed. Lyttleton), p. 16 ff. For συνέγευ see note on συνεκτική c. 5.

4. δυναμωθεΐσα] 'empowered.' Cp. infra δια τῆς θείας εύλογίας δυναμωθείς ὁ άνθρωπος.

7. καὶ ἦν] The clause ἀρρήτφ τινὶ δύναμει συγκεκραμένον is an explanatory addition to the main

clause. 'In him there was the godike beauty of the intelligent nature, blended with a certain ineffable potency.' Δύναμις refers to the latent capacity of man as having been made κατ' ἐἰκόνα θεοῦ.

9. δεινδν ποιείται] The angel of the earth takes it amiss that out of the nature subject to him (τῆς ὑπο-χειρίου φύσεως = τῆς αἰσθητῆς φύσεως) there should be produced any being resembling in dignity the Supreme Being.

11. άναδειχθήσεται] Cp. supra άναδείκνυται (note).

ib. οὐσία] The οὐσία of man is that which constitutes him ἀνθρωπος, and which he shares with every other member of the race. Similarly Gr. argues in the de Connn. Not. that the word θεός is δνομα ούσίας σημαντικόν and not δνομα προσώπων δηλωτικόν.

13. ἐπὶ μηδένι κακῷ] 'created for no evil end.' Cp. c. 5, p. 22 ἐπὶ τούτοις, and ibid. p. 23 ἐπὶ τῆ...άπο-

λαύσει.

οὐ τῆς παρούσης πραγματείας ἐστί, δυνατὸν δ' ἄν εἴη καὶ δι' ὀλίγου τοῖς εὐπειθεστέροις παραθέσθαι τὸν λόγον. τῆς γὰρ ἀρετῆς καὶ τῆς κακίας οὐχ ὡς δύο τινῶν καθ' ὑπόστασιν φαινομένων ἡ ἀντιδιαστολὴ θεωρεῖται· ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἀντιδιαιρεῖται τῷ ὄντι τὸ μὴ ὁν καὶ οὐκ ἔστι καθ' 5 ὑπόστασιν εἰπεῖν τὸ μὴ ὁν ἀντιδιαστέλλεσθαι πρὸς τὸ ὄν, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀνυπαρξίαν ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι λέγομεν πρὸς τὴν ὕπαρξιν, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ ἡ κακία τῷ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἀντικαθέστηκε λόγω, οὐ καθ' ἐαυτήν τις οὖσα, ἀλλὰ τῆ ἀπουσία νοουμένη τοῦ κρείττονος· καὶ ὥσπερ 10 φαμὲν ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι τῆ ὁράσει τὴν πήρωσιν, οὐ καθ' ἑαυτὴν οὖσαν τῆ φύσει τὴν πήρωσιν, ἀλλὰ προλαβούσης ἔξεως στέρησιν, οὕτω καὶ τὴν κακίαν ἐν τῆ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ στερήσει θεωρεῖσθαι λέγομεν, οἰόν τινα σκιὰν τῆ ἀναχωρήσει τῆς ἀκτῖνος ἐπισυμβαίνουσαν. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἡ 15

2 apeibesterois l* vulg \parallel 12 ep th fusei fg'l vulg \parallel 12–13 om alla prolef. Sternsur f \parallel 14 diacuphsei l*vid vulg

1. πραγματείαs] used here of a literary treatise = 'our present work.' 3-4. καθ' ὑπόστασιν φαιν.] 'two things which appear as actually ex-

isting.

4-14. άλλ' ωσπερ] 'but just as the non-existent is logically opposed to that which exists, and it is not possible to say that the non-existent is distinguished, so far as actual subsistence is concerned, from that which exists, but we say that non-existence is logically opposed to existence; in the same way also "vice" is distinguished from "virtue," not because it has some independent existence, but because it is conceived of as arising from the absence of that which is good; and just as we say that blindness is logically opposed to sight, not because blindness belongs to nature as something having an existence of its own, but because it is the deprivation of a former possession, so also we say that vice is found where there is a

deprivation of good.' The argument is that the distinction which we make between the non-existent and the existent is a logical distinction only. They are not to be conceived of as two things on the same plane or possessing the same reality, any more than sight and blindness, the latter being merely the defect of the former. For dirtidalpeisodal in the sense of 'logical division' cp. c. 15.

14. σκιάν] Evil is likesome shadow which follows upon the withdrawal

of the sun's ray.

15. έπειδή! In what follows Gr. proceeds to show how it was possible for a created spirit to be subject to such a passion as envy. It arose out of the possibility of change involved in possessing a created nature. Only an uncreated being is free from the movement expressed in such words as 'variation,' 'alteration' or 'change.'

ἄκτιστος φύσις τῆς κινήσεως τῆς κατὰ τροπὴν καὶ μεταβολὴν καὶ ἀλλοίωσίν ἐστιν ἀνεπίδεκτος, πᾶν δὲ τὸ διὰ κτίσεως ὑποστὰν συγγενῶς πρὸς τὴν ἀλλοίωσιν ἔχει, διότι καὶ αὐτὴ τῆς κτίσεως ἡ ὑπόστασις ἀπὸ ἀλλοιώσεως 5 ἤρξατο, τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι θεία δυνάμει μετατεθέντος· κτιστὴ δὲ ἦν καὶ ἡ μνημονευθεῖσα δύναμις, αὐτεξουσίω κινήματι τὸ δοκοῦν αἰρουμένη· ἐπειδὴ πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθόν τε καὶ ἄφθονον ἐπέμυσεν ὅμμα, ὥσπερ ὁ ἐν ἡλίω τοῖς βλεφάροις τὰς ὅψεις ὑποβαλὼν σκότος ὁρᾶ, το οῦτω κἀκεῖνος αὐτῷ τῷ μὴ θελῆσαι τὸ ἀγαθὸν νοῆσαι τὸ ἐναντίον τῷ ἀγαθῷ κατενόησε. τοῦτο δέ ἐστιν ὁ φθόνος. ὁμολογεῖται δὲ παντὸς πράγματος ἀρχὴν τῶν

8 ομμα] νοημα fg 1 l vulg \parallel 9 επιβαλων τ. οψ. l vulg τ. οψ. επιβαλων efg 1 αποβαλων dhn \parallel 10 αυτο f \parallel το fp \parallel κατανοησαι deghnp \parallel 12 ομολογει l vulg \parallel αρχη f

2. πῶν δε] 'Whereas everything which came into being through an act of creation has a natural tendency to such change, because even the very subsistence of creation owed its beginning to change.' Τῆς κτίσεως is of course 'the created Universe.' The idea of creation, Gr. maintains, carries with it the idea of mutability.

αὐτεξουσίω] 'choosing whatever it thinks fit by a movement of

free-will.

8. $\delta \phi \theta o v \sigma r$] 'free from envy,' 'ungrudging,' as opposed to the $\phi \theta \delta v \sigma r$ with which the evil spirit viewed man's participation in Divine blessings.

ib. επέμυσεν όμμα] The reading

νόημα is plainly a corruption.

9. ὑποβαλών] There is a considerable variation of reading here. ᾿Αποβαλών is plainly a corruption of ὑποβαλών. It is not so easy to decide between ὑποβαλών and ἐπιβαλών, as there appear to be no exact parallels to the use of either word in such a connexion as the present passage affords. The phrase

τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλειν in the sense of 'closing the eyelids' occurs in Arist. Physiog. 6. 813 a, and also in Adamant. Soph. Physiog. i 23 where it is found in the phrase of lλλώπτοντες και τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλοντες, but these instances do not justify such an expression as ἐπιβαλλών τὰς δψεις. On the other hand the occurrence of such an expression as τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλειν may have led to the alteration of ὑποβαλών into ἐπιβαλών. There is exactly the same variation of reading in c. 7 sub fin. in the expression ὑποβάλοι τοῦς βλεφάροις τὴν ὅρασιν.

11. κατενόησε] 'came to apprehend,' 'learned to know,' stronger

than νοήσαι.

11-12. ὁ φθόνος] For the idea cp. infra τη άποστροφή της άγαθότητος εν εαυτώ γεννήσας τον φθόνου. There is similar language on φθόνος in de Vita Moysis p. 409 (Migne). The whole passage is doubtless traceable to Wisdom ii 23, 24.

12. όμολογ.] The first cause of anything is responsible for all the consequences flowing from it. So

μετ' αὐτὴν κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐπισυμβαινόντων αἰτίαν είναι, οίον τη ύγεία τὸ εὐεκτεῖν, τὸ ἐργάζεσθαι, τὸ καθ' ήδονην βιοτεύειν τη δε νόσω το ασθενείν, το ανενέργητον είναι, τὸ ἐν ἀηδία τὴν ζωὴν ἔχειν. οὕτω καὶ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα ταις οἰκείαις ἀρχαις κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἔπεται. 5 ωσπερ οὖν ή ἀπάθεια τῆς κατ' ἀρετὴν ζωῆς ἀρχὴ καὶ ύπόθεσις γίνεται, ούτως ή δια του φθόνου γενομένη προς κακίαν ροπή των μετ' αὐτήν πάντων ἀναδειχθεντων κακών όδὸς κατέστη. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἄπαξ πρὸς τὸ κακὸν τὴν ροπην ἔσχεν ὁ τῆ ἀποστροφη της ἀγαθότητος ἐν ἑαυτώ το γεννήσας τὸν φθόνον, ώσπερ λίθος ἀκρωρείας ἀπορραγεὶς ύπο τοῦ ιδίου βάρους προς το πρανές συνελαύνεται, οὕτω κάκείνος, της πρός τὸ άγαθὸν συμφυίας άποσπασθείς καὶ πρός κακίαν βρίσας, αὐτομάτως υίον τινι βάρει πρός τον έσχατον της πονηρίας δρον συνωσθείς απηνέχθη, και την 15 διανοητικήν δύναμιν, ήν είς συνέργειαν τής τοῦ κρείττονος μετουσίας έσχε παρά τοῦ κτίσαντος, ταύτην είς ευρεσιν των κατά κακίαν έπινοουμένων συνεργόν ποιησάμενος,

Ι μετ αυτην κατα] μεθ εαυτην l vulg μετα (om αυτην κατα) $d \parallel 3-4$ το ανεν....ζωην εχειν desunt in $h \parallel 7-8$ ουτως...κακιαν ροπη desunt in $h \parallel \gamma$ ινομενη l γεγενημενη $f \parallel 8$ ροπη] ορμη $f \parallel \mu$ εθ εαυτην l vulg \parallel κακων] των κακων $f \parallel 14$ βρισας] βαρησας και κατανευσας l vulg \parallel 16 εις συνεργειαν] om εις l την συνεργειαν el vulg συνεργιαν dnp \parallel του κρειττονος] om του l

the inclination to vice resulting from the envy displayed by the devil was responsible for the train of evils which followed.

2. τῆ ὑγεία] We must supply some such word as ἔπεται which occurs in the following sentence. As on health there follows a good bodily condition (τὸ εὐεκτεῦν), activity, and an enjoyable life, while disease is followed by weakness, inactivity, and lack of enjoyment, so other results follow in natural sequence their own proper causes.

άπάθεια] 'freedom from passion.' Cp. antea c. 5.

II. ώσπερ λίθος] 'just as a rock,

breaking away from the ridge of a mountain, is carried headlong by its own weight.'

13. συμφυίας] 'torn away from his natural affinity to good.'

13-16. την διανοητικήν δύναμιν] 'the faculty of mind.' Διάνοια, as distinguished from νοῦς, is 'the process of rational thought,' while νοῦς is the intuitive and speculative reason. See Westcott on 1 John v 20. 'The faculty of mind, which he received from the Creator in order that he might cooperate with Him in imparting good (i.e. to the creatures under him), he employed to assist him in discovering evil devices.'

ευμηγάνως περιέργεται δι' απάτης του αυθρωπου, αυτου έαυτοῦ γενέσθαι πείσας φονέα τε καὶ αὐτόγειρα. ἐπειδὴ γαρ δια της θείας εὐλογίας δυναμωθείς ὁ ἄνθρωπος ὑψηλὸς μεν ην τῷ ἀξιώματι βασιλεύειν γὰρ ἐτάχθη τῆς γῆς τε ς καὶ τῶν ἐπ' αὐτῆς πάντων καλὸς δὲ τὸ εἶδος ἀπεικόνισμα γάρ τοῦ ἀργετύπου ἐγεγόνει κάλλους ἀπαθής δὲ την φύσιν τοῦ γαρ απαθοῦς μίμημα ήν ανάπλεως δὲ παρρησίας, αὐτῆς κατὰ πρόσωπον τῆς θείας ἐμφανείας κατατρυφών ταῦτα δὲ τῷ ἀντικειμένω τοῦ κατὰ τὸν 10 φθόνον πάθους υπεκκαύματα ήν ισχύι δέ τινι και βία δυνάμεως κατεργάσασθαι τὸ κατὰ γνώμην οὐχ οίός τε ήν ύπερίσχυε γάρ ή της εύλογίας του θεου δύναμις της τούτου βία. διὰ τοῦτο ἀποστήσαι τής ἐνισχυούσης αὐτὸν δυνάμεως μηχανάται, ώς αν εὐάλωτος αὐτῷ πρὸς τὴν ις έπιβουλήν κατασταίη. καὶ ώσπερ έπὶ λύχνου τοῦ πυρὸς της θρυαλλίδος περιδεδραγμένου, εἴ τις ἀδυνατῶν τῷ φυσήματι σβέσαι την φλόγα ΰδωρ ἐμμίξειε τῷ ἐλαίφ

ι διερχεται l vulg || 2 πεισας γενεσθαι l vulg || 5 αυτη f || 16 επιδεδραγμενου $f \parallel 17$ εμφυσηματι $d \parallel 17$ —p. 37. Ι υδωρ...φλογα om $h \parallel$ ενμιξειεν fεμμιξει εν l vulg εμμιξει g εμμιξας e || ελαιω]+και fln

'circumivents.' 1. περιέρχεται] overreaches.

2-3. ἐπειδη γάρ] The clause introduced by ἐπειδή is broken up by a series of parentheses. The apodosis of the sentence begins with διὰ τοῦτο ἀποστήσαι. In what follows Gr. shows how the capacities and privileges bestowed upon man by God excited the envy of the adversary and led him to plot for man's ruin.

- ἀξιώματι] 'rank,' 'position.'

ib. βασιλεύειν] Gen. i 28-30. 6. άπαθής) See note on ἀπάθεια

8. παρρησίας] Παρρ. denotes the 'giving utterance to every thought and feeling and wish.' Cp. Heb. iv 16 (with Westcott's note), x 19, 35.

ib. της θείας έμφ.] based on the narrative of Gen. iii.

 κατατρυφῶν] 'revelling in the manifestation of Deity even face to face.

ib. ταῦτα δέ] 'and since this served as fuel.' The sentence forms part of the protasis begun by ἐπειδή. 10-11. βla δυνάμεως] 'violent exercise of power,' 'main force.'

14. εὐάλωτος 'an easy prey to his plotting.'

15. καὶ ὤσπερ] What the adversary could not accomplish by force he attempted by craft, mingling evil with man's will. 'And as in the case of a lamp, when the flame has caught the wick, if any one, being unable to blow out the flame, mixes water with the oil, he will by this device render the flame dull.'

16. περιδεδραγμ.] 'grasped,' 'laid hold of.'

διὰ τῆς ἐπινοίας ταύτης ἀμαυρώσει τὴν φλόγα, οὕτως δι ἀπάτης τῆ προαιρέσει τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τὴν κακίαν ἐμμίξας ὁ ἀντικείμενος σβέσιν τινὰ καὶ ἀμαύρωσιν τῆς εὐλογίας ἐποίησεν, ἦς ἐπιλειπούσης ἐξ ἀνάγκης τὸ ἀντικείμενον ἀντεισέρχεται. ἀντίκειται δὲ τῆ ζωῆ μὲν ὁ 5 θάνατος, ἡ ἀσθένεια δὲ τῆ δυνάμει, τῆ εὐλογία δὲ ἡ κατάρα, τῆ παρρησία δὲ ἡ αἰσχύνη καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς τὰ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενα. διὰ τοῦτο ἐν τοῖς παροῦσι κακοῖς ἐστὶ νῦν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τῆς ἀρχῆς ἐκείνης τοῦ τοιούτου τέλους τὰς ἀφορμὰς παρασχούσης.

7. Καὶ μηδεὶς ἐρωτάτω, εἰ προειδὼς τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην συμφορὰν ὁ θεὸς τὴν ἐκ τῆς ἀβουλίας αὐτῷ συμβησομένην ἢλθεν εἰς τὸ κτίσαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ῷ τὸ μὴ γενέσθαι μᾶλλον ἴσως ἢ τὸ ἐν κακοῖς εἶναι λυσιτελέστερον ἦν. ταῦτα γὰρ οἱ τοῖς Μανιχαικοῖς δόγμασι δι' ἀπάτης 15 παρασυρέντες εἰς σύστασιν τῆς ἑαυτῶν πλάνης προβάλ-

5 επεισερχεται $g^1 \parallel g$ om του l vulg 7. 14 ειναι] γενεσθαι $f \parallel 15-16$ δι απ....συστασιν om f

σβέσιν] 'succeeded in extinguishing and obscuring in a way (τινά) the Divine blessing.'

4-5. τὸ ἀντικείμενον] sc. τῆ εὐλογία. The term is explained in the following sentence.

τῆs ἀρχῆs] i.e. the φθόνος of the Devil which he has been describing.
 τὰs ἀφορμάs] Cp. c. 5 p. 26

(note).

7. In this and in the following chapter Gr. deals at length with the objection 'Why did God create man, if He foresaw the evils which would result from his fall? How was such an act consistent with goodness?' In answering this objection Gr. first of all draws a distinction between the two senses in which the word evil is used with reference to man. There is physical evil i.e. the pain resulting from suffering and sickness, and there is moral evil i.e. wickedness. The latter alone deserves the name of

evil. Moral evil consists in the loss of good, and has no substantive existence. Neither can God he its author, for He is the source of positive good, and it is only man's self-will in withdrawing from good, which constitutes evil.

11. el προειδώs] The emphasis of the sentence rests on the participle. Whether God foresaw the calamity...when He came to create man.

 άβουλίας] cf. 6 init. τῆς κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν διαμαρτίας. Αὐτῷ antici-

pates τον άνθρωπον.

15. oi rois Manixairois] 'they who have been deceived and led away by the doctrines of the Manichees.' The evils of man's present condition might be urged to prove that the Author of man's being was not good. Acc. to the Manichaeans the creation of man was due to the prince of darkness. See Harnack Hist. of Dogma, Eng. Tr. iii 325.

λουσιν, ώς διὰ τούτου πονηρον είναι τον της ανθρωπίνης φύσεως κτίστην ἀποδεικυύοντες. εἰ γὰρ ἀγνοεῖ μὲν τῶν όντων οὐδὲν ὁ θεός, ἐν κακοῖς δὲ ὁ ἄνθρωπος, οὐκέτ' ἀν ό της άγαθότητος τοῦ θεοῦ διασώζοιτο λόγος, εἴπερ ἐν 5 κακοῖς μέλλοντα τὸν ἄνθρωπον ζήσεσθαι πρὸς τὸν βίον παρήγαγεν. εί γὰρ ἀγαθῆς φύσεως ή κατὰ τὸ ἀγαθὸν ενέργεια πάντως εστίν, ο λυπηρος ούτος καὶ επίκηρος βίος οὐκετ' ἄν, φησίν, εὶς τὴν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ δημιουργίαν ἀνάγοιτο, άλλ' έτερον χρη της τοιαύτης ζωης αϊτιον οἴεσθαι, ώ πρὸς 10 πουηρίαν ή φύσις επιρρεπώς έχει. ταῦτα γὰρ πάντα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα τοῖς μὲν ἐν βάθει καθάπερ τινὰ δευσοποιὸν βαφην την αίρετικην παραδεδεγμένοις απάτην ισχύν τινα διὰ τῆς ἐπιπολαίου πιθανότητος ἔγειν δοκεῖ· τοῖς δὲ διορατικωτέροις της άληθείας σαθρά όντα καὶ πρόγειρον 15 την της ἀπάτης ἀπόδειξιν ἔχοντα σαφῶς καθορᾶται. καί μοι δοκεί καλώς έχειν του απόστολον έν τούτοις συνήγορον της κατ' αὐτῶν κατηγορίας προστήσασθαι. διαιρεί γὰρ έν τῷ πρὸς Κορινθίους λόγω τάς τε σαρκώδεις καὶ τὰς πνευματικάς των ψυχων καταστάσεις, δεικνύς, οίμαι, διά

I τουτων fl τουτο vulg || 3 ο θεος ουδεν l vulg || 6 om το f vulg || 7 λυπηρος] οδυνηρος $f \parallel g \omega$] ου ehn ο $p \parallel$ 10 παντα γαρ ταυτα $e \parallel$ 11 δευσοπ.] ψευδοποιον l^* vulg || 12 αναιρετικήν h || 13 επιπολου f || 17 κατ αυτον lvulg ! παρηγοριας l*rid vulg || συστησασθαι f || διαιρειται f || 18-19 και τας πν.] και τας ψυχικας καταστασεις e

4. λόγος] 'the doctrine of the

goodness of God.'

6. εί γὰρ ἀγαθηs] 'For if activity in good is the characteristic of a nature that is good.'

8. ἀνάγοιτο] 'traced back,' 're-

ferred.

9. ἔτερον] e.g. such as the Mani-

chaean evil principle.

11. τοις μέν] 'to those who are deeply tinged with the deceit of heresy, as with some indelible dye.' For έν βάθει cp. c. 8 την έν βάθει... γενομένην πρός τὸ κακὸν οἰκειότητα. Δευσ. is found in Plat. Rep. 420 E -430 A, a passage which Gr. may

have had in mind, as it contains a similar comparison to that of the present passage and concludes "va δευσοποιὸς αὐτῶν ἡ δόξα γίγνοιτο.

14. σαθρά] lit. 'rotten,' 'unsound.' Hence 'ineffective,' 'weak.' Πρόχειρον, 'afford a ready proof of their fallacious character?

17. προστήσασθαι] 'to put forward the Apostle in these matters as supporting us in our condemnation of them.' The gen. κατηγορίας is governed by συνήγορον.

18. πρὸς Κορ.] 1 Cor. ii 14-15. 19. καταστάσεις] 'dispositions' Or 'conditions.'

τῶν λεγομένων, ὅτι οὐ δι' αἰσθήσεως τὸ καλὸν ἡ τὸ κακὸν κρίνειν προσήκει, ἀλλ' ἔξω τῶν κατὰ τὸ σῶμα φαινομένων τὸν νοῦν ἀποστήσαντας, αὐτὴν ἐφ' ἐαυτῆς τοῦ καλοῦ τε καὶ τοῦ ἐναντίου διακρίνειν τὴν φύσιν. ὁ γὰρ πνευματικός, φησίν, ἀνακρίνει τὰ πάντα. ταύτην οἶμαι τὴν 5 αἰτίαν τῆς τῶν δογμάτων τούτων μυθοποιίας τοῖς τὰ τοιαῦτα προφέρουσιν ἐγγεγενῆσθαι, ὅτι πρὸς τὸ ἡδὲν τῆς σωματικῆς ἀπολαύσεως τὸ ἀγαθὸν ὁριζόμενοι διὰ τὸ πάθεσι καὶ ἀρρωστήμασιν ὑποκεῖσθαι κατ' ἀνάγκην τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν σύνθετον οὖσαν καὶ εἰς διάλυσιν το ῥεοῦσαν, ἐπακολουθεῖν δέ πως τοῖς τοιούτοις παθήμασιν ἀλγεινήν τινα αἴσθησιν, πονηροῦ θεοῦ τὴν ἀνθρωποποιίαν ἔργον εἶναι νομίζουσιν. ὡς εἴγε πρὸς τὸ ὑψηλότερον ἔβλεπεν αὐτοῖς ἡ διάνοια, καὶ τῆς περὶ τὰς ἡδονὰς διαθέσεως τὸν νοῦν ἀποικίσαντες ἀπαθῶς ἐπεσκόπουν 15

2 προσηκει κρινειν l vulg || 3 αποστησαντα l vulg || αφ εαυτ. f || 4 του εναντ.] om του f || ο γαρ] ο δε f || 5 διακρινει f || 7 προσφερουσιν g lp || γεγενησθαι e αν γεγενησθαι vulg || 11 om πως d || 12 om θεου l* vulg

1. $\delta i'$ als $\theta \eta_{0} \epsilon_{\omega} s$] It is not by 'sense perception' that good and evil are judged. The intelligence $(\nu_0 \hat{v}_0)$ must be withdrawn from bodily phenomena $(\tau_0 \hat{v}_0 \kappa_0 \tau_0^2 + \delta \tau_0^2 \hat{v}_0 \mu_0 \phi_0 \nu_0)$ and discern in its own distinctive character $(a\dot{v}_1 \tau_0 \nu_0 \nu_0^2 + \delta v_0^2 \nu_0^2 + \delta v_0^2 \nu_0^2)$ the nature of good and the opposite.

6. μυθοποιίας] Cp. prol. τὰς ἡπατημένας περὶ τῶν δογμάτων μυθοποιίας.

ἐγγεγενῆσθαι] 'This, Isuppose, was the cause of the fabrication of these fabulous doctrines in the case of those who put forward such views.' Έγγίγνεσθαι is used in the sense of inesse or innasci. Cf. Xen. Comm.
 1. 2. 21 τοῦς ἀμελοῦσι λήθην ἐγγινομένην.

ib. δτι πρός τό] Krab.'s punctuation is bad here. "Οτι governs νομίζουσιν, while ἐπακολ. is closely

attached to ὑποκεῖσθαι and belongs to διὰ τό.

ib. πρὸς τὸ ἡδύ] 'defining good with reference to the pleasure of bodily enjoyment.' Σωμ. is contrasted with the πνευματικός in the quotation.

9. πάθεσι καὶ ἀρρωστήμασιν] Here both words are used of bodily ailments. In c. 8 Gr. speaks of τὰ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀρρωστήματα. In this latter sense the word ἀρρώστημα was employed by the Stoics and is defined by Cicero Tusc. iv 10.

13. We serve] 'Since if their thought had turned its gaze in a loftier direction, and if, separating the intelligence from the disposition to care about pleasures, they had contemplated, free from the influence of the passions, the nature of existing things.' On the words diávoua and voûs see antea c. 6 p. 35.

την των όντων φύσιν, οὐκ αν άλλο τι κακὸν είναι παρά την ποιηρίαν φήθησαν. πονηρία δὲ πάσα ἐν τῆ τοῦ άγαθοῦ στερήσει χαρακτηρίζεται, οὐ καθ' έαυτὴν οὖσα, οὐδὲ καθ' ὑπόστασιν θεωρουμένη κακὸν γὰρ οὐδὲν ἔξω 5 προαιρέσεως εφ' έαυτοῦ κείται, άλλὰ τῶ μη είναι τὸ άγαθον ούτω κατονομάζεται. το δε μη ον ούχ υφέστηκε, τοῦ δὲ μὴ ὑφεστῶτος δημιουργὸς ὁ τῶν ὑφεστώτων δημιουργός οὐκ ἔστιν. οὐκοῦν ἔξω τῆς τῶν κακῶν αἰτίας ὁ θεὸς ὁ τῶν ὄντων, οὐχ ὁ τῶν μὴ ὄντων ποιητὴς ὤν \cdot ὁ τὴν 10 δρασιν, οὐ τὴν πήρωσιν δημιουργήσας δ τὴν ἀρετήν, οὐ την στέρησιν αὐτης ἀναδείξας δ ἀθλον της προαιρέσεως τὸ τῶν ἀγαθῶν γέρας τοῖς κατ' ἀρετὴν πολιτευομένοις προθείς, οὐκ ἀνάγκη τινὶ βιαία πρὸς τὸ ἐαυτῷ δοκοῦν ύποζεύξας την ανθρωπίνην φύσιν, καθάπερ τι σκεύος 15 ἄψυχον ἀκουσίως πρὸς τὸ καλὸν ἐφελκόμενος. εἰ δὲ τοῦ φωτὸς εξ αίθρίας καθαρώς περιλάμποντος εκουσίως τις

5 νφ εαυτου g*p η αφ ε. f \parallel το μη ειναι p \parallel 5-6 το αγαθον] οm το f \parallel 11 ο αθλον] οm ο fl vulg \parallel 12 γερας] περας l*vid vulg \parallel 13 προσθεις deghnp \parallel 15 εμψυχον vulg \parallel 16 επιλαμποντος e

1. οὐκ αν άλλο] The principle that moral evil is alone κακόν is a leading thought of Gr. throughout the present treatise. Cp. c. 9 where he argues that the Incarnation was no degradation to God, for only moral evil can bring degradation. Similarly in cc. 15 and 16 he says that it was physical, not moral weakness which Christ took upon Him. The Divine goodness was unchanged by the Incarnation, for the only thing that could have changed it would have been the participation in vice. The conception, which is also found in Origen, has its roots in the importance assigned by both Origen and Gr. to the will, as the seat of evil. So in the present chapter Gr.

says κακὸν γὰρ οὐδὲν ἔξω προαιρέσεως ἐφ' ἐαυτοῦ κεῖται.

3. xapaktnpl[setal] 'The characteristic feature of all wickedness is to be found in the deprivation of good.

5. τφ μη εtvai] 'but it receives its name from the non-existence of the good.'

6. τὸ δὲ μὴ δν] In what follows Gr. urges that God is the Creator of that which exists positively. That which is a mere negation of existence, such as evil has been defined to be, cannot be attributed to Him.

11. ὁ ἄθλον] The effect of this view of the relation of evil to man's free-will is to make the enjoyment of Divine blessings the reward of virtue.

ύποβάλοι τοις βλεφάροις την ορασιν, έξω της του μη βλέποντος αιτίας ο ηλιος.

8. 'Αλλ' ἀγανακτεῖ πάντως ὁ πρὸς τὴν διάλυσιν βλέπων τοῦ σώματος, καὶ χαλεπὸν ποιεῖται τῷ θανάτω τὴν
ζωὴν ἡμῶν διαλύεσθαι, καὶ τοῦτό φησι τῶν κακῶν ἔσχατον 5
εἶναι, τὸ τὸν βίον ἡμῶν τῆ νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι. οὐκοῦν
ἐπισκεψάσθω διὰ τοῦ σκυθρωποῦ τούτου τὴν ὑπερβολὴν
τῆς θείας εὐεργεσίας τάχα γὰρ ἂν μᾶλλον διὰ τούτων
προσαχθείη θαυμάσαι τὴν χάριν τῆς περὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον
τοῦ θεοῦ κηδεμονίας. τὸ ζῆν διὰ τὴν τῶν καταθυμίων 10

Ι υποβαλλοι ρ επιβαλοι (-βαλλ- 1 vulg) efg¹ αποβαλοι (-βαλλ- hn) d \parallel **8.** 3 διαλυσιν] αναλ. 1^* vid vulg \parallel 5–6 και τουτο...σβεννυσθαι om 1^* vulg \parallel 8 μαλλον αν deghnp \parallel τουτου 1^* vulg \parallel 9 προσενεχθειη ε προαχθειη g^2l^1 \parallel 10 om των vulg

1. ὑποβάλοι] cp. antea c. 6 p. 34 (note).

1-2. ξξω της...alrias] is free from blame on the part of him who fails to see.' For ξξω της alrias cp. c. 8.

8. Gr. is still discussing the objection stated in the previous chapter. One of the evils of man's present condition, it is urged, is the prevalence of physical death. In reply Gr. maintains that the dissolution of the body was really a merciful provision made by God after man's fall in order to undo its effects. The coats of skin in Genesis indicate mystically this truth. As it was into the sentient (i.e. bodily) part of man that the poison of evil was received, so it was fitting that that part should be dissolved in order that it might be remoulded by the resurrection. Gr. illustrates his meaning by the simile of an earthen vessel, which some illdisposed person renders useless by filling it with molten lead, but which the potter, in order to remove the lead, breaks up with a view to remodelling it.

The dissolution of the body, however, does not affect the soul. That too has been stained by sin, and it too has its appointed remedies, the practice of virtue in this life, the sifting judgment and painful discipline of purification in the after-life. Thus God not only foresaw man's fall, but provided for its remedy. It was better to restore man by penitence and suffering than never to have created him at all. The work of restoration was a task possible and fitting for Him alone who had created man. And so He who was man's Creator became also his Deliverer.

5-6. $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \dots \beta (\omega \nu)$ By the 'dissolution' of the $\zeta \omega \eta$ Gr. refers to the dissolution in death of the component parts of man's nature. By the extinction of the $\beta i o s$ he means the cessation of the outward activities of the life.

 τοῦ σκυθρωποῦ] 'this dismallooking necessity.'

8. εὐεργεσίας] Death, as Gr. proceeds to show, was a beneficent provision made by God, after man's fall, to enable the body to escape from the consequences of the Fall.

το. καταθυμίων] = 'acceptable,' 'pleasing.' Cp. c. 5.

ἀπόλαυσιν αίρετόν ἐστι τοῖς τοῦ βίου μετέχουσιν. ὡς εἴ γέ τις ἐν ὀδύναις διαβιώη, παρὰ πολὺ τῷ τοιούτῷ τὸ μὴ εἶναι τοῦ ἀλγεινῶς εἶναι προτιμότερον κρίνεται. οὐκοῦν ἐξετάσωμεν εἰ ὁ τῆς ζωῆς χορηγὸς πρὸς ἄλλο τι βλέπει, 5 καὶ οὐχ ὅπως ἃν ἐν τοῖς καλλίστοις βιώημεν. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ τῷ αὐτεξουσίῷ κινήματι τοῦ κακοῦ τὴν κοινωνίαν ἐπεσπασάμεθα, διά τινος ἡδονῆς οἶόν τι δηλητήριον μέλιτι παραρτυθέν τῆ φύσει τὸ κακὸν καταμίξαντες, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀπαθὲς νοουμένης μακαριότητος ἐκτοῦτο τῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀπαθὲς νοουμένης μακαριότητος ἐκτοῦτο τος κακὸς οὐτράκινον πάλιν ὁ ἄνθρωπος εἰς γῆν ἀναλύεται, ὅπως ἃν τῆς νῦν ἐναπειλημμένης αὐτῷ ἡυπαρίας ἀποκριθείσης εἰς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς σχῆμα διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως ἀναπλασθείη. τὸ δὲ τοιοῦτον δόγμα ἰστορικώτερον μὲν

4 βλέπη vulg || 7 εξεσπασαμεθα l^{*vid} vulg || 8 παραμίζαντες d || 12 απειλημμ. dghnp απειλημ. e || 14 αναπλασθείη] + εί γε το κατ είκονα εν τη παρουση ζωη διεσωσατο deghl 1 np

 ἐπεσπασάμεθα] Used here in the sense of 'acquire,' 'contract.'
 We brought upon ourselves.'

ib. Sua twos] by some indulgence in pleasure mingling evil with our nature like some deadly potion sweetened with honey.

9. karà rò à rabès vooupévys] 'the blessedness which the mind associates with freedom from passion.'

12. orws av in order that the foulness which is now included in his nature may be separated out, and he may be restored by the resurrection to his original form.' After these words one group of MSS insert the words εί γε τὸ κατ' εἰκόνα ἐν τῆ παρούση ζωή διεσώσατο, and Krabinger has given them a place in the text. But the words are not found in the MSS f, l, and they have a suspicious ring, introducing an idea which is not appropriate to the context. They are probably a later addition to the text, their object being to guard against an Universalistic interpretation of the passage.

14. iστορικ.] 'after the manner of history and in veiled language.' For αίνιγμα cp. Numb. xii 8 (LXX) στόμα κατά στόμα λαλήσω αὐτῷ, ἐν eίδει καὶ οὐ δι' αἰνιγμάτων. Cp. also I Cor. xiii 12. This passage is another instance of Gr.'s use of the allegorical interpretation of Scripture. Cp. antea c. 2 (note on αναγωγικώς) and c. 5. The ref. is to Gen. iii 21. This interpretation of the coats of skin is found in Methodius de Resurr. i. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130) and still earlier in Clement of Alexandria (Strom. iii 14) and Origen (c. Cels. iv 40, in Lev. Hom. vi 2). It appears to have been derived from the Gnostics. See Iren. c. Haer. 1. 5. 5; Tert. de Res. Carnis 7. Its ultimate source was Rabbinic. Cp. Bigg Christian Platonists, p. 204 note. Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xxxviii p. 670 D) also makes use of it.

καὶ δι' αἰνιγμάτων ὁ Μωσης ημιν ἐκτίθεται. πλην ἔκδηλον καὶ τὰ αἰνίγματα τὴν διδασκαλίαν ἔγει. ἐπειδὴ γάρ, φησίν, εν τοις απηγορευμένοις εγένοντο οι πρώτοι ανθρωποι καὶ της μακαριότητος ἐκείνης απεγυμνώθησαν. δερματίνους ἐπιβάλλει χιτῶνας τοῖς πρωτοπλάστοις ὁ ς κύριος ου μοι δοκεί πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα δέρματα τοῦ λόγου την διάνοιαν φέρων ποίων γαρ αποσφαγέντων τε καὶ δαρέντων ζώων ἐπινοεῖται αὐτοῖς ἡ περιβολή; ἀλλ', έπειδή παν δέρμα χωρισθέν του ζώου νεκρόν έστι, πάντως οίμαι την πρός το νεκρούσθαι δύναμιν, ή της άλόγου 10 φύσεως έξαίρετος ήν, έκ προμηθείας μετά ταῦτα τοῖς ανθρώποις επιβεβληκέναι τὸν τὴν κακίαν ἡμῶν ἰατρεύοντα, ούχ ώς είς ἀεὶ παραμένειν ὁ γὰρ χιτών τῶν ἔξωθεν ήμιν ἐπιβαλλομένων ἐστί, πρὸς καιρὸν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ χρῆσιν παρέχων τῷ σώματι, οὐ συμπεφυκὼς τῆ φύσει. οὐκοῦν 15 έκ της των άλόγων φύσεως ή νεκρότης οἰκονομικώς περι-

1 ημιν] υμιν vulg || 7 φερων] φερειν gp || om τε el || 12 om τον e || 13 eis aei] aei h | 15 του σωματος vulg | 16 οικονομ. η νεκ. g

3. èν τοιs àπ.] 'became involved in what was forbidden.'

4. ἀπεγυμν.] The δερμάτινος χιτών takes the place of the ἀπάθεια. 5. πρωτοπλάστοις] a word ap-

plied to the first man in Wisd. vii 1, х 1.

6. of μ or δ ok ϵ i] 'not, I think, intending to apply the sense of the word to these literal skins.' Φέρων belongs to the subject of $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$, i.e. Μῶσης, δοκεί being parenthetical.

8-q. άλλ', ἐπειδή] The skins, acc. to Gr., represent that capacity of dying, which was the peculiar characteristic of the irrational nature. In de An. et Resurr. p. 148 (Migne) Gr. explains δέρμα as τὸ σχημα της άλόγου φύσεως, ώ πρός το πάθος olκειωθέντες περιεβλήθημεν. In de Virg. c. 12 the coats of skin are τὸ φρόνημα της σαρκός. Cp. de Mortuis

iii p. 524 (Migne).

13. els άεὶ παραμένειν Acc. to Gr. the first man had received the blessing of immortality and incorruption. Cp. c. 5. Cp. also de Hom. Op. c. 4, de Vita Moysis p. 397 (Migne). Hence he says below of the χιτών that it was ού συμπεφυκώς τη φύσει. Death is a temporary provision, a 'coat' with which man is invested for a time.

 olκονομικώς] here opposed to that which naturally belongs to man. Death was assigned to man by way of 'accommodation' to his circumstances. Cp. for this use of olkoνομικώs in Christi Resurr. Or. ii p. 649 (Migne) & γάρ εξχε φυσικώς ώς θεός, ταθτα λέγεται λαμβάνειν ώς γενόμενος άνθρωπος οίκονομικώς. See further Suicer Thesaurus.

ετέθη τη είς αθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει, τὸ έξωθεν αὐτης περικαλύπτουσα, οὐ τὸ ἔσωθεν, τὸ αἰσθητὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου μέρος διαλαμβάνουσα, αὐτης δὲ της θείας εἰκόνος οὐ προσαπτομένη. λύεται δὲ τὸ αἰσθητόν, οὐκ ἀφανίζεται. ς άφανισμός μέν γάρ έστιν ή είς τὸ μή δν μεταχώρησις. λύσις δὲ ἡ εἰς τὰ τοῦ κόσμου στοιχεῖα πάλιν, ἀφ' ὧν τὴν σύστασιν έσχε, διάχυσις. τὸ δὲ ἐν τούτοις γενόμενον οὐκ απόλωλε, καν εκφεύγη την κατάληψιν της ήμετέρας αίσθήσεως. ή δὲ αἰτία τῆς λύσεως δήλη διὰ τοῦ ρηθέντος 10 ήμιν ύποδείγματος. ἐπειδή γὰρ ή αἴσθησις πρὸς τὸ παχύ τε καὶ γήινον οἰκείως έχει, κρείττων δὲ καὶ ύψηλοτέρα τῶν κατ' αἴσθησιν κινημάτων ή νοερά φύσις, διὰ τοῦτο τῆς περὶ τὸ καλὸν κρίσεως ἐν τῆ δοκιμασία τῶν αισθήσεων άμαρτηθείσης, της δε του καλου διαμαρτίας 15 την της εναντίας έξεως υπόστασιν ενεργησάσης, τὸ άχρειωθεν ήμων μέρος τη παραδοχή του εναντίου λύεται. ό δε τοῦ ὑποδείγματος λόγος τοιοῦτός ἐστι. δεδόσθω τι σκεῦος έκ πηλού συνεστηκέναι, τούτο δὲ πλήρες ἔκ τινος ἐπι-Βουλής γεγενήσθαι τετηκότος μολίβδου, τον δε μόλιβδον

2 και το αισθ. l vulg \parallel 2–3 μερος τ. ανθρ. f \parallel 7 διαλυσις efg'hl \parallel 10 om ημιν d \parallel 11 παχυ τε \mid παχυτερον h \parallel 11–12 κρειττονος δ. κ. υψηλοτερας vulg \parallel 12–13 κατ αισθησιν...δια τουτο της om l* vulg \parallel 19 μολυβδον...μολυβδον dehn

1. τὸ ἔξωθεν] This garment of 'mortality' enfolded only the outward and sentient part of man. It did not affect the higher life which constituted the Divine image in man.

4. λύεται] The physical, sentient part of man only suffers dissolution. It does not cease to exist.

7. διάχυσις] 'diffusion.' The word has been altered by some MSS.

 ὑποδείγματος] i.e. the σκεῦος ὁστράκων spoken of above, an illustration which he develops in what follows.

10-11. το παχύ] 'the gross and earthy element.'

13. δοκιμασία] 'as it was by the arbitrament of the senses that our judgment about that which is good went astray.'

14. διαμαρτίαs] 'deviation from the good.'

15. έξεως] 'state,' 'condition.'

ib. ἀχρειωθέν] 'disabled,' 'rendered useless.'

17. λόγος] 'the point of our illustration.' The same illustration occurs in Methodius de Resurr. i 44 (ed. Bonw. p. 146).

18. $\ell \kappa \tau \iota \nu \sigma s \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} s$] The filling of the vessel with lead is represented as an act of spite.

έγχεθέντα παγήναι καὶ μένειν ἀπρόχυτον, ἀντιποιείσθαι δὲ τοῦ σκεύους τὸν κεκτημένον, ἔχοντα δὲ τοῦ κεραμεύειν την έπιστήμην περιθρύψαι τῷ μολίβδφ τὸ ὄστρακον εἶθ' ούτως πάλιν κατά τὸ πρότερον σχήμα πρὸς τὴν ἰδίαν έαυτου χρησιν αναπλάσαι τὸ σκεύος, κενὸν της έμμιχ- 5 θείσης ύλης γενόμενον, ούτως ούν καὶ ὁ τοῦ ἡμετέρου σκεύους πλάστης, τῷ αἰσθητικῷ μέρει, τῷ κατὰ τὸ σῶμά φημι, της κακίας καταμιχθείσης, διαλύσας την παραδεξαμένην τὸ κακὸν ὕλην, πάλιν ἀμιγὲς τοῦ ἐναντίου διὰ της αναστάσεως αναπλάσας, πρὸς τὸ έξ αρχης κάλλος το αναστοιχειώσει τὸ σκεῦος. ἐπειδὴ δὲ σύνδεσίς τις καὶ κοινωνία των κατά άμαρτίαν παθημάτων γίνεται τῆ τε ψυχή καὶ τῷ σώματι, καί τις ἀναλογία τοῦ σωματικοῦ θανάτου πρὸς τὸν ψυχικόν ἐστι θάνατον ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐν σαρκί τὸ τῆς αἰσθητῆς γωρισθῆναι ζωῆς προσαγορεύομεν 15

1 εγχυθεντα ehl¹ vulg εκχεθεντα f || απροχυτον | απροσχυτον |* vid vulg || I-2 αντιποιεισθαι δε] om δε e || 3 μολυβδω dehn || 7 αισθητω l vulg || το κατα vulg | 12 αμαρτ. | την αμ. f

1. άπρόχυτον] a word not found in the Lexicons. The Paris editions read ἀπρόσχυτον, but all the best MSS support the text. 'So that it cannot be poured out.'

ib. ἀντιποιεισθαι] 'lay claim to.' The owner claims the vessel and, as he has some knowledge of the potter's art, breaks it up and remodels it. The vessel is represented as unbaked. It is still πηλός and can be broken up.

 κενόν] In Or. in funere Pulch. p. 876 (Migne) Gr. says οὐδὲ γὰρ άλλο τι έστιν έπ' άνθρώπων ο θάνατος, εί μή κακίας καθάρσιον.

11. άναστοιχειώσει] lit. 're-combine the elements of,' 're-form,' 'fashion afresh.' Cp. Or. in funere Pulch. p. 877 (Migne) τοῦτο γάρ έστιν ή ανάστασις, ή είς το αρχαιον της φύσεως ημών αναστοιχείωσις. The words άναμδρφωσις, μεταμδρφωσις, μετάθεσις, μεταβολή, μεταποίησις, μεταστοιχείωσις and avaστοιχείωσις are all used by Gr. to denote the restoration of human nature by Christ. Elsewhere Gr. applies the term αποθέωσις to this restoration. See Or. Cat. c. 37 συναποθεωθη το ανθρώπινον.

ib. ἐπειδη κτλ.] The sentence is broken by a parenthesis ωσπερ γάρ and resumed by ἐπεὶ οῦν. The apodosis begins with διὰ τοῦτο.

ib. σύνδεσις] For the idea cp. de Hom. Opif. c. 15 ή δέ τοῦ νοῦ πρός τὸ σωματικόν κοινωνία ἄφραστόν τε καί άνεπινόητον την συνάφειαν έχει... πλην ὅτι κατὰ τὸν ἴδιον αὐτῆς εἰρμὸν εὐοδουμένης τῆς φύσεως, καὶ ὁ νοῦς ένεργὸς γίνεται. εὶ δέ τι πλημμέλημα περὶ ταύτην συμπέσοι, σκάζει κατ' έκεινο και της διανοίας ή κίνησις. See also note on avakpaous c. 6.

13. $\psi v \chi \hat{y}$] not used here in its N.T. sense, but as a general term for the higher faculties included in το νοητόν and opposed to $\tau \hat{o}$ alg $\theta \eta \tau \hat{o} \nu$.

θάνατον, ούτως καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ψυχῆς τὸν τῆς ἀληθοῦς ζωῆς γωρισμον θάνατον ονομάζομεν έπει οθν μία τίς έστιν ή τοῦ κακοῦ κοινωνία, καθώς προείρηται, ἐν ψυχή τε θεωρουμένη καὶ σώματι δι' αμφοτέρων γάρ πρόεισιν τὸ 5 πονηρον είς ενέργειαν διά τοῦτο ο μέν της διαλύσεως θάνατος ἐκ τῆς τῶν νεκρῶν δερμάτων ἐπιβολῆς τῆς ψυχῆς ούγ ἄπτεται. πῶς γὰρ ἀν διαλυθείη τὸ μὴ συγκείμενον; έπει δε χρεία του κακείνης τας εμφυείσας εξ άμαρτιων κηλίδας διά τινος ιατρείας έξαιρεθήναι, τούτου ένεκεν έν 10 μεν τη παρούση ζωή τὸ της ἀρετης φάρμακον εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τοιούτων προσετέθη τραυμάτων. εἰ δὲ ἀθεράπευτος μένοι, εν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίφ τεταμίευται ἡ θεραπεία. άλλ' ωσπερ είσι τινες κατά τὸ σῶμα τῶν παθημάτων διαφοραί, ών αι μεν ράον, αι δε δυσκολώτερον την θερα-15 πείαν προσίενται, έφ' ών καὶ τομαὶ καὶ καυτήρια καὶ

3 om η el vulg | 4-5 εις εν. τ. πονηρον l vulg | 8 επειδη δε gl om δε l vulg | 11 $\pi \rho o \epsilon \tau \epsilon \theta \eta$ dghnp | 11-12 $a \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \tau o s$ $\mu \epsilon \nu o l$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon l$ $a \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \tau o l$ μεινοιέν $f \parallel 12$ ταμιεύεται l vulg (in d deletum) $\parallel 13$ των παθ. κ. τ. σωμα e | 15 καυτηριαι degh

 διαλύσεως] The gen. defines θάνατος, 'death consisting in dissolution.

6. ἐκ τῆς ... ἐπιβ.] 'resulting from.'

 τὸ μὴ συγκ.] The soul is not composite like the body, and cannot be dissolved by death. Other remedies must therefore be provided for it.

10. τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς φάρμακον] i.e. the influence of a virtuous life in remedying the disorder produced in man's nature by sin. The language of this and the following passages shows marked traces of the Platonic teaching on κάθαρσις. Gr. discusses the question of the purification of souls without any reference to the Christian doctrine of redemption, and, in this passage at least, seems to teach a purely moral improvement effected during the present life from

within by the practice of virtue, and in the after-life by a purificatory discipline. Such teaching must of course be modified by his subsequent language in this book on the effects of the Incarnation and their application through Sacraments. For a further treatment of the κάθαρσις see cc. 26 and 35 (sub fin.). The influence of Origen may be traced throughout. See especially Orig. de Princ. ii 10. 4-6, iii 1. 14-17. For Plato's teaching see especially Gorg. 477-8, 525, Prot. 324 B, Rep. ii 380, ix 591 etc.

12. τεταμίευται The reading ταμιεύεται is a correction found in inferior MSS. Ταμιεύειν here='to lay up in store.' The perf. indicates that God has laid it up already, though it is to be applied after

judgment.

15. τομαί κτλ.] 'applications of

πικραὶ φαρμακοποσίαι πρὸς τὴν ἀναίρεσιν τοῦ ἐνσκήψαντος τῷ σώματι πάθους παραλαμβιίνονται, τοιοῦτόν
τι καὶ ἡ μετὰ ταῦτα κρίσις εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀρρωστημάτων κατεπαγγέλλεται, ὁ τοῖς μὲν χαυνοτέροις ἀπειλὴ καὶ σκυθρωπῶν ἐστὶν ἐπανόρθωσις, ὡς ς
ἃν φόβῷ τῆς τῶν ἀλγεινῶν ἀντιδόσεως πρὸς τὴν φυγὴν
τῆς κακίας σωφρονισθείημεν: τοῖς δὲ συνετωτέροις ἰατρεία
καὶ θεραπεία παρὰ τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ ἴδιον πλάσμα πρὸς τὴν
ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐπανάγοντος χάριν εἰναι πιστεύεται. ὡς γὰρ οί
τοὺς ἥλους τε καὶ τὰς ἀκροχορδόνας παρὰ φύσιν ἐπιγενο- 10
μένας τῷ σώματι διὰ τομῆς ἡ καύσεως ἀποξύοντες οὐκ
ἀνώδυνον ἐπάγουσι τῷ εὐεργετουμένῷ τὴν ἴασιν, πλὴν οὐκ
ἐπὶ βλάβῃ τοῦ ὑπομένοντος τὴν τομὴν ἄγουσιν, οὕτως καὶ
ὅσα ταῖς ψυχαῖς ἡμῶν διὰ τῆς τῶν παθημάτων κοινωνίας

2 παθους τ. σωματι l vulg \parallel 4 αρρωστ.] αμαρτηματων f \parallel 5 σκυθρ.] των σκ. e \parallel επανορθ.] επαναστασις fl vulg \parallel 10 om τε vulg \parallel τας ακροχ.] τους el vulg \parallel 10—11 επιγενομένους el vulg επιγινομένας dg*hnp \parallel 13 επαγουσιν fgl

the knife and caustics, and bitter draughts of medicine.'

3-4. η μετὰ τ. κρίσις...κατεπ.] This shews that Gr. is not thinking of a purgatory between death and the judgment, but of one which follows upon that judgment.

4. άρρωστημάτων] See note c. 7

p. 39.

ib. τοῖς μὲν χαυνοτέροις] The thought of the painful discipline of the future acts as a deterrent to the 'more thoughtless.' By those who are 'more discerning' such discipline is believed to be remedial and restorative. Χαῦνος, 'porous,' 'spongy,' hence 'empty,' 'frivolous.' 5. σκυθρωπῶν ἐπ.] The gen. is

5. σκυθρωπῶν ἐπ.] The gen. is subjective, 'a correction consisting in stern methods.' Similarly Origen (c. Cels. vii 70) speaks of ol δήμιοι ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι καὶ οl τεταγμένοι ἐπὶ τῶν σκυθρωπῶν μέν, ἀναγκαίων δὲ ἐν ταῖς πολιτείαις πραγμάτων.

7. σωφρονισθ.] 'we might be

brought to our senses and induced to flee from vice.'

9. ὡς γάρ] Such pains, like those inflicted by physicians, are remedial and beneficent in their aim. 'For just as those who remove by the knife or caustics moles and warts, which have come unnaturally upon the body, do not apply to him whom they benefit a method of healing that is painless.' Similarly Origen says (c. Cels. v 15) "Οτι δὲ οὐχ ώς μάγειρον φαμεν τὸ πῦρ ἐπιφέρειν τὸν θεόν, ἀλλὶ ὡς θεὸν εὐεργέτην τῶν χρηζόντων πόνου καὶ πυρὸς μαρτυρήσει καὶ ὁ προφήτης Ἡσαίας.

14. δσα] 'whatever material excressences become encrusted upon our souls when they have been rendered carnal through participation in the body's sufferings.' For this sense of ἀποσαρκοῦν cf. Theophanes Hom. viii p. 269 (Migne) et τις δλοο δι' δλου ἀποσαρκωθείη τῆ διανοία. On the words πῶρος, πωροῦν see J. A.

αποσαρκωθείσαις ύλώδη περιττώματα έπιπωρούται, έν τώ καιρώ της κρίσεως τέμνεταί τε καὶ ἀποξύεται τη ἀρρήτω έκείνη σοφία καὶ δυνάμει τοῦ, καθώς λέγει τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, τούς κακούς ιατρεύοντος, ου χρείαν γάρ έχουσι, φησίν, 5 οἱ ύγιαίνοντες ἰατροῦ, ἀλλ' οἱ κακῶς ἔχοντες. διὰ δὲ τὸ πολλην γεγενησθαι τη ψυχη πρὸς τὸ κακὸν συμφυίαν ωσπερ ή της μυρμηκίας τομή δριμύσσει την επιφάνειαν τὸ γὰρ παρὰ φύσιν ἐμφυὲν τῆ φύσει διά τινος συμπαθείας τῷ ὑποκειμένω προσίσχεται, καί τις γίνεται τοῦ 10 άλλοτρίου πρὸς τὸ ἡμέτερον παράλογος συνανάκρασις. ώς λυπεῖσθαι καὶ δάκνεσθαι τοῦ παρὰ φύσιν χωριζομένην την αισθησιν ούτω και της ψυχής απολεπτυνομένης τε καὶ ἐκτηκομένης ἐν τοῖς ὑπὲρ τῆς ἁμαρτίας ἔλεγμοῖς.

2 περιτεμνεται d || om τε l vulg || 3 καθως λ. τ. ευαγγ. του l vulg || 4 κακους] κακως εχοντας fl vulg || om φησιν f || 5 υγιαινοντες] ισχυοντες l vulg | 6 γενεσθαι d | 13 ελεγχοις vulg

Robinson Journal of Theol. Studies,

iii 9 p. 81 ff.

ib. παθημ.] Cp. supra κοινωνία τῶν κατὰ άμαρτίαν παθημάτων.

1-2. έν τφ κ. τῆς κρ.] Probably Gr. based this teaching, as Origen did before him, on 1 Cor. iii 13.

3. λέγει] Luke v 31. The words are also found with the variation lσχύοντες (see v.l. here) in Mk ii 17, Mt ix 12.

6. συμφυίαν] Cp. antea c. 6.7. μυρμηκίας] There is the following scholium on this word in the margin of the MSS b and e. Πάθος τι περί τὸ δέρμα τοῦ σώματος ημών γίνεται, δ μυρμηκία καλείται: σαρκώδης γάρ τις έπίφυσις μικρά έπανίσταται τῷ δέρματι, ήτις δοκεῖ μέν μέρος είναι τοῦ δέρματος, ώς προσπεφυκυία αὐτώ, οὐ μὴν κατά ἀλήθειαν ούτως έχει, δθεν και την άρσιν πρός την θεραπείαν έπιζητεί. τούτω ύποδείγματι έχρήσατο πρὸς τὸ προκείμενον σφύδρα καταλλήλω. The word μυρμηκία occurs also in de An. et Res. p. 56 (Migne), where the same scholium is found. 'The excision of a wart gives a sharp sensation to the surface (of the body).

ib. έπιφάνειαν] 'surface.'

c. 23. 8. τὸ γὰρ παρὰ φύσιν] An explanation of the pain experienced at the amputation of such bodily excrescences. The means by which such an unnatural growth affects the subject, to which it is attached, is a kind of sympathy. The man feels for the alien growth as if it were really part of himself. While έμφυέν denotes the physical attachment, προσίσχεται denotes the relation which it bears to the personality.

12. ἀπολεπτ.] a paraphrase of Ps. xxxviii [xxxix] 12 LXX, where the phrases έξέτηξας and έν έλεγμοῖς ύπερ άνομίας occur.

καθώς πού φησιν ή προφητεία, διά την έν βάθει γενομένην πρὸς τὸ κακὸν οἰκειότητα κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐπακολουθοῦσιν άρρητοί τινες και ανέκφραστοι αλγηδόνες, ων ή διήγησις έκ τοῦ ἴσου τὸ ἄφραστον ἔχει τῆ τῶν ἐλπιζομένων ἀγαθῶν φύσει. οὔτε γὰρ ταῦτα, οὔτε ἐκεῖνα τῆ δυνάμει τῶν 5 λόγων ή τῷ στοχασμῷ τῆς διανοίας ὑπάγεται. οὐκοῦν πρός τὸ πέρας τις ἀποσκοπῶν τῆς σοφίας τοῦ τὸ πᾶν οίκονομούντος οὐκέτ' αν εὐλόγως κακών αἴτιον τὸν τών ανθρώπων δημιουργόν ύπὸ μικροψυχίας κατονομάζοι, ἡ ἀγνοεῖν αὐτὸν τὸ ἐσόμενον λέγων, ἡ εἰδότα καὶ πεποιη- 10 κότα μη έξω της προς το πονηρον όρμης είναι. γαρ ήδει τὸ ἐσόμενον καὶ τὴν πρὸς τὸ γινόμενον ὁρμὴν οὐκ έκώλυσεν ὅτι γὰρ ἐκτραπήσεται τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, οὐκ ἠγνόησεν ὁ πάντα ἐμπερικρατῶν τῆ γνωστικῆ δύναμει καὶ τὸ ἐφεξῆς τῷ παρωχηκότι κατὰ τὸ ἴσον 15 βλέπων. άλλ ώσπερ την παρατροπην έθεάσατο, ούτω καὶ τὴν ἀνάκλησιν αὐτοῦ πάλιν τὴν πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθὸν κατενόησε, τί οὖν ἄμεινον ἦν, καθ' ὅλου μὴ ἀγαγεῖν τὴν φύσιν ήμων είς γένεσιν, έπειδή τοῦ καλοῦ διαμαρτήσεσθαι προεώρα τὸν γενησόμενον, ἡ ἀγαγόντα καὶ νενοσηκότα 20

Ι φησιν που dfg \parallel 6 των στοχασμων hnp τον στοχασμον l^1 vulg \parallel 7 om της σοφιας f \parallel 11 προς το πονηρον] πονηρας e \parallel 13 οτι γαρ] exstant seqq in euth 12456 \parallel 14 τα παντα περικρατων f \parallel προορατικη l vulg \parallel 15–16 βλεπων κ. τ. ισον l vulg \parallel 20 τον γενησ.] το γενησ. l vulg \parallel νενοσηκυιαν euth

1-2. την έν βάθει...οίκ.] ' our deeply rooted connection with evil.' For έν βάθει cp. C. 7 τοίς... έν βάθει καθάπερ τινά δευσοποιόν βαφην την αίρετικην παραδεδεγμένοις άπάτην.

4-5. $r\hat{\eta}...\phi v\sigma \epsilon t$] is governed by $\epsilon \kappa$ $\tau o\hat{v}$ for. It is as impossible to describe the pains of the future purification as it is to describe the future blessings which man hopes for.

9. μικροψυχίαs] Such accusations against the Creator show 'a little mind.' Cp. c. 9 των μικροψυχοτέρων

την πίστιν.

II. $\xi \xi \omega \ \tau \hat{\eta} s ... \delta$.] Cp. c. 7 $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \ \text{airlas} \ (bis)$.

13. ὅτι γάρ] The following passage as far as χώρον οὐκ ἔχει, p. 50, is quoted in Euthymius Zigabenus Pain. Dogm. pt i tit. vi pp. 201 sq. (Migne).

20. ἀγαγ...νενοσ.] ἀγ. refers to God, νενοσ. to man (τὸν γενησόμενον). The text of Euth., in order to make the sense clearer, reads νενοσηκυΐαν (sc. τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν). Καὶ νενοσ., 'even when he was diseased.'

πάλιν πρὸς τὴν έξ ἀρχῆς χάριν διὰ μετανοίας ἀνακαλέσασθαι; τὸ δὲ διὰ τὰς σωματικὰς ἀλγηδόνας, αὶ τῶ ρευστώ της φύσεως κατ ανάγκην επισυμβαίνουσι, κακών ποιητήν τὸν θεὸν ὀνομάζειν, ἡ μηδε ὅλως ἀνθρώπου ς κτίστην αὐτὸν οἴεσθαι, ώς ᾶν μη καὶ τῶν ἀλγυνόντων ήμας αίτιος ύπονοοιτο, τούτο της έσχάτης μικροψυχίας έστι των τη αισθήσει το καλον και το κακον διακρινόντων, οι οὐκ ἴσασιν ὅτι ἐκείνο τῆ φύσει μόνον ἐστὶν ἀγαθόν, οδ ή αἴσθησις οὐκ ἐφάπτεται, καὶ μόνον ἐκεῖνο κακὸν ἡ τοῦ 10 άληθινοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἀλλοτρίωσις. πόνοις δὲ καὶ ήδοναῖς τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ μὴ καλὸν κρίνειν τῆς ἀλόγου φύσεως ἴδιόν έστιν, έφ' ών τοῦ ἀληθώς καλοῦ ή κατανόησις διὰ τὸ μή μετέγειν αὐτὰ νοῦ καὶ διανοίας χώραν οὐκ ἔχει. ἀλλ' ότι μεν θεοῦ ἔργον ὁ ἄνθρωπος, καλόν τε καὶ ἐπὶ καλ-15 λίστοις γενόμενον, οὐ μόνον ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων δηλόν ἐστιν, άλλα και εκ μυρίων ετέρων, ών το πληθος δια την αμετρίαν παραδραμούμεθα. θεὸν δὲ ἀνθρώπου ποιητὴν ὀνομάσαντες οὐκ ἐπιλελήσμεθα τῶν ἐν τῷ προοιμίφ πρὸς τοὺς Ελληνας ήμιν διευκρινηθέντων, έν οις απεδείκνυτο ό τοῦ 20 θεοῦ λόγος οὐσιώδης τις καὶ ἐνυπόστατος ὢν αὐτὸς εἶναι καὶ θεὸς καὶ λόγος, πάσαν δύναμιν ποιητικήν έμπεριειληφώς, μᾶλλον δὲ αὐτοδύναμις ὧν καὶ πρὸς πᾶν ἀγαθὸν την όρμην έγων και παν ο τι περ αν θελήση κατεργαζόμενος τῶ σύνδρομον ἔγειν τῆ βουλήσει τὴν δύναμιν, οὖ καὶ 25 θέλημα καὶ ἔργον ἐστὶν ἡ τῶν ὄντων ζωή, παρ' οὖ καὶ ὁ

I om δια μετανοιας fl* vulg \parallel 9 η αισθ.] om η deghnp euth 1246 \parallel 10 αληθ. αγαθου] om αληθωου g^{1} 1* n^{1} αληθ. καλου deg*hp euth \parallel 11 μη καλον] κακον vulg \parallel διακρινειν euth 245 \parallel 12 αληθινου καλου d αληθους καλου ef \parallel 13 αυτο e \parallel εχει] desinit euth \parallel 21 εκπεριειληφως hnp \parallel 22 αγαθον] εργον αγαθον l vulg \parallel 23 om την l vulg \parallel 24 το συνδρ. fp \parallel βουλησει] θελησει dn

^{2-3.} $\tau \hat{\varphi} \ \dot{\rho} \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$] Bodily pain is the result of the unstable character of man's nature.

^{7.} τη αἰσθήσει] Cp. antea c. 7 πρὸς τὸ ἡδὺ της σωματικής ἀπολαύσεως

τὸ ἀγαθὸν ὁριζόμενοι.

^{14.} έπι καλλ.] Cp. c. 5 έπι τούτοις (note).

^{16.} άμετρίαν] 'countless number.'

^{18.} προοιμ.] i.e. in c. ι.

άνθρωπος είς τὸ ζην παρήχθη, πάσι τοῖς καλλίστοις θεοειδώς κεκοσμημένος. ἐπειδή δὲ μόνον ἀναλλοίωτόν ἐστι κατά την φύσιν τὸ μη διά κτίσεως έχον την γένεσιν, τά δ' όσα παρὰ της ἀκτίστου φύσεως ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος ὑπέστη, εὐθὺς ἀπὸ τροπης τοῦ εἶναι ἀρξάμενα, πάντοτε δι' ἀλ- 5 λοιώσεως πρόεισιν, εί μεν κατά φύσιν πράττοι, πρός τὸ κρείττον αὐτοίς της άλλοιώσεως εἰς ἀεὶ γιγνομένης, εἰ δὲ παρατραπείη της εὐθείας, της πρός τὸ ἐναντίον αὐτὰ διαδεχομένης κινήσεως έπεὶ οὖν έν τούτοις καὶ ὁ ἄνθρωπος ην, ῷ τὸ τρεπτὸν τῆς φύσεως πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον παρώ- 10 λισθεν, ἄπαξ δὲ τῆς τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀναχωρήσεως δι' ἀκολούθου πάσαν ιδέαν κακών άντεισαγούσης, ώς τη μέν αποστροφή της ζωής αντεισαχθήναι τὸν θάνατον, τή δὲ στερήσει τοῦ φωτὸς ἐπιγενέσθαι τὸ σκότος, τῆ δὲ τῆς άρετης απουσία την κακίαν αντεισαχθήναι και πάση τη 15 τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἰδέα τὸν τῶν ἐναντίων ἀνταριθμηθῆναι κατάλογον, τον έν τούτοις καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις έξ άβουλίας έμπεπτωκότα οὐδὲ γὰρ ἦν δυνατὸν ἐν Φρονήσει εἶναι τὸν απεστραμμένον την φρόνησιν και σοφόν τι βουλεύσασθαι τον της σοφίας αναχωρήσαντα δια τίνος έδει πάλιν 20 πρὸς τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς χάριν ἀνακληθῆναι; τίνι διέφερεν ή του πεπτωκότος ανόρθωσις, ή του απολωλότος ανά-

4 om του vulg | 6 προεισιν] + και l vulg | 7 γενομένης ef γιν- vulg | 11 του αγαθου $d \parallel$ 12 πασαν κακ. ιδ. $e \parallel$ 16 om ιδεα l^* vulg \parallel καταριθμη- $\theta \eta \nu a g^{1}$ vulg | 20 dia tivos] exstant segg in eath 12456 | $\pi a \lambda i \nu$] tov a $\nu \theta \rho \omega$ πον euth 12 || 21 τινι δε διεφερεν f τινι δε επρεπεν l vulg

5. $d\pi \delta \tau \rho o \pi \hat{\eta} s$] Cp. c. $\delta d\pi \delta$ άλλοιώσεως ήρξατο.

6. εί μεν κατά φύσιν] The natural development of man would have been δι' άλλοιώσεως in the direction of improvement. By his departure from good it became a progressive deterioration.

8. τῆς εὐθείας] ςc. ὁδοῦ.
16. ἀνταριθμηθῆναι] 'over against every kind of good there was set down the list of opposite evils.'

17-18. $\tau \delta \nu \dots \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \tau$.] Here begins the apodosis. The acc. is the subject of the inf. ἀνακληθηναι below.

18. φρονήσει] 'practical wisdom,' 'prudence.' On φρόνησις and σοφία see Lightfoot on Col. i 10.

20. διά τίνος] The following passage, as far as the words τό τε σωμα της ψυχης διακρίνεται in c. 16, is reproduced in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vii pp. 213 sq. (Migne).

κλησις, ή τοῦ πεπλανημένου χειραγωγία; τίνι ἄλλφ ἡ τῷ κυρίῳ πάντως τῆς φύσεως; τῷ γὰρ ἐξ ἀρχῆς τὴν ζωὴν δεδωκότι μόνῳ δυνατὸν ἦν καὶ πρέπον ἄμα καὶ ἀπολομένην ἀνακαλέσασθαι. δ παρὰ τοῦ μυστηρίου τῆς ἀλη-5 θειας ἀκούομεν, θεὸν πεποιηκέναι κατ' ἀρχὰς τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ σεσωκέναι διαπεπτωκότα μανθάνοντες.

Αλλὰ μέχρι μὲν τούτων συνθήσεται τυχὸν τῷ λόγῷ ὁ πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον βλέπων διὰ τὸ μὴ δοκεῖν ἔξω τι τῆς θεοπρεποῦς ἐννοίας τῶν εἰρημένων εἰναι πρὸς δὲ τὰ το ἐφεξῆς οὐχ ὁμοίως ἔξει, δι ὧν μάλιστα τὸ μυστήριον τῆς ἀληθείας κρατύνεται γένεσις ἀνθρωπίνη καὶ ἡ ἐκ νηπίου πρὸς τελείωσιν αὔξησις, βρῶσίς τε καὶ πόσις, καὶ κόπος, καὶ ὕπνος, καὶ λύπη, καὶ δάκρυον, συκοφαντία τε καὶ δικα-

3 απολλυμενην l vulg \parallel 5 εξ αρχης l vulg \parallel 6 μανθανομεν e **9.** 8 om o l vulg \parallel 9-10 το εφεξ. ghnp euth \parallel 11 αληθείας] ευσεβείας f οικονομίας euth 1456 \parallel γεννησιν ανθρωπινην λεγω euth \parallel 11-12 την...αυξησιν, βρωσιν... ποσιν...κοπον euth \parallel 13 υπνον...λυπην...συκοφαντίαν euth

CHAPS. IX—XXXII. ON THE INCARNATION AND REDEMPTION.

9. In this and the two following chapters Gr. discusses some of the objections urged against the method employed by God in the Incarnation. One such objection arises out of the alleged degradation to the Divine Nature involved in it. The submission to the processes of birth and growth, the acceptance of the conditions of human life, and, finally, the dishonour attaching to the trial, death and burial of Christ, these, it is urged, were unworthy of God. In reply Gr. maintains that vice is the only degradation. The Incarnation, in that it was marked by absolute freedom from contact with vice, was an exhibition of moral perfection (καλόν).

θεοπρεποῦς ἐννοίας] 'a conception which is worthy of God.' Τῶν εἰρημ. depends on τι.

g=10. $\pi \rho \hat{o} \hat{s} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{a} \hat{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$] The

sentence which follows is difficult. The text of Euth. is the result of an attempt to simplify the construction. The antecedent to δt^{\prime} $\dot{\omega} \nu$ is $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$, which refers to $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma t s$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi l \nu \eta$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$., these latter words being in loose apposition to $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$. For a similar instance of a broken construction see c. 1 sub fin. with note.

10. ούχ ὁμοίως ἔξει] The subject is either the same as that of συνθήσεται, 'he will not think the same' or impersonal 'the case will not be the same.'

10-11. το μυστήριον τ. d.] i.e. the doctrine of the Incarnation, which rests upon and is established by γένεσις κτλ., although these latter are likely at first to be a stumbling-block to the catechumen.

συκοφαντία] = 'false accusation.' Δικαστ. 'place of judgment.'

στήριον, καὶ σταυρός, καὶ θάνατος, καὶ ἡ ἐν μνημείω θέσις. ταθτα γάρ συμπαραλαμβανόμενα τῷ μυστηρίω ἀμβλύνει πως των μικρυψυχοτέρων την πίστιν, ώς μηδέ το έφεξης τῶν λεγομένων διὰ τὰ προειρημένα συμπαραδέχεσθαι. τὸ γὰρ θεοπρεπές τῆς ἐκ νεκρών ἀναστάσεως διὰ τὸ περὶ ς τον θάνατον απρεπές ου προσίενται. έγω δε πρότερον οίμαι δείν μικρον της σαρκικής παχύτητος τον λογισμον ἀποστήσαντας, αὐτὸ τὸ καλὸν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τὸ μὴ τοιούτον κατανοήσαι, ποίοις γνωρίσμασιν έκάτερον τούτων καταλαμβάνεται. οὐδένα γὰρ ἀντερεῖν οἶμαι τῶν λελο- 10 γισμένων, ὅτι Εν κατὰ Φύσιν μόνον τῶν πάντων ἐστὶν αίσγρον το κατά κακίαν πάθος, το δε κακίας έκτος παντός αίσχους έστὶν άλλότριον: ώ δὲ μηδὲν αίσχρον καταμέμικται, τοῦτο πάντως ἐν τῆ τοῦ καλοῦ μοίρα καταλαμβάνεται, τὸ δὲ ἀληθῶς καλὸν ἀμιγές ἐστι τοῦ ἐναντίου. 15 πρέπει δὲ θεώ πᾶν ὅ τι περ ἐν τῆ τοῦ καλοῦ θεωρεῖται γώρα. ἡ τοίνυν δειξάτωσαν κακίαν είναι τὴν γέννησιν, την ανατροφήν, την αύξησιν, την προς το τέλειον της

τ και σταυρος] σταυρον (om και) euth \parallel θανατον euth \parallel την... θεσιν euth \parallel 3 τα εφεξης en euth \parallel 6 προσιεται g^1l vulg \parallel 7 δειν]+αυτους g^1l^1 \parallel 10 παραλαμβανεται d \parallel 12–13 το κατα κακιαν...αισχρον om l^* \parallel 13–15 εστιν αλλοτρ...αμιγες εστ. τ. εναντιου om euth 1 et (exceptis εστ. αλλοτρ.) z \parallel 13 αισχρον] εναντιου vulg \parallel μεμικται vulg \parallel 14 παραλαμβ. ehn \parallel 16 τω θεω l^* vid vulg \parallel ο τι περ]+αν deghnp \parallel θεωρηται en euth z_5 \parallel 17 γενεσιν fgl

συμπαραλαμβ.] 'when taken along with the revelation.'

pondered the matter.'

12. τὸ δὲ κακίας ἐκτός] 'that which is free from moral evil.'

ib. αμβλύνει] 'blunt,' 'weaken.' Μικροψυχ. cp. c. 8 ύπο μικροψυχίας. 3. το έφεξης] i.e. the Resurrec-

^{3.} $\tau \delta \in \phi \in \{\eta s\}$ i.e. the Resurrection.

^{7-8.} τον λογ. άποστ.] Cp. c. 7 τον νούν άποστήσαντας.

^{8.} αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν] See note c. 5 p. 27.

^{10.} $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda \sigma \gamma$.] The perf. is intensive, 'no one who has carefully

^{14.} μοίρα] For this periphrastic use of μοΐρα (= in numero...esse) cp. Plat. Phileb. 54 C έν τἢ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μοίρα ἐκεῖνὁ ἐστι. Καταλ. is a mere variation of phrase for the usual θεωρεῖσθαι which follows, while μοίρα is followed by the equivalent χώρα. 'This is assuredly found to be good.'

φύσεως πρόοδον, τὴν τοῦ θανάτου πεῖραν, τὴν ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου ἐπάνοδον· ἡ εἰ ἔξω κακίας εἶναι τὰ εἰρημένα συντίθενται, οὐδὲν αἰσχρὸν εἶναι τὸ κακίας ἀλλότριον ἐξ ἀνάγκης ὁμολογήσουσι. καλοῦ δὲ πάντως ἀναδεικνυμένου 5 τοῦ πάσης αἰσχρότητος καὶ κακίας ἀπηλλαγμένου, πῶς οὐκ ἐλεεινοὶ τῆς ἀλογίας οἱ τὸ καλὸν μὴ πρέπειν ἐπὶ θεοῦ δογματίζοντες;

10. 'Αλλὰ μικρόν, φησί, καὶ εὐπερίγραπτον ἡ ἀνθρωπίνη φύσις, ἄπειρον δὲ ἡ θεότης, καὶ πῶς ἂν περιελήφθη το τῷ ἀτόμῳ τὸ ἄπειρον; καὶ τίς τοῦτό φησιν, ὅτι τῆ περιγραφῆ τῆς σαρκὸς καθάπερ ἀγγείῳ τινὶ ἡ ἀπειρία τῆς θεότητος περιελήφθη; οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐπὶ τῆς ἡμετέρας ζωῆς ἐντὸς κατακλείεται τῶν τῆς σαρκὸς ὅρων ἡ νοερὰ φύσις.

6. ελεεινοί της αλ.] 'to be pitied for their folly.'

10. A second objection is 'How can the finite contain the infinite? How can the Divine Nature be contained within the limits of human nature?' Gr. replies that a fallacy underlies such questions. The Divine Nature is not confined within human nature as though the latter were a vessel. Even the soul of man, where engaged in the movements of thought, ranges at will far beyond the limits of the body. The relations of the human and Divine natures may be illustrated by those of the flame and the wick.

'Αλλὰ κτλ.] The passage which follows is quoted by Leontius of Byzantium c. Nest. et Eutych. bk iii. See Galland Bibl. Vet. Patr. xii p. 699.

ib. εὐπερίγραπτον] The com-

mon text has περιγραπτόν, 'circumscribed.' Εὐπερίγραπτον means 'easily circumscribed,' 'narrow,' 'small.' Gr. uses the word in Hex., proem p. 64 (Migne) ἐν όλίγοις τε καὶ εὐπεριγράπτοις τοῖς ῥήμασιν.

10. ατόμω] 'how the infinite could have been contained in the atom.'

ib. τls τοῦτό φ.] This passage is quoted by Theodoret Dial. ii (Inconfusus) p. 194 (Migne), to prove the two natures in Christ, although Gr.'s purpose is quite different, and the words are intended to correct a false conception of the union of the two natures.

13. $\epsilon\nu\tau\delta$ s] For the separation of the prep. from the noun cp. c. 11 $\epsilon\nu\tau\delta$ s $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta$ at $\tau\eta$ s $\sigma\eta$ s καταλήψ $\epsilon\omega$ s. For the relations of soul and body see an interesting passage in Plotinus Enn. 4. 3. 20 sq., which Gr.

άλλ' ὁ μὲν ὅγκος τοῦ σώματος τοῖς οἰκείοις μέρεσι περιγράφεται, ἡ δὲ ψυχὴ τοῖς τῆς διανοίας κινήμασι πάση κατ' έξουσίαν ἐφαπλοῦται τῆ κτίσει, καὶ μέχρις οὐρανῶν ἀνιοῦσα, καὶ τῶν ἀβύσσων ἐπιβατεύουσα, καὶ τῷ πλάτει τῆς οἰκουμένης ἐπερχομένη, καὶ πρὸς τὰ καταχθόνια διὰ 5 τῆς πολυπραγμοσύνης εἰσδύνουσα, πολλάκις δὲ καὶ τῶν οὐρανίων θαυμάτων ἐν περινοία γίνεται, οὐδὲν βαρυνομένη τῷ ἐφολκίῳ τοῦ σώματος. εἰ δὲ ἀνθρώπου ψυχὴ κατὰ τὴν τῆς φύσεως ἀνάγκην συγκεκραμένη τῷ σώματι πανταχοῦ κατ' ἐξουσίαν γίνεται, τίς ἀνάγκη τῆ φύσει τῆς 10 σαρκὸς τὴν θεότητα λέγειν ἐμπεριείργεσθαι καὶ μὴ διὰ τῶν χωρητῶν ἡμῖν ὑποδειγμάτων στοχασμόν τινα πρέ-

4 ανιουσα] φθανουσα qr || τα πλατη euth 45 || 5 επερχομενη] περιπολευουσα qr || 7 υπερουρ. dgnp euth επουρ. eh || 10 η αναγκη vulg || 12 χωρητικών q χωριτικών r || στοχασμον] + ημιν l vulg

may have had in his mind, as the illustration of the $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\hat{\imath}$ ov, which Gr. has employed, occurs in it.

1. ὁ μὲν ὅγκοςς The 'bulk' of the body is limited by its own particular parts and confined to them.

3. έφαπλοῦται] 'but the soul by the movements of its thought deploys over the whole creation at will.' Gr. has probably in mind a passage of the Phacdrus of Plato (246 B): ἡ ψυχὴ πᾶσα παντὸς ἐπιμελεῖται τοῦ ἀψύχου, πάντα τε οὐρανὸν περιπολεῖ, ἄλλοτε ἐν ἄλλοις εἰδεσι γιγνομένη. τελέα μὲν οὖν οὖσα καὶ ἐπτερωμένη μετεωροπορεί τε καὶ ἄπαντα τὸν κόσμον διοικεῖ.

4. ἐπιβατεύουσα] lit. 'setting foot on,' 'entering.' Cf. c. Eunom. i p. 292 (Migne) ὑπερορισμός οὐ φοβήσει τὸν πάσης τῆς γῆς μετὰ τῆς αὐτῆς γνώμης ἐπιβατεύοντα.

ib. τῷ πλάτει] 'traversing the wide expanse of the world.' The nearest approach to this use of ἐπέρχεσθαι with the dat. is in a passage of the in Psalmos (ii 14 init.) where Gr. says τούτοις ἐπελθῶν τοῖς

νοήμασιν. The text of Euth. has the correction πλάτη.

6. πολυπραγμοσύνης] 'in its unwearying pursuit of truth.' For πολυπραγμονείν in this sense cp. Cyril Al. de Adorat. i p. 145 (Migne) πολυπραγμονώμεν εὐ μάλα τῆς ἀληθείας τὸ κάλλος.

7. $\pi\epsilon\rho\nu o la]$ 'is engaged in comprehending the wonders of the heavens.' Heptvoia occurs in Plat. Ax. 370 C, where it is similarly used of the comprehension of the wonders of the heavens and the processes of nature. For the phrase $\epsilon\nu$ π . $\gamma\nu$. cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 6 with Dr Mason's note.

8. $\tau\hat{\omega}$ $\epsilon\hat{\phi}o\lambda\kappa[\omega]$ 'burdened by the appendage of the body.' 'E ϕ o\k. lit. 'a ship that is taken in tow.' Plotinus (de Pulchr. 54 E) similarly uses $\delta\lambda\kappa\eta$ of that which drags down the soul.

12. χωρητῶν] 'illustrations which we can comprehend.'

ib. στοχασμόν] 'conjecture.' Cp. c. 8 τῷ στοχασμῷ τῆς διανοίας. For οἰκονομ. see antea c. 5 init. note.

ποντα περὶ τῆς θείας οἰκονομίας λαβεῖν; ὡς γὰρ τὸ πῦρ ἐπὶ τῆς λαμπάδος ὁρᾶται τῆς ὑποκειμένης περιδεδραγμένον ὕλης, καὶ λόγος μὲν διακρίνει τό τε ἐπὶ τῆς ὕλης πῦρ καὶ τὴν τὸ πῦρ ἐξάπτουσαν ὕλην, ἔργῳ δὲ οὐκ ἔστιν ἀπὰ 5 ἀλλήλων ταῦτα διατεμόντας, ἐφὰ ἑαυτῆς δεῖξαι τὴν φλόγα διεζευγμένην τῆς ὕλης, ἀλλὰ ἐν τὰ συναμφότερα γίνεται, οὕτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου καί μοι μηδεὶς τὸ φθαρτικὸν τοῦ πυρὸς συμπαραλαμβανέτω τῷ ὑποδείγματι, ἀλλὰ ὅσον εὐπρεπές ἐστι μόνον ἐν τῆ εἰκόνι δεξιίμενος, τὸ ἀπεμφαῖνον ἀποποι-

- 2 λαμπηδονος e \parallel 5 εφ εαυτης \parallel εφ εαυτην vulg \parallel 7 ουτω \parallel om e euth \parallel και επι τουτου \parallel om deghlnp vulg \parallel και μοι \parallel om μοι f \parallel vulg \parallel 9 το ανοικειον και απεμφ. \parallel vulg \parallel 10 om ουν \parallel 2 euth 12
- 1. ώς γάρ] The illustration of the flame and the wick which follows has been criticized as a touch of unconscious Eutychianism. But the purpose of Gr. in using the illustration is simply to show that the flame is inseparably connected with the wick and yet is not enclosed in it. Any further parallelism is foreign to his intention.
- 2. UTORELIMENTS] 'the material supplied to it' i.e. for the flame to feed upon.

ib. περιδεδρ.] Cp. c. 6 p. 36.

3. $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho$.] The distinction between the flame and the wick, which reason $(\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s)$ makes, does not exist practically $(\xi \rho \gamma \psi)$, as it is not possible to exhibit the flame separate from the wick.

7. οὐτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου] The text is in some confusion here. The reading adopted most easily explains the origin of the variations. Gr. begins to apply the comparison in the words οὐτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου, and then introduces a parenthesis καὶ μοι.... ἀποποιείσθω to saleguard his illustration from being misconiceived, afterwards resuming the main sentence with τὸν αὐτον οὖν τρόπον.

ib. το φθαρτικόν] Gr. guards against any material conceptions

which may be associated with his illustration, such as may arise from the perishable character of the flame. His readers are to reject what is incongruous in the illustration. For $d\pi\epsilon\mu\phi\alpha\hat{\nu}\nu$ see c. 1 p. 10 (note). 'Anomoιείσθαι='reject' is found in Job viii 20 (LXX) and in other passages of the same book.

10. έξημμένην] 'we see the flame clinging to that which is supplied to it and not included in the material." 'Εξημμ. is variously rendered in the different versions. Zinus, the Latin translator of Euthymius, renders 'flammam attingere subjectam materiam.' Similarly Hervetus 'quae subjectum attingit et apprehendit.' Fronto Ducaeus suggests two renderings: (1) conjungi et dependere. which is adopted by Krab.; (2) accendi, which yields the sense 'quae ex subjecta materia accensa est.' The justification for this second rendering is the preceding phrase την τὸ πῦρ ἐξάπτουσαν ὕλην. But the absence of a preposition with τοῦ ὑποκειμένου is against it. rendering given above suits the context and the general sense of έξάπτεσθαι. Τὸ ὑποκείμ. is the wick, which Gr. has referred to supra as η ὑποκειμένη ΰλη.

ύποκειμένου την φλόγα καὶ οὐκ ἐναποκλειομένην τη ὕλη, τί κωλύει θείας φύσεως ένωσίν τινα καὶ προσεγγισμον κατανοήσαντας πρός τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τὴν θεοπρεπη διάνοιαν καὶ ἐν τῷ προσεγγισμῷ διασώσασθαι, πάσης περιγραφής έκτὸς είναι τὸ θείον πιστεύοντας, κᾶν εν ανθρώπω ή;

11. Εί δὲ ζητεῖς πῶς κατακιρνᾶται θεότης πρὸς τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ώρα σοι πρὸ τούτου ζητεῖν τί πρὸς τὴν σάρκα τῆς ψυχης ή συμφυία. εί δὲ της σης αγνοείται ψυχης ό τρόπος, καθ' δυ ένοῦται τῷ σώματι, μηδὲ ἐκεῖνο πάντως οἴου δεῖν έντὸς γενέσθαι τῆς σῆς καταλήψεως ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐνταῦθα 10 καὶ έτερον είναι τι παρά τὸ σώμα τὴν ψυχὴν πεπιστεύκαμεν έκ τοῦ μονωθεῖσαν τῆς ψυχῆς τὴν σάρκα νεκράν τε καὶ ἀνενέργητον γίνεσθαι, καὶ τὸν τῆς ἐνώσεως οὐκ ἐπιγινώσκομεν τρόπον, οΰτω κάκεῖ διαφέρειν μὲν ἐπὶ τὸ μεγαλοπρεπέστερον την θείαν φύσιν προς την θνητην και 15 έπίκηρον όμολογοῦμεν, τὸν δὲ τῆς ἀνακράσεως τρόπον τοῦ θείου πρὸς τὸν ἄνθρωπον συνιδεῖν οὐ χωροῦμεν. ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν γεγενησθαι θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώπου φύσει διὰ τῶν ίστορουμένων θαυμάτων οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλομεν, τὸ δ' ὅπως, ὡς

2 της θείας euth 12 | κατανοησαντας γνωρισαντας Thdrtairm | 4 εν τη ενωσει $f \parallel \delta$ ιασωζεσθαι l vulg Thdrtrom $\parallel 5$ θειον] οσιον $f \parallel \epsilon \nu$ ανθρωποις Thdrt και εν τω λαβειν δουλου μορφην [| η] ην vulg προ τουτου l vulg $\parallel 8$ συναφεια $g^1 \parallel$ 13 γενεσθαι l vulg \parallel 16-17 του θ. τρ. f \parallel 17 τον ανθρ.] το ανθρωπινον [g1 l vulg || 19 το δ οπως] το δε πως el vulg

2. θεlas φύσεως] The absence of the article emphasizes the force of the adj. 'a nature which is Divine.'

3. θεοπρεπη διάνοιαν] 'the right and proper thought of God.'

11. To the objection 'In what manner is the Godhead united to the manhood?' Gr. replies that man does not know how in his own nature the soul is united to the flesh. The fact of the union of the Godhead and the manhood in Christ is attested by miracles, but the manner is inscrutable.

10. έντός] For the separation from the noun cp. c. 10 p. 54, note.

14-15. $\epsilon \pi l \tau \delta \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \sigma \pi$.] lit. 'in the direction of greater majesty,' 'as possessing greater majesty.'

16. ἀνακράσεως] Cp. supra κατακιρνάται and c. 16 ανεκφράστου συνανακράσεως. On the use of such terms with reference to the Incarnation see Petavius de Inc. iii 2, and cp. Mason Five Or. of Greg. Naz. рр. 103, 112.

17. où $\chi \omega \rho o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon v$] 'we are not

capable of perceiving.'

18. διὰ τῶν Ιστορ.] Gr. rests his argument for the union of the Godhead and manhood in Christ on facts. It is attested by the miracles recorded.

μείζον ἡ κατὰ λογισμῶν ἔφοδον, διερευνῶν παραιτούμεθα.
οὐδὲ γὰρ πᾶσαν τὴν σωματικήν τε καὶ νοητὴν κτίσιν
παρὰ τῆς ἀσωμάτου τε καὶ ἀκτίστου φύσεως ὑποστῆναι
πιστεύοντες, τὸ πόθεν ἡ τὸ πῶς τῆ περὶ τούτων πίστει
5 συνεξετάζομεν. ἀλλὰ τὸ γεγενῆσθαι παραδεχόμενοι,
ἀπολυπραγμόνητον τὸν τρόπον τῆς τοῦ παντὸς συστάσεως καταλείπομεν, ὡς ἄρρητον παντάπασιν ὄντα καὶ
ἀνερμήνευτον.

12. Τοῦ δὲ θεὸν ἐν σαρκὶ πεφανερῶσθαι ἡμῖν ὁ τὰς το ἀποδείξεις ἐπιζητῶν πρὸς τὰς ἐνεργείας βλεπέτω. καὶ γὰρ τοῦ ὅλως εἶναι θεὸν οὐκ ἄν τις ἑτέραν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχοι, πλὴν τῆς δι' αὐτῶν τῶν ἐνεργειῶν μαρτυρίας. ὥσπερ τοίνυν εἰς τὸ πᾶν ἀφορῶντες, καὶ τὰς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομίας ἐπισκοποῦντες καὶ τὰς εὐεργεσίας τὰς θεόθεν κατὰ τὴν τὸ ζωὴν ἡμῶν ἐνεργουμένας, ὑπερκεῖσθαί τινα δύναμιν ποιητικὴν τῶν γιγνομένων καὶ συντηρητικὴν τῶν ὄντων καταλαμβάνομεν, οὕτως καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν φανερωθέντος θεοῦ ἰκανὴν ἀπόδειξιν τῆς ἐπιφανείας τῆς

 $2 \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$] γεννησιν vulg || 4 πως] οπως vulg || 6 cm τον vulg || 12. 9 φανεθηναι euth 12 || 11 πλην] om vulg || 12 δια της των εν. fl vulg || 14 αποσκοπ. d

1. έφοδον] = 'method.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 7 είπερ ὅλαις ταῖς λογικαῖς πιστεύεις ἐφόδοις.

5. ovveterás,] 'along with our faith in these truths we do not combine an enquiry into the source and manner.'

6. ἀπολυπραγμόνητον] For πολυπραγμονέν see antea c. 10 πολυπραγμοσύνης (note). Here the word has a somewhat more unfavourable sense. 'Accepting the fact that it was created, we put aside all curious enquiry into the manner in which the universe was framed.' Cp. Cyr. Al. in Isai. xtv p. 964 (Migne) ἀπολυπραγμύνητα δὲ τὰ παρ' αὐτοῦ τεγνουργούμενα.

12. The fact that God has become man is attested by the evidence of Divine power shown in the earthly life of Christ. His activities reveal wonders no less than those which in Creation point to the creative and upholding power of God.

9. θεδν έν σαρκί πεφ.] 1 Tim.

13-14. olkoroμίας ... εὐεργεσίας] Olkoroμίαι are 'the orderly dispositions' made by God in the Universe. Εὐεργεσίαι are 'the exhibitions of beneficence' shown in the provision for the needs of His creatures. The θαύματα to which Gr. appeals exhibit the moral character and goodness of God. They are σημεῖα in the sense of St John.

15. ὑπερκεῖσθαί] Cp. antea, prol. δύναμιν...τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

17-18. ἐπὶτοῦ...φαν.] 'in the case of God manifested to us by means of the flesh.' Another possible

θεότητος τὰ κατὰ τὰς ἐνεργείας θαύματα πεποιήμεθα. πάντα τοις ιστορηθείσιν έργοις, δι' ών ή θεία χαρακτηρίζεται φύσις, κατανοήσαντες. θεοῦ τὸ ζωοποιεῖν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, θεοῦ τὸ συντηρείν διὰ προνοίας τὰ ὄντα, θεοῦ τὸ βρώσιν καὶ πόσιν τοῖς διὰ σαρκὸς τὴν ζωὴν εἰληχόσι ς γαρίζεσθαι, θεοῦ τὸ εὐεργετεῖν τὸν δεόμενον, θεοῦ τὸ παρατραπείσαν έξ ἀσθενείας την φύσιν πάλιν δι' ύγείας πρὸς έαυτην επανάγειν, θεου το πάσης επιστατείν ομοιοτρόπως της κτίσεως, γης, θαλάσσης, άέρος, καὶ τῶν ὑπὲρ τὸν άέρα τόπων, θεοῦ τὸ πρὸς πάντα διαρκή τὴν δύναμιν ἔχειν καὶ το πρό γε πάντων τὸ θανάτου καὶ φθορᾶς είναι κρείττονα. εί μεν οθν τινός τούτων και των τοιούτων έλλιπής ήν ή περί αὐτὸν ίστορία, εἰκότως τὸ μυστήριον ἡμῶν οἱ ἔξω τῆς πίστεως παρεγράφοντο εί δὲ δι' ὧν νοεῖται θεός, πάντα έν τοις περί αὐτοῦ διηγήμασι καθοράται, τί τὸ έμποδίζον 15 τη πίστει:

13. 'Αλλά, φησί, γέννησίς τε καὶ θάνατος ἴδιον τῆς

4 τα παντα $d \parallel 5$ om δια vulg $\parallel 6$ το ευεργ.] om το vulg $\parallel 8$ επαναγαγειν $e \parallel$ επιβατευειν fg'l επικρατευειν vulg \parallel ομ. επ. $f \parallel 9$ και των] om και l vulg \parallel τον αερα] om τον $f \parallel$ 11 φθοραs] διαφθοραs $g^l \parallel$ 12 om τουτων και $e \parallel$ om και των τοιουτων vulg \parallel 14 πιστεως] + ημων vulg \parallel 14-15 παντα... καθοραται om euth $16 \parallel$ 15 καθοραται] κατορθουται d 13. 17 γενεσις g^l

rendering is to take διὰ σαρκόs in the sense in which it occurs below τοις διὰ σαρκός τὴν ζωὴν εἰληχόσι. The language is intended to recall the words of St Paul with which the chapter begins.

2. τοις Ιστορηθ.] 'marking by means of His recorded works all the characteristic qualities of the Divine Nature.'

3. ζωοποιεῖν] Cp. c. 15 ἐδεῖτο τοῦ ζωοποιοῦντος ὁ ἀφαμαρτών τῆς ζωῆς. Here it is used with a more general reference. The illustrations chosen by Gr. are intended to show that in the Incarnation there was exhibited a creative, sustaining activity like that to which Creation witnesses. He also hints at its redemptive purpose (παρατραπεῖσαν

...την φύσιν...ἐπανάγειν) and especially emphasizes the mastery over death and corruption.

14. παρεγράφοντο] Παραγραφή = praescriptio, 'a legal exception,' 'demurrer.' 'Would have taken exception to.'

13. If it be objected that His birth and death show that He was limited by the conditions of human nature, we may reply that, while Christ was subject to the conditions of human nature, He also transcended them. He was born, but His birth was of a Virgin; He died, but His death was followed by His Resurrection. These facts show that He was more than man.

17. γέννησις] The purpose of the objector is to show that Christ was

σαρκικής ἐστὶ φύσεως. φημὶ κάγω. ἀλλὰ τὸ πρὸ τῆς γεννήσεως καὶ τὸ μετὰ τὸν θάνατον τὴν τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἐκφεύγει κοινότητα. εἰς γὰρ ἐκάτερα τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ζωῆς τὰ πέρατα βλέποντες, ἴσμεν καὶ ὅθεν ἀρχόμεθα καὶ εἰς τί καταλήγομεν. ἐκ πάθους γὰρ ἀρξάμενος τοῦ εἶναι ὁ ἄνθρωπος πάθει συναπαρτίζεται. ἐκεῖ δὲ οὕτε ἡ γέννησις ἀπὸ πάθους ἤρξατο, οὕτε ὁ θάνατος εἰς πάθος κατέληξεν· οὕτε γὰρ τῆς γεννήσεως ἡδονὴ καθηγήσατο, οὕτε τὸν θάνατον φθορὰ διεδέξατο. ὰπιστεῖς τῷ θαύτο ματι; χαίρω σου τῆ ἀπιστία· ὁμολογεῖς γὰρ πάντως διὰν ὑπὲρ πίστιν ἡγὴ τὸ λεγόμενον, ὑπὲρ τὴν φύσιν εἶναι τὰ θαύματα. αὐτὸ οὖν τοῦτο τῆς θεότητος ἔστω σοι τοῦ φανέντος ἀπόδειξις, τὸ μὴ διὰ τῶν κατὰ φύσιν προιέναι

Ι γενεσεωs dghnp euth \parallel 6 γενεσεωs dghnp \parallel 8 γενεσεωs dghnp \parallel 9 απεδεξατο $f \parallel$ 10 om σου l^1 vulg \parallel om παντως $e \parallel$ 11 om την $f \parallel$ 12 τα θανμ.] το πραγμα $f \parallel$ 13 το μη] + δι ολου euth \parallel φυσιν] quae sequenter desunt in euth 5

merely man, because He shared in the characteristic limitations of our nature, i.e. birth and death.

3. κοινότητα] There were circumstances accompanying the birth and death of Christ, which could not be brought within the common experiences of mankind, i.e. the Virgin-birth and the Resurrection.

3-4. έκάτερα...πέρατα] 'looking to either extremity of our human life.' For similar language cp. c. 27 της ζωής ήμων δύο πέρασιν έκατέρωθεν διειλημμένης, τὸ κατὰ τὴν άρχήν φημι καὶ τὸ τέλος.

5. $\pi \acute{a}\theta o vs$] In this passage $\pi \acute{a}\theta o s$ is used in two distinct senses, and it is not until c. 16 that Gr. clears up the ambiguity involved in the word. As applied to birth, the $\pi \acute{a}\theta o s$ to which he refers is properly the $\pi \acute{a}\theta o s$ of the parent (see $\mathring{\eta} \delta o v \mathring{\eta}$ below) and denotes 'passion.' As applied to death it implies imperfection, frailty, weakness, exhibited in the submission to $\theta \acute{\theta} o p \acute{a} o \acute{a}$.

6. συναπαρτίζεται] 'brings his

life to a close' i.e. by the $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$ of death involving $\phi\theta o\rho \acute{a}$ (see infra).

ib. ἐκεῖ δέ] In Christ each of the πέρατα (which in human life are attended by a πάθοs) presented a display of Divine power. For they were free from any exhibition of πάθοs. His birth was not preceded by ηδονη, nor was His death accompanied by φθορά.

9. φθορά] Cf. Ps. xv 10, Acts ii 10. The word διαφθορά which is found in both those passages occurs infra.

10. $\chi al \rho \omega$] The incredulity of his hearers Gr. regards as a testimony to the supernatural character of the events. And it is this which he is seeking to prove.

ib. ὁμολογεῖς γάρ] 'For you acknowledge that these wonderful events are above nature, by the very reasons which lead you to consider that the account surpasses belief.'

12-13. τοῦ φανέντος] i.e. Χριστοῦ. 13. διὰ τῶν] 'that the Gospel message does not proceed in a way τὸ κήρυγμα. εἰ γὰρ ἐντὸς ἦν τῶν τῆς φύσεως ὅρων τὰ περί του Χριστου διηγήματα, που τὸ θείον; εί δὲ ὑπερβαίνει την φύσιν ο λόγος, εν οίς απιστείς, εν τούτοις εστίν ή ἀπόδειξις τοῦ θεὸν είναι τὸν κηρυσσόμενον. ἄνθρωπος μέν γάρ έκ συνδυασμού τίκτεται καὶ μετά θάνατον έν 5 διαφθορά γίνεται. εί ταῦτα περιείχε τὸ κήρυγμα, οὐκ αν θεον είναι πάντως ώήθης τον έν τοις ιδιώμασι της φύσεως ήμων μαρτυρούμενον. ἐπεὶ δὲ γεγενησθαι μὲν αὐτὸν ἀκούεις, ἐκβεβηκέναι δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν κοινότητα τῷ τε τῆς γενέσεως τρόπω καὶ τῷ ἀνεπιδέκτω τῆς 10 είς φθοράν άλλοιώσεως, καλώς αν έχοι κατά τὸ ἀκόλουθον έπὶ τὸ ἔτερον τῆ ἀπιστία χρήσασθαι, εἰς τὸ μὴ ἄνθρωπον αὐτὸν ἕνα τῶν ἐν τῆ φύσει δεικνυμένων οἴεσθαι. ἀνάγκη γὰρ πᾶσα τὸν μὴ πιστεύοντα τὸν τοιοῦτον ἄνθρωπον εἶναι είς την περί του θεόν αυτόν είναι πίστιν έναχθηναι. ό γάρ 15 γεγεννησθαι αὐτὸν ίστορήσας καὶ τὸ ἐκ παρθένου γεγεννησθαι συνδιηγήσατο. εί οὖν πιστόν έστι διὰ τῶν είρημένων τὸ γεγεννησθαι αὐτόν, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν τούτων πάντως οὐδὲ τὸ οὕτως αὐτὸν γεγεννησθαι ἀπίθανον. ὁ

Ι ορων] + παντα euth \parallel 2 om του f \parallel 3 φυσιν] + εν πολλοις euth \parallel 4 θεον] και θεον euth \parallel 8 επει δε] επειδη e \parallel γεγενν. dehn euth 245 \parallel 9 ακουεις αυτον l vulg \parallel 10 γεννησεως ef euth 24 \parallel 12 τη απιστ.] τη ατοπια euth 16 \parallel 15 περι το θ. \parallel vulg \parallel αναχθηναι euth 2 \parallel 16 γεγενησθαι p euth 1 \parallel 17 συνδιηγησεται e \parallel δια των] το δ. τ. e \parallel 18 γεγενησθαι lp \parallel 19 γεγενησθαι fp

that follows the order of nature.' Τὸ κήρυγμα is here used to denote the facts which formed the substance of the preaching. Cp. 1 Cor. ii 4.

10. γενέσεως) 'in the manner of his origin and in the fact that he was incapable of a change to corruption.' Gr. appears to use γένεσις and γέννησις almost as interchangeable terms. In the present passage γένεσις is certainly correct, as the grouping of the MSS shows. It

occurs again, without any variations, in cc. 16, 27.

12. ἐπὶ τὸ ἔτερον] 'it would be well, consistently with these facts (κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθου), to exhibit incredulity in the opposite direction and refuse to think that He was an ordinary man like the other men who are produced in the course of nature.' Δεικ. is used here like ἀποδεικ.

15-16. δ...lστ.] Mt i, Lk ii.

γὰρ τὴν γέννησιν εἰπὼν καὶ τὸ ἐκ παρθενίας προσέθηκεν καὶ ὁ τοῦ θανάτου μνησθεὶς καὶ τὴν ἀνάστασιν τῷ θανάτῷ προσεμαρτύρησεν. εἰ οὖν ἀφὶ ὧν ἀκούεις καὶ τεθνάναι καὶ γεγεννῆσθαι δίδως, ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν δώσεις πάντως καὶ τὸ ἔξω πάθους εἶναι καὶ τὴν γέννησιν ἀὐτοῦ καὶ τὸν θάνατον. ἀλλὰ μὴν ταῦτα μείζω τῆς φύσεως. οὐκοῦν οὐδὲ ἐκεῖνος πάντως ἐντὸς τῆς φύσεως ὁ ἐν τοῖς ὑπὲρ τὴν φύσιν γεγενῆσθαι ἀποδεικνύμενος.

14. Τίς οὖν αἰτία, φησί, τοῦ πρὸς τὴν ταπεινότητα 10 ταύτην καταβῆναι τὸ θεῖον, ὡς ἀμφίβολον εἶναι τὴν πίστιν, εἰ θεός, τὸ ἀχώρητον καὶ ἀκατανόητον καὶ ἀνεκλάλητον πρᾶγμα, τὸ ὑπὲρ πᾶσαν δόξαν καὶ πᾶσαν μεγαλειότητα, τῷ λύθρῳ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης φύσεως καταμίγνυται, ὡς καὶ τὰς ὑψηλὰς ἐνεργείας αὐτοῦ τῆ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν ἐπιμιξία 15 συνευτελίζεσθαι.

Ι παρθενου l vulg \parallel 4 το γεγενν. και το τεθ. vulg τεθνα διδωs (om το γεγενν.) l γεγενησθαι $\mathbf{n}^*\mathbf{p}$ \parallel εκ των αυτ. om d \parallel 5 γενεσιν dghnp \parallel 6 τον θαν.] την αναστασιν e \parallel 7 εντοs]+παντη euth \parallel om εν τοιs e \parallel 8 om την vulg \parallel γεγενν. degh 14. 9 η αιτια euth \parallel 13 λυθρω] εντελει ελυτρω l vulg

1. παρθενίας] 'a state of virginity.' Cp. c. Eunom. iv p. 628 (Migne) Πῶς οὖν ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκὶ ὁ θεός; Διὰ τόκου, πάντως ἐρεῖς. Ποίου οὖν τούτου μνησθείς; ἢ δῆλον ότι τῆς παρθενίας, καὶ ὅτι τὸ ἐν αὐτῆς γενηθὲν ἐκ πνεύματος ἀγίου ἦν;

7. ovôè...evrós] In this way Gr. sums up his answer not only to the objection stated at the beginning of this chapter, but also to that put forward at the beginning of c. 10, i.e. that the Incarnation involved an 'inclusion' of the Godhead in human nature.

14. For what purpose, it is asked, did God submit to the humiliation involved in becoming man?

10-11. ἀμφίβολον εἶναι ... εί] 'Faith wavers at the thought that.'

13. $\lambda \dot{\nu}\theta\rho\psi$] The reading $\epsilon \dot{\nu}\tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\nu}\tau\rho\psi$, 'the mean covering,' is a gloss which first appears in the thirteenth century MS l. It arose from the desire to soften the harsh expression $\lambda \dot{\nu}\theta\rho\psi$, 'the defilement' of human nature. The word $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ was probably suggested by the following $\sigma \dot{\nu}\nu\epsilon \nu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ each in Homer of mingled blood and dust. Here the term is probably used by the objector with a disparaging reference to the human birth, and recalls the 'Non horruisti' of the Te Deum. For $\kappa a\tau a\mu l \gamma \nu \nu \tau a$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\tau \nu \nu l$ across supra c. 11 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\kappa \rho \dot{\epsilon}a\epsilon\omega$ s (note).

ib. ws kai] 'So that His sublime activities are degraded by His association with that which is base.'

- 15. Οὐκ ἀποροῦμεν καὶ πρὸς τοῦτο θεοπρεποῦς αποκρίσεως. ζητεῖς τὴν αἰτίαν τοῦ γενέσθαι θεὸν ἐν ανθρώποις; έαν αφέλης τοῦ βίου τὰς θεόθεν γινομένας εὐεργεσίας, ἐκ ποίων ἐπιγνώση τὸ θεῖον οὐκ ἂν εἰπεῖν έχοις. ἀφ' ὧν γὰρ εὖ πάσχομεν, ἀπὸ τούτων τὸν εὐ- 5 εργέτην ἐπιγινώσκομεν· πρὸς γὰρ τὰ γινόμενα βλέποντες. διὰ τούτων τὴν τοῦ ἐνεργοῦντος ἀναλογιζόμεθα φύσιν. εἰ οὖν ἴδιον γνώρισμα τῆς θείας φύσεως ή φιλανθρωπία, ἔγεις ου έπεζήτησας λόγου, έχεις την αιτίαν της έν ανθρώποις τοῦ θεοῦ παρουσίας. ἐδεῖτο γὰρ τοῦ ἰατρεύοντος ἡ φύσις 10 ήμων ασθενήσασα, εδείτο του ανορθούντος ό εν τω πτώματι ἄνθρωπος, έδειτο τοῦ ζωοποιοῦντος ὁ ἀφαμαρτών τῆς ζωής, έδειτο του πρός το αγαθον έπανάγοντος ο απορρυείς της του άγαθου μετουσίας, έχρηζε της του φωτός παρουσίας δ καθειργμένος τῷ σκότω, ἐπεζήτει τὸν λυτρωτὴν δ 15 αιγμάλωτος, του συναγωνιστήν ο δεσμώτης, του έλευθερωτην ό τῷ ζυγῷ τῆς δουλείας κατεχόμενος. ἄρα μικρὰ
- **15.** I om kai [vulg \parallel 2 γεγενησθαι vulg \parallel 3 γενομένας fl euth 146 απογενομένας vulg \parallel 4 επιγν.] + πραγματών f \parallel 4-5 ουκ...έχοις] om h \parallel 5 om ευ l vulg \parallel 6 om γαρ h \parallel 7 ευεργετούντος n \parallel 10 ιατρεύσοντος dehn euth \parallel 12 αφαμ.] εκπέσων f εφαμ. 1^* vid vulg \parallel 14 εχρηζε...παρούσιας om h \parallel παρούσιας] μετούσιας f \parallel 15 εν σκότω e \parallel εζητεί l vulg \parallel 17 κατέχ.] εγκατέχ. g^l
- vas God's love for man. Man's wretched condition was a sufficient justification for the Divine condescension. But, it is objected, 'why not restore man by a mere fat?' This last question Gr. does not properly deal with till c. 17, but meanwhile he affirms that there was nothing contrary to the character of God in the method chosen, nor anything inconsistent with the Divine Nature in the nature which He assumed.
- 4. εὐεργεσίας] Cp. c. 12 τὰς εὐεργεσίας τὰς θεόθεν κατὰ τὴν ζωὴν ἡμῶν ἐνεργουμένας.
- ib. εκ ποίων] Gr. claims that nothing short of a revelation of God

- in His goodness is adequate, if man is to know the essential nature of God. In c. 20 he maintains the necessity of the co-existence of justice, wisdom, and power in God in order that His goodness may be perfect.
- 8. ή φιλανθρωπία] Τit. iii 4. Cp. adv. Αροllinar. xlii Λείπεται δὲ ὅπερ ὰν τῷ σκόπῳ τῆς φιλανθρωπίας συμβαίνη, τοῦτο εὐλογώτερον περί τὸν Θεὸν οἱεσθαι. Cp. infra c. 36.
- 12. ζωοποιούντος] Cp. antea c. 12. 13. ὁ ἀπορρυείς] 'Απορρύειν = 'fall away from,' 'desert.'
- 15. καθειργμένος] For the use of καθ. without a prep. cp. de An. et Res. p. 21 (Migne) ο οἰκίσκ ω τινὶ καθειργμένος.

ταῦτα καὶ ἀνάξια τὸν θεὸν δυσωπησαι πρὸς ἐπίσκεψιν της ανθρωπίνης φύσεως καταβήναι, ούτως έλεεινώς καὶ άθλίως της άνθρωπότητος διακειμένης; άλλ' έξην, φησί, καὶ εὐεργετηθηναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ ἐν ἀπαθεία τὸν θεὸν 5 διαμείναι. ό γὰρ τῷ βουλήματι τὸ πᾶν συστησάμενος καὶ τὸ μὴ δυ ὑποστήσας ἐν μόνη τῆ ὁρμῆ τοῦ θελήματος, τί ούχὶ καὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον δι' αὐθεντικής τινὸς καὶ θεικής έξουσίας της έναντίας δυνάμεως αποσπάσας πρός την έξ άργης άγει κατάστασιν, εί τοῦτο φίλον αὐτῶ· άλλὰ μακρὰς 10 περιέρχεται περιόδους, σώματος ύπερχόμενος φύσιν, καὶ διὰ γεννήσεως παριών είς τὸν βίον, καὶ πᾶσαν ἀκολούθως ήλικίαν διεξιών, εἶτα θανάτου γευόμενος, καὶ οὕτως διὰ τῆς τοῦ ιδίου σώματος αναστάσεως τὸν σκόπον ανύων, ώς οὐκ έξον αὐτῷ μένοντι ἐπὶ τοῦ ὕψους τῆς θεικῆς δόξης, διὰ 15 προστάγματος σῶσαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, τὰς δὲ τοιαύτας περιόδους γαίρειν έᾶσαι; οὐκοῦν ἀνάγκη καὶ ταῖς τοιαύταις

1 αναξ. του θεον $f\parallel g$ διακ. της ανθρωπ. $f\parallel g$ το παν] τα παντα $e\parallel g$ 11 περιων dp

 ἀνάξια] Cp. c. 36 "Ιδιον δὲ της θείας ένεργείας ή των δεομένων έστὶ σωτηρία.

ib. δυσωπήσαι] depends on ανάξια, 'unworthy to importune.' Δυσωπεΐν lit. means 'to put a man out of

countenance.'

ib. ἐπίσκεψω] used in the Bible sense of a 'visitation of mercy or redemption.' Cf. Lk i 68, vii 16, Acts xv 14. The use of the expression πρὸς ἐπίσκεψω...καταβηναι here is probably a reminiscence of the account of the Exodus. See Ex. iii 8; iv 31 (LXX).

 άλλ' εξην] The objector asks 'why did not God restore man by a mere fiat, instead of choosing a method which involved the submission to $\pi \dot{a} \theta \eta$ and the long delay required for passing through the stages of human birth, growth, death, and resurrection?' In reply Gr. first

of all deals with the charge that God was involved in $\pi d\theta \eta$ by the Incarnation. This he treats of in the present chapter and in c. 16. The question why God did not choose a different method he deals with in c. 17.

αὐθεντικής] 'authoritative.' Cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. i c. 7 'Eav την βασιλικήν τε και αύθεντικήν είσοδον ζητής ακούση. Athanasius similarly deals with the question why God did not restore man νεύματι μόνφ de Inc. 44. Origen also discusses the objection in c. Cels.

iv 3, 4.
8. évarrlas] i.e. the Devil. 10. περιόδους] 'circuitous routes' rather than 'long periods of time.' For other instances of this use see cc. 17, 26.

12. θανάτου γευόμενος] Heb. ii

τῶν ἀντιθέσεων ἀντικαταστήναι παρ' ἡμῶν τὴν ἀλήθειαν, ώς αν δια μηδενός ή πίστις κωλύοιτο των έξεταστικώς ζητούντων τοῦ μυστηρίου τὸν λόγον. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν, όπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς φθάσασιν ἤδη μετρίως ἐξήτασται, τί τῆ άρετη κατά το έναντίον άντικαθέστηκεν, έπισκεψώμεθα. 5 ώς φωτὶ σκότος καὶ θάνατος τῆ ζωῆ, ούτω τῆ ἀρετῆ ἡ κακία δήλου ότι, καὶ οὐδὲν παρὰ ταύτην έτερον. καθάπερ γάρ πολλων όντων των έν τη κτίσει θεωρουμένων οὐδὲν άλλο πρὸς τὸ φῶς ἡ τὴν ζωὴν τὴν ἀντιδιαίρεσιν ἔχει, οὐ λίθος, οὐ ξύλον, οὐχ ὕδωρ, οὐκ ἄνθρωπος, οὐκ ἄλλο τι τῶν 10 ουτων ουδέν, πλην ιδίως τὰ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενα, οίον σκότος καὶ θάνατος: οὕτω καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ἀρετῆς οὐκ ἄν τις κτίσιν τινά κατά τὸ ἐναντίον αὐτῆ νοεῖσθαι λέγοι, πλήν τὸ κατά κακίαν νόημα. οὐκοῦν εἰ μὲν ἐν κακία γεγενῆσθαι τὸ θεῖον ὁ ἡμέτερος ἐπρέσβευε λόγος, καιρὸν εἶχεν ὁ ἀντι- 15 λέγων κατατρέχειν ήμων της πίστεως, ώς ανάρμοστά τε καὶ ἀπεμφαίνοντα περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως δογματιζόντων. οὐ γὰρ δὴ θεμιτὸν ἦν αὐτοσοφίαν καὶ ἀγαθότητα καὶ άφθαρσίαν, καὶ εἴ τι ὑψηλόν ἐστι νόημά τε καὶ ὄνομα, πρὸς

4 οπερ...εξητασται om euth \parallel 5 καθεστηκεν l vulg \parallel 6–7 και τη κακια η αρετη l vulg \parallel 8 κτισει \rfloor φυσει f \parallel 9 αντιδιαιρ. \rbrack αντιθεσω euth \parallel 13 om αυτη euth l \parallel 14 νοημα \rbrack κινημα euth 4 \parallel 15 καιρον αν ειχεν l vulg \parallel 17 φυσεως \rbrack πιστεως h \parallel δοξαζοντων $fg^{1}l$ vulg \parallel 18 αυτοσοφ. \rbrack την αυτ. vulg την αυτου σ . f \parallel 19 ει τι \rbrack 0 τι g^{1} \parallel υψηλ. εστιν \rbrack om εστιν f \parallel και ονομα \rbrack hic rursus incipit euth 5

2. ἐξεταστικῶs] 'by exact enquiry.' Careful students of the Christian revelation (μυστηρίου) will need 'a rational account' (λόγου) of its method. Μυστήριου is used as elsewhere in its N.T. sense = 'a mystery revealed,' and is a synonym for the Christian revelation.

4. έν τοῖς φθάσασιν] Gr. has already drawn in cc. 5—8 the distinction which he proceeds to lay down. But he is probably thinking here of c. 9 where he has laid down the lines of his answer to the objection raised against the

Incarnation as involving a degradation to God. In that chapter he maintained that $\tau \dot{\sigma}$ κατά κακίαν πάθος is the only real degradation. It is this point which he now takes up. Vice, and nothing but vice, is the opposite of virtue.

11. loiws] but properly those things which are perceived to be

their exact opposites.

15. ἐπρέσβευε] 'set forth.' Cp. Lucian Pisc. 23 μη τὰ σεαυτοῦ μόνον πρεσβεύειν ἐν τῆ κατηγορία. For κατατρέχειν cf. antea c. 5.

17. ἀπεμφαίνοντα] See above c. 1 p. 10 (note).

τὸ ἐναντίον μεταπεπτωκέναι λέγειν. εἰ οὖν θεὸς μὲν ἡ ὰληθὴς ὰρετή, φύσις δέ τις οὐκ ἀντιδιαιρεῖται τῆ ἀρετῆ, ἀλλὰ κακία, θεὸς δὲ οὐκ ἐν κακία, ἀλλὰ ἐν ἀνθρώπου γίνεται φύσει, μόνον δὲ ἀπρεπὲς καὶ αἰσχρὸν τὸ κατὰ 5 κακίαν πάθος, ἐν ῷ οὔτε γέγονεν θεός, οὔτε γενέσθαι φύσιν ἔχει, τί ἐπαισχύνονται τῆ ὁμολογία τοῦ θεὸν ἀνθρωπίνης ἄψασθαι φύσεως, οὐδεμιᾶς ἐναντιότητος ὡς πρὸς τὸν τῆς ἀρετῆς λόγον ἐν τῆ κατασκευῆ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου θεωρουμένης; οὔτε γὰρ τὸ λογικόν, οὔτε τὸ διανοητικόν, οὔτε τὸ ἐπιστήμης 10 δεκτικόν, οὔτε ἄλλο τι τοιοῦτον, ὁ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ἴδιον οὐσίας ἐστί, τῷ λόγφ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἡναντίωται.

16. 'Αλλ' αὐτή, φησίν, ή τροπή τοῦ ἡμετέρου σώματος

5 ο θεος deghnp euth | του γενεσθαι l vulg | 6 την ομολογιαν vulg

2. φύσις] used here as the equivalent to κτίσις, which occurs above. Cf. antea c. 6 τῆς τοῦ κρείττονος φύσεως (note). 'And no existing thing of any kind is logically opposed to virtue, but only vice.' For ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι cf. c. 6 p. 33 (note).

5. φύσιν έχει]= π έφυκε. 'It is not His nature (or 'it is not pos-

sible for Him') to be born.'
7. ἄψασθαι] 'laid hold of' or

'assumed human nature.' Cp. c. 16 τίνος... ήφθαι τὸ θεῖον;

ib. οὐδεμῶs] 'sceing that in the constitution of man there is nothing which is contradictory to the conception of virtue.' There is nothing in the constitution of human nature which is inconsistent with the idea of virtue, and which is therefore appears and alσχρόν so that God could not assume it.

 το λογικόν] 'rational thought, nor the faculty of understanding, nor the capacity for exact knowledge.' For το διανοητικόν cp. antea c. 6.

11. ovolas] For this use of the word cp. antea c. 6 p. 32 (note).

16. But,' it is objected, 'the change involved in human birth is a πάθος.' Gr. in reply draws a distinction between a right and a

wrong use of the word mados. Properly the word can only be used of moral declension, not of natural processes. The contact of God with human nature no more involved submission to real πάθος than does the contact of a physician with the ailments of his patients. The birth of Christ was free from that element of passion which attends human birth, just as His life was free from that vicious impulse which we find in man. The dissolution of body and soul was no more a πάθος than was their first combination. Christ's Resurrection was the re-combination in an indissoluble and eternal union of the intelligible and sensible elements separated in death. In virtue of this He becomes the originating principle for all mankind of the same eternal union of the elements of human nature, freed from the admixture of evil.

12. $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$] 'change experienced by our body.' $T \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ is the mutability attaching to all created things as contrasted with the immutability of the Creator. The process of birth implies 'change.' In a secondary sense $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ often has the meaning of moral change or frailty.

πάθος ἐστίν. ὁ δὲ ἐν τούτω γεγονως ἐν πάθει γίνεται· ἀπαθὲς δὲ τὸ θεῖον. οὐκοῦν ἀλλοτρία περὶ θεοῦ ἡ ὑπόληψις, εἴπερ τὸν ἀπαθῆ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν πρὸς κοινωνίαν
πάθους ἐλθεῖν διορίζονται. ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς ταῦτα πάλιν
τῷ αὐτῷ λόγω χρησόμεθα, ὅτι τὸ πάθος τὸ μὲν κυρίως, τὸ δὲ ἐκ καταχρήσεως λέγεται. τὸ μὲν οὖν προαιρέσεως
ἀπτόμενον καὶ πρὸς κακίαν ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς μεταστρέφον
ἀληθῶς πάθος ἐστί, τὸ δὲ ὅσον ἐν τῆ φύσει κατὰ τον ἴδιον
εἰρμὸν πορευομένη διεξοδικῶς θεωρεῖται, τοῦτο κυριωτερον

16. 4 διοριζ.] το κηρυγμα διοριζεται euth \parallel 5 χρησωμεθα dl vulg \parallel το παθοs] om το euth \parallel κυριως] + λεγεται h \parallel 9 πορευομενης fl πορευομενον eh

 πάθος] The word has several distinct shades of meaning. It is thus defined by Aristotle, Metaphys. 4. 21: Πάθος λέγεται ένα μέν τρόπον ποιότης καθ' ήν άλλοιοῦσθαι ένδέχεται, οίον τὸ λευκόν και τὸ μέλαν, και γλυκύ καί πικρόν, και βαρύτης και κουφότης καί δσα άλλα τοιαῦτα' ἔνα δὲ αί τούτων ένέργειαι καὶ άλλοιώσεις ήδη. έτι τούτων μαλλον al βλαβεραl άλλοιώσεις και κινήσεις, και μάλιστα αί λυπηραί βλάβαι. Ετι τὰ μεγέθη τῶν συμφορών καὶ λυπηρών πάθη λέγεται. It is this ambiguity upon which Gr. lays hold. He distinguishes between a 'proper' (κυρίως) and a 'misapplied' (έκ καταχρήσεως) use of the word. In the 'proper sense' i.e. moral declension, the Incarnation, he holds, cannot have involved $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$. because Christ had no contact with sin, either in the circumstances of His birth or in His own life. The question arises, how does Gr. face the objection arising from the other sense of $\pi d\theta$ os, which is plainly implied in the argument that ή τροπή τοῦ ἡμετέρου σώματος is a πάθος? It would seem that Gr.'s illustration of the physician is intended to meet this objection. The Divine Nature, though brought into touch with human nature, was no more subject to a παθητική διάθεσις than is the physician who handles the infirmity

of his patient. Gr. has the same discussion on $\pi \delta \theta os$ and the same illustration of the physician in c. Eunom. vi 721 B, C, 724 B (Migne). It is difficult to render $\pi \delta \theta os$ in English, as there is no one term which conveys the different senses of the word. The translation in N. and P. N. F. has 'weakness.' Another rendering is 'passion,' which is used in a moral sense and also of the physical sufferings of Christ, though it does not suit the description of the process of birth. See further note c. 13 p. 60.

4. διορίζονται] is part of the language of the objector and refers to the upholders of the Christian faith.

6-7. τὸ...προαιρ. ἀπτόμενον] 'that which lays hold of the will.' Cp. ἄψασθαι c. 15.

9. πορευομένη] The reading πορευομένης is plainly a corruption, while πορευόμενον is a correction due to the failure to understand the construction of the passage. The word διεξοδικώς goes with θεωρείται. For the cast of the whole sentence δσον έν τῆ φύσει...διεξοδικώς θεωρείται cp. c. 1 τὰ έν αὐτῷ [i.e. τῷ κόσμῳ] πάντα σοφώς τε καὶ τεχνικώς θεωροίμενα. In such passages θεωρεῖσθαι (like καταλαμβάνεσθαι) is a mere

ἔργον ἄν μᾶλλον ἡ πάθος προσαγορεύοιτο, οἷον ἡ γέννησις, ἡ αὕξησις, ἡ διὰ τοῦ ἐπιρρύτου τε καὶ ἀπορρύτου τῆς τροφῆς τοῦ ὑποκειμένου διαμονή, ἡ τῶν στοιχείων περὶ τὸ σῶμα συνδρομή, ἡ τοῦ συντεθέντος πάλιν διάλυσίς τε καὶ 5 πρὸς τὰ συγγενῆ μεταχώρησις. τίνος οὖν λέγει τὸ μυστήριον ἡμῶν ἡφθαι τὸ θεῖον; τοῦ κυρίως λεγομένου πάθους, ὅπερ κακία ἐστίν, ἡ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν κινήματος; εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἐν τοῖς ἀπηγορευμένοις γεγενῆσθαι τὸ θεῖον ὁ λόγος διισχυρίζετο, φεύγειν ἔδει τὴν ἀτοπίαν τοῦ δόγματος, ὡς
οὐδὲν ὑγιὲς περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως διεξιόντος εἰ δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν αὐτὸν ἐφῆφθαι λέγει, ἡς καὶ ἡ πρώτη γένεσίς τε καὶ ὑπόστασις παρ' αὐτοῦ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἔσχε, ποῦ τῆς θεῷ

Ι γενεσις $dg^*hnp \parallel 2$ της επιρρυτου codd omn: του e conjectura restitui \parallel om της ante τροφης f euth $\parallel 7$ om την l euth vulg $\parallel 8$ απηγ.] απαγορευομένοις euth $\parallel 9$ δογματος f \parallel 10 της θ . φυσέως f του θείου δογματος f ℓ εξίοντος f

synonym for είναι. The words κατὰ τὸν ίδιον είρμον πορευομένη are an explanatory clause attached to τῆ φύσει. For similarly constructed clauses see c. 24 ἡ δὲ...κάθοδος περιουσία τἰς ἐστι τῆς δυνάμεως οὐδὲν ἐν τοῖς παρὰ φύσιν κωλυομένης, and c. 37 ὡς γὰρ τῷ φθοροποιῷ πρὸς τὸ ὑγιαῦνο ἀναμιχθέντι ἄπαν τὸ ἀνακραθὲν συνηχρείωται. Gr. has the same idea in c. Ευποπ. vi p. 721 (Migne) οὐδὲ κυρίως ἄν τις τὸν ἀναγκαῖον τῆς φύσεως εἰρμὸν πάθος λέγοι, βλέπων ὁδῷ προιοῦσαν ἐν τάξει τινὶ καὶ ἀκολουθία τὴν σύνθετον φύσιν.

ib. διεξοδικῶs] lit. 'in successive detail.' Everything that successively occurs in nature, as the latter proceeds in its own proper sequence, would more strictly be called an action than a "passion".'

2-3. τοῦ ἐπιρρ...τῆς τροφῆς] The emendation adopted in the text best explains the readings of the Mss. The omission of τῆς before τροφῆς in f and the text of Euthymius is plainly a correction. For the whole expression cp. de An. et Res. p. 141

(Migne) το γὰρ ἐπίρρυτον τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν, καὶ τὸ ἀπόρρυτον διὰ τῆς ἀλλοιωτικῆς κινήσεως ἀεὶ πορενόμενον, τότε κινούμενον ἴσταται, ὅταν καὶ τῆς ζωῆς ἀπολήξη. 'The permanence of the subject through the influx and efflux of nourishment.' Gr. again refers to the process of nutrition and growth in c. 37 (see notes). See further the interesting discussion in de Hom. Opif. c. 27.

6. ηφθαι Cp. c. 15 p. 66 αψασθαι (note).

 κινήματος] i.e. what he has previously defined as έργον, including the natural processes of birth, growth, &c.

8. τοις άπηγορ.] Cp. c. 8 έν τοις άπηγορευμένοις έγένοντο ol πρώτοι άνθρωποι. Gr. explains his meaning below, when he shows that as Christ's birth was free from τὸ καθ' ἡδονήν πάθος, so His life was free from ἡ πρὸς κακίων ὁρμή.

10. διεξιόντος] 'relating,' 're-

counting.'

11. $\bar{\epsilon}\phi\hat{\eta}\phi\theta\alpha\iota$] a somewhat stronger form of $\dot{\eta}\phi\theta\alpha\iota$ above.

πρεπούσης έννοίας διαμαρτάνει τὸ κήρυγμα, μηδεμιᾶς παθητικής διαθέσεως έν ταις περί θεου ύπολήψεσι τη πίστει συνεισιούσης; οὐδὲ γὰρ τὸν ἰατρὸν ἐν πάθει γίνεσθαι λέγομεν, όταν θεραπεύη τὸν ἐν πάθει γινόμενον άλλὰ κᾶν προσάψηται τοῦ ἀρρωστήματος, ἔξω πάθους ὁ θεραπευτής 5 διαμένει. εί ή γένεσις αὐτή καθ' έαυτήν πάθος οὐκ ἔστιν. οὐδ' ἀν τὴν ζωήν τις πάθος προσαγορεύσειεν, ἀλλὰ τὸ καθ' ήδονην πάθος της ανθρωπίνης καθηγείται γενέσεως, καὶ ή πρὸς κακίαν τῶν ζώντων ὁρμή, τοῦτο τῆς Φύσεως ήμων έστιν αρρώστημα: άλλα μην αμφοτέρων αυτόν 10 καθαρεύειν φησί τὸ μυστήριον εί οὖν ήδονης μεν ή γένεσις ήλλοτρίωται, κακίας δὲ ή ζωή, ποιον υπολείπεται πάθος, οὖ τὸν θεὸν κεκοινωνηκέναι φησὶ τὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριον; εὶ δὲ τὴν τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχης διάζευξιν πάθος προσαγορεύοι, πολύ πρότερον δι- 15 καίος αν είη την συνδρομην αμφοτέρων ούτω κατονομάσαι. εί γὰρ ὁ γωρισμὸς τῶν συνημμένων πάθος ἐστί, καὶ ἡ συνάφεια των διεστώτων πάθος αν είη κίνησις γάρ τίς

3 συνιουσης f εισιουσης vulg $\|$ γενεσθαι ef $\|$ 4 σταν θεραπευη...γινομ.] om e $\|$ γενομενον fgl vulg $\|$ 7 τη ζωη vulg $\|$ 8 καθ ηδονην]+ φησι euth $\|$ 9 ορμη τ. ζωντ. l vulg $\|$ 10 om ημων l vulg $\|$ αμφ. αυτων efghn euth 2 $\|$ 12 γεννησις fgl euth 5+αυτου euth $\|$ υπολελειπται deghnp euth $\|$ 14 ει δε την $\|$ ει δε και την $\|$ ει δε τις την en euth $\|$ 15 διαζευξιν $\|$ τις διαζ. l vulg την διαζ. dnp $\|$ 16 αμφοτερων $\|$ εκατερων $\|$ 17 συνηνωμενων euth $\|$ 18 συναφεια $\|$ desunt segg in euth 2

1. μηδεμιᾶε] 'Since in our conceptions of God no disposition to 'passion' enters along with our belief.' When we say that God became man, we do not imply that the Godhead was subject to the vicissitudes of birth, growth, death. Gr. illustrates this by the case of the physician.

3. τον laτρον] Cp. the passage referred to on p. 67 from c. Eunom.

vi p. 724 (Migne).

δ. εl ή γένεσις] The protasis is resumed in the clauses beginning οὐδ' ἀν (for μηδ' ἀν)—άλλὰ τὸ κ. ἡ.

 π .—ἀλλὰ μήν (unless we assume that this is a parenthesis)—εἰ οὖν. The apodosis begins with π οῖον.

13-14. τὸ τῆς εὐσ.μ.] I Tim.iii 16.
14. εἰ δέ] In what follows Gr.
maintains that the arguments which
make the term πάθος inapplicable to
the human birth, also make it inapplicable to the dissolution of the
body and soul in death.

15. προσαγορεύοι] The subject is the imaginary objector. The text has been corrected by the insertion of τις, in some MSS before τήν, in

others before διάζευξιν.

έστιν έν τε τή συγκρίσει τών διεστώτων καὶ έν τή διακρίσει τῶν συμπεπλεγμένων ἢ ἡνωμένων. ὅπερ τοίνυν ἡ τελευταία κίνησις ονομάζεται, τοῦτο προσήκει καλεῖσθαι καὶ τὴν προάγουσαν. εἰ δὲ ἡ πρώτη κίνησις, ἣν γένεσιν ς ονομάζομεν, πάθος οὐκ ἔστιν, οὐδ' αν ή δευτέρα κίνησις, ἡν θάνατον ονομάζομεν, πάθος αν κατά το ακόλουθον λέγοιτο, καθ' ην ή συνδρομή τοῦ σώματος καὶ της ψυχης διακρίνεται. τὸν δὲ θεον φαμεν ἐν ἐκατέρα γεγενησθαι τῆ τῆς φύσεως ήμων κινήσει, δι' ής ή τε ψυχή πρός τό σωμα 10 συντρέχει, τό τε σωμα της ψυχης διακρίνεται καταμιχθέντα δὲ πρὸς ἐκατερον τούτων, πρός τε τὸ αἰσθητόν φημι καὶ τὸ νοερὸν τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου συγκρίματος, διὰ τῆς άρρήτου εκείνης και άνεκφράστου συνανακράσεως τοῦτο οἰκονομήσασθαι, τὸ τῶν ἄπαξ ἐνωθέντων, ψυχῆς λέγω καὶ ις σώματος, καὶ εἰς ἀεὶ διαμείναι τὴν ένωσιν. τῆς γὰρ φύσεως ήμων διά της ίδίας ακολουθίας καὶ ἐν ἐκείνω πρὸς διάκρισιν τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς κινηθείσης, πάλιν

2. η ηνωμένων] The text, which is a conjecture of Krabinger, explains the origin of the various corruptions found in all the MSS. See app. crit.

10-11. καταμιχθέντα] sc. τον θεόν. The common text (as also Krab.) reads καταμιχθέντος and inserts a comma after νοερόν.

12. τοῦ ἀ συγκρίματος] depends on τὸ αἰσθητὸν...καὶ τὸ νοερόν, 'the sensible and the intelligible element belonging to concrete human nature.'

13. συνανακράσεως] Cp. antea c.

11 p. 57 άνάκρασις (note).

ib. τοῦτο] refers to τὸ...καὶ εἰς ἀεὶ διαμεῖναι τὴν ἔνωσιν, i.e. that the union once formed should also (καὶ) be eternal. The subj. of οἰκονομήσασθαι is τὸν θεόν, already referred

to in $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \iota \chi \theta \ell \nu \tau \alpha$. The purpose of the union of God and man in the Incarnation was to effect the eternal union of body and soul in mankind. That union had been disturbed by the occurrence of death. The Divine Power, acting as a kind of cement $(\kappa \alpha \theta \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \nu \nu l \kappa \delta \lambda \lambda \eta)$, recombined the severed elements and restored to man his original grace of immortality.

16. διὰ τῆς lδίας ἀκολουθίας] It might seem from these words as though Gr. held that death was natural to man. But as he has already stated in c. 8 that death was a later feature of human existence, he must be thinking of human

nature as it now exists.

συνηψε τὰ διακριθέντα, καθάπερ τινὶ κόλλη, τῆ θεία λέγω δυνάμει, πρὸς τὴν ἄρρηκτον Ένωσιν τὸ διασγισθέν συναρμόσας. καὶ τοῦτό ἐστιν ἡ ἀνάστασις, ἡ τῶν συνεζευγμένων μετά την διάλυσιν έπάνοδος είς άδιάλυτον ένωσιν, άλληλοις συμφυομένων, ώς αν ή πρώτη περί το ανθρώπινον χάρις ς ανακληθείη, καὶ πάλιν ἐπὶ τὴν αίδιον ἐπανέλθοιμεν ζωήν, της έμμιχθείσης τη φύσει κακίας διά της διαλύσεως ήμων έκρυείσης, οίον έπὶ τοῦ ύγροῦ συμβαίνει, περιτρυφθέντος αὐτῷ τοῦ ἀγγείου, σκεδαννυμένου τε καὶ ἀφανιζομένου, μηδενός όντος τοῦ περιστέγοντος. καθάπερ δὲ ἡ ἀργὴ τοῦ 10 θανάτου εν ενί γενομένη πάση συνδιεξηλθε τη ανθρωπίνη φύσει, κατά τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ ἡ ἀρχὴ τῆς ἀναστάσεως δι' ένὸς ἐπὶ πᾶσαν διατείνει τὴν ἀνθρωπότητα. ό γὰρ τὴν αναληφθείσαν παρ' έαυτοῦ ψυχὴν πάλιν ένώσας τῷ οἰκείφ σώματι διὰ τῆς δυνάμεως έαυτοῦ τῆς έκατέρω τούτων παρά 15 την πρώτην σύστασιν έμμιχθείσης ούτω γενικωτέρω

I-2 θεια δυναμει λεγω f \parallel 2 αρρητον deghnp \parallel 6 επανελθωμεν d \parallel 8 περιθρυφθεντοs l vulg περιτριφθεντοs defghnp \parallel 10 καθαπερ δε] καθ. γαρ eglh \parallel 15 εαυτου] αυτου el vulg \parallel 16 ουτω] ουτος f vulg

4-5. ἀλλ. συμφυομένων] an additional clause agreeing with τῶν συνεζευγμένων and having a predicative force. 'The return, after dissolution, of elements that had been united together, to an indissoluble union, so that they are knit together.'

8. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\rho\nu\phi\theta\ell\nu\tau\sigma$ s] The almost unanimous verdict of the MSS is in favour of $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\rho\iota\phi\theta\ell\nu\tau\sigma$ s. As Gr. however in c. 8 has already used $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\theta\rho\nu\psi\alpha\iota$ in reference to the same illustration, Krabinger's conjecture $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\rho\nu\phi\theta\ell\nu\tau\sigma$ s is probably right. The reading of ℓ and the Paris editors is a less correct way of spelling the word.

10. καθάπερ δέ] Cp. Rom. v 15, 1 Cor. xv 21.

15. ἐκατέρφ] The Divine Power was united alike to the human soul

and the body of Christ at their first framing, i.e. from the moment of conception. It was the action of this same Divine Power which effected the reunion of His body and soul in the resurrection.

16f. γενικωτέρω τινὶ λόγω] Γενικόs is that which belongs to the γένος, 'generic,' as opposed to είδικόs 'specific.' The contrast is between the particular instance of a reunion of soul and body effected by Christ's Divine Power, i.e. His own resurrection, and the reunion upon 'a more universal scale' of the intelligible and sensible elements exhibited in the resurrection of all mankind. Krabinger translates γεν. λόγω 'generaliori quadam ratione,' following the Latin version of the Paris edition.

τινὶ λόγω τὴν νοερὰν οὐσίαν τῷ αἰσθητῷ συγκατέμιξεν, τῆς ἀρχῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐπὶ τὸ πέρας εὐοδουμένης. ἐν γὰρ τῷ ἀναληφθέντι παρ' αὐτοῦ ἀνθρώπω πάλιν μετὰ τὴν διάλυσιν πρὸς τὸ σῶμα τῆς ψυχῆς ἐπανελ-5 θούσης, οἰον ἀπό τινος ἀρχῆς εἰς πᾶσαν τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην φύσιν τῷ δυνάμει κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἡ τοῦ διακριθέντος Ένωσις διαβαίνει. καὶ τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ μυστήριον τῆς τοῦ θεοῦ περὶ τὸν θάνατον οἰκονομίας καὶ τῆς ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστάσεως, τὸ διαλυθῆναι μὲν τῷ θανάτω τοῦ σώματος τὴν ψυχὴν 10 κατὰ τὴν ἀναγκαίαν τῆς φύσεως ἀκολουθίαν μὴ κωλῦσαι, εἰς ἄλληλα δὲ πάλιν ἐπαναγαγεῖν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως, ὡς ἄν αὐτὸς γένοιτο μεθόριον ἀμφοτέρων, θανάτου τε καὶ ζωῆς, ἐν ἑαυτῷ μὲν στήσας διαιρουμένην τῷ θανάτω τὴν φύσιν, αὐτὸς δὲ γενόμενος ἀρχὴ τῆς τῶν διηρημένων ἑνώσεως.

Ι λογω τωι d τινι τροπω vulg \parallel την νοεραν \parallel om την vulg \parallel 3 ανθρωπω \parallel ανθρωπωω συγκριματι \parallel vulg \parallel 8 θανατον \parallel ανθρωπον vulg Thdrt^{sirm} \parallel 10 και την αναγκ. \parallel vulg \parallel 13 διαιρεθείσαν deghnp

1. ovoiar] For this sense of ovola cp. antea c. 6.

1-2. τῆς ἀρχῆς] 'as the principle successfully makes its way in due sequence to the extremity.' 'Η ἀρχή is the new principle of life originated by Christ in His resurrection. This new principle pervades the whole of humanity to its furthest limits. For the relation of Gr.'s teaching to that of Methodius see Introd. pp. xxv—xxviii.

3. ἀνθρώτω] here used loosely for human nature. Strictly speaking the Son of God assumed not 'a man' but human nature. The inaccuracy of the expression has led to the gloss ἀνθρωπίνω συγκρίματι. For ὁ ἄνθρωπος used in this sense cp. Greg. Naz. Οτ. χχχ 7 τὸ γὰρ δὴ λέγειν, ὅτι τοῦ κατὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον νοουμένου μείζων, ἀληθές μέν, οὐ μέγα δέ. Cp. ibid. 12 εἰ μὲν οὖν μὴ παρὰ τοῦ κατεληλυθότος αὐτοῦ ταῦτα ἐλέγετο, εἶπομεν ἄν ὡς παρὰ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τυποῦσθαι τὸν λόγον. See Dr Mason's notes on both pas-

sages and in Introd. pp. xvii-xviii.
5. olov the union of what

5. olov] 'the union of what was disunited, as it were by some new principle, extends potentially in an equal degree to the whole of human nature.' For τη δυνάμει τρ. c. 37 έκεινο τὸ σῶμα ἄρτος τῆ δυνάμει ην.

 καὶ τοῦτο] These words as far as ἐπαναγαγεῖν διὰ τῆs ἀναστάσεωs are quoted by Theodoret Dial. iii p. 300 (Migne).

12. \(\mu\epsilon\) border country,'
Lat. \(\confinium\). Hence used of a common ground or meeting-point, as here. In Christ life and death meet. He identified Himself with a nature liable to death and dissolution, and He became the source of life to it.

13. στήσας] 'staying our nature,' in the sense of arresting the process of dissolution (διαιρουμένην). Human nature is conceived of as something which was in danger of melting away. With the v.l. διαιρεθεῖσαν we might translate, 'having set up' or 'reestablished' it when it had been dissolved.

- 17. 'Αλλ' ούπω φήσει τις λελύσθαι τὴν ύπενεγθεῖσαν ήμεν αντίθεσιν, ισχυροποιείσθαι δὲ μᾶλλον ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων τὸ παρὰ τῶν ἀπίστων ἡμῖν προφερόμενον. εἰ γὰρ τοσαύτη δύναμίς έστιν έν αὐτῷ, ὅσην ὁ λόγος ἐπέδειξεν, ώς θανάτου τε καθαίρεσιν και ζωής είσοδον έπ' αὐτῷ είναι, τί οὐχὶ ς θελήματι μόνφ τὸ κατὰ γνώμην ποιεῖ, ἀλλ' ἐκ περιόδου τὴν σωτηρίαν ήμῶν κατεργάζεται, τικτόμενός τε καὶ τρεφόμενος, καὶ τῆ τοῦ θανάτου πείρα σώζων τὸν ἄνθρωπον, έξὸν μήτε έν τούτοις γενέσθαι καὶ ήμας περισώσασθαι; πρὸς δὲ τὸν τοιοῦτον λόγον ίκανὸν μεν ἦν πρὸς τοὺς εὐγνώμονας τοσοῦ- 10 τον είπειν, ὅτι καὶ τοις ἰατροις οὐ νομοθετοῦσι τὸν τρόπον της επιμελείας οι κάμνοντες, ούδε περί του της θεραπείας είδους πρός τους εὐεργέτας άμφισβητοῦσι, διὰ τί προσήψατο τοῦ πονοῦντος μέρους ὁ θεραπεύων καὶ τόδε τι πρὸς την του κακου λύσιν ἐπενόησεν, ετερον δέον, άλλά πρὸς 15 τὸ πέρας ὁρῶντες τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἐν εὐχαριστία τὴν εὐποιίαν εδέξαντο. άλλ' επειδή, καθώς φησιν ή προφητεία, τὸ πληθος της χρηστότητος τοῦ θεοῦ κεκρυμμένην έχει την ωφέλειαν και ούπω διά του παρόντος βίου τηλαυγώς
- 17. I upenecal. Emenecal h \parallel 4 apedeixen el vulg \parallel 5 om te f \parallel ep autw f \parallel 9 toutw l vulg \parallel 15 enenonsen fl vulg \parallel 17 h prof.] o profiths l vulg
- 17. Gr. now returns to the objection stated in c. 15. 'Why did not God restore man by a mere fiat?' It is a sufficient reply, he answers, to those who are reasonable, to say that just as a patient does not dictate to his physician the treatment to be applied, or criticize the method of his cure, but, looking to the object in view, thankfully receives his attention, so we must look to the beneficent purpose of the Incarnation and await fuller light than we can receive in this life.

 ὑπενεχθεῖσαν] in the sense of 'submit,' 'suggest.'

5. $\epsilon \pi' = \alpha \nabla \widetilde{\psi}$ 'in his power.'

6. ἐκ περιόδου] 'by a roundabout way.' Cp. c. 15 μακράς περιέρχεται περιόδους (note), and c. 26. Gr. is thinking of the length of the process involved in τ ίκτεσθαί τε καὶ τρέφεσθαι.

8. $\pi \epsilon i \rho q$] 'the experience of death.'

10. εὐγνώμ.] 'well-disposed,' reasonable.'

17. προφητεία] For the use of προφ. in reference to the Psalms cp. c. 8. The reference is to Ps. xxx [xxxi] 20 (LXX) ώς πολύ τὸ πλήθος τῆς χρηστότητός σου, Κύριες ῆς ἔκρυψας τοῖς φοβουμένοις σε. 'Since, as says the prophecy, the plentifulness of God's goodness benefits us in a hidden manner.'

καθοράται ή γὰρ ἄν περιήρητο πάσα τῶν ἀπίστων ἀντίρρησις, εἰ τὸ προσδοκώμενον ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖς ἦν νυνὶ δὲ
ἀναμένει τοὺς ἐπερχομένους αἰῶνας, ὅστε ἐν αὐτοῖς ἀποκαλυφθῆναι τὰ νῦν διὰ τῆς πίστεως μόνης ὁρώμενα ἀναγ5 καῖον ἄν εἴη λογισμοῖς τισὶ κατὰ τὸ ἐγχωροῦν καὶ τῶν
ἐπιζητουμένων ἐξευρεῖν τὴν λύσιν τοῖς προλαβοῦσι συμΒαίνουσαν.

18. Καί τοι περιττὸν ἴσως ἐστὶ θεὸν ἐπιδεδημηκέναι τῷ βίῷ πιστεύσαντας διαβάλλειν τὴν παρουσίαν, ὡς οὐκ ἐν το σοφία τινὶ καὶ λόγῷ γενομένην τῷ κρείττονι. τοῖς γὰρ μὴ λίαν ἀντιμαχομένοις πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν οὐ μικρὰ τῆς θείας ἐπιδημίας ἀπόδειξις ἡ καὶ πρὸ τῆς μελλούσης ζωῆς ἐν τῷ παρόντι βίῷ φανερωθεῖσα, ἡ διὰ τῶν πραγμάτων αὐτῶν

Ι αντιρρησις] αντιθεσις $f \parallel 3$ επερχ.] υπερχ. vulg $\parallel 6$ προλαμβανουσι l vulg **18.** 9 πιστευοντας $e \parallel$ 10 γινομένην f γεγενημένην vulg \parallel 13 αυτων πραγμ. deghnp

3. ἀναμένει] The subj. is τὸ προσδοκώμενον, the whole passage ἡ γὰρ ἀν...μόνης ὀρώμενα being a

parenthesis.

- 5-6. Kal $\tau \hat{\omega} v \in \pi \iota \xi \eta \tau$.] 'to find for the questions before us a solution that is in accord with what has preceded.' The Kal coordinates $\tau \hat{\alpha} \in \pi \iota \xi$. with $\tau \hat{\alpha}$ mpo $\lambda \alpha \beta$. Instead of discussing any further the manner of the Incarnation Gr. proposes to show the beneficence of the end aimed at. In what follows he shows first of all its results as testified by facts, and then its harmony with current conceptions of God's attributes.
- 18. It is superfluous to criticize the manner of Christ's appearance, when we have the testimony of facts as to its effects. The cessation of heathen worship, oracles, and sacrifices, the disappearance of heathen altars, temples, and idols, the rising throughout the world of temples and altars to the name of Christ, the witness of Christian worship, and

the lives of Christian martyrs are evidences of the power of Christ's appearing. The Jews, too, have a sign in the disappearance of their temple and its worship, and the desolation of Jerusalem.

9. τὴν παρουσίαν] Cp. c. 19 θείας παρουσίας. Other terms used by Gr. to denote the Incarnation are ἐπιδημία (infra), θεοφάνεια (infra), συγκατάβασις (c. 24) and οίκονομία which occurs repeatedly.

ib. ws own 's on the ground that it was not effected in the way of what we think to be wisdom and of superior reason.' There is irony both in τω and in τῶ κρείττου.

12. τῆς μελλούσης] an allusion to the words of the preceding chapter: ἀναμένει τοὺς ἐπερχομένους αἰῶνας ὥστε ἐν αὐτοῖς ἀποκαλυφθῆγαι τὰ νῦν διὰ τῆς πίστεως μόνης ὁρώμενα. Here Gr. maintains that even in the present life we can see from the evidence of facts the results of Christ's Incarnation.

φημί μαρτυρία. τίς γάρ οὐκ οἶδεν ὅπως πεπλήρωτο κατά παν μέρος της οἰκουμένης ή των δαιμόνων απάτη, δια της είδωλομανίας της ζωής των ανθρώπων κατακρατήσασα. οπως τοῦτο νόμιμον πᾶσι τοῖς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον ἔθνεσιν ἦν, τὸ θεραπεύειν διὰ τῶν εἰδώλων τοὺς δαίμονας ἐν ταῖς ς ζωοθυσίαις καὶ τοῖς ἐπιβωμίοις μιάσμασιν; ἀφ' οὖ δέ, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, ἐπεφάνη ἡ χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ ἡ σωτήριος πασιν ανθρώποις, δια της ανθρωπίνης επιδημήσασα φύσεως, πάντα καπνοῦ δίκην εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν μετεχώρησεν, ώστε παύσασθαι μέν τὰς τῶν χρηστηρίων τε καὶ 10 μαντειών μανίας, αναιρεθήναι δε τας έτησίους πομπάς καί τὰ δι' αίμάτων ἐν ταῖς ἐκατόμβαις μολύσματα, ἐν δὲ τοῖς πολλοίς τῶν ἐθνῶν ἀφανισθῆναι καθ' ὅλου βωμοὺς καὶ προπύλαια καὶ τεμένη καὶ ἀφιδρύματα καὶ ὅσα ἄλλα τοῖς θεραπευταίς των δαιμόνων έπὶ ἀπάτη σφων αὐτων καὶ 15 των εντυγγανόντων επετηδεύετο, ώς εν πολλοίς των τόπων μηδέ, εὶ γέγονε ταῦτά ποτε, μνημονεύεσθαι, ἀντεγερθηναι δὲ κατὰ πᾶσαν τὴν οἰκουμένην ἐπὶ τῷ τοῦ Χριστοῦ ονόματι ναούς τε καὶ θυσιαστήρια καὶ τὴν σεμνήν τε καὶ

6 μιασμασιν] αιμασιν d \parallel ου] ων e \parallel 13 βωμους] τους β. f + τε vulg \parallel 15 απατης vulg \parallel 16 επιτετηδευτο g¹l vulg \parallel 18 οπ του vulg \parallel 19 ναους και θ. deghnp

1. πεπλήρωτο] 'had fully prevailed.' Athanasius (de Inc. 13, 14) has a similar passage on the influence of evil spirits in the pagan world. The prevalent practice of idolatry enabled the evil spirits to 'get the mastery' (κατακρατήσασα) over human life. For the absence of the augment in πεπλήρωτο see Blass Gramm. of N. T. Greek (Eng. Tr.) P. 37.

5. θεραπεύειν...δαίμονας] Cp. 1 Cor. x 20.

7. ο άποστολος] Tit. ii 11.

8. διὰ τῆς ἀνθρ.] For this use of διά cp. c. 12 τοῦ διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν φανερωθέντος θεοῦ (note).

10. παύσασθαι] The whole of this passage recalls the similar treat-

ment of the decay of paganism in Ath. de Inc. 46 foll.

11. πομπάs] For these processions see Lightfoot's note on Ign. Eph. 9.

13. βωμούς] contrasted with θυσιαστήρια below. In the LXX βωμός is used almost exclusively with reference to heathen worship. Θυσ. is 'a place of sacrifice,' 'the altar and its precincts.' See Westcott Hebrews p. 453.

14. προπύλαια κ.τ.λ.] 'porticoes,

sacred precincts, shrines.

19. σεμνήν τε] 'the revered and unbloody priesthood.' The Latin translation in the Paris edition has 'venerandum et incruentum sacrificium,' but there is no variation

αναίμακτον ίερωσύνην καὶ τὴν ὑψηλὴν φιλοσοφίαν, ἔργφ μᾶλλον ἢ λόγφ κατορθουμένην, καὶ τῆς σωματικῆς ζωῆς τὴν ὑπεροψίαν καὶ τοῦ θανάτου τὴν καταφρόνησιν, ἢν οἱ μεταστῆναι τῆς πίστεως παρὰ τῶν τυράννων ἀναγκαζός μενοι φανερῶς ἐπεδείξαντο, ἀντ' οὐδενὸς δεξάμενοι τὰς τοῦ σώματος αἰκίας, καὶ τὴν ἐπὶ θανάτφ ψῆφον, οὐκ ἄν ὑποστάντες δηλαδὴ ταῦτα, μὴ σαφῆ τε καὶ ἀναμφίβολον τῆς θείας ἐπιδημίας ἔχοντες τὴν ἀπόδειξιν. τὸ δὲ αὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ πρὸς τοὺς Ἰουδαίους ἱκανόν ἐστι σημεῖον εἰπεῖν τοῦ το παρεῖναι τὸν παρ' αὐτῶν ἀπιστούμενον. μέχρι μὲν γὰρ τῆς τοῦ Χριστοῦ θεοφανείας λαμπρὰ παρ' αὐτοῖς ἢν τὰ ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις βασίλεια, ὁ διώνυμος ἐκεῖνος ναός, αἱ νενο-

9 om $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ vulg \parallel 9-10 $\tau o \upsilon$ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota$] $\tau o \pi$. f \parallel 12 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \nu \upsilon \mu o s$ f

θυσίαν in the MSS. The expression ἀναίμακτος ἱερωσύνη arises out of the phrase ἡ ἀναίμακτος θυσία, commonly applied by the Fathers to the Eucharist. The earliest example is Athenag. Suppl. pro Chr. 13 καίτοι προσφέρειν δέον ἀναίμακτον θυσίαν καὶ τὴν λογικὴν προσάγειν λατρείαν. Cp. Cyt. Hier. Cat. xxiii 8 τὴν πνευματικὴν θυσίαν, τὴν ἀναίμακτον λατρείαν. Fronto Ducaeus also quotes Greg. Naz. Carm. xi 1 and xii 1 τΩ θυσίας πέμποντες ἀναιμάκτους ἱερῆες.

1. ύψηλην φιλοσοφίαν] Krabinger understands this as = 'vitam asceticam et monasticam' and refers to Greg. Naz. Or. xxv p. 1204 (Migne). The word is certainly found very commonly after the time of Eusebius in this technical sense (see Hort Jud. Christianity p. 121, and Suicer sub voce), but it is also used quite generally of the pursuit of a holy life and the practice of the Christian religion. For Gr.'s use of the word in this sense cp. de Baptismo p. 420 (Migne) πολλούς χρόνους έχαρίσω τη ήδονη δὸς και τη φιλοσοφία σχολήν. άπόδυσαι τὸν παλαιὸν ἄνθρωπον

κ.τ.λ. Similarly Chrysostom, Hom. lxxxiii in Joh. p. 447 (Migne), says φρικτὸν ὁ θάνατος...ἀλλ' οὐ παρὰ τοῖς τὴν ἀνω φιλοσοφίαν εἰδόσι.

2. κατορθουμένην] 'the pursuit of which consists in action more than in speech.' For κατορθοῦν = colere, alicui rei studere, cp. Chrys. Hom. κ in Ερ. ad Philipp. c. 4 εὐκολώτερον μᾶλλον διὰ τῆς πενίας ἡ ἀρετὴ κατορθοῦται. For the sentiment cp. Min. Felix Octav. c. 38, non eloquimur magna, sed vivimus.

3. ὑπεροψίαν] Cp. the similar language of Athanasius de Inc. c. 48, and for the contempt of death ibid. c. 27.

9. σημείον] There is a similar passage, in which the fate of the Jews is regarded as a sign that they have been punished for their rejection of Christ, in Origen c. Celsum iv 22.

11-12. τὰ βασίλεια] Gr. introduces this mention of the 'palaces' to show the outward splendour of the city. He is thinking, doubtless, of Herod's palace, which surpassed even the Temple in magnificence.

12. διώνυμος] 'far-famed.'

μισμέναι δι' έτους θυσίαι, πάντα όσα παρά τοῦ νόμου δι' αίνιγμάτων τοις μυστικώς επαίειν επισταμένοις διήρηται, μέχρι τότε κατά τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς νομισθεῖσαν αὐτοῖς τῆς εὐσεβείας θρησκείαν ἀκώλυτα ἢν. ἐπεὶ δὲ εἶδον τὸν προσδοκώμενον, δν διὰ τῶν προφητῶν τε καὶ τοῦ νόμου 5 προεδιδάχθησαν, καὶ προτιμοτέραν ἐποιήσαντο τῆς εἰς τὸν φανέντα πίστεως την λοιπον εσφαλμένην εκείνην δεισιδαιμονίαν, ην κακώς εκλαβόντες, τὰ τοῦ νόμου ρήματα διεφύλασσον, συνηθεία μαλλον ή διανοία δουλεύοντες, ούτε την επιφανείσαν εδέξαντο χάριν, καὶ τὰ σεμνὰ της παρ' 10 αὐτοῖς θρησκείας ἐν διηγήμασι ψιλοῖς ὑπολείπεται, τοῦ ναοῦ μὲν οὐδὲ ἐξ ἰχνῶν ἔτι γινωσκομένου, τῆς δὲ λαμπρᾶς έκείνης πόλεως έν έρειπίοις ύπολειφθείσης, μείναι δέ τοίς Ἰουδαίοις τῶν κατὰ τὸ ἀρχαῖον νενομισμένων μηδέν, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν σεβάσμιον αὐτοῖς ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις τόπον 15 άβατον προστάγματι των δυναστευόντων γενέσθαι.

2 μυστικοις vulg | δυναμενοις vulg | 3 μεχρι] α μεχρις f | 5 και ον l vulg | 7 λοιπην h om λοιπον fl vulg | om εκεινην f | 8 εκβαλοντες l* vulg | II υπολελειπται l vulg || 12 ετι γιν.] επιγιν. l vulg || 13 δε] τε vulg || 15 τον er ieo. fg

1-2. δι' αlνιγμάτων \ 'all that the Law had marked out in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning.' For δι' αlνιγμάτων cf. c. 8. 'Επαίειν, a somewhat poetical word, used by Plato. Cp. Legg. 701 A. Διήρηται, 'defined,' 'expressed.'

3. κατά τήν] 'according to the ritual of their religion which had been enjoined upon them from the

beginning.

7-8. δεισιδαιμονίαν] 'that which was henceforth a mistaken superstition.' The clinging to Judaism after the coming of Christ turned their religion into a superstition.

8. $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \beta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$] in the sense of 'interpret.' The Jews had failed to interpret the meaning of their own religion which was intended to prepare them to welcome Christ.

9-10. $0 \bar{0} \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$.] These words introduce the apodosis of the sentence which began with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$. The Jews failed to accept the new religion of grace, and the practice of their former religion became a mere matter of history. Krabinger, however, makes the apodosis begin with καὶ τὰ σεμνά κ.τ.λ.

11. έν διηγ. ψιλ.] 'in mere narratives,' i.e. in narratives and nothing more. For διηγ. cp. 2 Macc. ii 24

τοίς της Ιστορίας διηγήμασιν.

13. μείναι δέ] The grammar is in some confusion. The clauses following ὑπολείπεται are explanatory, and the infinitive is used as though ώστε had followed ὑπολείπεται.

16. δυναστευόντων] After the Jewish revolt in A.D. 134 Hadrian decreed that the Jews were to be

- 19. `Αλλ' ὅμως, ἐπειδὴ μήτε τοῖς ἑλληνίζουσι μήτε τοῖς τῶν Ἰουδαικῶν προεστῶσι δογμάτων δοκεῖ ταῦτα θείας παρουσίας ποιεῖσθαι τεκμήρια, καλῶς ἄν ἔχοι περὶ τῶν ἀνθυπενεχθέντων ἡμῖν ἰδία τὸν λόγον διαλαβεῖν, ὅτου χάριν 5 ἡ θεία φύσις πρὸς τὴν ἡμετέραν συμπλέκεται, δι' ἑαυτῆς σώζουσα τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, οὐ διὰ προστάγματος κατεργαζομένη τὸ κατὰ πρόθεσιν. τίς οὖν ἃν γένοιτο ἡμῖν ἀρχὴ πρὸς τὸν προκείμενον σκοπὸν ἀκολούθως χειραγωγοῦσα τὸν λόγον; τίς ἄλλη ἢ τὸ τὰς εὐσεβεῖς περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ 10 ὑπολήψεις ἐπὶ κεφαλαίων διεξελθεῖν;
- 20. Οὐκοῦν ὁμολογεῖται παρὰ πᾶσι μὴ μόνον δυνατὸν εἶναι δεῖν πιστεύειν τὸ θεῖον, ἀλλὰ καὶ δίκαιον καὶ ἀγαθὸν καὶ σοφὸν καὶ πᾶν ὅ τι πρὸς τὸ κρεῖττον τὴν διάνοιαν φέρει. ἀκόλουθον τοίνυν ἐπὶ τῆς παρούσης οἰκονομίας μὴ τὸ μέν τι βούλεσθαι τῶν τῷ θεῷ πρεπόντων ἐπιφαίνεσθαι
 - 19. 3 ποιεισθαι] ειναι f || 4 om ημιν l vulg || 8 προκειμ.]+ημιν e || 9 om του df 20. 13 η διανοια l vulg

excluded from Jerusalem. The decree was still in existence in the time of Constantine (Eus. H. E. iv 6), but later on the Jews were allowed to visit the city. See Hastings' Dict. of Bible, art. Jerusalem.

19. But as neither Greeks nor Jews will listen to the preceding arguments, we must pursue further our enquiry into the causes and method of the Incarnation. We will begin by showing its relation to current conceptions of God.

2. δοκεί] 'think fit to make these things proofs of a Divine presence.'

4. τὸν λόγον διαλ.] Τὸν λόγον is the subject. Δ ιαλα β ε $\hat{\iota}\nu$ = 'to state clearly,' 'to discuss.'

δι' ἐαυτῆs] i.e. τῆs θείας φύσεως. The phrase is somewhat elliptical. The personal presence of God is contrasted with the external command.

8. χειραγωγούσα] 'conducting our

argument by a proper chain of reasoning to the conclusion which we have set before us.'

- 20. The general conception of God includes the ideas of His power, justice, goodness, and wisdom. The absence of any one of these is destructive to the perfection of the others and to the perfection of the Divine Being. In the Incarnation there is an exhibition of all these attributes. His goodness was shown in His desire to save us, His wisdom in the order and sequence of events by which His purpose was carried out. In what follows Gr. proposes to discuss more fully the wisdom and justice of the Incarnation.
- 14. παρούσης οίκονομίας] i.e. the Incarnation which is 'present' as being under present consideration, corresponding to ἡ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οίκονομία below.
- 15. τὸ μέν τι βούλ.] 'it is not reasonable that one or another of the attributes of God should tend to be

τοίς γεγενημένοις, τὸ δὲ μὴ παρείναι καθ' όλου γὰρ οὐδὲν έφ' έαυτοῦ τῶν ὑψηλῶν τούτων ὀνομάτων διεζευγμένον τῶν ἄλλων ἀρετή κατὰ μόνας ἐστίν· οὕτε τὸ ἀγαθὸν άληθως έστιν άγαθόν, μη μετά τοῦ δικαίου τε καὶ σοφοῦ καὶ τοῦ δυνατοῦ τεταγμένον τὸ γὰρ ἄδικον ἡ ἄσοφον ἡ ς άδύνατον άγαθὸν οὐκ ἔστιν· οὕτε ἡ δύναμις τοῦ δικαίου τε καὶ σοφοῦ κεχωρισμένη ἐν ἀρετῆ θεωρεῖται · θηριῶδες γάρ έστι τὸ τοιοῦτον καὶ τυραννικὸν τῆς δυνάμεως είδος. ώσαύτως δὲ καὶ τὰ λοιπά, εἰ έξω τοῦ δικαίου τὸ σοφὸν φέροιτο, ή τὸ δίκαιον, εἰ μὴ μετὰ τοῦ δυνατοῦ τε καὶ τοῦ 10 άγαθοῦ θεωροῖτο, κακίαν ἄν τις μᾶλλον κυρίως τὰ τοιαῦτα κατονομάσειεν τὸ γὰρ ἐλλιπὲς τοῦ κρείττονος πῶς ἄν τις έν ἀγαθοῖς ἀριθμήσειεν; εἰ δὲ πάντα προσήκει συνδραμεῖν έν ταις περί θεού δόξαις, σκοπήσωμεν εί τινος ή κατά άνθρωπον οἰκονομία λείπεται τῶν θεοπρεπῶν ὑπολήψεων. Το ζητούμεν πάντως έπὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τῆς ἀγαθότητος τὰ σημεῖα. καὶ τίς ἂν γένοιτο φανερωτέρα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μαρτυρία ἢ τὸ μεταποιηθήναι αὐτὸν τοῦ πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον αὐτομολήσαντος, μηδέ συνδιατεθήναι τῷ εὐμεταβλήτω τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης προαιρέσεως τὴν παγίαν ἐν τῷ ἀγαθῷ καὶ ἀμετάβλητον φύσιν; 20 οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἦλθεν εἰς τὸ σῶσαι ἡμᾶς, καθώς φησιν ὁ Δαβίδ.

9 δικαιου] + και dehn || 10 δυνατου] σοφου f || 10-11 του αγαθ.] om του f || 11 τα τοι. κυριως l vulg || 13 δε] + και f || 14 om τινος vulg || 15 ανθρωπου] + του θεου l vulg || λειπεται] + τι vulg

manifested in the history, while another is absent.' For this use of βούλεσθαι cp. Arist. Pol. 2. 6. 18 μαλλον δ' έγκλινειν βούλεται πρὸς τὴν όλιγαρχίαν.

1. καθ' ὅλου γάρ] No one of the 'lofty titles' applied to God constitutes by itself a virtue. It needs to be perfected by association with other qualities. We cannot conceive of 'unjust,' 'unwise,' or 'impotent' goodness. Similarly power, when divorced from justice and wisdom, is brutal and tyrannical.

14-15. ἡ κατὰ ἄνθρ. olκ.] Cp.

c. 5 init. (note).

18. μεταποιηθήναι] 'lay claim to.' Cp. Thucyd. i 40 της ξυνέσεως μεταποιείσθαι.

19. μηδέ συνδ.] 'and that the nature which is fixed in goodness and unchanging should not be affected by the changeable will of man.' The idea is that God did not permit man's changed attitude towards Him to alter His fixed purpose of goodness.

21. δ Δαβίδ] Krabinger refers to such passages as Ps. cv [cvi] 4—5; cxviii [cxix] 65, 66, 68 (LXX). The

μη αγαθότητος την τοιαύτην πρόθεσιν έμποιούσης. άλλ' οὐδὲν ἂν ὤνησε τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῆς προθέσεως, μὴ σοφίας ένεργὸν τὴν φιλανθρωπίαν ποιούσης. καὶ γὰρ ἐπὶ τῶν αρρώστως διακειμένων πολλοί μεν ίσως οί βουλόμενοι μή 5 εν κακοίς είναι τον κείμενον, μόνοι δε την αγαθην ύπερ τῶν καμνόντων προαίρεσιν εἰς πέρας ἄγουσιν, οἰς τεχνική τις δύναμις ένεργεί πρὸς τὴν τοῦ κάμνοντος ἴασιν. οὐκοῦν την σοφίαν δεί συνεζευχθαι πάντως τη αγαθότητι. πως τοίνυν εν τοις γεγενημένοις τὸ σοφὸν τῷ ἀγαθῷ συνθεω-10 ρείται; ὅτι οὐ γυμνὸν τὸ κατὰ πρόθεσιν ἀγαθὸν ἔστιν ἰδείν. πῶς γὰρ ἄν φανείη ἡ πρόθεσις, μὴ διὰ τῶν γιγνομένων φανερουμένη; τὰ δὲ πεπραγμένα είρμῷ τινὶ καὶ τάξει δι' ακολούθου προιόντα τὸ σοφόν τε καὶ τεχνικὸν τῆς οἰκονομίας του θεου διαδείκνυσιν. ἐπεὶ δέ, καθώς ἐν τοῖς 15 φθάσασιν εἴρηται, πάντως τῷ δικαίφ τὸ σοφὸν συνεζευγμένον ἀρετὴ γίγνεται, εἰ δὲ χωρισθείη, μὴ αν ἐφ' ἐαυτοῦ κατὰ μόνας ἀγαθὸν εἶναι, καλῶς ᾶν ἔχοι καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ λόγου

7 συνεργει fl vulg \parallel 15 τω σοφω το δικ. e \parallel 17 κατα μονας] καταμενη vulg

first passage speaks of God's εὐδοκία. The remaining passages dwell upon His χρηστότης.

1-2. a\lambda\lambda\cdot\delta\delt

10. ὅτι οὐ γυμνόν] 'For it is not possible to discern that which is good in furpose apart by itself,' i.e. apart from its realization in action, as explained in the following words μη διά των γιγνομένων φανερουμένη.

12. πεπραγμένα] i.e. the events of the Incarnation, which proceeded in due sequence in a certain orderly chain.

13. σοφόν τε καὶ τεχν.] In prol. Gr. uses the phrase τῶν τεχνικῶς καὶ σοφῶς...οἰκονομουμένων of creation. Here the words are used of the Divine οἰκονομα in the Incarnation. Gr. gives an illustration of his meaning in c. 23 sub fin. τὸ δὲ χωρητὸν δι' ἐπινοίας ποιῆσαι τῷ ἐχθρῷ τὸ ἀχώρητον τῆς ἀνωτάτω σοφίας τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει, where the meaning of χωρητόν has been previously defined by the words διὰ τῆς τοῦ σώματος περιβολῆς χωρητὴν τὴν θείαν δύναμν.

15. $\pi d\nu \tau \omega s$] goes with $\tau \hat{\psi}$ δ. συνεζευγμένον, 'only on condition of being joined with justice.'

17. είναι] The inf. is probably due to the influence of the preceding είρηται.

της κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίας τὰ δύο μετ' ἀλλήλων κατανοησαι, τὸ σοφόν φημι καὶ τὸ δίκαιον.

21. Τίς οὖν ἡ δικαιοσύνη; μεμνήμεθα πάντως τῶν κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις τοῦ λόγου διῃρημένων ὅτι μίμημα τῆς θείας φύσεως κατεσκευάσθη ὁ ἄνθρωπος, 5 τοῖς τε λοιποῖς τῶν ἀγαθῶν καὶ τῷ αὐτεξουσίῳ τῆς προαιρέσεως τὴν πρὸς τὸ θεῖον διασώζων ὁμοίωσιν, τρεπτῆς δὲ φύσεως ὧν κατ' ἀνάγκην· οὐ γὰρ ἐνεδέχετο τὸν ἐξ ἀλλοιώσεως τὴν ἀρχὴν τοῦ εἶναι σχόντα μὴ τρεπτὸν εἶναι πάντως· ἡ γὰρ ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος εἶς τὸ εἶναι πάροδος 10 ἀλλοίωσίς τίς ἐστι, τῆς ἀνυπαρξίας κατὰ θείαν δύναμιν εἰς οὐσίαν μεθισταμένης, καὶ ἄλλως δὲ τῆς τροπῆς

21. 4 om πρωτοις $f \parallel \delta$ ιηρημ.] ειρημ. l vulg συντεταγμ. $f \parallel g$ εχοντα df vulg \parallel 10 η γαρ \rfloor ει γαρ $h \parallel$ 12 και αλλως...θεωρουμένης om e

21. Gr. proceeds to show that the Incarnation was an exhibition of justice. Man was made in the likeness of God, but as he was a creature, his nature, unlike that of God, was subject to change. This tendency to change involved movement in the direction of good or of evil. Man's intelligence, further, was liable to illusions as to what was really good. It was by such an illusion that Satan deceived man and enticed him into evil. Thus the two factors in the problem of redemption were, on the one hand, the voluntary bondage of man to Satan, and, on the other, the nature and character of God, including goodness, wisdom, justice, power, immortality &c. God's goodness excited His pity for fallen man, His wisdom supplied the method of recalling him. With wisdom justice was necessarily associated.

In no part of the Or. Cat, is the division of chapters adopted in the Paris edition so arbitrary and unfortunate as in the section which includes the present and the two following chapters. The long and involved sentence in the present chapter, which begins έν τούτφ τοίνυν της έτερότητος, and which is not finally resumed until the words πάντα μοι κ.τ.λ. towards the close of the chapter, is broken up by the Paris editors, who begin c. 22 with the words έπει οθν της πρός τὸ όντως. The same division is found in MSS b, e, f. To c. 21 (20 in the enumeration of these MSS) they prefix the colophon: $\delta \tau_i \mu i \mu \eta \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta \epsilon i a s \phi \hat{v}$ σεως κατασκευασθείς ὁ ἄνθρωπος τρεπτής έστὶ καὶ άλλοιωτής φύσεως. Opposite the words enel our ris $\pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau \dot{o} \delta \nu \tau \omega s$ they mark the beginning of a new chapter (21) with the heading: ὅτι τραπέντα τὸν ἄνθρωπον οὐ τυραννικώς άλλὰ δικαιολόγως έλυτρώσατο. The division of chapters adopted here is that of Krabinger.

4. έν τοις πρώτοις] i.e. c. 5.

7. διασώζων ομοίωσιν] On Gr.'s use of the words εἰκών and ομοίωσις see antea c. 5 p. 24 (note).

ib. τρεπτης δέ] The δέ has an adversative force. 'Yet possessing

a changeable nature.'

12. καὶ ἀλλως] There is another reason why 'change' is necessarily

ἀναγκαίως ἐν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ θεωρουμένης, ἐπειδὴ μίμημα τῆς θείας φύσεως ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἦν τὸ δὲ μιμούμενον, εἰ μὴ ἐν ἐτερότητι τύχοι τινί, ταὐτὸν ἂν εἴη πάντως ἐκείνῳ, ῷ ἀφωμοίωται. ἐν τούτῳ τοίνυν τῆς ἑτερότητος τοῦ 5 κατ εἰκόνα γενομένου πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον οὔσης, ἐν τῷ τὸ μὲν ἄτρεπτον εἶναι τῆ φύσει, τὸ δὲ μὴ οὕτως ἔχειν, ἀλλὰ δὶ ἀλλοιώσεως μὲν ὑποστῆναι κατὰ τὸν ἀποδοθέντα λόγον, ἀλλοιούμενον δὲ μὴ πάντως ἐν τῷ εἶναι μένειν ἡ δὲ ἀλλοίωσις κίνησίς τίς ἐστιν εἰς ἔτερον ἀπὸ τοῦ κινήσεως τὸ μὲν πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἀεὶ γιγνόμενον, ἐν ῷ ἡ πρόοδος στάσιν οὐκ ἔχει, διότι πέρας οὐδὲν τοῦ διεξο-

3 τυχη $fg \parallel 6$ om τη φυσει $d \parallel 8$ om μη fhl^* vulg $\parallel 9$ μενειν] μιμημα l^* vulg \parallel om τις l vulg \parallel εις ετερον...ω εστιν om $f \parallel$ 10 δυο δεl δυο λεγει l^* vulg \parallel 12 διεξοδευμενου df

part of human nature. It serves to mark the distinction between God the archetype and man the copy. The word άλλωs is explained by the clause ἐπειδη...ἀφωμοίωται.

4. έν τούτφ τοίνυν] Here begins a long and involved sentence which occupies the rest of the chapter. Gr. begins with a gen. absolute της έτερότητος...ούσης, but the main sentence is broken by a long parenthesis on the meaning of άλλοίωσις and κίνησις. The sentence is again taken up by the words έπειδη τοίνυν κατά την τρεπτήν, and again broken by the parenthesis καλὸν δὲ το μέν. A fresh beginning is made with the words έπει οδν της προς το όντως, but a parenthesis οὐ γὰρ αν ...περιπλασθείσης again intervenes. After a fresh start, έν ταύτη τοίνυν γεγονότος, the apodosis finally begins with the words πάντα μοι κατά ταύτόν.

7. ἀλλὰ δι' ἀλλ.] 'but as it was by a change (δι' άλλοιώσεως μέν) that it came into existence, so being subject to change (ἀλλοιόψενον δέ) it does not and cannot remain in its

state of existence.' In what follows Gr. explains μὴ πάντως ἐν τῷ εἶναι μένειν. By ἀλλοίωσις he means 'a certain movement continually advancing to a different state from that in which a thing is.'

 ή δὲ ἀλλοίωσις] Here begins the first parenthesis extending to the words τῆ ἀνυπαρξία τὴν ὕπαρξίν.

12. στάσιν] The advance in the direction of good cannot be arrested, because there is no boundary to that which is explored,' i.e. there is no limit to the progress in good. Διεξοδ. is passive, 'that which is traversed.' The Latin version of the Paris edd. translates 'ejus quod transit,' which gives no meaning. Krab. translates 'ejus quod evolvitur.' Glauber renders 'weil selbst dasjenige ohne Ende ist, in dem man thatig ist,' and sees here the influence of the Platonic idea that αύτο το καλόν, αύτο το άγαθον is eternal. He refers to the Phaedo of Plato. Karal. 'is perceived.' The word καταλαμβάνεσθαι is a mere variant, like θεωρείσθαι elsewhere in this treatise, for elvai.

δευομένου καταλαμβάνεται τὸ δὲ πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον, οὖ ή ύπόστασις ἐν τῷ μὴ ὑφεστάναι ἐστίν· ἡ γὰρ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ έναντίωσις, καθώς έν τοις έμπροσθεν είρηται, τοιοῦτόν τινα νουν κατά την άντιδιαστολην έγει, καθάπερ φαμέν τῷ μὴ ὄντι τὸ ὃν ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι καὶ τῆ ἀνυπαρξία τὴν ς ύπαρξιν ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν κατὰ τὴν τρεπτήν τε καὶ ἀλλοιώτην δρμήν τε καλ κίνησιν οὐκ ἐνδέχεται τὴν Φύσιν ἐφ' έαυτης μένειν ακίνητον, άλλ' έπί τι πάντως ή προαίρεσις ἵεται, τῆς πρὸς τὸ καλὸν ἐπιθυμίας αὐτὴν φυσικῶς ἐφελκομένης είς κίνησιν καλὸν δὲ τὸ μέν τι άληθῶς κατὰ 10 την φύσιν έστί, τὸ δὲ οὐ τοιοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἐπηνθισμένον τινὶ καλοῦ φαντασία κριτήριον δὲ τούτων ἐστὶν ὁ νοῦς, ἔνδοθεν ήμιν ενιδρυμένος, εν ώ κινδυνεύεται ή τὸ έπιτυχείν τοῦ ὄντως καλοῦ, ἢ τὸ παρατραπέντας αὐτοῦ διά τινος της κατὰ τὸ φαινόμενον ἀπάτης ἐπὶ τὸ ἐναντίον ις ήμας απορρυήναι, οίον τι παθείν ο έξωθεν μυθός φησιν ἀπιδοῦσαν ἐν τῷ ὕδατι τὴν κύνα πρὸς τὴν σκιὰν οδ διὰ στόματος έφερε, μεθείναι μέν την άληθη τροφήν, περιγανοῦσαν δὲ τὸ τῆς τροφῆς εἴδωλον ἐν λιμῷ γενέσθαι.

I ou] ω f \parallel om η vulg \parallel 3 om $\epsilon \nu$ tois vulg \parallel 4 $\tau i \nu a$] τi e \parallel διαστολη ν vulg | 7 αφ εαυτης vulg | 9 αυτη f εαυτην deg*hnp | εφελκ. φυσικώς l* vulg | 11 om $\tau \eta \nu$ f | 13 $\epsilon \nu$ ω] + $\kappa \alpha \iota$ f | 14 $\sigma \nu \tau \omega$ s] $\sigma \nu \tau \sigma$ s dhln vulg | 16 $\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$] εξω μεν vulg || 17 αποδιδουσαν vulg || 10 τω...ειδωλω g*p προς το...ειδωλον n

 τὸ ἐναντίον] i.e. τὸ κακόν, which, as Gr. has shown previously, is equivalent to τὸ μὴ ὄν.

2. n... evavrlwois When we contrast the opposite of good with good, we mean much the same as when we say that the existent is logically opposed to the non-existent, and subsistence to non-subsistence.' antea cc. 6, 15 with notes.

 ἐπειδή] resumes the sentence begun in έν τούτω τοίνυν. 'Ορμήν, 'the impulse and movement towards

alteration and change.'

9. φυσικώς] Gr. implies that man's natural state is one in which he is impelled to the pursuit of good.

In the parenthesis, which begins καλον δέ, he shews how in the pursuit of good man is liable to be misled by illusions.

11. $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$] 'arrayed in

a certain semblance of good.'

13. έν φ] The antecedent is prob. νοῦς. As the νοῦς is liable to err, there is the chance of either success or failure in the pursuit of good.

16. $d\pi$ ορρυήναι] Cp. c. 15. 'Ο $\xi\xi$. $\mu\hat{v}\theta$ os = 'the heathen fable.' Krab. quotes from St Basil the similar expressions μαθήματα τὰ έξωθεν, η θύραθεν σοφία.

18. περιχανοῦσαν] 'opening his mouth to swallow.' Cp. Lucian

(22) ἐπεὶ οὖν τῆς πρὸς τὸ ὄντως ἀγαθὸν ἐπιθυμίας διαψευσθεὶς ὁ νοῦς πρὸς τὸ μὴ ὂν παρηνέχθη, δι' ἀπάτης
τοῦ τῆς κακίας συμβούλου τε καὶ εὐρετοῦ καλὸν ἀναπεισθεὶς εἶναι τὸ τῷ καλῷ ἐναντίον· οὐ γὰρ ἄν ἐνήργησεν
5 ἡ ἀπάτη, μὴ δελέατος δίκην τῷ τῆς κακίας ἀγκίστρῷ
τῆς τοῦ καλοῦ φαντασίας περιπλασθείσης· ἐν ταύτη
τοίνυν γεγονότος ἐκουσίως τῆ συμφορῷ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου
τοῦ ἑαυτὸν δι' ἡδονῆς τῷ ἐχθρῷ τῆς ζωῆς ὑποζεύξαντος,
πάντα μοι κατὰ ταὐτὸν ἀναζήτει τὰ ταῖς θείαις ὑπολήτο ψεσι πρέποντα, τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ σοφόν, τὸ δίκαιον, τὸ
δυνατόν, τὸ ἄφθαρτον καὶ εἴ τι τῆς τοῦ κρείττονος
σημασίας ἐστίν. οὐκοῦν ὡς ἀγαθὸς οἰκτον λαμβάνει τοῦ
διαπεπτωκότος, ὡς σοφὸς οὐκ ἀγνοεῖ τὸν τρόπον τῆς
ἀνακλήσεως. σοφίας δ' ᾶν εἴη καὶ ἡ τοῦ δικαίου κρίσις· οὐ
το γὰρ ἄν τις ἀφροσύνη τὴν ἀληθῆ δικαιοσύνην προσάψειεν.

22. Τί οὖν ἐν τούτοις τὸ δίκαιον; τὸ μὴ τυραννικῆ

8 om του vulg $\parallel \epsilon \chi \theta \rho \omega \rfloor \chi \rho \rho \nu \omega$ f $\parallel 9$ κατα ταυτον] κατ αυτον g κατα τον αυτον l vulg \parallel 10 om το σοφον l vulg \parallel om το δικαιον το δυνατον n και δικαιον και δυνατον l vulg \parallel 11 om του fl vulg \parallel 13 και ω s σοφοs l vulg

Merc. Cond. 3 καθάπερ ο λάρος όλον περιγανών το δέλεαρ.

 διαψευσθείς] 'being cheated of its desire for that which is really good.'

2. τὸ μὴ ὄν] i.e. τὸ κακόν.

4. οὐ γάρ] A fresh parenthesis, the main sentence being again resumed with the words ἐν ταύτη τοίνυν. 'For his guile would not have been effectual, had not the semblance of good been spread upon the hook of evil like a bait.'

 ϵκουσίως] This word plays an important part in Gr.'s argument. Though man was deceived, his lapse into evil was the result of his own decision, and this fact influenced the

manner of his redemption.

9. πάντα μοι] These words introduce the apodosis. Over against the circumstances of man's fall, Gr. sets the other factor in the problem, i.e. the nature and character of God. In the following clauses: οὐκοῦν ώς

άγαθός—σοφίας δ' αν είη—τί οῦν ἐν τούτοις τὸ δίκαιον;—he passes in review the main attributes of God. God's action was limited by His justice, which must necessarily accompany the exhibition of His goodness in desiring man's salvation and His wisdom in discovering a means to effect it.

ib. κατά ταὐτόν]=simul.

22. How then was God's justice exhibited? In abstaining from a tyrannical exercise of force against Satan. As justice requires that those who have bartered away their own liberty should be restored by the payment of a ransom to their lawful owners, so in the case of man a method of redemption was needed that was consistent with justice. This involved the payment of such a ransom as the owner was willing to receive.

16. μη τυραννική Krab. quotes

τινὶ χρήσασθαι κατά τοῦ κατέχοντος ήμας αὐθεντία. μηδέ τῷ περιόντι τῆς δυνάμεως ἀποσπάσαντα τοῦ κρατουντος καταλιπείν τινά δικαιολογίας άφορμην τώ δί ήδονης καταδουλωσαμένω τὸν ἄνθρωπον. καθάπερ γὰρ οί χρημάτων την έαυτών έλευθερίαν αποδόμενοι δούλοι 5 των ωνησαμένων είσίν, αὐτοὶ πρατήρες έαυτών καταστάντες, καὶ οὔτε αὐτοῖς οὔτε ἄλλω τινὶ ὑπὲρ ἐκείνων έξεστι την ελευθερίαν επιβοήσασθαι, καν ευπατρίδαι τινές ώσιν οί πρός την συμφοράν ταύτην αὐτομολήσαντες: εί δέ τις κηδόμενος τοῦ ἀπεμποληθέντος βία 10 κατά τοῦ ώνησαμένου χρώτο, ἄδικος είναι δόξει τὸν νόμω κτηθέντα τυραννικώς έξαιρούμενος έξωνείσθαι δέ πάλιν εί βούλοιτο τὸν τοιοῦτον, οὐδεὶς ὁ κωλύων νόμος ἐστί· (23) κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον έκουσίως ἡμῶν έαυτοὺς άπεμπολησάντων έδει παρά τοῦ δι' ἀγαθότητα πάλιν 15 ήμας είς έλευθερίαν έξαιρουμένου μή τον τυραννικόν, άλλὰ τὸν δίκαιον τρόπον ἐπινοηθῆναι τῆς ἀνακλήσεως. ούτος δέ έστί τις τὸ ἐπὶ τῷ κρατοῦντι ποιήσασθαι πᾶν οπερ αν εθέλοι λύτρον αντί του κατεχομένου λαβείν.

23. Τί τοίνυν εἰκὸς ἦν μᾶλλον τὸν κρατοῦντα λαβεῖν 20

22. 8 ευπατριδές l vulg | 18 τις τω επικρατούντι l*n vulg

Dionys. Areop. de eccl. Hier. c. 3 § 11 της... αποστατικής πληθύος, ώς ή κρυφία παράδοσις έχει, τὸ καθ' ἡμῶν καταλύσασα κράτος, οὐ κατὰ δύναμιν, ώς ὑπερισχύουσα, κατά δὲ τὸ μυστικῶς ημίν παραδοθέν λόγιον, έν κρίσει καὶ δικαιοσύνη. Το this Maximus has appended a note in which he refers to this passage of Gr.

3. δικαιολογ.] 'a just plea in his own defence.'

5. χρημάτων] 'for money.' 8. ἐπιβοήσασθαι] 'To claim liberty on their behalf.' For this sense of έπιβοᾶσθαι cp. Basil de Spir. S. c. x (25) τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἐγγράφων ἀποδείξεις έπιβοῶνται.

14. κατά τον αύτον The Paris

edition and MSS b and e mark the beginning of a new chapter at this point. In MS f the division is made before the words οὖτος δέ ἐστί τις. In all three MSS the new chapter has the following colophon: *Oτι συναλλαγματικήν τινα ποιείται την λύτρωσιν.

What then was the ransom 23. which Satan was likely to choose? His pride led him to seek something which was higher and better than that which he held, in order to make a gain in the bargain. The spectacle of power displayed in Christ's miracles led Satan to select Him as the ransom-price, while the veil of Christ's humanity, hiding the Godhead, made έλέσθαι; δυνατόν εστι δι' ἀκολούθου στοχασμόν τινα τῆς ἐπιθυμίας αὐτοῦ λαβεῖν, εἰ τὰ πρόδηλα γένοιτο ἡμῖν τῶν ζητουμένων τεκμήρια. ὁ τοίνυν κατὰ τὸν ἐν ἀρχῆ τοῦ συγγράμματος προαποδοθέντα λόγον τῷ πρὸς τὸν δὲ τῆς κακίας ζόφον ἐν ἐαυτῷ γεννήσας, ἀρχὴν δὲ τῆς πρὸς τὰ χείρω ροπῆς καὶ ὑπόθεσιν καὶ οἰονεὶ μητέρα τῆς λοιπῆς κακίας τὴν φιλαρχίαν νοσήσας, τίνος ἂν ἀντηλλάξατο τὸν κατεχόμενον, εἰ μὴ δηλαδὴ τοῦ ὑψηλοτο τέρου καὶ μείζονος ἀνταλλάγματος, ώς ἂν μᾶλλον ἐαυτοῦ τὸ κατὰ τὸν τῦφον θρέψειεν πάθος, τὰ μείζω τῶν ἐλαττόνων διαμειβόμενος; ἀλλὰ μὴν ἐν τοῖς ἀπ' αἰῶνος ἱστορουμένοις, ἐν οὐδενὶ συνεγνώκει τοιοῦτον οὐδέν, οἰα καθεώρα περὶ τὸν τότε φαινόμενον, κυοφορίαν ἀσυντο δύαστον, καὶ γέννησιν ἄφθορον, καὶ θήλην ἐκ παρθενίας,

23. 2 ειτα προδ. vulg || 5 om μεν fl vulg || 8 om αν f || 13 om ιστορουμενοιs h || 14 την τ. φαινομενην vulg || ασυντριαστον l vulg

Him an object of desire rather than of dread to the adversary. In the wish to save man we see exhibited the goodness, in the ransom by bargain the justice, in the manner by which the ransom was effected, the wisdom of God.

 δι' ἀκολούθου] 'reasonably,' a variant for the more usual κατὰ τὸ ἀκ.

 εὶ τὰ πρόδ.] 'if the evident facts of the case were taken as proofs to us of that which we are seeking.'

3. $\epsilon \nu \, d\rho \chi \bar{\eta}$] i.e. c. 6. 6. $d\rho \chi \bar{\eta} \nu \, \delta \dot{\epsilon}$] Opposite these words in MSS $\dot{\rho}$ and $\dot{\epsilon}$ occurs the marginal note $\delta \tau \iota \, d\pi \dot{\phi} \, \dot{\phi} \iota \lambda d\rho \chi \dot{\epsilon} as$ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a \chi \bar{\eta} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} a \sigma \epsilon \nu \, \dot{\phi} \, \delta \dot{\epsilon} d\beta o \lambda o s$. Gr. describes the love of power as 'the originating cause of the tendency to evil in Satan, and the foundation and as it were mother of other wickedness.'

7. ὑπόθεσιν] 'base,' 'foundation.' Cp. Arist. Pol. vii 1. 6 ὑπόθεσις...της δημοκρατικής πολιτείας έλευθερία.

11. τῦφον] Satan wished to satisfy his pride by making a gain in the exchange and getting more than he gave (τὰ μείξω τῶν ἐλαττόνων διαμειβόμενος). The whole conception is crude and repellent.

14. τον τότε φ.] i.e. Jesus Christ. iδ. κυοφ. dσυνδ.] Acc. to the earlier belief 'the virginity of Mary and her child bearing' were hidden from Satan. Cf. Ign. Eph. xix.

15. γέννησιν ἄφθορον] Cp. c. Eunom. iv p. 625 (Migne) έτεκε, και οὐδὲν ἦττον ἡ ἀφθαροία συνδιεφιλά-χθη τῷ τόκφ. The belief indicated here in a Virgin-birth, as distinct from a Virgin-conception, was a corollary upon the παρθενία widely current among the Fathers. See Schwane Dogmengeschichte i 186, 233 ff.

ib. θήλην] 'giving suck.'

καὶ ἄνωθεν ἐπιμαρτυρούσας τῷ ὑπερφυεῖ τῆς ἀξίας ἐκ τῶν ἀοράτων φωνάς, καὶ τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἀρρωστημάτων διόρθωσιν απραγμάτευτόν τινα καὶ ψιλήν, ἐν ῥήματι μόνω καὶ όρμη τοῦ θελήματος παρ' αὐτοῦ γινομένην, τήν τε τῶν τεθνηκότων ἐπὶ τὸν βίον ἀνάλυσιν, καὶ τὴν τῶν 5 καταδίκων ανάρρυσιν, καὶ τὸν κατὰ τῶν δαιμόνων Φόβον, καὶ τῶν κατὰ τὸν ἀέρα παθῶν τὴν ἐξουσίαν, καὶ τὴν διὰ θαλάσσης πορείαν, οὐ διαχωροῦντος ἐφ' ἐκάτερα τοῦ

3 τινα και] τε και e || 4 γενομενην ef || 5 om και την...αναρρυσιν dfglp || 6 αναρρησιν h

2. φωνάs] 'Voices from the unseen world, testifying from above to surpassing worth.' The reference is to the song of the angels at the Birth.

3. διόρθωσιν] 'His command of a mode of healing natural infirmities without trouble or the use of means, by a mere fiat and effort of the will.' Απραγμάτευτον, lit. 'nothighly wrought' or 'laboured.' The adv. απραγματεύτως is used by Synesius in the sense of 'without trouble.' Ψιλήν implies that it was by the simple exercise of power, unaccompanied by any employment of human skill, that the cures were effected. The reading of Krab. ὑψηλήν is only found in the late MS b.

 ἀνάλυσιν] 'the return of the dead to life.' For this sense of avaλυσις cp. c. 39 πρός έαυτον άναλύων. Cp. also Luke xii 36. Krab. conjectures ἀνάκλησιν, but this is unnecessary.

ib. καὶ τὴν τ. κ. ἀνάρρυσιν] These words are only found in the MSS b, e, h, n. They occur in the Latin translation of Morel, who renders 'damnatorum absolutio.' gives the same rendering, and thinks that there is an allusion to Origen's teaching upon the final restoration of all sinners. See below, c. 26. But from the context it is obvious that the words, if genuine, must refer to something before the Crucifixion. If the words are not genuine, it is difficult to account for their insertion in the text, whereas their omission might be explained as per homæoteleuton, owing to the resemblance of ἀνάλυσιν and ἀνάρρυσιν. 'The rescue of those under condemnation' might mean (1) the absolution of sinners during our Lord's earthly life (Moore N. and P. N. Fathers vol. v p. 493); (2) the deliverance of those who had deadly diseases (e.g. the nobleman's son); (3) the deliverance of those already possessed with devils, thus leading on to τ . $\kappa a \tau a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$. ϕ . Of these interpretations (2) or (3) is preferable to (1), and accords better with the class of wonders adduced in the context.

6. κατά των δαιμόνων] 'fear inspired in devils.'

 παθῶν] Krab., following Hervetus, translates 'potestatem in aeris affectiones.' Glauber has '(dass er) über Stürme Gewalt hatte.' For this use of πάθος cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 30 (Mason, p. 69) σύ δὲ ἔγνως σελήνης φύσιν, καὶ $\pi \acute{a} \theta \eta$. The ref. is to the stilling of the tempest. See Mt. viii 27 &c.

διά θαλάσσης πορ.] In Mk vi 48-9 (Mt. xiv 25-6), and Jn vi 19 the expressions used are $\epsilon \pi i$ την θάλασσαν and έπι της θαλάσσης,

πελάγους, καὶ τὸν πυθμένα γυμνοῦντος τοῖς παροδεύουσι κατά την έπι Μωσέως θαυματουργίαν, άλλ' ἄνω της έπιφανείας του ύδατος υπογερσουμένης τη βάσει, καὶ διά τινος ασφαλούς αντιτυπίας ύπερειδούσης τὸ ἴχνος, 5 τήν τε της τροφης ύπεροψίαν ἐφ' ὅσον βούλοιτο, καὶ τὰς ἐν ἐρημία δαψιλεῖς ἐστιάσεις τῶν ἐν πολλαῖς χιλιάσιν εὐωχουμένων, οίς οὕτε οὐρανὸς ἐπέρρει τὸ μάννα, ούτε ή γη κατά την ιδίαν αυτής φύσιν σιτοποιούσα την χρείαν επλήρου, αλλ' έκ των αρρήτων ταμείων της 10 θείας δυνάμεως ή φιλοτιμία προήει, έτοιμος άρτος ταις χερσὶ τῶν διακονούντων ἐγγεωργούμενος καὶ διὰ τοῦ κόρου τῶν ἐσθιόντων πλείων γιγνόμενος, ή τε διὰ τῶν ιχθύων οψοφαγία, ου θαλάσσης αυτοίς προς την χρείαν συνεισφερούσης, άλλά τοῦ καὶ τῆ θαλάσση τὸ γένος 15 των ιχθύων εγκατασπείραντος. και πως αν τις το καθ' έκαστον τῶν εὐαγγελικῶν διεξίοι θαυμάτων; ταύτην τοίνυν την δύναμιν καθορών, ο έχθρος έν έκείνω πλείον

2 om $\epsilon \pi \iota$ l vulg || θαυματουργ.] $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \iota a \nu$ f || 4 $\tau \iota \nu$ os] $\tau \eta$ s l vulg || dghnp \parallel 11 διακονουμένων $g \parallel$ 15 κατασπειρ. vulg \parallel το καθ \parallel τα κ. $\int \tau \omega v$ κ. hp ! 17 om τοινυν d

not διά θαλάσσης, as here, but in Mk vi 53 (Mt. xiv 34) διαπεράσαντες occurs_

 ἀλλ' ἄνω] The sea did not part and lay bare the bottom, as in the miracle of Moses, but in this case the surface of its waters presented a solid ground (ὑποχερσουμένης), and supported (ὑπερειδούσης) His steps by a kind of firm resistance (διά τινος άσφ. άντιτυπ.). For $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi$. cp. c. 8. For $\epsilon \nu \tau \iota \tau \nu \pi i \alpha s$ cp. Gr. Naz. Or. xxxi 32 (p. 189, Mason) σχεθείσα τῷ ἀντιτύπφ. 5. ὑπεροψίαν] 'contempt,' 'dis-

regard.

g. ταμείων] On this form see Deissmann Bible Studies p. 182.

10. φιλοτιμία] 'munificence.'
11. έγγεωργ.] The Latin transl.

of the Paris ed. gives 'panis paratus, eorum qui impartiebantur tanquam agricolarum manibus elaboratus,' taking ταις χερσί with έγγεωργούμενος. The bread was multiplied by the very act of distribution, and so may be said to have been 'produced' in the hands of those who distributed it. The word έγγεωργείν is not found in the Lexicons.

12. κόρου] i.e. the bread increased the more they were filled. A somewhat rhetorical way of expressing the fact narrated in Mt. xiv 20, Mk vi 42, 43 &c.

13. δψοφαγία] 'banquet,' used of a dainty repast. Here it refers to the feeding of the multitude with the fishes.

τοῦ κατεχομένου τὸ προκείμενον εἶδεν ἐν τῷ συναλλάγματι. τούτου χάριν αὐτὸν αίρεῖται λύτρον τῶν ἐν τῆ τοῦ θανάτου φρουρᾳ καθειργμένων γενέσθαι. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἀμήχανον ἦν αὐτὸν γυμνῆ προσβλέψαι τῆ τοῦ θεοῦ φαντασίᾳ, μὴ σαρκός τινα μοῖραν ἐν αὐτῷ θεωρήσαντα, 5 ἡν ἤδη διὰ τῆς άμαρτίας κεχείρωτο. διὰ τοῦτο περικαλύπτεται τῆ σαρκὶ ἡ θεότης, ὡς ἄν, πρὸς τὸ σύντροφόν τε καὶ συγγενὲς αὐτῷ βλέπων, μὴ πτοηθείη τὸν

4 om αυτον l vulg | 6 περικεκαλυπται vulg

1. τὸ προκείμενον] 'saw that what was proposed in the bargain was a gain upon what he held.' By τὸ προκείμ. Gr. means Christ, who represented a type of humanity superior to that which Satan held in bondage (τοῦ κατεχ.). He was therefore not only an equivalent for it, but would leave a margin of gain to Satan.

2. αὐτὸν αἰρεῖται] The idea that Christ's death (or blood) was a ransom to Satan appears in Iren. a. Haer. v 1. 1. It was adopted by Origen, who speaks of the blood of Christ as the price demanded by Satan (in Rom. ii 13) and elsewhere (in Matt. xvi 8) says that Christ gave His ψυχή as a λύτρον to Satan. The idea was still further worked out by succeeding writers. It occurs in more or less developed form in Ambrose, Augustine, Leo I, and Gregory I, in the last of whom it reaches its most repulsive expression. See esp. Ambr. Ep. 1xxii 8; Aug. de Trin. xiii 14; Leo M. Sermo xxii 3; Greg. M. Mor. xxxiii 7. Athanasius does not recognize the theory, while Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xlv 22), and in later times John of Damascus (de Fid. Orth. iii 1, 27) reject it. Still it was widely current until Anselm in his Cur Deus homo guided thought in a different direction.

ib. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau$. θ . $\phi \rho$. $\kappa \alpha \theta$.] Gr. refers here to the harrowing of hell. He does not apply the 'ransom' to those yet living, or to generations to come.

3. άλλὰ μήν] The idea contained in this passage that the humanity of Christ served to veil His Godhead from the eyes of Satan is more fully expressed in c. 24 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ προκαλύμματι τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἐνεκρύφθη τὸ θεῖον, ἵνα...συγκατασπασθή τὸ ἄγκιστρον τῆς θ εότητος, and in c. 26 ἀπατᾶται γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς τῷ τοῦ άνθρώπου προβλήματι ο προαπατήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον. Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxix 13 έπειδη γάρ ψετο άήττητος είναι της κακίας ο σοφιστής, θεότητος έλπίδι δελεάσας ήμας, σαρκός προβλήματι δελεάζεται, ζν' ως τῷ 'Αδαμ προσβαλών, τῷ θεῷ περιπέση. See further Mason Five Theol. Orations of Greg. Naz. p. 117. The earliest trace of this idea of a deception of Satan by the reserve shown in the Incarnation is in Ignatius Eph. xix. It may have been suggested by I Cor. ii 8.

4. προσβλέψαι τῆ...φ.] 'to gaze on the unveiled appearance of God.' For the use of the dat. with προσβλέπειν see Plut. Cato Mi. 65 'Απολλωνίδη τῷ Στοικῷ...προσβλέψας ὁ Κάτων.

7. σύντροφον] 'looking at that which was well-known and familiar.'

προσεγγισμον της ύπερεχούσης δυνάμεως καὶ την ηρέμα διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖζον διαλάμπουσαν δύναμιν κατανοήσας, ἐπιθυμητὸν μᾶλλον ἢ φοβερὸν τὸ φανὲν εἶναι νομίση. ὁρᾶς ὅπως τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῷ δικαίῷ συνέ-5 ζευκται καὶ τὸ σοφὸν τούτων οὐκ ἀποκέκριται. τὸ γὰρ διὰ τῆς τοῦ σώματος περιβολῆς χωρητὴν τὴν θείαν δύναμιν ἐπινοῆσαι γενέσθαι, ὡς ἃν ἡ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν οἰκονομία μὴ παραποδισθείη τῷ φόβῷ τῆς θεικῆς ἐπιφανείας, πάντων κατὰ ταὐτὸν τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει, τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ, τοῦ σοφοῦ, τοῦ δικαίου. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἑλέσθαι σῶσαι τῆς ἀγαθότητός ἐστι μαρτυρία· τὸ δὲ συναλλαγματικὴν ποιήσασθαι τὴν τοῦ κρατουμένου λύτρωσιν τὸ δίκαιον δείκνυσι· τὸ δὲ χωρητὸν δι' ἐπινοίας ποιῆσαι τῷ ἐχθρῷ τὸ ἀχώρητον τῆς ἀνωτάτω σοφίας τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει.

24 'Αλλ' ἐπιζητεῖν εἰκὸς τὸν τῆ ἀκολουθία τῶν εἰρημένων προσέχοντα, ποῦ τὸ δυνατὸν τῆς θεότητος, ποῦ ἡ

8 παρεμποδισθειη $f \parallel \theta$ εικης επιφ.] υψηλης εμφ. fl vulg $\parallel g$ παντως vulg \parallel 10 σοφου] \div του δυνατου $f \parallel$ το μεν...σωσαι desunt in $e \parallel$ 13 ποιησαι δι επ. e

1. την ηρέμα] 'perceiving the power which shone out quietly more and more in His miracles.'

ἐπιθυμητόν] See the passage quoted above from c. 24.

6. χωρητήν] Cp. infra χωρητόν ...τῷ ἐχθρῷ. For the idea cp. c. 26 ἐντὸς τοῦ κρατοῦντος γενέσθαι. The Divine power became χωρητή to Satan by being inseparably united with the humanity, which the adversary had chosen as his λύτρον, and which served to veil the Godhead.

ἐπινοῆσαι] used, like δι' ἐπινοίαs below, of a 'device' or 'invention.'

24. The question, however, may be asked, 'How was the Divine power displayed in the Incarnation?' This can only be answered by considering the sequel of the Gospel story in which

we find power conjoined with love. In the first place Gr. maintains that God's condescension to the weakness of human nature in the Incarnation was a greater proof of omnipotence than any wonders of the natural creation. For it showed that His power is not limited by the bounds of nature, but can pass beyond them, just as our wonder would be excited if we saw a flame stream downward instead of upward. In order that Satan might be led to accept the ransom offered on our behalf, Christ concealed His Godhead in the veil of our humanity and thus introduced life and light into our nature. There was nothing unreasonable in a plan which brought cleansing to those defiled with sin, life to the dead, and guidance to those who had gone astray.

ἀφθαρσία τῆς θείας δυνάμεως ἐν τοῖς εἰρημένοις ὁρᾶται. ἵνα τοίνυν καὶ ταῦτα γένηται καταφανῆ, τὰ ἐφεξῆς τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκοπήσωμεν, ἐν οἶς μάλιστα δείκνυται συγκεκραμένη τῆ φιλανθρωπία ἡ δύναμις. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν τὸ τὴν παντοδύναμον φύσιν καὶ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν 5 τῆς ἀνθρωπότητος καταβῆναι ἰσχῦσαι πλείονα τῆς δυνάμεως τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει ἡ τὰ μέγαλά τε καὶ ὑπερφυῆ τῶν θαυμάτων. τὸ μὲν γὰρ μέγα τι καὶ ὑψηλὸν ἐξεργασθῆναι παρὰ τῆς θείας δυνάμεως κατὰ φύσιν πώς ἐστι καὶ ἀκόλουθον. καὶ οὐκ ἄν τινα ξενισμὸν ἐπάγοι το τῆ ἀκοῆ τὸ λέγειν πᾶσαν τὴν ἐν τῷ κόσμω κτίσιν καὶ πᾶν ὅ τι περ ἔξω τῶν φαινομένων καταλαμβάνεται, ἐν τῆ δυνάμει τοῦ θεοῦ συστῆναι, αὐτοῦ τοῦ θελήματος πρὸς τὸ δοκοῦν οὐσιωθέντος. ἡ δὲ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν κάθοδος περιουσία τίς ἐστι τῆς δυνάμεως οὐδὲν ἐν τοῖς το

24. I om θείας $e \parallel 4$ συγκεκραμενη] νυν κεκραμμ. f συγκεκραμμ. $gl \parallel 5$ om και $l^{vid} \parallel 6-7$ την απ. της δυν. l vul $g \parallel 8$ θαυμ.] δογματων deghnp 10 ακολουθίαν $e \parallel 12$ ο τι περ]+αν vul $g \parallel εξω]+$ αυτων $e \parallel 13$ τη θεου δυν. l vul $g \parallel 15$ ως ουδεν f

2. τοῦ μυστηρίου] here practically = 'the Gospel story,' i.e. the revelation contained in the life of Christ.

4. συγκεκραμένη] Gr. maintains that the power of God can only be considered in conjunction with the purpose of love to which it was directed. The love of God for man provided the most splendid occasion for the exercise of His omnipotence. All through this treatise Gr. emphasizes the moral glory exhibited in the creation and redemption of man. With the present passage may be compared the language of the collect for the xith Sunday after Trinity, 'Deus, qui omnipotentiam tuam parcendo maxime et miserando manifestas' (Gelasian).

8. θαυμάτων] Gr. is thinking especially of the wonders in Creation, not only of miracles in the

more special sense of the word. This is shown by his reference to Creation in the succeeding passages, esp. that beginning οῦτως καὶ τὴν θείαν. In place of θαυμάτων one group of MSS reads δογμάτων which is evidently a corruption.

12. ἔξω τῶν φαιν.] The invisible creation includes the parts of creation beyond our ken, and also the

world of created spirits.

14. οὐσιωθέντος]Οὐσιοῦν='to give being or οὐσία to anything.' The idea is that the thing which was brought into being was but the expression of His will and pleasure.

15. περιονσία] The humiliation of the Son of God is a surpassing display of power, because it exhibits a power which is not limited even by what seems opposed to nature. For the explanatory clause κωλυομένης attached to δυνάμεως without an

παρὰ φύσιν κωλυομένης. ώς γὰρ ἴδιόν ἐστι τῆς τοῦ πυρὸς οὐσίας ή ἐπὶ τὸ ἄνω φορά, καὶ οὐκ ἄν τις θαύματος άξιον έπὶ της φλογὸς ήγήσαιτο τὸ φυσικώς ἐνεργούμενου εί δε ρέουσαν επί το κάτω καθ ομοιότητα των 5 έμβριθων σωμάτων ίδοι την φλόγα, το τοιούτον έν θαύματι ποιείται, πώς τὸ πύρ καὶ διαμένει πύρ δν καὶ ἐν τώ τρόπω της κινήσεως έκβαίνει την φύσιν, έπὶ τὸ κάτω φερόμενον ουτως καὶ τὴν θείαν τε καὶ ὑπερέχουσαν δύναμιν οὐκ οὐρανῶν μεγέθη καὶ φωστήρων 10 αύγαὶ καὶ ή τοῦ παντὸς διακόσμησις καὶ ή διηνεκής των όντων οἰκονομία τοσοῦτον ὅσον ἡ ἐπὶ τὸ ἀσθενὲς τῆς φύσεως ήμῶν συγκατάβασις δείκνυσι, πῶς τὸ ὑψηλόν, έν τῷ ταπεινῷ γενόμενον, καὶ ἐν τῷ ταπεινῷ καθορᾶται καὶ οὐ καταβαίνει τοῦ ὕψους, πῶς θεότης ἀνθρωπίνη 15 συμπλακείσα φύσει καὶ τοῦτο γίνεται καὶ ἐκεῖνό ἐστιν. έπειδη γάρ, καθώς έν τοις ξμπροσθεν είρηται, φύσιν οὐκ είγεν ή εναντία δύναμις ακράτω προσμίξαι τη του θεού παρουσία και γυμνήν υποστήναι αυτού την έμφάνειαν, ώς αν εύληπτον γένοιτο τω ἐπιζητοῦντι ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν τὸ

2 τa and \parallel 3 hypsoito edn hyoito fg^1l hyfeito vulg \parallel 6 om kai ante diamenei l vulg \parallel 12–13 en τ . τa π . To uyhlon $f \parallel$ 13 genomenou... $\tau a\pi$ ein ante vulg \parallel 14 to uyos l vulg \parallel 16 probben dehnp \parallel 17 akrotatu deghnp

article cp. c. 16 $\tau \delta$ δ $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\phi \nu \sigma \epsilon \iota \dots \tau \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ (note). The expression $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \phi \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ is contrasted with $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \phi \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ above.

8. οὕτως καί] The wonders of Creation do not present such a display of Divine power as does the condescension of God in the Incarnation.

11. οἰκονομία] For this use of οἰκονομία cp. antea c. 12 τὰς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομίας ἐπισκοποῦντες.

12. συγκατάβασις] 'condescension,' a term constantly used of the Incarnation.

ib. πώς κ.τ.λ.] The sentence is modelled upon the parallel sentence above, πώς τὸ πύρ κ.τ.λ., where the πωs is preceded by εν θαύματι ποιείται.

14. ού καταβαίνει] The 'condescension' of God does not involve any loss of His transcendent dignity. He becomes man, and is God.

15. τοῦτο γίνεται] An inexact expression. Though the eternal Son became man, it is incorrect to say that His Godhead became ἀνθρ. φύσις.

16. ἐν τοῖς ἔμπρ.] Cp. c. 23.
 ib. φύσιν οὐκ εἶχεν] Cp. c. 15
 and in the present chapter infra.

19. ώς αν εύ. γένοιτο] sc. τὸ θείον. For the idea of Gr. that our Lord's humanity concealed His Divine nature from the eyes of Satan see cc. 23, 26 with notes.

ἀντάλλαγμα, τῷ προκαλύμματι τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἐνεκρύφθη τὸ θεῖον, ἵνα κατὰ τοὺς λίχνους τῶν ἰχθύων τῷ δελέατι τῆς σαρκὸς συγκατασπασθῆ τὸ ἄγκιστρον τῆς θεότητος, καὶ οὕτω τῆς ζωῆς τῷ θανάτῳ εἰσοικισθείσης καὶ τῷ σκότῳ τοῦ φωτὸς ἐπιφανέντος ἐξαφανισθῆ τὸ 5 τῷ φωτὶ καὶ τῆ ζωῆ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενον· οὐ γὰρ ἔχει φύσιν οὕτε σκότος διαμένειν ἐν φωτὸς παρουσία, οὕτε θάνατον εἶναι ζωῆς ἐνεργούσης. οὐκοῦν ἐπὶ κεφαλαίων τοῦ μυστηρίου τὴν ἀκολουθίαν ἀναλαβόντες ἐντελῆ ποιησώμεθα τὴν ἀπολογίαν πρὸς τοὺς κατηγοροῦντας το τῆς θείας οἰκονομίας, ὅτου χάριν δι ἑαυτῆς ἡ θεότης τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην κατεργάζεται σωτηρίαν. δεῖ γὰρ διὰ πάντων τὸ θεῖον ἐν ταῖς πρεπούσαις ὑπολήψεσιν εἶναι καὶ μὴ τὸ μὲν ὑψηλῶς ἐπὶ αὐτοῦ νοεῖσθαι, τὸ δὲ τῆς θεοπρεποῦς ἀξίας ἐκβάλλεσθαι· ἀλλὰ πᾶν ὑψηλόν τε καὶ τς

3 δελεαματι g*hn || συναποσπασθη l vulg || 5 σκοτει vulg || εμφανεντος fl vulg || εξαφανισθητω vulg εξαφανισθειη flvil || 6 ζωη]+το f vulg || 8 κεφαλαιω f vulg || 9 την οικονομιαν και ακολ. f || 10 ποιησομεθα dglp vulg || 12 ου κατεργ. vulg || 13 οπ και f vulg || 14 υπ αυτου e || 15 αξιας] διανοιας f || οπ τε l vulg

2. τοὺς λίχνους] The same comparison is found in Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Ap. 16. Similarly Gregory the Great says (Mor. xxxiii 7), in commenting on Job xl 19, 'in hamo ergo eius incarnationis captus est, quia dum in illo appetit escam corporis, transfixus est aculeo divinitatis.' Jo. Damasc. (de Fid. Orth. iii 27) uses the same comparison of death: πρόσεισι τοιγαροῦν ο θάνατος καί καταπιών τὸ σώματος δέλεαρ τῷ της θεότητος άγκίστρω περιπείρεται. For a discussion of patristic teaching on the relations of the Incarnate Son to Satan see Oxenham Cath. Doctr. of Atonement (2nd ed.) pp. 125-140.

 εlσοικισθεlσης] 'And so when life had been domiciled with death, and light had shone upon darkness, that which is the opposite of light and life might vanish away.'

8. οὐκοῦν] Gr. proposes in what follows to repeat in brief summary (ἐπὶ κεφαλαίων) the course of the argument for the Christian religion. In what follows he gives a rɨsumɨ of the argument from c. 20 onwards.

9. ἐντελῆ] 'complete,' 'sull,' and

so 'effective.'

11. δι' έαυτης] i.e. 'without using

any agency inferior to itself.'

12. δεί γάρ] 'For Godhead can never part with any of its befitting attributes.' For είναι έν see c. 1 p. 9 (note). Gr. is recapitulating the argument of c. 20 init. The διὰ πάντων is emphatic.

14. το μέν] 'one part,' e.g. not

'power' without 'goodness.'

ib. to be 'while another characteristic of the proper dignity of God is parted with.'

εὐσεβες νόημα δεί πάντως ἐπὶ θεοῦ πιστεύεσθαι, καὶ συνηρτήσθαι δι' ἀκολουθίας τῷ ἐτέρῳ τὸ ἔτερον. δέδεικται τοίνυν τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ σοφόν, τὸ δίκαιον, τὸ δυνατόν, τὸ φθορᾶς ἀνεπίδεκτον, πάντα τῶ λόγω τῆς καθ' ἡμᾶς 5 ολκονομίας επιδεικνύμενα, ή άγαθότης εν τώ προελέσθαι σωσαι τὸν ἀπολωλότα καταλαμβάνεται, ή σοφία καὶ ή δικαιοσύνη έν τώ τρόπω της σωτηρίας ήμων διεδείχθη, ή δύναμις εν τῷ γενέσθαι μεν αὐτον εν ομοιώματι άνθρώπου καὶ σχήματι κατὰ τὸ ταπεινὸν τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν 10 καὶ ελπισθήναι δύνασθαι αὐτὸν καθ' όμοιότητα τῶν ανθρώπων τω θανάτω έγκρατηθήναι, γενόμενον δέ τὸ οἰκεῖον έαυτῷ καὶ κατὰ φύσιν ἐργάσασθαι. οἰκεῖον δὲ φωτὶ μὲν ὁ ἀφανισμὸς τοῦ σκότους, ζωῆ δὲ ἡ τοῦ θανάτου καθαίρεσις. ἐπεὶ οὖν τῆς εὐθείας όδοῦ παρενεγ-15 θέντες τὸ κατ' ἀρχὰς τῆς ζωῆς ἐξετράπημεν καὶ τῷ θανάτφ έγκατηνέχθημεν, τί τοῦ εἰκότος έξω παρὰ τοῦ μυστηρίου μανθάνομεν, εἰ ἡ καθαρότης τῶν ἐξ άμαρτίας μολυνθέντων εφάπτεται, καὶ ἡ ζωὴ τῶν τεθνηκότων, καὶ ἡ όδηγία των πεπλανημένων, ώς αν ο τε μολυσμός καθαρ-20 θείη, καὶ ἡ πλάνη θεραπευθείη, καὶ εἰς τὴν ζωὴν τὸ τεθνηκός έπανέλθοι;

1 καν συνηρτ. vulg $\|$ 4 καθ ημων h $\|$ 6 του σωσαι f $\|$ 11 κρατηθηναι h | 12 om και vulg | 14 κατενεχθεντες l vulg | 20 και η πλ. θερα- $\pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i \eta$ desunt in g*p

2. συνηρτησθαι] 'and that the one should be duly connected with the other.' Gr. is again referring to the argument of c. 20. Cp. ibid. εί δὲ πάντα προσήκει συνδραμεῖν ἐν ταις περί θεού δόξαις.

4. τφ λόγφ] Cp. c. 20 έπι τοῦ λόγου της κατ' δυθρωπου οίκουομίας. That passage illustrates the meaning οί καθ' ήμᾶς here.

6. σωσαι τὸν ἀπ.] Lk. xix 10. 8. ἐν ὁμ. ἀνθρ. κ. σχήματι] Phil. ii 7. Τη̂s φ. depends upon το ταπ. not on σχήματι.

10. $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$] refers to the hope entertained by Satan of getting Christ into his power. Gr. is referring to the argument of c. 23. The subject of $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ is the preceding αὐτόν.

τ2. κατὰ φύσιν] explained by what follows. It is the nature of light to expel darkness, and of life to destroy death.

18. εφάπτεται] Cp. c. 16 εl δέ της φύσεως ημών αὐτὸν έφηφθαι λέγει.

- 25. Το δὲ ἐν τῆ φύσει γενέσθαι ἡμῶν τὴν θεότητα τοῖς μὴ λίαν μικροψύχως κατανοοῦσι τὰ ὅντα οὐδένα ἀν ἐκ τοῦ εὐλόγου ξενισμὸν ἐπαγάγοι. τίς γὰρ οὕτω νήπιος τὴν ψυχὴν ὡς εἰς τὸ πῶν βλέπων μὴ ἐν παντὶ πιστεύειν εἰναι τὸ θεῖον, καὶ ἐνδυόμενον καὶ ἐμπεριέχον καὶ ἐγ- ς καθήμενον; τοῦ γὰρ ὅντος ἐξῆπται τὰ ὅντα, καὶ οὐκ ἔνεστιν εἰναί τι μὴ ἐν τῷ ὅντι τὸ εἰναι ἔχον. εἰ οὖν ἐν αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν ἐκεῦνο, τί ἐπαισχύνονται τῆ οἰκονομία τοῦ μυστηρίου τοῦ θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώπω γεγενῆσθαι διδάσκοντος τὸν οὐδὲ νῦν ἔξω τοῦ ἀνθρώπου το εἶναι πεπιστευμένον; εἰ γὰρ καὶ ὁ τρόπος τῆς ἐν ἡμῦν
- **25.** I ημων γενεσθαι l vulg (ημων γεγενησθαι f) \parallel 2 μικροψυχοις vulg \parallel 4 αποβλεπων l vulg \parallel 5 ενδυομεν l ενδυον μεν vulg \parallel περιεχον l vulg \parallel 6 οντως f \parallel τα παντα l vulg \parallel 7 μη...εχονl ει μη...εχοι f \parallel 9 εν τη οικονομ. l vulg \parallel τον θεον l vulg \parallel ανθρωποις f l vulg \parallel το γενεσθαι f \parallel των ανθρωπων l vulg
- 25. That God should come to be in human nature ought not to seem strange to us. For He penetrates, embraces and resides in all things, and all things depend upon Him, so that even now He is not external to man. Though the manner of His presence in Nature is different from that in the Incarnation, yet He is present in man in either instance. In the one case, as the containing and upholding principle of Nature, He permeates our being. In the other case He infused Himself into our nature that He might deliver it from death and make it divine.
- 3. τ is γ 4 ρ] Similarly Athanasius (de Inc. 41—42) appeals to those philosophers who maintained the immanence of God in Creation. The germ of the idea is found in the Timaeus of Plato. In Stoicism it appears as the Anima mundi. Cp. Verg. Aen. vi 724. For Jewish and Christian thought see esp. Wisdom i 7, Eph. iv 6. Both Athand Gr. undoubtedly have the Neo-platonist teaching in view in

their use of such an argument. For a discussion of the present passage, and the relation of Gr. to Christian pantheistic thought, see Harnack Hist. of Dogma Eng. tr. iii 299 ff.

5. ἐνδυόμενον] lit. 'clothing Himself with it.' The Latin transl. of the Paris ed. has 'induentem.' For the idea cp. Ps. civ [ciii] 1, 2.

ib. ἐμπεριέχον] 'embracing it.' Cp. Ps. cxxxix [cxxxviii] 7; Jer. xxiii 4: Amos ix 2. 3.

xxiii 4; Amos ix 2, 3.

ib. εγκαθήμενον] 'residing in it.'
Cp. Is. xl 22; Ps. civ [ciii] 3.

- 6. τοῦ...ὄντος] Εχ. iii 14.
 ib. ἐξῆπται] Cp. c. 5 τοῦ κόσμου
 παντὸς ἡ ὑπόστασις τῆς τοῦ λόγου
 δυνάμεως ἐξῆπται.
- 9. ἐν ἀνθρώπω] The reading ἀνθρώποις is plainly a correction, due to the idea that ἀνθρώπω might suggest that Christ assumed 'a man,' instead of human nature. Cp. c. 16 (note). Here it evidently means 'in man.'

10. oὐδὲ νῦν ἔξω] explained in what follows νῦν μὲν οῦν κ.τ.λ. There is of course a wide difference

τοῦ θεοῦ παρουσίας οὐχ ὁ αὐτὸς οὖτος ἐκείνω, ἀλλ' οὖν τὸ ἐν ἡμῖν εἶναι καὶ νῦν καὶ τότε κατὰ τὸ ἴσον διωμολόγηται. νῦν μὲν οὖν ἐγκέκραται ἡμῖν ὡς συνέχων ἐν τῷ εἶναι τὴν φύσιν· τότε δὲ κατεμίχθη πρὸς τὸ ἡμέ-5 τερον, ἵνα τὸ ἡμέτερον τῆ πρὸς τὸ θεῖον ἐπιμιξία γένηται θεῖον, ἐξαιρεθὲν τοῦ θανάτου καὶ τῆς τοῦ ἀντικειμένου τυραννίδος ἔξω γενόμενον· ἡ γὰρ ἐκείνου ἀπὸ τοῦ θανάτου ἐπανοδος ἀρχὴ τῷ θνητῷ γένει τῆς εἰς τὴν ἀθάνατον ζωὴν ἐπανόδου γίγνεται.

26. 'Αλλ' ἴσως τις ἐν τῆ τῆς δικαιοσύνης τε καὶ σοφίας ἐξετάσει τῆς κατὰ τὴν οἰκονομίαν ταύτην θεωρουμένης ἐνάγεται πρὸς τὸ νομίσαι ἀπάτην τινὰ τὴν τοιαύτην μέθοδον ἐπινενοῆσθαι ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν τῷ θεῷ· τὸ γὰρ μὴ γυμνῆ τῆ θεότητι, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης φύσεως

1 om o vulg || 3 ο συνεχ. l vulg || 5 ινα το ημ.] om το ημ. vulg || 6 της αντικειμενης τυρ. e **26.** 10–11 δικ. εξετασει και σοφιας l vulg || 12 om την vulg || 13 om μη l* ου vulg

between the 'hypostatic' or personal union of God with man in Christ, and the union of God with creation through the indwelling of the Word. This is not clearly brought out by Gr., although the contrast which he proceeds to draw in the next clause involves some such idea.

1. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ \dot{obv} $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] a clause answering to $\dot{\epsilon}i$ $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$. 'Though... yet anyhow.' Now refers to the presence of God in man in the course of nature, $\tau\dot{o}\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ to His presence in man through the Incarmation.

4. την φύσιν] 'nature' (not specially human nature).

26. The fact that the Godhead was veiled from Satan may be thought to involve an act of deception which is inconsistent with instice and wisdom. To this Gr. replies that the justice of God was shown by requiting Satan according to his deserts, in that the deceiver was in turn deceived. God's wisdom was displayed in combining with a just recompense a purpose of love.

The conspirator and the physician both mix a drug with food, but the aim of the one is destructive, while that of the other is beneficent. The purpose of the deceit practised upon Satan was to benefit not merely the victim of Satan's deception, but also the deceiver himself. The Divine power in its contact with evil acts as a refining fire. Satan himself shall be purged by it and be led to acknowledge the justice and saving efficacy of the Incarnation. Then, when the purifying discipline has done its work, all Creation shall send up to God a chorus of praise.

12. ἀπάτην τινά] The text has the support of all the MSS. The words must be regarded as forming a secondary predicate, 'that this method devised for us by God is a kind of trick.' The reading of Krab. is ἀπάτη τινί, which appears in the margin of the late MS c, being undoubtedly, as he shows, a conjectural emendation of Max. Margunius, who wrote the MS.

κεκαλυμμένη, άγνοηθέντα παρά τοῦ έχθροῦ, τὸν θεὸν έντὸς τοῦ κρατοῦντος γενέσθαι ἀπάτη τίς ἐστι τρόπον τινά και παραλογισμός, ἐπείπερ ἴδιον τῶν ἀπατώντων έστὶ τὸ πρὸς ἔτερον τὰς τῶν ἐπιβουλευομένων ἐλπίδας τρέπειν καὶ ἄλλο παρὰ τὸ ἐλπισθὲν κατεργάζεσθαι, 5 άλλ' ὁ πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν βλέπων πάντων μάλιστα καὶ τοῦτο τῆς δικαιοσύνης τε καὶ τῆς σοφίας είναι συνθήσεται. δικαίου μεν γάρ έστι το κατ' αξίαν εκάστω νέμειν, σοφού δὲ τὸ μήτε παρατρέπειν τὸ δίκαιον, μήτε τὸν ἀγαθὸν τῆς φιλανθρωπίας σκοπὸν ἀποχωρίζειν τῆς 10 κατά τὸ δίκαιον κρίσεως, άλλά συνάπτειν άλλήλοις εὐμηχάνως ἀμφότερα, τῆ μὲν δικαιοσύνη τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν αντιδιδόντα, τη δε αγαθότητι τοῦ σκοποῦ της φιλανθρωπίας οὐκ ἐξιστάμενον. σκοπήσωμεν τοίνυν εἰ μὴ τὰ δύο ταῦτα τοῖς γεγονόσιν ἐνθεωρεῖται. ἡ μὲν γὰρ 15 τοῦ κατ' ἀξίαν ἀντίδοσις, δι' ής ὁ ἀπατεών ἀνταπατᾶται, τὸ δίκαιον δείκνυσιν, ὁ δὲ σκοπὸς τοῦ γιγνομένου μαρτυρία της του ένεργουντος αγαθότητος γίγνεται. ίδιον μέν γάρ της δικαιοσύνης το έκεινα νέμειν έκάστω, ών τις τὰς ἀρχὰς καὶ τὰς αἰτίας προκατεβάλετο, ὥσπερ ἡ γῆ 20 κατά τὰ γένη τῶν καταβληθέντων σπερμάτων καὶ τοὺς καρπούς ἀντιδίδωσιν· σοφίας δὲ τὸ ἐν τῷ τρόπῳ τῆς τῶν

3 απατωντων] απαντων $l^* \parallel 6$ om την $h \parallel 17$ γενομενου $h \parallel 18$ om γιγνεται $f \parallel 20$ -εβαλλετο $l \parallel 22$ αναδιδωσιν l vulg

1. ἀγνοηθέντα] Cp. antea c. 24. Behind this conception of an act of deceit practised on Satan there lies the more profound idea that Satan's cunning was outwitted by God's wisdom. The 'ars ut artem falleret' of Venantius (in the hymn 'Pange lingua') is applied to a different point in the history of redemption.

5. παρὰ τὸ ἐλπισθέν] The victim of a trick is taken by surprise and finds his expectations disappointed.

7. τοῦτο] i.e. the outwitting of Satan.

12. τη μέν δικαιοσύνη] Krab.

regards δικ. as governed by ἀντι-διδόντα, leaving ἀγαθότητι to be regarded as a dat. of circumstance or respect. The Latin rendering of the Paris edd. is similar. It is better, however, with Moore, to regard both datives as similar in construction. In justice, making a proper recompense; in goodness, not departing from the purpose of love to man.

16. ἀπατεών] 'deceiver.' The word commonly denotes a 'quack' or 'impostor.'

20. ωσπερ ή γη] an application of St Paul's maxim (Gal. vi 7) δ γάρ

όμοίων αντιδόσεως μη έκπεσείν του βελτίονος. ώσπερ γὰρ τῷ ἐδέσματι ὁμοίως παραμίγνυσι τὸ φάρμακον καὶ ο έπιβουλεύων καὶ ο τον έπιβουλευθέντα ιώμενος άλλ' ο μεν το δηλητήριον, ο δε του δηλητηρίου άλεξητήριον, 5 καὶ οὐδὲν ὁ τρόπος τῆς θεραπείας τὸν σκοπὸν τῆς εὐεργεσίας διελυμήνατο εί γάρ καὶ παρ' άμφοτέρων φαρμάκου μίξις ἐν τροφη γίγνεται, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸν σκοπὸν αποβλέψαντες τον μέν επαινούμεν, τώ δε γαλεπαίνομεν ούτω καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῷ μὲν κατὰ τὸ δίκαιον λόγω ἐκεῖνα 10 ο απατεών αντιλαμβάνει, ών τα σπέρματα δια της ίδίας προαιρέσεως κατεβάλετο άπαταται γάρ καὶ αὐτὸς τῷ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου προβλήματι ὁ προαπατήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον τῶ τῆς ήδονῆς δελεάσματι · ὁ δὲ σκοπὸς τῶν γιγνομένων έπὶ τὸ κρεῖττον τὴν παραλλαγὴν ἔχει. ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ 15 διαφθορά της φύσεως την απάτην ενήργησεν, δ δε δίκαιος άμα καὶ ἀγαθὸς καὶ σοφὸς ἐπὶ σωτηρία τοῦ καταφθαρέντος τη έπινοία της απάτης έχρησατο, οὐ μόνον τὸν ἀπολωλότα διὰ τούτων εὐεργετῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ αυτον τον την απώλειαν καθ' ήμων ένεργήσαντα. έκ γάρ

3 επιβουλευθεντα] επιβουλευοντα e || 4 αλεξιτηρ. vulg αλξιτηρ. eh || 7 εν τη τροφη f | 8 τω δε] τον δε vulg | 10 αντιλαμβανεται d | 10-11 της προ. της ιδιας f || 14 παραλλαγην] μεταβολην f || 16 αμα και αγ.] και αγ. αμα e | 16-17 των καταφθαρεντων f | 19 την απωλ.] om την vulg

έὰν σπείρη ἄνθρωπος, τοῦτο καὶ θερί-

 τοῦ βελτίονος] i.e. τοῦ σκοποῦ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\phi i \lambda a \nu \hat{\theta} \rho \omega \pi i a s$, which he has mentioned above.

ib. ωσπερ γάρ] The method of the cure in the case of the physician is the same as that of the poisoner, but that does not interfere with the beneficence of its intention.

τῷ μὲν...λόγῳ] 'on the prin-

ciple of justice."

11. ἀπατᾶται γάρ] a parenthesis. The main sentence is resumed with ό δὲ σκοπός.

12. προβλήματι] 'the screen' of the human nature, which concealed

His Divinity. See note c. 23 p. 89 with references. For Tob αυθρώπου see note c. 16 p. 72.

13. δελεάσματι] Cp. anten c. 21 δελέατος δίκην τῷ τῆς κακίας ἀγκίστρῳ της του καλού φαντασίας περιπλασ- $\theta \epsilon l \sigma \eta s$.

ib. ο δε σκοπός] The purpose of the deception changes the nature of the action and makes it good.

17. επινοία] Cp. antea c. 23

δι' έπινοίας with note.

19. αύτον τον τ. άπ....ένεργ.] For the idea that Satan himself shall be purged and finally saved, see Orig. de Princ. iii 6 (cp. i 6).

ib. έκ γὰρ τοῦ προσ.] The

τοῦ προσεγγίσαι τῆ ζωῆ μὲν τὸν θάνατον, τῷ φωτὶ δὲ τὸ σκότος, τῆ ἀφθαρσία δὲ τὴν φθοράν, ἀφανισμὸς μὲν τοῦ χείρονος γίγνεται καὶ εἰς τὸ μὴ ον μεταχώρησις, ωφέλεια δὲ τοῦ ἀπὸ τούτων καθαιρομένου. καθάπερ γάρ, ἀτιμοτέρας ὕλης τῷ χρυσῷ καταμιχθείσης, τῆ διὰ τοῦς πυρός δαπάνη τὸ ἀλλότριόν τε καὶ ἀπόβλητον οί θεραπευταί του χρυσίου καταναλώσαντες πάλιν ἐπανάγουσι πρὸς τὴν κατὰ φύσιν λαμπηδόνα τὴν προτιμοτέραν ὕλην: οὐκ ἄπονος μέντοι γίνεται ή διάκρισις, γρόνω τοῦ πυρὸς τη αναλωτική δυνάμει το νόθον έξαφανίζοντος, πλην 10 άλλα θεραπεία τίς έστι τοῦ χρυσίου τὸ έκτακῆναι αὐτὸ τὸ ἐπὶ λύμη τοῦ κάλλους ἐγκείμενον· κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπου, θανάτου καὶ φθορᾶς καὶ σκότους καὶ εἴ τι κακίας ἔκγονον τῷ εὑρετἢ τοῦ κακοῦ περιφυέντων, ὁ προσεγγισμός της θείας δυνάμεως πυρός δίκην άφανι- 15 σμον του παρά φύσιν κατεργασάμενος εὐεργετεί τη καθάρσει την φύσιν, καν επίπονος ή διάκρισις ή. οὐκοῦν οὐδ' ἃν παρ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἀντικειμένου μη εἶναι δίκαιόν τε καὶ σωτήριον τὸ γεγονὸς ἀμφιβάλοιτο, εἴπερ εἰς αἴσθησιν τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἔλθοι. νυνὶ γὰρ καθάπερ οἱ ἐπὶ θερα- 20 πεία τεμνόμενοί τε καὶ καιόμενοι χαλεπαίνουσι τοῖς

5 της ατιμ. υλ. fl vulg | 7 χρυσου dehnp | 8 προτιμοτεραν | προτεραν $fg^1l^1p^* \parallel 11$ αυτο] αυτω dgp παρ αυτω l vulg $\parallel 12$ καλλους] καλου l vulg | 14 $\epsilon \gamma \gamma$ ovov degl^{vid} hnp | 17 καθαρσεί] αφθαρσία vulg + $\epsilon \xi$ αιρεθεντος του αυτη καταμιχθέντος ου καλου $g^1 \parallel 19$ -βαλλοιτο l vulg \parallel 20 έλθοι νυν και γαρ gp ελθοι νυν' ει γαρ d (om γαρ e) l'n ελθοι νυν' οι γαρ h | θερα-TEIRS P

contact of sinful creatures with God must result in the final disappearance of evil, and the purification of those affected by it. The Divine power acts as a refiner's fire, which shall purge even Satan himself. For the teaching of Gr. on the κάθαρσις of souls see cc. 8, 35 (notes). The allusion to the refiner's fire occurs in Orig. c. Cels. vi 44. 9. οὐκ ἄπ. μέντοι] The μέντοι

is answered by πλην άλλα below.

19. $\tau \delta \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \delta s$] i.e. the $a\pi a \tau \eta$

practised.

ib. $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon\rho...\epsilon\lambda\theta$ oi] The form of expression suggests uncertainty. Gr. is venturing a conjecture of what might possibly be.

20. νυνὶ γάρ] Similarly Origen urges (c. Cels. vi 56) that, as men do not blame physicians for the pain which they inflict, neither must men blame God for the pain of remedial punishments. __

θεραπεύουσι, τἢ ὀδύνη τῆς τομῆς δριμυσσόμενοι, εἰ δὲ τὸ ὑγιαίνειν διὰ τούτων προσγένοιτο καὶ ἡ τῆς καύσεως ἀλγηδὼν παρέλθοι, χάριν εἴσονται τοῖς τὴν θεραπείαν ἐπ' αὐτῶν ἐνεργήσασι· κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ταῖς 5 μακραῖς περιόδοις ἐξαιρεθέντος τοῦ κακοῦ τῆς φύσεως, τοῦ νῦν αὐτῆ καταμιχθέντος καὶ συμφυέντος, ἐπειδὰν ἡ εἰς τὸ ἀρχαῖον ἀποκατάστασις τῶν νῦν ἐν κακία κειμένων γένηται, ὁμόφωνος ἡ εὐχαριστία παρὰ πάσης ἔσται τῆς κτίσεως, καὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ καθάρσει κεκολασμένων καὶ το τῶν μηδὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐπιδεηθέντων καθάρσεως. ταῦτα

2 τουτου l vulg \parallel 4 επ αυτου e επ αυτουs vulg \parallel 6 αυτοις καταμ. vulg \parallel om η e \parallel 7 κειμενων \rfloor γενομενων g^1 \parallel 8 om η l vulg

4. ταὶς μ. περιόδοις] For περίοδος in the sense of a 'circuitous route' cp. c. 15 p. 64 and c. 17 p. 73. In de An. et Res. pp. 152, 157 (Migne) Gr. uses the expressions τοῖς καθήκουσι χρόνοις, μακραῖς ποτὲ περιόδοις. See further note on ἀποκατάστασις below.

els τὸ ἀρχ.] Gr. conceives
of the primal condition of man and
of his tempter, as it existed ideally,
and as it would have been realized
had not sin intervened.

ib. ἀποκατάστασις] The source of the phrase is Acts iii 21. For Gr.'s further treatment of the question see esp. de An. et Res. pp. 104, 152, 157—60 (Migne); Or. de Mortuis pp. 524, 525 (Migne); de Hom. Opif. c. 21, and c. 35 of this treatise. Germanus, Bp of Constantinople (obiit 733 A.D.), acc. to Photius (Bibl. Cod. 233), maintained that Gr.'s works had been falsified by the Origenists, who had inserted many passages from Origen's writings. But such language occurs too frequently in Gr.'s writings to admit of this supposition, which is not borne out by any indications of a change of style. Vincenzi (in S. Greg. Nyss. et Origenis scripta et doctrinam) has attempted to weaken the force of this universalistic teaching, by pointing to other passages

where Gr. appears to assert the eternity of punishment. Thus in Or. c. Usurarios pp. 436, 452 (Migne) he has alώνιος λύπη and η αlώνιος κόλασις. Gr. in fact does not exhibit perfect consistency of language on the subject. In numerous passages he asserts the ἀποκατάστασις. In others (e.g. c. 40) he repeats the language of Scripture about 'the unquenchable fire' and 'the undying worm.' There is similar inconsistency in his treatment of human generation. c. 28 p. 105 (note). In the present treatise his polemic against the Manichaeans and his idea of the negative character of evil would incline him to emphasize the restoration of all things. In de An. et Res. p. 104 (Migne) he makes use of 1 Cor. xv 28. See further Introd. p. xxiii, and on the subsequent history of the doctrine of αποκατάστασις see Schwane Dogmengeschichte ii pp. 240 f., 604 f., 611 f.

8. ὁμόφωνος] Gr. uses similar language in de An. et Res. p. 72 (Migne) άλλὰ και παρ' ἐκεινων όμοφώνως ἡ ὁμολογία τῆς τοῦ Χριστοῦ κυριότητος ἔσται.

9-10. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ... \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$] See c. 35 where the two classes are more exactly defined.

καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα παραδίδωσι τὸ μέγα μυστήριον τῆς θείας ἐνανθρωπήσεως. δι' ὧν γὰρ κατεμίχθη τῆ ἀνθρω-πότητι, διὰ πάντων τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἰδιωμάτων γενό-μενος, γενέσεώς τε καὶ ἀνατροφῆς καὶ αὐξήσεως, καὶ μέχρι τῆς τοῦ θανάτου πείρας διεξελθών, τὰ προειρη-5 μένα πάντα κατείργασται, τόν τε ἄνθρωπον τῆς κακίας ελευθερῶν καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν τῆς κακίας εύρετὴν ἰώμενος. ἴασις γάρ ἐστιν ἀρρωστίας ἡ τοῦ νοσήματος κάθαρσις, κἃν ἐπίπονος ἦ.

27. ᾿Ακόλουθον δὲ πάντως τὸν πρὸς τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν 10 ἀνακιρνάμενον διὰ πάντων δέξασθαι τῶν ἰδιωμάτων αὐτῆς τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς συνανάκρασιν. καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ τὸν ῥύπον τῶν ἱματίων ἐκπλύνοντες οὐ τὰ μὲν ἐῶσι τῶν μολυσμάτων, τὰ δὲ ἀπορρύπτουσιν, ἀλλ' ἀπ' ἀρχῆς ἄχρι τέλους ἐκκαθαίρουσι τῶν κηλίδων ἄπαν τὸ ὕφασμα, ὡς 15 ἄν ὁμότιμον ἑαυτῷ δι' ὅλου τὸ ἱμάτιον γένοιτο, κατὰ τὸ

8 νοσηματος] σωματος gp **27.** 12 om γαρ deg*hnp || 14 απορριπτουσιν | 1 vulg || 16 εαυτω] εν αυτω | | γενηται | | | 7 κατα | | | 7 vulg

3. lδιωμάτων] 'the properties' or 'distinguishing characteristics' of human nature. Cp. c. 27 init. and p. 104.

7. αὐτὸν τὸν...εὐρετήν] In this passage Gr. definitely connects the healing of Satan with the Incarnation. In what way its benefits were applied to the adversary he does not tell us, nor does he discuss the relation of the κάθαρσις to the historical work of Christ or show the relation of his idea to the language of Scripture.

27. It was needful that He Who assumed our nature should assume it in all its distinctive features. That nature needed to be cleansed in every part. Corresponding to this the Power which restores human nature must embrace it in its whole extent from beginning to end. This could only be effected by a human birth. It might be urged that a heavenly body

could have been assumed. But humanity was not in heaven, and there can be no cure which does not touch the ailing part. Again there is no more dishonour in assuming a human than a heavenly body. Everything created, whether in heaven or on earth, is equally below the dignity of God. But if all things are equally below God, the one thing that is consistent with His honour is to succour the needy. And it is this which we acknowledge Him to have done.

11. ἀνακιρνάμενον] 'infusing Himself into.' Cp. c. 11 κατακιρνάται.

ib. ιδιωμάτων] Cp. c. 26 supra (note).

12. συνανάκρασιν] Cp. c. 16 p. 70, and see note c. 11 p. 57.

15. υφασμα] 'the whole texture of the garment.'

16. δμότιμον] 'uniform in character.'

ίσον λαμπρυνθέν έκ της πλύσεως ούτως, μολυνθείσης τη άμαρτία της ανθρωπίνης ζωής εν αρχή τε και τελευτή καὶ τοῖς διὰ μέσου πᾶσιν, ἔδει διὰ πάντων γενέσθαι τὴν έκπλύνουσαν δύναμιν, καὶ μὴ τὸ μέν τι θεραπεῦσαι τῷ 5 καθαρσίω, τὸ δὲ περιιδεῖν ἀθεράπευτον. τούτου χάριν της ζωης ημών δύο πέρασιν έκατέρωθεν διειλημμένης, τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν φημι καὶ τὸ τέλος, καθ' ἐκάτερον ευρίσκεται πέρας ή διορθωτική της φύσεως δύναμις, καὶ της αρχης άψαμένη καὶ μέχρι τοῦ τέλους ξαυτην έπεκτο τείνασα καὶ τὰ διὰ μέσου τούτων πάντα διαλαβοῦσα. μιας δὲ πασιν ἀνθρώποις τῆς εἰς τὴν ζωὴν οὕσης παρόδου, πόθεν έδει τὸν εἰσιόντα πρὸς ἡμᾶς εἰσοικισθῆναι τῷ βίῳ; ἐξ οὐρανοῦ, φησὶ τυχὸν ὁ διαπτύων ώς αἰσχρόν τε καὶ ἄδοξον τὸ είδος τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης γενέσεως. ἀλλ' 15 οὐκ ἦν ἐν οὐρανῷ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, οὐδέ τις ἐν τἢ ὑπερκοσμίω ζωή κακίας νόσος ἐπεχωρίαζεν. ὁ δὲ τῷ ἀνθρώπω καταμιγνύμενος τω σκοπώ της ώφελείας έποιείτο την συνανάκρασιν. ἔνθα τοίνυν τὸ κακὸν οὐκ ήν, οὐδὲ ό ἀιθρώπινος ἐπολιτεύετο βίος, πῶς ἐπιζητεῖ τις ἐκεῖθεν

4 om τι deghnp \parallel 7 τω κατα dehn \parallel 9 om του e \parallel επεκτεινουσα e \parallel 10 το δια p \parallel 11 μ ιας δε] exstant seqq in euth 1456 \parallel 12 οικισθηναι $\|$ vulg $\|$ 13 φησει el*vid η φυσει $\|$ euth 16 $\|$ 16 επεχωριασεν dglp $\|$ 16-18 ο δε...συνανακρασιν om euth 16 $\|$ 17 προς τω σκοπω $\|$ 18 ανακρασιν $\|$ και συνανακ. $\|$ 19 om o fl vulg

4-5. $τ\hat{\varphi}$ καθαρσί φ] On the word καθάρσων see Suicer. It is here used in its primary sense of a 'purification.'

6. διειλημμένης] 'embraced within two limits, one on either side.' Cp.

διαλαβούσα below.

10. τὰ διὰ μέσου] Gr. assigns a place in the work of redemption to the whole of Christ's earthly life and not merely to the death. Similarly Iren. ii 33. 2 says: Omnes enim venit per semetipsum salvare ...infantes, et parvulos, et pueros, et iuvenes, et seniores. Ideo per omnem venit aetatem.

11. $\mu \hat{\alpha}s \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ The section which follows as far as the end of ch. 28 is reproduced in Euthymius Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vii pp. 224 f. (Migne).

20gm. ρετείε. ντι pp. 224 τ. (Migne). 12. είσοικισθήναι] Cp. c. 24 της ζωής τω θανάτω είσοικισθείσης.

τῆς ζωῆς τῷ θανάτῷ εἰσοικισθείσης.

13. ἐξ οὐρανοῦ] The belief that the flesh of Christ descended from Heaven was actually attributed to Apollinaris (cp. Vincent Lir. Common. xii (17)), though apparently without sufficient reason. The idea however seems to have been current and was refuted by Greg. Naz. in his first Epistle to Cledonius. This may have suggested the idea here to Gr.

τω θεῷ περιπλακῆναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, μᾶλλον δὲ οὐχὶ ἄνθρωπον, ἀλλὰ ἀνθρώπου τι εἴδωλον καὶ ὁμοίωμα; τίς δὶ ᾶν ἐγένετο τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἡ διόρθωσις, εἰ τοῦ ἐπιγείου ζώου νενοσηκότος ἔτερόν τι τῶν οὐρανίων τὴν θείαν ἐπιμιξίαν ἐδέξατο; οὐκ ἔστι γὰρ θεραπευθῆναι 5 τὸν κάμνοντα, μὴ τοῦ πονοῦντος μέρους ἰδιαζόντως δέξαμένου τὴν ἴασιν. εἰ οὖν τὸ μὲν κάμνον ἐπὶ γῆς ἦν, ἡ δὲ θεία δύναμις τοῦ κάμνοντος μὴ ἐφήψατο, πρὸς τὸ ἑαυτῆς βλέπουσα πρέπον, ἄχρηστος ἦν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ ἡ περὶ τὰ μηδὲν ἡμῖν ἐπικοινωνοῦντα τῆς θείας δυνάμεως ἀσχο- 10 λία. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀπρεπὲς ἐπὶ τῆς θεότητος ἴσον, εἴπερ δλως θεμιτόν ἐστιν ἄλλο τι παρὰ τὴν κακίαν ἀπρεπὲς ἐννοεῖν. πλὴν τῷ μικροψύχως ἐν τούτω κρίνοντι τὴν θείαν μεγαλειότητα, ἐν τῷ μὴ δέξασθαι τῶν τῆς φύσεως

3 om η euth 456 || 5 $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \iota \xi$.] $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \iota \alpha \nu$ 1 vulg || 8 $\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ 1 euth

περιπλακῆναι] lit. 'that the man should be enfolded around the God.' The humanity is conceived of as a vesture with which the Godhead is clothed. For τὸν ἀνθρωπον cp. c. 16 ἐν γὰρ τῷ ἀναληφθέντι παρ' αὐτοῦ ἀνθρώπω (note).

2. είδωλον] A body taken from any other source than human nature would be nothing else than an imitation of a man.

3. τίς δ' ἀν] Another argument against the suggestion contained in ἐξ οὐρανοῦ above. Human nature would not benefit from the commingling of the Divine with anything else but itself. The remedy must be applied to the ailing part.

8-9. πρὸς τὸ...πρέπου] A causal clause attached closely to the hypothetical statement εί...μὴ ἐφήψατο. Ἑαυτῆς is governed by πρέπου which is found in a few cases with the gen. Cp. Soph. Ajax 534 with Jebb's note. Here the gen. may be due to the substantival notion contained in τὸ πρέπου.

9-10. \(\daggera_{\cdots\dagger}\) i The occupa-

tion of the Divine Power with objects which have nothing in common with us would have been of no profit to man.' In τὰ μ. ἡ. ἐπικοινωνοῦντα he is of course referring to τὰ οὐράνια above.

11. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀπρεπές] Gr. now passes on to another point. The indignity to God is no greater in the case of the assumption of an earthly, than of a heavenly body. The real line of demarcation is not between earthly and heavenly, but between created and uncreated.

ib. $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \rho$] Gr. contests in his usual manner the appropriateness of the use of the word $a\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon s$ with reference to anything but moral evil.

13. πλην κ.τ.λ.] 'But for him who in a narrow spirit judges that the Divine majesty consists in this, that it does not admit of participation in the peculiarities of our nature, the dishonour is not lessened by the fact that the Divine Being is conformed to a heavenly rather than to an earthly body.'

ήμων ιδιωμάτων την κοινωνίαν, οὐδεν μαλλον παραμυθείται τὸ ἄδοξον οὐρανίω σώματι ἡ ἐπιγείω συσγηματισθηναι τὸ θείον. τοῦ γὰρ ὑψίστου καὶ ἀπροσίτου κατὰ τὸ ὕψος τῆς Φύσεως ἡ κτίσις πᾶσα κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἐπὶ τὸ 5 κάτω ἀφέστηκε, καὶ ὁμοτίμως αὐτῷ τὸ πᾶν ὑποβέβηκε. τὸ γὰρ καθ' ὅλου ἀπρόσιτον οἴ τινι μέν ἐστι προσιτόν. τῶ δὲ ἀπροσπέλαστον, ἀλλ' ἐπ' ἴσης πάντων τῶν ὅντων ύπερανέστηκεν. οὔτε οὖν ή γη πορρωτέρω της άξίας έστίν, ούτε ο ουρανός πλησιαίτερος, ούτε τὰ ἐν ἐκατέρω 10 των στοιχείων ενδιαιτώμενα διαφέρει τι άλλήλων εν τώ μέρει τούτω, ώς τὰ μὲν ἐφάπτεσθαι της ἀπροσίτου φύσεως, τὰ δὲ ἀποκρίνεσθαι, ἡ οὕτω γ' ἃν μὴ διὰ πάντων έπ' ἴσης διήκειν τὴν τὸ πῶν ἐπικρατοῦσαν δύναμιν ὑπονοήσαιμεν, άλλ' έν τισι πλεονάζουσαν, έν έτέροις ένδε-15 εστέραν είναι, καὶ τῆ πρὸς τὸ ἔλαττόν τε καὶ πλέον καὶ μᾶλλον καὶ ήττον διαφορά σύνθετον ἐκ τοῦ ἀκολούθου τὸ θεῖον ἀναφανήσεται, αὐτὸ πρὸς έαυτὸ μὴ συμβαῖνον, είπερ ήμων πόρρωθεν ύπονοοιτο είναι τω λόγω τής φύσεως, ετέρω δέ τινι γειτνιών καὶ εὔληπτον έκ τοῦ

4-5 αφ. επι τ. κ. f \parallel 7 τινι δε απ. g^1l^1 το δε απ. $vulg \parallel$ om των οντων $p \parallel 8$ υπερανεστηκεν \rceil desunt seqq in euth \parallel 9 πλησιεστερος l $vulg \parallel$ 10 απ αλληλων $p \parallel$ 12 ουτω \rceil ουτε $vulg \parallel \mu\eta \rceil$ $\mu\eta\tau$ ε del vulg ει $\mu\eta$ $n \parallel$ 13 διακρατουσαν $g^1 \parallel$ 16 το μ αλλον και το ηττον $d \parallel$ 18 $\mu\eta$ πορρωθεν dghnp \parallel επινοοιτο $g^*p \parallel$ 19 γειτνιωη dghnp \parallel ενληπτος fl^* vulg

1. ἰδιωμάτων] slightly diff. from the use supra and in c. 26. Here it is rather 'peculiarities.'

ib. παραμυθείται] 'pacify,' 'relieve,' 'soften.' The subj. of the verb is συσχ. τὸ θεῖον.

6. καθ' ὄλου] God transcends creation absolutely and not merely relatively.

12. ἡ οῦτω γ' ἄν] To assume that some parts of Creation are nearer than others to the Divine Nature impairs belief in the completeness of the Divine immanence.

16. σύνθετον] 'on account of the difference of measure and degree, the Divine Being will in consequence appear to be composite, and incongruous with itself, if it be conceived to be remote from us, so far as its nature is concerned, but be adjacent to some other created thing and from its nearness easily apprehended.'

18. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \phi \delta \sigma \epsilon \omega \hat{s}$ is explained by $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \delta \pi \rho \sigma \delta \tau \phi \phi \delta \sigma \epsilon \omega \hat{s}$ above. Gr. is referring to the false deductions drawn from the greatness

of God.

σύνεγγυς γίγνοιτο. ἀλλ' ὁ ἀληθὴς λόγος ἐπὶ τῆς ὑψηλῆς ἀξίας οὕτε κάτω βλέπει διὰ συγκρίσεως, οὕτε ἄνω·
πάντα γὰρ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον τὴν τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστατοῦσαν
δύναμιν ὑποβέβηκεν, ὥστε, εἰ τὴν ἐπίγειον φύσιν ἀναξίαν τῆς πρὸς τὸ θεῖον οἰήσονται συμπλοκῆς, οὐδ' αν 5
ἄλλη τις εὑρεθείη τὸ ἄξιον ἔχουσα. εἰ δὲ ἐπ' ἴσης πάντα
τῆς ἀξίας ἀπολιμπάνεται, εν πρέπον ἐστὶ τῷ θεῷ τὸ
εὐεργετεῖν τὸν δεόμενον. ὅπου τοίνυν ἦν ἡ νόσος, ἐκεῖ
φοιτῆσαι τὴν ἰωμένην δύναμιν ὁμολογοῦντες, τί ἔξω τῆς
θεοπρεποῦς ὑπολήψεως πεπιστεύκαμεν;

28. 'Αλλὰ κωμφδοῦσι τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν, καὶ τὸν τῆς γεννήσεως τρόπον διαθρυλλοῦσι, καὶ οἴονται διὰ τούτων ἐπιγέλαστον ποιεῖν τὸ μυστήριον, ὡς ἀπρεπὲς ον θεῷ διὰ τοιαύτης εἰσόδου τῆς τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου βίου κοινωνίας ἐφάψασθαι. ἀλλ' ἤδη περὶ τούτου καὶ ἐν 15 τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν εἴρηται λόγοις, ὅτι μόνον αἰσχρὸν τῆ ἑαυτοῦ φύσει τὸ κακόν ἐστι καὶ εἴ τι πρὸς τὴν κακίαν οἰκείως ἔχει. ἡ δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἀκολουθία, θείφ βουλή-

1 της υψηλης] της ισης g^1 om της $h\parallel 3-4$ της...επιστατουσης δυναμεως ehn \parallel 7 εν πρεπ.] εμπρεπον l^* vid vulg \parallel om τω θεω d om τω fl **28.** 12 γεννησεως]+ ημων l vulg \parallel διαθρυλουσι degp \parallel 13 ονl ων f euth l6 \parallel l4 τω θεω l1 vulg \parallel 17 εαυτουl2 εφ εαυτου l2 l4 l5 και ει...εχειl3 καν...εχη l4 vulg

1. ὁ ἀληθης λόγος] 'The true account' in dealing with the transcendent dignity of God does not compare what is 'above' with what is 'below' in creation. The true comparison is between creation and the Creator. All created things are equally beneath the Divine Power.

7. εν πρέπον] The real justification of the assumption by God of human nature is to be found in the moral character of God. It is consistent with His character to succour

the needy.

28. Gr. vindicates the manner of human birth against the objection that it was unbecoming that God should enter human life in this way. The only thing which is inconsistent with the character of God is moral

evil. There is nothing evil or dishonourable in the bodily constitution of man. The organs of human birth are worthy of not less, but more honour than our other organs, for through them is secured the immortality of the race.

11. κωμφδοῦσι] 'they ridicule our nature, and harp upon the manner of our birth.' Διαθρυλλεῖν = 'to keep

on talking about.'

12. δια τούτων] 'by these means,' i.e. by what they say in derogation of nature and its processes.

15. ἐφάψασθαί] Cp. c. 16 τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν αὐτὸν ἐφῆφθαι.

ib. ηδη...είρ.] i.e. cc. 9, 16.

18. ἀκολουθία] 'sequence' or 'course of nature.' Contrast Gr.'s language in de Virg. c. 12.

ματι καὶ νόμφ διαταχθείσα, πόρρω της κατά κακίαν εστὶ διαβολής, ή ούτω γ' αν επὶ τὸν δημιουργὸν ή κατηγορία της φύσεως επανίοι, εί τι των περί αὐτην ώς αίσχρόν τε καὶ ἀπρεπες διαβάλλοιτο. εἰ οῦν μόνης 5 κακίας τὸ θεῖον κεχώρισται, φύσις δὲ κακία οὐκ ἔστι, τὸ δὲ μυστήριον ἐν ἀνθρώπω γενέσθαι τὸν θεόν, οὐκ ἐν κακία λέγει, ή δὲ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἐπὶ τὸν βίον εἴσοδος μία έστί, δι' ής παράγεται έπὶ τὴν ζωὴν τὸ γεννώμενον, τίνα νομοθετοῦσιν ἔτερον τρόπον τῷ θεῷ τῆς εἰς τὸν το βίον παρόδου οἱ ἐπισκεφθηναι μὲν παρὰ τῆς θείας δυνάμεως ἀσθενήσασαν ἐν κακία τὴν φύσιν εὔλογον κρίνοντες, προς δε τον της επισκέψεως τρόπον δυσαρεστούμενοι, οὐκ εἰδότες ὅτι πᾶσα πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἡ κατασκευή του σώματος ομοτίμως έχει, και ούδεν εν ταύτη 15 των πρός την σύστασιν της ζωης συντελούντων ώς άτιμόν τι ἡ πονηρὸν διαβάλλεται; πρὸς ἕνα γὰρ σκοπὸν ή τῶν ὀργανικῶν μελῶν διασκευὴ πᾶσα συντέτακται. ό δὲ σκοπός ἐστι τὸ διαμένειν ἐν τῆ ζωῆ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον. τὰ μὲν οὖν λοιπὰ τῶν ὀργάνων τὴν παροῦσαν

2 γ αν] γαρ $f \parallel 4$ om τε vulg \parallel διαβαλοιτο f διαβαλλεται $e \parallel$ om μονης euth $\parallel 5$ η φυσις p φυσει euth 16 \parallel κακιας fl vulg \parallel γ ει δε el^* vulg \parallel 8 παραγινεται l vulg \parallel ζωην] desunt reliqua in euth 4 \parallel γενομένον h \parallel 9 τινα] νομον μεν τινα fl^{*vid} vulg \parallel 12 κριναντές f \parallel 18 το διαμένειν] om το l vulg \parallel τον ανθρωπον l vulg

1. $\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega \kappa, \tau, \lambda$.] 'is unassailable on the ground of moral evil.'

2. δημιουργόν] 'the Maker,' a sense of δημ. found in Plato (cp. e.g. Rep. 530 A) and esp. in the Neo-Platonic writers.

4. $\epsilon l \circ \delta \nu$] The apodosis begins with $\tau i \nu a \kappa . \tau . \lambda$., the clauses $\tau \delta \delta \delta \epsilon \mu \nu \sigma \tau$. and $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{\nu} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho$. forming part of the protasis.

10. επισκεφθηναι] For this word and επισκέψεως below cp. note on

έπίσκεψω c. 15.

11. ἀσθεν....τὴν φύσιν] For the construction of this clause cp. c. 16 έν τῆ φύσει...πορευομένη and c. 37 τῷ φθοροποιῷ...ἀναμιχθέντι.

14. ὁμοτίμως ἔχει] 'is of uniform value,' as contrasted with ἐττίμον below. Cp. ὁμότιμον c. 27.

17. δργανικών μελών] 'the whole organic structure of the body.' The phrase τὰ δργανικὰ μέλη comes from Aristotle. See Eth. N. 3. 1. 16.

19. τὰ μὲν οὖν] The other bodily organs have as their aim the maintenance of the already existing life of man. By them 'the power of perceiving and acting' (ἡ αἰσθητική τε καὶ ἐνεργητική δύναμις) is exercised. The generative organs have in view the future, and secure, by the propagation of the species, the continuance of the race.

συνέχει τῶν ἀνθρώπων ζωήν, ἄλλα πρὸς ἄλλην ἐνέργειαν μεμερισμένα, δι' ών ή αἰσθητική τε καὶ ἐνεργητική δύναμις οἰκονομεῖται· τὰ δὲ γεννητικά τοῦ μέλλοντος ἔγει τὴν πρόνοιαν, δι' έαυτων τη φύσει την διαδοχην αντεισάγοντα. εί οὖν πρὸς τὸ χρειῶδες βλέποις, τίνος αν εἴη ς των τιμίων είναι νομιζομένων έκεινα δεύτερα; τίνος δε οὐκ αν προτιμότερα κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον κρίνοιτο; οὐ γὰρ οφθαλμώ και άκοη και γλώσση, ή άλλω τινί των αίσθητηρίων πρός τὸ διηνεκές τὸ γένος ήμων διεξάγεται ταῦτα γάρ, καθώς εἴρηται, τῆς παρούσης ἐστὶν ἀπολαύσεως 10 άλλ' εν εκείνοις ή άθανασία συντηρείται τη άνθρωπότητι, ώς ἀεὶ καθ' ἡμῶν ἐνεργοῦντα τὸν θάνατον ἄπρακτον είναι τρόπον τινά καὶ ἀνήνυτον, πάντοτε πρὸς τὸ λείπον διὰ των επιγινομένων ξαυτήν άντεισαγούσης τής φύσεως. τί οθν απρεπές περιέχει ήμων το μυστήριον, εί δια τούτων 15 κατεμίχθη δ θεὸς τῶ ἀνθρωπίνω βίω, δι' ὧν ή φύσις πρὸς τον θάνατον μάχεται;

29. 'Αλλά μεταβάντες ἀπὸ τούτου δι' ετέρων πάλιν

i τω ανθρωπω vulg || 2 η ενεργητικη dhlnp vulg || 4 om τη vulg || 6 om νομιζομένων εκείνα e || 13-14 δια των δι αυτών vulg || 14 αντείσαγαγούσης vulg || 15 δια τουτών] + εκ παρθένου καθάρας euth || 17 μαχέται] δεχεται e: desinit euth

I. συνέχει] 'hold together,' ' maintain in being.' Cp. συνεκτική c. 5. For this use of ζωή cp. c. 8 τὴν ζωὴν...διαλύεσθαι.

5. τὸ χρειῶδες] 'utility.'
ib. τίνος αν είη] Greg. has in mind the passage 1 Cor. xii 14-24.

9. διεξάγεται] 'conducted,' 'carried on,' 'maintained.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 16 καθ' δν το παν φέρεταί τε καὶ διεξάγεται.

10. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi ... \dot{a} \pi o \lambda$.] The senses mentioned 'are concerned with present enjoyment.' The gen. is possessive. With της παρ. άπολαύσεως cp. την παροῦσαν ζωήν above.

ἐκείνοις] refers to τὰ γεννητικά.

12. ώs...είναι] The clause is consecutive. 'So that death, though continually operating against us, is rendered, in a way, ineffectual and fruitless.

14. ἀντεισαγούσης] 'Since, by means of the succeeding generations, nature is ever introducing herself

to fill up the gap.'
29. 'Why,' it may be asked, 'was the Incarnation so long delayed?' To this Gr. replies by adducing the illustration of the physician who allows a disease to come to the surface before he applies his remedy.

κακίζειν έπιχειροῦσι τὸν λόγον καί φασιν, εἰ καλὸν καὶ πρέπου τῶ θεῷ τὸ γενόμενου, τί ἀνεβάλετο τὴν εὐεργεσίαν; τί δὲ οὐκ ἐν ἀρχαῖς οὔσης τῆς κακίας τὴν ἐπὶ τὸ πλέον αὐτῆς πρόοδον ὑπετέμετο; πρὸς δὲ τοῦτο 5 σύντομος δ παρ' ήμων έστὶ λόγος, ὅτι σοφία γέγονε καὶ τοῦ λυσιτελοῦντος τῆ φύσει προμηθεία ή πρὸς τὴν εὐεργεσίαν ήμων αναβολή. καὶ γάρ ἐπὶ των σωματικών νοσημάτων, ὅταν τις διεφθορώς χυμὸς ὑφέρπη τοὺς πόρους, πρίν ἄπαν ἐπὶ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν ἐκκαλυφθῆναι 10 τὸ παρὰ φύσιν ἐγκείμενον, οὐ καταφαρμακεύεται τοῖς πυκνοῦσι τὸ σῶμα παρὰ τῶν τεχνικῶς μεθοδευόντων τὰ πάθη, ἀλλ' ἀναμένουσι τὸ ἐνδομυχοῦν ἄπαν ἔξω γενέσθαι, καὶ οὐτω γυμνῷ τῷ πάθει τὴν ἰατρείαν προσάγουσιν. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἄπαξ ἐνέσκηψε τῆ φύσει τῆς 15 ανθρωπότητος ή της κακίας νόσος, ανέμεινεν ό τοῦ παντὸς θεραπευτής μηδέν ὑπολειφθήναι τής πονηρίας είδος έγκεκρυμμένον τη φύσει. διὰ τοῦτο οὐκ εὐθὺς μετὰ

29. 1 φησι p || 2 om τω gnp || 3 τι δε] exstant seqq in euth 156 || 1 πλειον l vulg || αυτης] εαυτης e αυτου g* || παροδον d || υπετεμνετο vulg || τοιτους f ! 5 παρ ημιν e ii om και e || 8-9 τους πορους] πονους l* om τους f vulg $[q \epsilon \mu \phi a \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu \text{ vulg } \| \epsilon \kappa \kappa a \lambda \iota \phi \theta .] \epsilon \lambda \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu a \iota \text{ euth } \| 12 \mu \epsilon \nu \text{ out } 1^* \text{ vulg } \|$ 1: arenever vulg

κακίζεω] 'to find fault with.'

Τον λόγον, 'our teaching.'
2. τί ανεβάλετο] This question is also dealt with by Athanasius Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68. In the Or. in diem nat. Christi (a spurious work, printed in the edd. of Gregory) there is a passage dealing with the same question, which is plainly modelled on the present passage. See Migne, pp. 1130-1.

 τί δὲ οὐκ] The following passage as far as διοχλοῦσαν τον βίον in c. 30 is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vii (pp.

228, 229, Migne).

4. ὑπετέμετο] 'intercept,' 'cut off its (urther advance. Cp. Ar. Ευ. 201 ύποτεμοθμαι τὰς ὁδούς σου.

7. $\epsilon \pi l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu$.] The same illustration occurs in Origen de Princ. iii 13, with reference to God's dealing with sinners. It is reproduced in the Or. in diem nat. Christi p. 1132 (Migne).

8. διεφθορώς] intrans. Χυμός 'humour,' used of the bodily juices. When some corrupt humour steals

beneath the pores."

10. οὐ καταφ.] 'it is not treated with drugs which close up the body.' The object of the physician in such cases is to open the pores of the body and bring out the disease.

12. τὸ ἐνδομυχοῦν] 'lurking within,' 'hidden.' 'Avaueveiv takes here, and below, the acc. and inf., as often in class. Greek.

τον φθόνον και την άδελφοκτονίαν του Κάιν προσάγει τῷ ἀνθρώπω τὴν θεραπείαν οὔπω γὰρ τῶν ἐπὶ Νῶε καταφθαρέντων ή κακία έξέλαμψεν, οὐδὲ τῆς Σοδομιτικής παρανομίας ή χαλεπή νόσος άνεκαλύφθη, οὐδὲ ή τῶν Αἰγυπτίων θεομαχία, οὐδὲ ή τῶν ᾿Ασσυρίων ὑπερη-ς φανία, οὐδὲ ή τῶν Ἰουδαίων κατὰ τῶν άγίων τοῦ θεοῦ μιαιφονία, οὐδὲ ή τοῦ Ἡρώδου παράνομος παιδοφονία, οὐδὲ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα ὅσα τε μνημονεύεται καὶ ὅσα έξω της ιστορίας έν ταις καθεξης γενεαις κατεπράχθη, πολυτρόπως της του κακου ρίζης έν ταις των ανθρώπων 10 προαιρέσεσι βλαστανούσης. ἐπεὶ οὖν πρὸς τὸ ἀκρότατον ἔφθασε μέτρον ή κακία, καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτι πονηρίας είδος εν τοίς ανθρώποις ατόλμητον ήν, ως αν δια πάσης της άρρωστίας προχωρήσειεν ή θεραπεία, τούτου χάριν οὐκ ἀρχομένην, ἀλλὰ τελειωθεῖσαν θεραπεύει τὴν 15 νόσον.

30. Εἰ δέ τις ἐλέγχειν οἴεται τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον, ὅτι καὶ μετὰ τὸ προσαχθῆναι τὴν θεραπείαν ἔτι πλημ-

3 οπ καταφθαρεντων $e \parallel \sigma$ οδομιτικης] σομιτικης dg^*l^*p (habet in marg τα γομορρα λεγει p) \parallel 4 απεκαλυφθη efhn euth \parallel η ουδε l vulg \parallel 5 θεομαχια] μονομαχια $e \parallel$ 6–7 ουδε η τ. Ιουδ...μαιφονία οπ l^* vulg \parallel 7 ουδε...παιδοφονία οπ n euth \parallel παιδοφονία] μιαιφονία $e \parallel$ 9 της εξω ιστορίας $e \parallel$ 12 μετρον εφθασεν ef

5. θεομαχία] 'war against God,' with reference, of course, to Pharaoh. See Or. in d. n. Christi l.c. ποῦ γὰρ ὁ θεομάχος Φαραώ; The word θεομάχος οccurs in Acts v 39. Similarly Chrysostom, in his panegyric on the Egyptian martyrs (ii 699, ed. Montf.), speaks of Αlγύπτου τῆς θεομάχου καὶ μανικωτάτης.

ib. ὑπερηφανία] 'pride,' 'arrogance.' The word is used by the fathers to denote contempt of God and insolence to men. See exx. cited by Suicer. The author of the Or. in diem nat. Christi l.c. has a similar passage: την 'Ασσυρίων βασιλείαν, και τοῦ Ναβουχοδονόσορ

τὴν ὑπερηφανίαν. Cp. Is. xxxvii 23, 24. Possibly, however, Gr. was for the moment confusing the Assyrians with the Chaldaeans. Cp. Hab. ii 4, 5.

6. Ἰουδαίων] Cp. Mt. xxiii 34,
 35. Μιαιφονία, 'blood-guiltiness.'
 7. παιδοφονία] Mt. ii 16—18.

9. ξξω της ioτ.] i.e. unrecorded.
30. 'Why,' it may be asked, 'has not sin ceased now that the remedy has been applied?' To this Gr. answers by an illustration. When a serpent has received a deadly blow on the head, the blow does not immediately deprive the extremities of life. In like manner sin has received its

μελείται διὰ τῶν ἀμαρτημάτων ὁ ἀνθρώπινος βίος, ὑποδείγματί τινι τῶν γνωρίμων ὁδηγηθήτω πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῦ ὄφεως, εἰ κατὰ κεφαλῆς τὴν καιρίαν λάβοι, οὐκ εὐθὺς συννεκροῦται τῆ κεφαλῆς 5 καὶ ὁ κατόπιν ὁλκός, ἀλλ' ἡ μὲν τέθνηκε, τὸ δὲ οὐραῖον ἔτι ἐψύχωται τῷ ἰδίῳ θυμῷ καὶ τῆς ζωτικῆς κινήσεως οὐκ ἐστέρηται, οῦτως ἔστι καὶ τὴν κακίαν ἰδεῖν τῷ μὲν καιρίῳ πληγεῖσαν, ἐν δὲ τοῖς λειψάνοις ἑαυτῆς ἔτι διοχλοῦσαν τὸν βίον. ἀλλ' ἀφέντες καὶ τὸ περὶ τούτων 10 τὸν λόγον τοῦ μυστηρίου μέμφεσθαι, τὸ μὴ διὰ πάντων διήκειν τῶν ἀνθρώπων τὴν πίστιν ἐν αἰτίᾳ ποιοῦνται. καὶ τί δήποτε, φασίν, οὐκ ἐπὶ πάντας ἦλθεν ἡ χάρις, ἀλλὰ

30. I δι αμαρτηματων d \parallel 3 της κεφαλης deghnp \parallel 5 ο κατ. ολκος] του σωματος ολκος f \parallel ουρεον vulg \parallel 6 εμψυχωται f \parallel κινησεως] δυναμεως l vulg \parallel 7 εστερηται]+τω ιδιω θυμω d \parallel 9 τον βιον] τω βιω l vulg : desinit euth \parallel το] τον hl* vulg \parallel 10 μεμφονται του μυστ. και το f \parallel 12 φησων e

despatch, but though moribund it is not yet wholly dead. A further objection arises out of the fact that grace has not come to all. This, it is argued, shews either a want of will or a want of power on God's part. Gr. replies that the objection might have weight if all had not had the chance of accepting God's offer. But the Christian faith has been proclaimed in all languages. Again, God has left something to man's initiative. He is free to accept or refuse, and if he refuses the grace which is offered, it is not God who is to be blamed for such refusal, but man.

3. ὥσπερ γάρ] The simile is reproduced in Or. in diem nat. Christi p. 1133 (Migne).

4. την καιρίαν] sc. πληγήν.

 δ κατόπιν δλκός] 'the coil behind,' i.e. all that follows the head. 'Όλκός is used of the trail of a serpent. Here='coil.'

6. έψύχωται] 'kept alive,' 'ani-

mated.'

ib. $\theta \nu \mu \tilde{\varphi}$] 'spirit,' here used of the animal life, as in Plato's division of the animal part of the soul into $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\phi} s$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \dot{\phi} a$. See Rep. 439 E and cp. 410 D.

ib. της ζωτικής κινήσεως] 'vital

motion.

8. λειψάνοις] 'still in its remnants harassing the life of man.' Blov is used absolutely for 'human life.' For this sense cp. c. 8 τὸν βίον ἡμῶν τῷ νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι (note).

9. \$\delta\lambda\cdot\ applies \ delta\lambda\ applies \ delta\ a

12. ἡ χάρις] A synonym for the Gospel, as commonly in St Paul's epistles. Cp. Acts xx 24, 2 Cor. vi 1, viii 9, Col. i 6 (with Lightfoot's note).

τινῶν προσθεμένων τῷ λόγφ οὐ μικρόν ἐστι τὸ ὑπολειπόμενον μέρος, ἡ μὴ βουληθέντος τοῦ θεοῦ πᾶσιν ἀφθόνως
τὴν εὐεργεσίαν νεῖμαι, ἡ μὴ δυνηθέντος πάντως; ὧν
οὐθέτερον καθαρεύει τῆς μέμψεως. οὕτε γὰρ ἀβούλητον
εἶναι τὸ ἀγαθὸν προσήκει τῷ θεῷ, οὕτε ἀδύνατον. εἰ οῦν 5
ἀγαθόν τι ἡ πίστις, διὰ τί, φασίν, οὐκ ἐπὶ πάντας ἡ χάρις;
εἰ μὲν οῦν ταῦτα καὶ παρ ἡμῶν ἐν τῷ λόγφ κατεσκευάζετο,
τὸ παρὰ τοῦ θείου βουλήματος ἀποκληροῦσθαι τοῖς ἀνθρώποις τὴν πίστιν, τῶν μὲν καλουμένων, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν
ἀμοιρούντων τῆς κλήσεως, καιρὸν εἶχεν τὸ τοιοῦτον ἔγ- 10

ι λειπομενον $f\parallel 2$ πασαν l vulg $\parallel 3$ νειμαιl ειναι l vulg $\parallel 3-4$ ων ουθ ετερον dp vulg παντως ουν ου θατερον $f\parallel 5$ προσηκει τ . a. $f\parallel 6$ φησιν $e\parallel 8$ om το f vulg $\parallel 9$ των μενl τωνδε μεν $l\parallel 10$ αν ειχε deghnp

 προσθεμένων] 'while some attached themselves to the word.' Λόγος is used as commonly in the N.T. of the preaching of the Gospel.

Cp. e.g. Acts iv 4.

2. η μη βουλ.] Such want of universality, it is urged, shewed either a lack of will, or a lack of power, on God's part. Compare with this whole section Butler's Analogy ii 6 'Of the want of Universality in Revelation.'

4. ἀβούλητον] 'not according to His will,' i.e. that God does not

will to do what is good.

7. ταῦτα] i.e. το... ἀποκληροῦσθαι which follows. 'If, therefore, in our argument, we had taken up this position.' For κατασκευάζειν, used of the structure of an argument, cp. c. I κατασκεύασει (note).

8. ἀποκληροῦσθαι] 'That faith is dealt out at haphazard, some being called and the rest having no part in the call.' 'Αποκληροῦν = 'to assign by lot,' with the further thought of distribution at random, and not on a rational principle. Thus ἀποκληρωτικῶς is contrasted by Origen with τεταγμένως and ὡρισμένως, and co-ordinated with κατὰ συντυχίαν. See Philocal. (ed. Rob.) p. 210, and esp. p. 242 where he says φρονοῦσιν

ώς ἄρα κατά ἀποκλήρωσιν ὁ θεὸς δν θέλει έλεει, δν δὲ θέλει σκληρύνει. This rendering is preferable to that of Hervetus (in the Latin version of 1573), who translates 'abdicaretur fides,' taking ἀποκληροῦν in the sense of 'exhaeredes facere.' Cp. Ar. Pol. vii 11.8 αποκληρούν τοὺς πλείους, and the cognate word απόκληρος. The idea of Gr. is that there is no exclusive or arbitrary bestowal of grace on particular classes. It is offered by God freely to all. In this denial of an un-scriptural form of the doctrine of election, and in his insistence on the freedom of the will, Gregory's language recalls that of Justin Martyr Apol. i 43 εἰ γὰρ είμαρται τόνδε τινά άγαθον είναι καί τόνδε φαῦλον, οὐθ' οῦτος ἀπόδεκτος οὐδὲ ἐκεῖνος μεμπτέος. καὶ αὖ εἰ μὴ προαιρέσει έλευθέρα πρός το φεύγειν τὰ αἰσχρὰ καὶ αἰρεῖσθαι τὰ καλὰ δύναμιν έχει τὸ ἀνθρώπειον γένος, ἀναίτιόν έστι τῶν ὁπωσδήποτε πραττομένων. For Gr.'s insistence on freewill see c. 7 (notes).

9. καλουμένων] used in its N.T. sense, like κλήσις, which follows, of the call to the knowledge of the

Gospel.

10. $\epsilon l \chi \epsilon \nu$] The omission of $\delta \nu$ (acc. to the best MSS) marks the

κλημα κατά τοῦ μυστηρίου προφέρεσθαι εί δὲ δμότιμος έπὶ πάντας ή κλήσις, οὕτε ἀξίας, οὕτε ήλικίας, οὕτε τὰς κατὰ τὰ ἔθνη διαφορὰς διακρίνουσα διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ παρὰ την πρώτην άρχην τοῦ κηρύγματος όμόγλωσσοι πασι 5 τοις έθνεσιν οι διακονούντες τον λόγον έκ θείας έπιπνοίας άθρόως εγένοντο, ώς αν μηδείς της διδαγης των αγαθών άμοιρήσειεν πως αν ουν τις κατά τὸ εὐλογον έτι τὸν θεὸν αἰτιῷτο τοῦ μὴ πάντων ἐπικρατῆσαι τὸν λόγον; ό γὰρ τοῦ παντὸς τὴν έξουσίαν έχων δι' ὑπερβολὴν τῆς το είς τὸν ἄνθρωπον τιμῆς ἀφῆκέ τι καὶ ὑπὸ τὴν ἡμετέραν έξουσίαν είναι, ου μόνος εκαστός έστι κύριος. τοῦτο δέ έστιν ή προαίρεσις, άδούλωτόν τι χρημα καὶ αὐτεξούσιον, έν τη έλευθερία της διανοίας κείμενον. οὐκοῦν ἐπὶ τοὺς μη προσαγθέντας τη πίστει δικαιότερον αν το τοιούτον 15 έγκλημα μετατεθείη, οὐκ ἐπὶ τὸν κεκληκότα πρὸς συγκατάθεσιν. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῦ Πέτρου κατ' ἀρχὰς τὸν λόγον εν πολυανθρώπω των Ἰουδαίων εκκλησία κηρύξαντος, τρισχιλίων κατά ταὐτὸν παραδεξαμένων τὴν

4 om πρωτην e \parallel om του vulg \parallel 6 om αθροως d \parallel om της διδαχης vulg \parallel 7 om ουν deg*hlnp \parallel 7–8 αιτιωτο τις l om τις deg*hnp vulg \parallel ετι κ. τ. ε. f \parallel το θειον f \parallel αιτιωντο vulg \parallel 15 μεταθειη e \parallel την καταθεσιν l*vid vulg \parallel 18 κατ αυτον d κατ αυτο f

certainty of the conclusion stated in the apodosis.

1. ὁμότιμος] See antea cc. 27, 28 (notes).

2. afias] 'worth,' 'rank.'

3. διὰ τοῦτο] a parenthesis. The apodosis begins with πῶς ἄν οὖν τις.

όμόγλωσσοι] Acts ii 8—11.
 άθρόως] 'all at once,' as contrasted with the gradual acquire-

ment of a language.

ib. τη̂s διδαχ.] The gen. is de-

pendent on των άγαθων.

7. $\pi \hat{\omega} s \hat{\alpha} v \circ \delta v \tau \iota s$] The text is in some confusion, as $\tau \iota s$ has fallen out of several MSS, while f alone preserves $\delta \hat{v} v$.

9. ο γάρ τ. π.] Another argu-

ment is now adduced to account for the want of universality in the spread of the Gospel. God respects man's free-will and leaves him free to accept or refuse grace.

10. τιμης] 'by reason of the exceeding honour in which He held

man.

12. ἀδούλωτόν τι χρ.] Cp. c. 5 τῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀδέσποτον καὶ αὐτεξούσιον χάριτος.

15. συγκατάθεσιν] Cp. c. 5 οὐκ ἔξω τῆς τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων συγκαταθέσεως (note).

16. έπι τοῦ Π.] Acts ii 41.

17. ἐκκλησία] used here quite generally of a gathering of people. Cp. Acts xix 32.

πίστιν, πλείους όντες των πεπιστευκότων οι απειθήσαντες έμέμψαντο τὸν ἀπόστολον ἐφ' οἶς οὐκ ἐπείσθησαν. οὐδὲ γάρ ην είκός, εν κοινώ προτεθείσης της χάριτος, τὸν έκουσίως ἀποφοιτήσαντα μὴ έαυτόν, ἀλλ' ἕτερον δυσκληρίας ἐπαιτιᾶσθαι.

31. 'Αλλ' οὐκ ἀποροῦσιν οὐδὲ πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα τῆς έριστικής ἀντιλογίας. λέγουσι γὰρ δύνασθαι τὸν θεόν, είπερ έβούλετο, καὶ τοὺς ἀντιτύπως ἔχοντας ἀναγκαστικῶς έφελκύσασθαι πρὸς τὴν παραδοχὴν τοῦ κηρύγματος. ποῦ τοίνυν εν τούτοις τὸ αὐτεξούσιον; ποῦ δὲ ἡ ἀρετή; ποῦ 10 δὲ τῶν κατορθούντων ὁ ἔπαινος; μόνων γὰρ τῶν ἀψύχων ή των αλόγων έστὶ τῷ άλλοτρίῳ βουλήματι πρὸς τὸ δοκοῦν περιάγεσθαι. ή δὲ λογική τε καὶ νοερὰ φύσις, έὰν τὸ κατ' έξουσίαν ἀπόθηται, καὶ τὴν χάριν τοῦ νοεροῦ συναπώλεσεν. είς τί γὰρ χρήσεται τῆ διανοία, τῆς τοῦ 15 προαιρείσθαί τι των κατά γνώμην έξουσίας έφ' έτέρω κειμένης; εί δὲ ἄπρακτος ή προαίρεσις μείνειεν, ήφάνισται

3 om ην d || προταθεισης vulg **31.** 7 εριστικης αιρετικης f 8 εβουλετο] sic codd || 10 που δε η αρετη om vulg || 12 η] και f || 17 μενοιεν l μενοι vulg

 δυσκληρίαs] lit. 'ill luck.' The word is opposed to ληξις.

31. 'But,' it may be urged, 'why did not God compel belief?' This, Gr. replies, would have been to destroy free-will, and with freewill, virtue. Praise or blame in such a case would no longer be applicable to human actions. It is not God's goodness, then, but the disposition of the hearers, which is responsible for the fact that all have not received the faith.

6-7. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \ \hat{\epsilon} \rho \iota \sigma \tau$. $\vec{a} \nu \tau$.] $T \hat{\eta} s$ would be represented in English by the indefinite article, 'a captious reply.' Έριστικής denotes that the opponents reply from a mere love of disputing, without having any serious arguments to put forward. See Plato's definition of το εριστικόν Soph. 225

c sq. There is also a disparaging reference in άντιλογίας.

8. ἀναγκαστικώς] opp. to συμβουλευτικώς. Cp. Plato Legg. 930 B συμβουλευτικός αν είη νόμος...οὐκ άναγκαστικός.

κατορθούντων] intransitive. 'those who succeed.' Gr. is thinking, of course, of moral success, a sense of the word which Stoicism had brought into common use.

ή δè λογ.] Cp. antea c. 8 ή νοερά φύσις.

άπόθηται] 'if it puts aside its freedom, it loses at the same time its privilege of belonging to the intellectual order.' Cp. Gr.'s treatment of free-will in cc. 5, 7.

15. τη διανοία] See note on την

διανοητικήν δύναμιν c. 6.

κατ' ανάγκην ή αρετή, τη ακινησία της προαιρέσεως έμπεδηθείσα άρετης δε μη ούσης, ο βίος ητίμωται, αφήρηται τῶν κατορθούντων ὁ ἔπαινος, ἀκίνδυνος ἡ ἁμαρτία, ἄκριτος ή κατὰ τὸν βίον διαφορά. τίς γὰρ ἂν ἔτι κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον 5 ή διαβάλλοι τὸν ἀκόλαστον ἡ ἐπαινοίη τὸν σώφρονα; ταύτης κατά τὸ πρόχειρον οὖσης έκάστω της ἀποκρίσεως, τὸ μηδεν εφ' ήμιν των κατά γνώμην είναι, δυναστεία δε κρείττονι τὰς ἀνθρωπίνας προαιρέσεις πρὸς τὸ τῶ κρατοῦντι δοκοῦν περιάγεσθαι. οὐκοῦν οὐ τῆς ἀγαθότητος 10 τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ ἔγκλημα, τὸ μὴ πᾶσιν ἐγγενέσθαι τὴν πίστιν, άλλὰ τῆς διαθέσεως τῶν δεχομένων τὸ κήρυγμα.

32. Τί πρὸς τούτοις ἔτι παρὰ τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων προφέρεται; τὸ μάλιστα μὲν μηδὲ ὅλως δεῖν εἰς θανάτου

1 εμποδισθεισα vulg || 2 ητιμωται]+και καθ ειμαρμενην χωρει ο λογος I vulg | 3 ακινδυνος] ανικητος vulg | 5 διαβαλοι hp 32. 13 om 70 f ∥ µn vulg

2. ὁ βlos] 'life there and then loses its honour.' The perfects ήφάνισται, ήτιμωται, άφηρηται imply that the result follows immediately. For this use of blos cp. antea cc. 8, 30 (notes). After irluwrai / and the Paris edd. have the gloss καὶ καθ' είμαρμένην χωρεί ο λόγος, 'reason moves in accordance with fate.'

3. aklvouvos] 'sin may be indulged in with impunity.

ib. appros] 'and all difference with regard to the manner of life becomes no longer discernible,' i.e. the distinctions between a good and a bad life no longer exist.

10. ἔγκλημα] It is not God's goodness, but the disposition of the hearers, which is responsible for the fact that all have not received the Gospel.

32. Another ground of objection is the death of Christ, or, if not the death, at least the shame attending it. But without such death our Lord's assumption of human nature would have been incomplete. death again was necessary in order that man might be delivered from death. By dying Christ stretched out His hand to fallen man to raise him up to life. The union which Christ has effected with mankind enables us to share in His resurrection. The death upon the Cross has a mystic meaning, and reveals His Divine nature no less than His humanity. The projecting arms of the Cross witness openly to our eyes the fact that Christ binds all Creation to Himself, and brings all things into harmony. His Death was followed by His Resurrection and Ascension, which bore unmistakeable testimony to His Divine power.

13. τὸ μάλιστα μέν] The corresponding clause is introduced by el δέ καί below. The objection is first stated in a more sweeping form. Gr.'s opponent protests against the introduction of death in any form into the plan of redemption. The clause τὸ...μηδέ...δεῖν answers to τί, and is paralleled below by τὸ μη... καθυβρισθήναι.

πειραν έλθειν την υπερέχουσαν φύσιν, άλλα και δίχα τούτου τη περιουσία της δυνάμεως δύνασθαι αν μετά ραστώνης τὸ δοκοῦν κατεργάσασθαι. εἰ δὲ καὶ πάντως έδει τοῦτο γενέσθαι κατά τινα λόγον ἀπόρρητον, ἀλλ' οὖν τὸ μὴ τῷ ἀτίμω τρόπω τοῦ θανάτου καθυβρισθηναι. τίς ς γὰρ ᾶν γένοιτο, φησί, τοῦ διὰ σταυροῦ θάνατος ἀτιμότερος: τί οὖν καὶ πρὸς ταῦτά φαμεν; ὅτι τὸν θάνατον μὲν ἀναγκαΐον ή γένεσις ἀπεργάζεται. τὸν γὰρ ἄπαξ μετασχεῖν έγνωκότα της ανθρωπότητος δια πάντων έδει γενέσθαι των ίδιωμάτων της φύσεως. εί τοίνυν δύο πέρασι της άνθρω- 10 πίνης ζωής διειλημμένης έν τῷ ένὶ γενόμενος τοῦ ἐφεξής μη προσήψατο, ήμιτελης αν ή πρόθεσις έμεινε τοῦ έτέρου τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἰδιωμάτων οὐχ άψαμένου. τάχα δ' ἄν τις δι' ἀκριβείας καταμαθών τὸ μυστήριον εὐλογώτερον είποι μη δια την γένεσιν συμβεβηκέναι τον θάνατον, ις άλλὰ τὸ ἔμπαλιν τοῦ θανάτου χάριν παραληφθήναι τὴν

4 om γ eresbai l* vulg || 5 $\tau \omega$ $\mu \eta$ $\alpha \tau \iota \mu \omega$ e $\mu \eta$ $\tau \omega$ $\alpha \tau \iota \mu \omega$ vulg || 6 om $\gamma \alpha \rho$ e || 8 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha$ l vulg || 12 $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ dg*hnp $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \nu \epsilon$ e || 13 om $\tau \omega \nu$ l vulg || $\iota \delta \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma s$ l vulg || 14 $\mu \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ f

μετὰ ῥαστώνης] 'with ease,'
 i.e. without submission to suffering.

3. ϵl $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha l$] A modified form of the preceding objection. If for some inscrutable reason ($\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \nu \alpha \lambda \delta \gamma \rho \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \rho \rho \eta \tau \rho \nu$) it was necessary that Christ should die, the shameful manner of His death might in any case have been avoided.

7. τὸν θάνατον μέν] Gr. gives two answers to the objection against the introduction of death into the Divine plan. The first is introduced by μέν in the present clause. The second follows in the clause beginning τάχα δ' ἄν τις. The complete assumption of human nature rendered the death necessary. A still stronger necessity for it was to be found in man's need of deliverance from death. The particular manner of the death, i.e. Crucifixion, he

justifies below in the passage beginning ὁ δὲ σταυρός. With Gregory's treatment of the whole question cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 21—25.

10. lδιωμάτων] Cp. c. 26 p. 101 (note) and c. 27 init.

ib. δύο πέρασι] Cp. c. 27.

11. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{l}$] i.e. birth. To $\hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \phi$. i.e. death.

12. ἡμιτελής] 'but half complete.' With ἀψαμένου we must supply αὐτοῦ.

13. τάχα δ' ἀν τις] A still stronger argument (εὐλογώτερον). The death was necessary to deliver man from death.

14. δι' ἀκριβείαs] A more exact knowledge of the revelation shews a deeper significance in the Death of Christ. Το μυστήριον is here used in a general sense for the Christian revelation.

γένεσιν οὐ γὰρ τοῦ ζήσαι δεόμενος ὁ ἀεὶ ὧν τὴν σωματικήν ύποδύεται γένεσιν, άλλ' ήμας έπὶ την ζωήν έκ τοῦ θανάτου ἀνακαλούμενος. ἐπεὶ οὖν ὅλης ἔδει γενέσθαι τῆς φύσεως ήμῶν τὴν ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου πάλιν ἐπάνοδον, οίονεὶ 5 χείρα τῷ κειμένω ὀρέγων διὰ τοῦτο πρὸς τὸ ἡμέτερον επικύψας πτώμα, τοσοῦτον τῷ θανάτῳ προσήγγισεν, ὅσον της νεκρότητος άψασθαι καὶ ἀρχην δοῦναι τη φύσει της αναστάσεως τω ιδίω σώματι, όλον τη δυνάμει συναναστήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ἐπειδή γὰρ οὐκ ἄλλοθεν, άλλ' ἐκ 10 τοῦ ἡμετέρου φυράματος ὁ θεοδόχος ἄνθρωπος ἦν, ὁ διὰ

ι ων] ζων fl vulg | 2 γεννησω fl vulg | 3 ολως fl1 | εδει γενεσθαι ολης 1* vid vulg | 4 om την vulg | 5 ορεγων] + και el* vid vulg | 7 απτεσθαι dghnp τη νεκροτητι προσαψασθαι e | την φυσιν f vulg | 8-9 συναν. τ. αν. τ. δυναμει l vulg \parallel 9 αλλαχοθεν f \parallel 10 ο...ανθρωπος \parallel η...σαρξ $l^{* vid}$ vulg Thdrtsirm | η...συνεπαρθεισα l*vid vulg Thdrtsirm

1. ζησαι] The force of the aorist is 'to enter into life.' Cp. Ign. Rom. 6 μη έμποδίσητέ μοι ζῆσαι.

ib. ὁ ἀεὶ ων] Cp. c. 25 τοῦ γὰρ όντος έξηπται τὰ δντα. The MSS, however, are divided in the present passage between fûr and úr. The reading fur may be due to the tendency of the group fl to paraphrase the text. Fronto Ducaeus thinks that the phrase o del for may contain a reference to Heb. vii 25, but this is very improbable. Krab., following the Latin Version of the Parisedd., renders 'aeternus,' though he retains fur in his text. For the phrase ò del ww cp. Ex. iii 14, Ps. lxxxix (xc) 2, Rev. i. 8.

 πτῶμα] Krab. rightly translates 'cadaver,' following Hervetus. Fronto Ducaeus, however, suggests 'lapsum,' in view of κύπτει πρὸς τὸν

πεπτωκότα below.

7. νεκρότητος] Cp. c. 8 init. τὸ τον βίον ημών τη νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι and ibid. ή νεκρότης...περιετέθη τῆ εἰς ἀθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει. 'Our state of death.'

ib. ἄψασθαι] Cp. c. 15 θεὸν άνθρωπίνης ἄψασθαι φύσεως (note). The inf. is consecutive after τοσοῦ-

8-9. $\delta \lambda_0 \nu ... \tau \delta \nu d \nu \theta$.] the whole man, i.e. body as well as soul.

 6πειδη γάρ] The passage which follows as far as ἀνορθῶσαι τον κείμενον is reproduced in Theodoret Dial. iii (Impatibilis), p. 300 (Migne).

10. φυράματος] The use of this word, which lit. means 'a lump of clay or 'dough,' is derived from such passages as Rom. ix 21, xi 16, 1 Cor. v 7. Cp. Numb. xv 19, 20. It is used by patristic writers to denote 'the human body,' 'human nature,' 'the lump of humanity.' Cp. Gen. ii 7.

ib. ὁ θεοδόχος άνθρωπος] This inexact language might seem to indicate that the humanity of Christ was itself a personal subject. In later times, when Nestorianism had arisen, such language would have been avoided. The expression has been altered in the text of I and the Paris edd. into ή θεοδόχος σάρξ. For simiτής ἀναστάσεως συνεπαρθεὶς τῆ θεότητι, ὅσπερ ἐπὶ τοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς σώματος ἡ τοῦ ἐνὸς τῶν αἰσθητηρίων ἐνέργεια πρὸς ἄπαν τὴν συναίσθησιν ἄγει τὸ ἡνωμένον τῷ μέρει, οὕτως, καθάπερ ἐνός τινος ὄντος ζώου πάσης τῆς φύσεως, ἡ τοῦ μέρους ἀνάστασις ἐπὶ τὸ πᾶν διεξέρχεται, κατὰ τὸ 5 συνεχές τε καὶ ἡνωμένον τῆς φύσεως ἐκ τοῦ μέρους ἐπὶ τὸ ὅλον συνεκδιδομένη. τί οὖν ἔξω τοῦ εἰκότος ἐν τῷ μυστηρίῳ μανθάνομεν, εἰ κύπτει πρὸς τὸν πεπτωκότα ὁ ἐστὼς ἐπὶ τὸ ἀνορθῶσαι τὸν κείμενον; ὁ δὲ σταυρὸς εἰ μέν τινα καὶ ἔτερον περιέχει λόγον βαθύτερον, εἰδεῖεν 10 ᾶν οἱ τῶν κρυπτῶν ἐπιίστορες. ὁ δ' οὖν εἰς ἡμᾶς ἐκ

3 απαν] πασαν deghnp Thdrtrom \parallel αισθησιν $f \parallel \tau \sigma$] τον Thdrt \parallel 4 τινος ενος l om ενος vulg \parallel 5 του] εκ του Thdrtrom: desunt folia nonnulla in $g \parallel$ om κατα Thdrtrom \parallel 7 συνδιδομενης f συνδιδομενου Thdrt \parallel 7–8 εκ του μυστηριου d μυστηριου Thdrtrom \parallel 9 επι το] επι τω ef \parallel ανορθ.] αναστησαι l vulg \parallel 10 ει μεν] ημιν l ει μη vulg \parallel ειδοιεν l vulg \parallel 11 κρυπτομενων l vulg \parallel επιστορες l*rid vulg

lar language see Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 19, xxx 2, with Dr Mason's notes.

1. ὅσπερ ἐπὶ τ.] 'just as the action of one of the organs of sense communicates a common sensation to the whole which is united with the particular member.' The MSS are divided between ἄπαν and πᾶσαν. The latter reading is found in the Roman edition of Theodoret. But the alteration of ἄπαν into πᾶσαν before συναίσθησιν is a natural one for scribes to make. For the idea cp. 1 Cot. xii 26, 27.

4. καθάπερ ένος τινος] 'as though the whole of human nature were one living being.' Τῆς φύσεως here refers specially to human nature, but the whole idea is based on the Platonic view of the Universe as ξώον ξμψυχον. See Plato Tim. 30, and esp. 69 C πῶν τόδε ξυνεστήσατο, ξώον ξυ ζῷα ἔχον ἄπαντα το αὐτῷ θνητὰ ἀθάνατά τε. Similarly Synes. Calv. Enc. D. 71 D ἔδει γάρ, οἰμαι, εἶναι τὸν

κόσμον ζφον έκ ζφων συγκείμενον. For Plotinus' view see Ritter and Preller Hist. of A. Philosophy, vol. iv p. 381 ff., and, for the Stoic conception of συμπάθεια with regard to the Universe, Zeller Stoics, Epicureans and Sceptics pp. 183 ff.

5. $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\mu \epsilon \rho o v s$] 'the particular member' i.e. Christ, whose Resurrection, by virtue of the $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ of humanity, becomes a principle of life for the race.

7. συνεκδιδομένη] 'being imparted from the member to the whole, by reason of the continuity and unity of the race.'

9. δ δè σταυρός Gr. now passes on to give a second reason for the manner of the death of Christ.

10. εἰ μέν τινα καὶ ἔτερον] Gr. does not profess to exhaust the mystical teaching of the Cross in what he is about to say. It may have some other deeper meaning, for those who are 'versed in mystical

παραδόσεως ήκει, τοιοῦτόν ἐστιν. ἐπειδὴ πάντα κατὰ τὸν ὑψηλότερόν τε καὶ θειότερον λόγον ἐν τῷ εὐαγγελίῳ καὶ εἴρηται καὶ γεγένηται, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ὅ τι μὴ τοιοῦτόν ἐστιν, ὁ οὐχὶ πάντως μίξις τις ἐμφαίνεται τοῦ θείου 5 πρὸς τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τῆς μὲν φωνῆς ἡ τῆς πράξεως ἀνθρωπικῶς διεξαγομένης, τοῦ δὲ κατὰ τὸ κρυπτὸν νοουμένου τὸ θείον ἐμφαίνοντος, ἀκόλουθον ἃν εἴη καὶ ἐν τῷ μέρει τούτῳ μὴ τὸ μὲν βλέπειν, παρορậν δὲ τὸ ἔτερον, ἀλλ' ἐν μὲν τῷ θανάτῳ καθορậν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ἐν δὲ τῷ τρόπῳ το πολυπραγμονεῖν τὸ θειότερον. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἴδιόν ἐστι τῆς θεότητος τὸ διὰ πάντων ἥκειν καὶ τῆ φύσει τῶν ὅντων κατὰ πῶν μέρος συμπαρεκτείνεσθαι· οὐ γὰρ ἄν τι διαμένοι

2 λογον] βων l^* rid vulg \parallel εν τω εναγγ.] om $f \parallel 3$ εστι τι l^1 $p \parallel$ ο μη p ουδεν τοιουτον εστιν vulg \parallel 4 ο] ω defh l^1 np εν ω vulg \parallel φαινεται $e \parallel$ 8 δε] δια $l^* \parallel 9$ θανατω] αθανατω Thdrt $l^{trim} \parallel \tau po\pi\omega$] ανθρωπω vulg Thdrt $l^{trim} \sigma$ κοπω Thdrt $l^{trim} \sigma$ κοπω Thdrt $l^{trim} \sigma$

teaching.' $K\rho\nu\pi\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ i.e. the hidden sense of Scripture. He is referring to the allegorical method of interpretation, which was so marked a feature of the school of Origen. Gr. claims that his own exposition in the following passage is $\epsilon\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\delta\delta\sigma\epsilon\omega s$, i.e. an interpretation which had become traditional among the churches. See notes below. $E\pi\omega\sigma\tau\omega\rho$ — a poetical word, 'conversant with,' 'practised in.'

1. κατά τ. iψ.] Gr. here expounds the principle on which the allegorical interpretation rests. All words and events (καὶ ἐρηται καὶ γεγένηται) in the Gospel have 'a higher and more divine meaning' than that which lies upon the surface. There is a mixture of the 'human' and 'divine element' in Scripture. Cp. Origen in Lev. Hom. v, and see Bigg Christian Platonists pp. 136 foll.

διεξαγομένης] Cp. διεξάγεται
 28 (note).

ib. τοῦ δὲ κ. τ. κρυπτόν] 'while the mystical sense manifests the divine element.'

7. ἀκόλουθον κ.τ.λ.] These words as far as πολυπραγμονεῖν τὸ θειότερον are quoted by Theodoret Dial. iii (Impatibilis) p. 300 (Migne) with reference to the two natures in Christ. There are however considerable variations in the text of the passage as it appears in the edd. of Theodoret, Sirmond reading dθανάτφ for θανάτφ, and ἀνθρώπφ for τρόπφ.

ib. ἐν τῷ μέρει τούτῳ] 'in this part also,' i.e. in regard to the death of Christ, no less than the other events of His life.

10. πολυπραγμονείν] Cp. c. 10 πολυπραγμοσύνης (note).

ib. ἐπειδή] The apodosis begins with τοῦτο διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ.

12. συμπαρεκτείνεσθαι] 'extend throughout the nature of existing things in every part.' Διαμονή, 'duration,' 'continuance.'

έν τῷ εἶναι, μὴ έν τῷ ὄντι μένον· τὸ δὲ κυρίως καὶ πρώτως ον ή θεία φύσις έστίν, ην έξ ανάγκης πιστεύειν έν πασιν είναι τοις οθσιν ή διαμονή των όντων καταναγκάζει τουτο διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ διδασκόμεθα, τετραχή τοῦ κατ' αὐτὸν σχήματος διηρημένου, ώς έκ τοῦ μέσου, καθ' δ πρὸς έαυτὸν 5 συνάπτεται, τέσσαρας άριθμεῖσθαι τὰς προβολάς, ὅτι ὁ έπὶ τούτου ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς κατὰ τὸν θάνατον οἰκονομίας διαταθείς ό τὸ πᾶν πρὸς ξαυτὸν συνδέων τε καὶ συναρμόζων ἐστί, τὰς διαφόρους τῶν ὄντων φύσεις πρὸς μίαν σύμπνοιάν τε καὶ άρμονίαν δι έαυτοῦ συνάγων. ἐν γὰρ 10 τοις οδσιν η άνω τι νοειται, η κάτω, η πρός τὰ κατὰ τὸ πλάγιον πέρατα διαβαίνει ἡ ἔννοια. αν τοίνυν λογίση

6 προσβολας h | 7 τουτω f | om τω d | 8 εαυτω l*vid vulg | συνδεων] συνδεσμων df || 9 om εστι vulg || 12 τα πλαγια e vulg προς τα πλαγια περατα 1 * vid

3. τοῦτο διά τοῦ σταυροῦ] Similarly Ath. de Inc. c. 25 says that Christ spread out His hands upon the Cross to draw to Him, and unite in one, both Jew and Gentile. Cp. Lactantius Divin. Instit. iv 26 (Migne, p. 507) Extendit ergo in passione manus suas orbemque dimensus est ut iam tunc ostenderet ab ortu solis usque ad occasum magnum populum ex omnibus linguis et tribubus congregatum sub alas suas venturum. Gr. presents the same thought in a fine passage in Christi Resurr. Or. i pp. 621 ff. (Migne). Cp. also contra Eunom. (Migne). v p. 696 (Migne).

figure is divided into four parts.'

 ώs ἐκ τοῦ μέσου] 'so that from the centre, where the whole converges, the projections are four in number.' Προβολάς refers of course to the four divisions of the Cross diverging from the centre.

δτι] resumes the τοῦτο.

 τῷ καιρῷ] i.e. the hour when he carried out the plan of redemption by the death on the Cross.

ib. της κ. τ. θάνατον οίκ.] Cp. c. Eunom. v p. 708 (Migne), where the same phrase occurs. Similarly Gr. speaks of ή κατά τὸ πάθος οίκ. and ή κατά τὸν σταυρὸν oik. 'The dispensation of His death.' Olκονομία is here used of the 'plan' of redemption. See c. 5 την κατ' ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίαν (note).

ΙΙ. τὰ κατὰ τὸ π. πέρατα] 'the boundaries on either side."

12. διαβαίνει ή ξυνοια] 'thought passes over to.' For the idea cp. in Chr. Resurr. Or. i l.c. 'Aváβλεψον γάρ είς τὸν ούρανόν, καὶ τὰ κάτω βάθη τῷ λογισμῷ κατανόησον, ξκτεινον έπὶ τὰ πλάγια καὶ τὰ ἄκρα της του παντός συστάσεως την διάνοιαν, καὶ λόγισαι τίς ἐστιν ἡ ταῦτα συνέχουσα δύναμις, οίδν τις σύνδεσμος τοῦ παντός γινομένη, καὶ δψει ώς αὐτομάτως έν τῆ διανοία ἡ περὶ τῆς θείας δυνάμεως έννοια το σχήμα τοῦ σταυροῦ έγχαράσσεται. For the attempt to find the symbolism of the Cross in nature see Methodius adv. Porphyrium c. 1 (ed. Bonw. p. 346).

τῶν ἐπουρανίων ἢ τῶν ὑποχθονίων ἢ τῶν καθ' ἑκάτερον τοῦ παντὸς περάτων τὴν σύστασιν, πανταγοῦ τῷ λογισμῷ σου προαπαντά ή θεότης, μόνη κατά πάν μέρος τοις οὖσιν ενθεωρουμένη καὶ εν τῶ είναι τὰ πάντα συνέγουσα. εἴτε 5 δη θεότητα την φύσιν ταύτην ονομάζεσθαι χρη είτε λόγον είτε δύναμιν είτε σοφίαν είτε άλλο τι των ύψηλων τε καί μαλλον ενδείξασθαι δυναμένων το ύπερκείμενον, οὐδεν ό λόγος ήμῶν περὶ φωνῆς ἢ ὀνόματος ἢ τύπου ῥημάτων διαφέρεται. ἐπεὶ οὖν πᾶσα πρὸς αὐτὸν ή κτίσις βλέπει, το και περί αὐτόν έστι, και δι' έκείνου πρὸς έαυτὴν συμφυὴς γίνεται, τῶν ἄνω τοῖς κάτω καὶ τῶν πλαγίων πρὸς ἄλληλα δι' ἐκείνου συμφυομένων, ἔδει μὴ μόνον δι' ἀκοῆς ἡμᾶς πρὸς την της θεότητος κατανόησιν χειραγωγείσθαι, άλλά καὶ την όψιν γενέσθαι των ύψηλοτέρων νοημάτων διδάσκαλον, 15 ὅθεν καὶ ὁ μέγας ὁρμηθεὶς Παῦλος μυσταγωγεῖ τὸν ἐν Εφέσω λαόν, δύναμιν αὐτοῖς ἐντιθεὶς διὰ τῆς διδασκαλίας πρὸς τὸ γνῶναι τί ἐστι τὸ βάθος καὶ τὸ ὕψος, τό τε πλάτος καὶ τὸ μῆκος. ἐκάστην γὰρ τοῦ σταυροῦ προβολὴν ἰδίω

4 θεωρουμενη $f \parallel 5 \delta \eta$] δε dehnp $\parallel 6$ om $\tau \iota$ e $\parallel 7$ τον υπερκ. el*vid vulg $\parallel 9$ προς αυτον πασα l vulg $\parallel 17$ το υψος] om το dhnp $\parallel 18$ το μηκος] om το $f \parallel \pi$ ροσβολην $f \parallel \pi$ ροσβο

3. **προαπαντ**α] 'everywhere your thought is preceded and met by the presence of Deity.' Cp. Ps. cxxxviii [cxxxix] 8—10.

7. τὸ ὑπερκ.] Cp. prol. εἶναί τινα δύναμιν τὴν...τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

8. φωνής κ.τ.λ.] 'expression or

title or form of phrase.

10. περί αὐτόν] 'about Him,' i.e. by reason of the Divine immanence.

ib. συμφυής] lit. 'grown together with.' 'And through Him acquires union throughout, the parts above being, through Him, connected with those below, and the opposite sides with one another.'

13. άλλὰ και τὴν δψιν] The

Cross teaches 'sublime thoughts' by the spectacle which it offers to the eyes.

15. δθεν] St Paul 'starts from' the spectacle of the Cross with its four projections. Eph. iii 18. Gr. has the same application in in Chr. Resurr. Or. i p. 624 (Migne). Cp. Iren. v 17. 4; Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Apost. § 14; Aug. de Doctr. Chr. ii 41 (62).

ib. μυσταγωγεί] 'initiates.' Cp. Ign. Ερh. 12 Παύλου συμμύσται τοῦ ἡγιασμένου. For the Christian application of terms which were used of the heathen mysteries see Phil. iv 12, I Cor. ii 7 &c. Cp. also Wisd. viii 4. See further note on μυηθεῦσι c. 33.

ρήματι κατονομάζει, ύψος μέν τὸ ὑπερέχον, βάθος δὲ τὸ ύποκείμενον, πλάτος τε καὶ μῆκος τὰς πλαγίας ἐκτάσεις λέγων. καὶ σαφέστερον ετέρωθι τὸ τοιοῦτον νόημα πρὸς Φιλιππησίους, οίμαι, ποιεί οίς φησίν ὅτι Ἐν τῷ ὀνόματι Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ πᾶν γόνυ κάμψει ἐπουρανίων καὶ ἐπιγείων ς καὶ καταχθονίων. ἐνταῦθα τὴν μέσην κεραίαν μιᾶ προσηγορία διαλαμβάνει, παν τὸ διὰ μέσου των ἐπουρανίων καὶ ὑποχθονίων ὀνομάσας ἐπίγειον. τοῦτο μεμαθήκαμεν περὶ τοῦ σταυροῦ τὸ μυστήριον. τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ τούτου τοιαῦτα κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον περιέχει ὁ λόγος, ὡς ὁμολογεῖσθαι 10 καὶ παρὰ τῶν ἀπίστων μηδὲν ἀλλότριον είναι τῆς θεοπρεποῦς ὑπολήψεως. τὸ γὰρ μὴ ἐμμεῖναι τῷ θανάτῳ, καὶ τὰς διὰ τοῦ σιδήρου κατὰ τοῦ σώματος γενομένας πληγὰς μηδεν εμπόδιον προς το είναι ποιήσασθαι, κατ' εξουσίαν τε φαίνεσθαι μετά την ανάστασιν τοῖς μαθηταῖς, ὅτε ις βούλοιτο παρείναι τε αὐτοίς μη ὁρώμενον καὶ ἐν μέσω

6 κεραιαν] καιρεαν $1^{*\text{vid}}$ και γαιαν vulg \parallel 7 καταλαμβανει $1^{*\text{vid}}$ vulg λαμβανει $h \parallel$ 14 ειναι] αναστηναι 1 vulg \parallel 15 τοις μαθ. μ. τ. α. 1 vulg

- 2. τὰs πλαγίας ἐκτάσεις] 'the extensions on each side,' i.e. the two horizontal arms of the Cross.
 - 3. έτέρωθι] Phil. ii 10.
- δ. τὴν μέσην κεραίαν] 'the central cross-beam.' Κεραία is used of the 'yard-arm' of a ship. Here it refers to the transverse beam which divides the upright beam of the Cross; hence it is called ἡ μέση κεραία. Cp. πῶν τὸ διὰ μέσου τῶν ἐπουρανίων καὶ ὑποχθονίων below. Gr. uses language closely resembling this in the passage already cited from c. Ευποπ. v p. 696 (Migne) where he says τὴν δὲ ἐγκὰρσιον καθ' ἐκάτερον κεραίαν τῷ τοῦ μήκους τε καὶ πλάτους δνόματι διασημαίνων.
- ib. μ ι \hat{a} προσηγορίa] refers to $\hat{\epsilon}$ πιγείων, which includes acc. to Gr. both πλάτος and μ $\hat{\eta}$ κος.
- 9. τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ τούτου] The words τοιαθτα κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον form a

- kind of secondary predicate. 'The events which follow, as contained in the account, are so consistent in character, that even unbelievers &c.' Karà rò ak. i.e. consistent with the character of a Divine Being.
 - 10. ωs] depends upon τοιαῦτα.
- θεοπρέποῦς ὑπολ.] Cp. c. 10
 τὴν θεοπρεπῆ διάνοιαν and c. 24 δεῖ
 γὰρ διὰ πάντων τὸ θεῖον ἐν ταῖς
 πρεπούσαις ὑπολήψεσιν εἶναι.
- 13. σιδήρου] Jn xix 34 [Mt. xxvii 49].
- 14. πρὸς τὸ εἰναι] 'offered no impediment to his existence.' Gr. is thinking of the Resurrection life. The best MSS read εἶναι, while l and the Paris edd. have ἀναστῆναι, which is obviously a conjectural emendation.
- 16. μὴ ὀρώμενον] prob. a reference to Lk. xxiv 36, where the phrase ἐν μέσψ αὐτῶν is also found.

γίγνεσθαι, μηδέν της εἰσόδου της διὰ τῶν θυρῶν προσδεόμενον, ἐνισχύειν τε τοὺς μαθητὰς τῆ προσφυσήσει τοῦ
πνεύματος, ἐπαγγέλλεσθαί τε καὶ τὸ μετ' αὐτῶν εἶναι, καὶ
μηδενὶ μέσῷ διατειχίζεσθαι, καὶ τῷ μὲν φαινομένῷ πρὸς
5 τὸν οὐρανὸν ἀνιέναι, τῷ δὲ νοουμένῷ πανταχοῦ εἶναι, καὶ
ὅσα τοιαῦτα περιέχει ἡ ἱστορία, οὐδὲν τῆς ἐκ τῶν λογισμῶν
συμμαχίας προσδέεται πρὸς τὸ θεῖά τε εἶναι καὶ τῆς ὑψηλῆς καὶ ὑπερεχούσης δυνάμεως. περὶ ὧν οὐδὲν οἶμαι δεῖν
καθ ἕκαστον διεξιέναι, αὐτόθεν τοῦ λόγου τὸ ὑπὲρ τὴν
10 φύσιν ἐμφαίνοντος. ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ μέρος τι τῶν μυστικῶν
διδαγμάτων καὶ ἡ κατὰ τὸ λουτρόν ἐστιν οἰκονομία, ὁ εἴτε
βάπτισμα εἴτε φώτισμα εἴτε παλιγγενεσίαν βούλοιτό τις
ὀνομάζειν, οὐδὲν πρὸς τὴν ὀνομασίαν διαφερόμεθα, καλῶς
ἄν ἔχοι καὶ περὶ τούτου βραχέα διεξελθεῖν.

ι μηδεν] μηδε fl vulg \parallel 6 λογισμων] λογων d \parallel 8 om δειν e \parallel 10 εκφαινοντος l vulg \parallel αλλ] exstant sqq in euth 457 \parallel 11 κατα] περι l vulg \parallel 12 ειτε φωτισμα om e*

εἰσόδου] Jn xx 19.

προσφυσήσει] Jn xx 22.

3. το μετ' αὐτῶν εἶναι] Mt. xxviii 20. The present inf. εἶναι reproduces the εἰμὶ of the Evangelist.

4. μηδενὶ μέσ φ] Cp. c. 6π ολλ $\hat{\varphi}$ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ μέσ φ (note).

· φ μευφ (note).

4-5. τῷ μὲν φαινομένφ...τῷ δὲ voouμένφ] 'to the eye...to the mind.'

5. ariévai] Acts i 9.

10. ἀλλ' ἐπειδή] Here Gr. passes on to the subject of the next section of his treatise, in which he deals with baptism. The whole of the following passage, as far as τὸ ἐπηγγελμένον οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλοντες in c. 34, is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. tit. xxv pp. 1252 ff. (Migne).

ib. μυστικών δ.] 'a part of the doctrines of revelation.' Μυστικός refers to the earlier sense of μυστήριον, 'a revealed truth of the Christian religion.' Cp. c. 9 τδ μυστήριον τῆς ἀληθείας. There is no reference here to the other

sense of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma\nu$ = 'a sacramental rite,' as sacraments have not yet been mentioned, and the $\kappa a \iota$ before $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\lambda o \iota \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. definitely connects what Gr. says with what precedes, i.e. the $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\alpha$ of the Incarnation and the Cross.

11. ἡ κατὰ τὸ λ....olκ.] 'the dispensation of the washing.' Cp. Eph. v 26, Tit. iii 5, the latter of which passages supplies also the word παλιγγενεσία.

12. φώτισμα] Cp. Heb. vi 4, x 32. Cp. Justin Ap. i 61 καλείται δε τοῦτο το λουτρον φωτισμός, ώς φωτιζομένων τὴν διάνοιαν τῶν ταῦτα μανθανόντων. Similarly Gr. says, in Bapt. Christi p. 592 (Migne) ὅπου υδωρ τὸ μυστικόν, ἐκεῖ καὶ πνεῦμα τὸ ζωπυροῦν, τὸ θερμόν, τὸ πυροειδέι, τὸ τοὺς ἀσεβεῖς καῖον καὶ τοὺς πιστοὺς φωτίζον. For alternative titles of Baptism see Clem. Al. Paed. i 6 (p. 113, Potter), Greg. Naz. Or. xl p. 698.

- 33. Ἐπειδὰν γὰρ παρ' ἡμῶν τὸ τοιοῦτον ἀκούσωσιν, ὅτι, τοῦ θνητοῦ πρὸς τὴν ζωὴν μεταβαίνοντος, ἀκόλουθον ἢν τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως ἐπὶ τὸν θνητὸν παραγούσης βίον ἐτέραν γένεσιν ἐξευρεθῆναι, μήτε ἀπὸ φθορᾶς ἀρχομένην, μήτε εἰς φθορὰν καταλήγουσαν, ἀλλ' εἰς ἀθάνατον ζωὴν 5 τὸν γεγεννημένον παράγουσαν, ἵν', ὥσπερ ἐκ θνητῆς γενέσεως θνητὸν ἐξ ἀνάγκης τὸ γεγεννημένον ὑπέστη, οῦτως ἐκ τῆς μὴ παραδεχομένης φθορὰν τὸ γεννώμενον κρεῖττον γένηται τῆς ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου φθορᾶς ἐπειδὰν οὖν τούτων καὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἀκούσωσιν καὶ προδιδαχθῶσι τὸν τρόπον, 10 ὅτι εὐχὴ πρὸς θεὸν καὶ χάριτος οὐρανίας ἐπίκλησις καὶ
- **33.** 4 genutsin eath 7 valg \parallel 6 gegenthenor dehp to γ . 1 \parallel in wsper worker gap 1 valg \parallel genutsews 1 valg \parallel 7 gegenthe. http:// 8 ϕ 800par] + genutsews f1 valg \parallel 9 genutai] gegenutai d \parallel 0m our 1 valg \parallel 10 posobiax8ws: eath 45

CHAPS. XXXIII—XL. THE SACRAMENTS.

i. Chaps. XXXIII—XXXVI. On Baptism.

33. Just as the natural birth is our introduction to this mortal life, so the new birth of baptism is our introduction to eternal life. means by which this regeneration is effected is prayer to God, the invocation of Divine grace, water, and faith. If it be asked how these effect the beginning of a new life, it may be sufficient to reply that we do not understand how the natural process of generation effects the production of a human being. In either case the answer is the same. The result is due to the presence and working of the Divine Power, using natural means to effect some higher end.

For Gr.'s treatment of Baptism see further his treatise in Baptismum Christi, esp. pp. 581, 584, 585 (Migne).

τὸ τοιοῦτον] explained by ὅτι.

The protasis is resumed by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ οδν τούτων, and the apodosis begins at δυσπειθώς έχουσι.

πρὸς τὴν ζωήν] i.e. to eternal life.

11. εὐχὴ π. θ.] Cp. Justin Ap. i 61 εὐχεσθαί τε καὶ αἰτεῦν νηστεύοντες παρὰ τοῦ θεοῦ τῶν προημαρτημένων ἄφεσιν διδάσκονται, ἡμῶν
συνευχομένων καὶ συννηστευόντων
αὐτοῖς.

ib. χάριτος οὐρ. ἐπίκλησις] Cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 584 (Migne) τὸ τοῦωρ οὐδὲν ἀλλο τυγχάνον ἢ ὕδωρ, ἀνακαιν[ξει τὸν ἀνθρωπον εἰς τὴν νοητὴν ἀναγέννησιν, τῆς ἀνωθεν χάριτος εὐλογούσης αὐτό: de Baptismo p. 421 (Migne) πῶν τόδωρ ἐπιτήδειον εἰς τὴν τοῦ βαπτίσματος χρείαν, μόνον ἐὰν εὐρη πίστιν τοῦ λαμβανοντος, καὶ εὐλογίαν τοῦ ἀγιάζοντος ἰερέως.

ύδωρ καὶ πίστις ἐστὶ δι' ὧν τὸ τῆς ἀναγεννήσεως πληροῦται μυστήριον, δυσπειθῶς ἔχουσι πρὸς τὸ φαινόμενον βλέποντες, ὡς οὐ συμβαῖνον τῆ ἐπαγγελία τὸ σωματικῶς ἐνεργούμενον. πῶς γάρ, φασίν, εὐχὴ καὶ δυνάμεως θείας 5 ἐπίκλησις ἐπὶ τοῦ ὕδατος γινομένη ζωῆς ἀρχηγὸς τοῖς μυηθεῖσι γίνεται; πρὸς οὕς, εἴπερ μὴ λίαν ἔχοιεν ἀντιτύπως, ἀπλοῦς ἐξαρκεῖ λόγος πρὸς τὴν τοῦ δόγματος ἀγαγεῖν συγκατάθεσιν. ἀντερωτήσωμεν γάρ, τοῦ τρόπου τῆς κατὰ σάρκα γεννήσεως πᾶσιν ὄντος προδήλου, πῶς 10 ἄνθρωπος ἐκεῖνο γίνεται τὸ εἰς ἀφορμὴν τῆς συστάσεως τοῦ ζώου καταβαλλόμενον. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδεὶς ἐπ' ἐκείνου λόγος ἐστὶν ὁ λογισμῷ τινὶ τὸ πιθανὸν ἐφευρίσκων. τί γὰρ κοινὸν ἔχει ὅρος ἀνθρώπου πρὸς τὴν ἐν ἐκείνφ θεωρουμένην

3 σωματικον $e \parallel 4$ φησιν $en \parallel 5$ γενομενη $e \parallel 6$ μυουμενοις fl vulg \parallel om μη vulg \parallel 7 απλως $e \parallel 8$ αντερωτησω μεν vulg \parallel περι του τροπου $p \parallel$ 9 γεννησεως]+ του $dnp \parallel 12$ εξευρισκων l vulg enth 45 \parallel 13 om εχει vulg \parallel opos] σπερμα l σπερματι vulg opaσις $f \parallel$ om θ εωρουμενην d

2. μυστήριον] here approaches the sense of 'sacrament.' Cp. c. 34 τῆς μυστικῆς ταύτης οἰκονομίας.

ib. προς τὸ φαιν.] i.e. τὸ σωματικῶς ενεργούμενον, which includes the ritual action, the material employed, and the formula of Baptism.

3. τŷ ἐπαγγ.] The grace promised in Baptism is a spiritual gift. How can the bestowal of such a gift be said to 'coincide with' the performance of an outward, bodily act? In in Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne) Gr. defends the sacramental principle by a different argument from that of the present chapter.

5. ζωής άρχηγός] 'Αρχ. is here used as an adjective. 'A source

of life."

5. μυηθείσι] Cp. c. 32 μυσταγωγεί (note). On the use of the words μύειν and μυστήριον with reference to the Christian Sacraments see Lightfoot's note on Ign. Eph. 12. 8. συγκατάθεσιν] Cp. c. 5 οὐκ ἔξω τῆς τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων ἐστὶ συγ-

καταθέσεως (note).

9-10. πως α. έκεινο γίν.] Cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 584 (Migne) πως η ύγρα και αμορφος ούσια ανθρωπος γίνεται; The same illustration is used by Justin (Ap. i 19) in treating of the resurrection of the body, and also by Methodius de Resurr. ii 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).

10. αφορμήν] Cp. c. 5 πάντων αυτῷ τῶν καλῶν τὰς ἀφορμὰς ἐγκατα-

σκεύασας (note).

11. καταβαλλ.] used of the sowing of seed in Plat. Theaet. 149. Cp. Heb. xi 11.

ib. ἀλλὰ μήν] 'Yet surely in that case there is no principle which discovers by any process of calculation the probable cause.'

13. δρος] 'the definition' of a man. This is a common sense of the word in philosophical writers.

ib. έν έκείνψ] i.e τῷ σπέρματι.

ποιότητα συγκρινόμενος; ἄνθρωπος λογικόν τι χρημα καὶ διανοητικόν έστι, νοῦ καὶ ἐπιστήμης δεκτικόν ἐκεῖνο δὲ ύγρα τινὶ ενθεωρείται ποιότητι, καὶ πλείον οὐδεν τοῦ κατ' αἴσθησιν ὁρωμένου καταλαμβάνει ἡ ἔννοια. ἡν τοίνυν εἰκός ἐστιν ἀπόκρισιν ἡμίν γενέσθαι παρὰ τῶν ἐρωτηθέν- ς των ότι πως έστι πιστον έξ έκείνου συστήναι άνθρωπον. τοῦτο καὶ περὶ τῆς διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος γινομένης ἀναγεννήσεως έρωτηθέντες αποκρινούμεθα. έκει τε γάρ πρόγειρον έστιν έκάστω τῶν ἠρωτημένων εἰπεῖν ὅτι θεία δυνάμει ἐκεῖνο ανθρωπος γίνεται, ής μη παρούσης ακίνητόν έστιν έκεινο το καὶ ἀνενέργητον. εἰ οὖν ἐκεῖ οὐ τὸ ὑποκείμενον ποιεῖ τον ἄνθρωπον, άλλ' ή θεία δύναμις προς άνθρώπου φύσιν μεταποιεί τὸ φαινόμενον, της έσχάτης αν είη άγνωμοσύνης έκει τοσαύτην τῷ θεῷ προσμαρτυροῦντας δύναμιν ἀτονείν έν τῷ μέρει τούτω τὸ θείον οἴεσθαι πρὸς τὴν ἐκπλήρωσιν τς τοῦ θελήματος. τί κοινόν, φασίν, ὕδατι καὶ ζωῆ; τί δὲ κοινόν, πρὸς αὐτοὺς ἐροῦμεν, ὑγρότητι καὶ εἰκόνι θεοῦ:

Ι ποιοτητα; συγκρινομένος vulg συγκρινομένην $f \parallel o$ ανθρωπος vulg $\parallel 2$ εκείνα $e \parallel 3$ θεωρείται dehnp euth $\parallel π$ λεον dehnp euth $\parallel 5$ γενεσθαι ημίν l vulg ημων e γινεσθαι dhnp euth $\parallel 6$ εξ εκείνου πίστον dehnp euth $\parallel 7$ om δια $f \parallel v$ δατοςl+και πνευματος euth $\parallel γ$ ενομένης $f \parallel 8$ om τε ehn $\parallel π$ ροχείρονl προτέρον l vulg: ruisus incipit $g \parallel 9$ ερωτωμένων $f \parallel εκείνος <math>l$ vulg $\parallel 10$ εκείνο l . e. e om εκείνο l vulg $\parallel 12$ προςl είς l vulg $\parallel 16$ φησίν l l δεl δαι l l l αυτον l vulg

ποιότητα] 'quality.'

2. διανοητικόν] Cp. c. 6 την διανοητικήν δύναμιν (note). The definition of man as ζώον λογικόν..., νοῦ καὶ ἐπιστήμης δεκ ικόν was a common one. See Reid's note on Cic. Acad. ii 21.

3. ποιότητι] a dat. of attendant circumstances. For θεωρ. with an adv. or adverbial clause, see c. 16 τὸ δ' ὅσον ... διεξοδικῶς θεωρεῖται (note). 'Is seen to possess a quality of moistness.'

4. $\hat{\eta}v$ $\tau o(vvv)$ 'The reply then which, it is probable, may be given by those whom we asked how it is credible.' The $\delta\tau v$ is recitative, and

merely introduces the question $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

11. τὸ ὑποκείμενον] used here in its Aristotelian sense of 'matter' as opposed to 'form' = ΰλη. Cp. Arist. Pol. i 8. 2 λέγω δὲ ὑλην τὸ ὑποκείμενον ἐξ οῦ τι ἀποτελεῖται ἔργον, οἰον ὑφάντη μὲν ἔρια, ανδριαντοποιῷ δὲ χαλκόν. 'The matter does not produce the man, but the Divine power changes the visible thing into a man's nature.'

14. ἀτονεῖν] fr. ἀτονος, 'slack,' 'relaxed'; hence 'to be powerless.'
15. ἐν τῷ μέρει] 'in this respect.'
See Lightfoot's note on Col. ii 16.

άλλ` οὐδὲν ἐκεῖ τὸ παράδοξον, εἰ θεοῦ βουλομένου πρὸς τὸ τιμιώτατον ζῷον τὸ ὑγρὸν μεταβαίνει. τὸ ἴσον καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου φαμὲν μηδὲν εἶναι θαυμαστὸν εἰ θείας δυνάμεως παρουσία πρὸς ἀφθαρσίαν μετασκευάζει τὸ ἐν τῆ φθαρτῆ 5 φύσει γενόμενον.

34. 'Αλλά ζητοῦσιν ἀπόδειξιν τοῦ παρεῖναι τὸ θεῖον ἐπὶ ἀγιασμῷ τῶν γινομένων καλούμενον. ὁ δὲ τοῦτο ζητῶν ἀναγνώτω πάλιν τὰ κατόπιν ἐξητασμένα. ἡ γὰρ κατασκευὴ τοῦ τὴν διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν ἐπιφανεῖσαν δύναμιν το ἀληθῶς θείαν εἶναι τοῦ παρόντος λόγου συνηγορία γίνεται. δειχθέντος γὰρ τοῦ θεὸν εἶναι τὸν ἐν σαρκὶ φανερωθέντα,

4 φθαρτη] φθορα $e \parallel 5$ γεννωμένον d **34.** 7 τω αγιασμω p αγιασμων vulg \parallel γινομένων] τέλουμένων f

2. τιμιώτατον ζφον] a Platonic expression. Krab. quotes Plat. Legg. p. 766 A ανθρωπος δέ, ως φαμεν, ημερον, διμως μην παιδείας μεν όρθως τυχον και φύσεως εύτυχοῦς θειότατον ημερώτατόν τε ζφον γίγνεσθαι φιλεί.

 τὸ ἐν τ. φ.] 'transforms what is born in a nature subject to corruption into a state of incorruption,' i.e. by the regeneration of baptism.

34. What proof, it may be asked, have we of the presence of the Divine Being, when invoked in Baptism? To this Gr. replies that the miracles of the incarnate Christ shew that the Divine power has been present among men. Moreover God is a God of truth, and He has promised to be present with believers who call upon Him. Add to this the fact that the baptismal rite is preceded by the invocation of God through prayer, and we thus have more than sufficient proof of His operation in the sacrament. In human generation the Divine power acts through the human parents without any such invocation. In baptism there is the action of God's power, in accordance with His promise to be present in

this way, cooperating with the human will, and at the same time there is the help which comes through prayer. Such prayer, even if it does not effect an addition to the grace received, does not hinder its operation. The operation of grace then in baptism is due to the fact that He Who promised it is Divine, while His Divinity is shown by miracles.

7. ἐπὶ ἀγιασμῷ τ. γ.] 'for the sanctification of the rite.' For ἐπὶ to denote purpose see c. 5 ἐπὶ τούτοις (note).

8. τὰ κατ. έξ.] 'our preceding investigations,' i.e. the argument by which Gr. has established the Divine nature of Christ in cc. 11, 12.

 κατασκευή] 'proof,' cp. prol. κατασκευαῖς (note).

ib. τὴν διὰ σαρκός] Cp. c. 12 ϵ πl τοῦ διὰ σαρκός ἡμ \hat{l} ν φανερωθέντος θ εοῦ (note).

11. $\delta\epsilon i\chi\theta \dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau os]$ agrees with the substantival clause $\tau o\hat{v}$ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \partial \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\nu} va.$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, the whole forming a gen. absolute. $\Delta\epsilon l\xi a\nu\tau a$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ forms a subordinate clause, dependent on $\tau \partial \nu \dots \phi a\nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a$. The reading of ϵhn and Euth. is an attempt to simplify the construction. 'For when it

τοῖς διὰ τῶν γινομένων θαύμασι τὴν φύσιν ἐαυτοῦ δείξαντα, συναπεδείχθη τὸ παρεῖναι τοῖς γινομένοις αὐτὸν κατὰ πάντα καιρὸν ἐπικλήσεως. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἑκάστου τῶν
ὄντων ἔστι τις ἰδιότης ἡ τὴν φύσιν γνωρίζουσα, οὕτως
ἴδιον τῆς θείας φύσεως ἐστιν ἡ ἀλήθεια. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἀεὶ 5
παρέσεσθαι τοῖς ἐπικαλουμένοις ἐπήγγελται, καὶ ἐν μέσω
τῶν πιστευόντων εἶναι, καὶ ἐν πᾶσι μένειν καὶ ἑκάστω
συνεῖναι. οὐκέτ οὖν ᾶν ἐτέρας εἰς τὸ παρεῖναι τὸ θεῖον
τοῖς γινομένοις ἀποδείξεως προσδεοίμεθα, τὸ μὲν θεὸν
εἶναι διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων αὐτῶν πεπιστευκότες, ἴδιον δὲ 10
τῆς θεότητος τὸ ἀμίκτως πρὸς τὸ ψεῦδος ἔχειν εἰδότες,
ἐν δὲ τῷ ἀψευδεῖ τῆς ὑποσχέσεως παρεῖναι τὸ ἐπηγγελμένον οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλοντες. τὸ δὲ προηγεῖσθαι τὴν διὰ

Ι και δια τ. γ. θαυματων ehn euth θαυμασιων $f \parallel 4$ ιδιστης τις $f \parallel 5$ ιδιον ε. τ. θ. φ. l vulg $\parallel 8$ om ουν fl vulg και ουκετ l vulg om av deghnp euth $\parallel 9$ προσδεομεθα $d \parallel τ$ ον μεν vulg $\parallel 10$ αυτον dhnp $\parallel π$ ιστευοντες $f \parallel 10-11$ ιδιον...ειδοτες om l^* vulg $\parallel 13$ ουκ lμη lμη lμαφιβαλλοντες ldesinit euth

was shewn that He Who was manifested in the flesh is God, seeing that He revealed His own nature by means of the wonders exhibited in the events of His life.'

2. συναπεδείχθη] The two arguments on which Gr. rests his proof of the operation of God through sacraments are: (1) the Incarnation has shewn that God's power has been at work among men. (2) Christ's promise to be present with the faithful and have special intercourse with them can be relied upon, because God is a God of truth. The present passage deals with the former of these. The latter is the subject of the following sentences.

ib. τοις γινομένοις] Cp. supra των γινομένων. 'It was shewn that He is present at what is done every time that He is invoked.'

lδιότηs] 'peculiarity.'
 άλλὰ μήν] 'well, then.'

ib. ἀεὶ παρέσεσθαι] Mt. vii 7; Jn xiv 13, xv 7, 16, xvi 23.

6. ἐν μέσψ] Mt. xviii 20, xxviii 20.

εν πᾶσι μένειν] In xv 4 foll.
 συνείναι] 'have intercourse with.' In xiv 23.

12. ἐν δὲ τῷ ἀψ.] 'that the thing promised is there in virtue of the unfailing truth of the promise.'

13. τὸ δὲ προηγ.] 'while the fact that the invocation by prayer precedes the Divine dispensation constitutes as it were a superabundance of proof.' Gr. means that the facts already cited are sufficient to prove the working of Divine grace, apart from the argument derived from the invocation of God by prayer. He illustrates his meaning by the analogy of human generation in which Divine power cooperates with human effort irrespective of such invocation.

τής εύχης κλήσιν τής θείας οἰκονομίας περιουσία τίς έστι της αποδείξεως του κατά θεον επιτελείσθαι το ενεργούμενου. εί γαρ έπὶ τοῦ έτέρου της ανθρωποποιίας είδους αί των γεννώντων όρμαί, καν μη έπικληθη παρ' αὐτών 5 δι' εὐχης τὸ θεῖον, τῆ τοῦ θεοῦ δυνάμει, καθώς ἐν τοῖς έμπροσθεν είρηται, διαπλάσσουσι τὸ γεννώμενον, ής χωρισθείσης ἄπρακτός έστιν ή σπουδή καὶ ἀνόνητος, πόσφ μάλλον έν τῷ πνευματικῷ τῆς γεννήσεως τρόπω, καὶ θεοῦ παρέσεσθαι τοῖς γινομένοις επηγηελμένου καὶ 10 την παρ' έαυτου δύναμιν εντεθεικότος τῷ ἔργῳ, καθὰ πεπιστεύκαμεν, και της ήμετέρας προαιρέσεως πρός τὸ σπουδαζόμενον την δρμην έχούσης, εί συμπαραληφθείη καθηκόντως ή διὰ τῆς εὐχῆς συμμαχία, μᾶλλον ἐπιτελὲς έσται τὸ σπουδαζόμενον; καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ ἐπιφαῦσαι 15 τον ήλιον αὐτοῖς εὐχόμενοι τῷ θεῷ οὐδὲν ἀμβλύνουσι τὸ πάντως γινόμενον, οὐδὲ μὴν ἄχρηστον εἶναί τις φήσει τὴν των προσευχομένων σπουδήν, εί περὶ τοῦ πάντως ἐσομένου τον θεον ικετεύουσιν, ουτως οι πεπεισμένοι κατά την

4 παρ αυτοις ί || 5 το θ. δι ευχης e om δι ευχης ί || 8 γενεσεως deghnp || 9 γινομένοις] βουλομένοις f γενομένοις l vulg | 10 καθώς vulg | 14 γαρ] om d $\delta \epsilon$ | vulg || $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi$ avai vulg || 15 ϵ autois e || 16 $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ | $\epsilon \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ fg || 18 πεπεισμ.] πεπιστευμενοι fl*vid vulg

1. olkovoµlas] here used of that part of the Divine 'plan' which relates to the use of sacramental means. Cp. infra της μυστικής ταύτης οἰκονομίας.

 έν τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν] in c. 33. 7. ampaktos] 'their effort is in-

operative and fruitless.'

 καθὰ πεπιστ.] on the strength of such passages e.g. as Eph. v 25,

12. εl συμπ.] 'if, at the same time, the help which comes through prayer be duly invited.'

14. καθάπερ κ.τ.λ.] The purpose of this illustration appears to be to shew that, even apart from any invocation to God to bestow His grace,

His Divine power and His promise to come in this way constitute sufficient proof of His presence in the rite of baptism.

ib. ἐπιφαῦσαι] a word found in

Eph. v 14.

15. αὐτοῖς] = ἐαυτοῖς. For this reflexive use of the oblique cases of airos see Lightfoot's note on Col. i 20.

16. πάντως] 'that which happens

in any case.'

18. ούτως οί πεπεισμένοι] Gr. has not fully expressed his thought in this sentence. We have to supply, with Glauber (quoted by Krab.), some such words as and make request to God.'

ἀψευδη τοῦ ἐπαγγειλαμένου ὑπόσχεσιν πάντως παρείναι τὴν χάριν τοῖς διὰ τῆς μυστικῆς ταύτης οἰκονομίας ἀναγεννωμένοις ἡ προσθήκην τινὰ ποιοῦνται τῆς χάριτος, ἡ τὴν οὖσαν οὐκ ἀποστρέφουσιν. τὸ γὰρ πάντως συνεῖναι διὰ τὸ θεὸν εἶναι τὸν ἐπαγγειλάμενον πεπίστευται· ἡ δὲ 5 τῆς θεότητος μαρτύρία διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων ἐστίν. ὥστε διὰ πάντων τὸ παρεῖναι τὸ θεῖον οὐδεμίαν ἀμφιβολίαν ἔχει.

35. Ἡ δὲ εἰς τὸ ὕδωρ κάθοδος καὶ τὸ εἰς τρὶς ἐν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ἔτερον ἐμπεριέχει μυστήριον. 10 ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ὁ τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν τρόπος οὐ τοσοῦτον ἐκ

4 συνειναι] om f ειναι dg*hnp παρειναι g¹l¹ \parallel 5 το] τον fh 35. 9 εις τρις] om εις fg¹l vulg τρεις defg*hnp

2. μυστικής ...olκ.] through this sacramental dispensation. For this use of μυστικός with reference to the sacraments cp. ε. Eunom. xi p. 880 (Migne) τη τών μυστικών έθων τε και συμβόλων κοινωνία την σωτηρίαν κρατύνεσθαι. Similarly in in Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne) Gr. speaks of της μυστικής πράξεως.

3. $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$] i.e. by their prayers.

4. συνείναι] cp. supra έκάστφ συνείναι.

5. ἡ δὲ τῆς θ. μαρτυρία] See supra and cc. 11, 12.

35. Gr. now unfolds the inner significance of the rite of baptism. The redemptive acts of Christ, His Death and Resurrection, rather than His teaching, are the means by which man's salvation is effected. These must be reproduced or copied by His disciples. Baptism is the means by which we imitate what Christ did. The threefold immersion and rising again from the water represent the three days' burial and resurrection. But in our case the process of the resurrection is only accomplished in

stages, of which Baptism is the first. The imitation of Christ consists in the break with sin begun in baptism. The two things which contribute to the overthrow of sin are repentance and the copying of the death of Christ, so far as the poverty of our nature allows. Our rising again out of the water corresponds to Christ's rising from the tomb, and shews the ease with which Christ first, and mankind after Him, pass to their resurrection. The humble beginning made in baptism is a necessary prelude to our rising again to a blessed and divine life. For those who lack the purifying grace of baptism there will also be a resurrection, but in their case, in place of the washing of baptism, there is prepared the refining fire.

9. eis τρls] Cp. eπl τρls Acts x 16, xi 10. 'And the threefold immersion of the person in it.'

10. μυστήριον] The μυστήριον here is the inner teaching contained in the rite of baptism, which he expounds in this chapter.

της κατά την διδαχην υφηγήσεως ένεργος γέγονεν δσον δι' αὐτῶν ὧν ἐποίησεν ὁ τὴν πρὸς τὸν ἄνθρωπον ὑποστὰς κοινωνίαν, έργω την ζωήν ένεργήσας, ίνα διά της άναλη-Φθείσης παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ συναποθεωθείσης σαρκὸς ἄπαν 5 συνδιασωθή τὸ συγγενες αὐτή καὶ ὁμόφυλον, ἀναγκαῖον

Ι κατα διαδοχην f* vulg || 5 διασωθη f συνδιασωθηναι vulg

 κατὰ τ. διδ. ὑφ.] 'did not owe its effectiveness so much to instruction by way of teaching.' For bothynous, which is a Platonic word, see note on ὑφηγούμενον c. 4 sub fin. words κατά τ. διδ. define the character of such 'instruction.' The essence of the Gospel lies, according to Gr., not so much in the verbal teaching of Christ, as in the redemptive acts of His life.

ὑποστάς κουν.] For the idea that the Death and Resurrection of Christ were representative and corporate acts, in which He unites mankind with Himself, according to the teaching of St Paul (Rom. vi 3-11), cp. Ign. Magn. 5 δι' οδ έὰν μη αὐθαιρέτως έχωμεν τὸ ἀποθανείν els τὸ αὐτοῦ πάθος, τὸ ζην αύτοῦ οὐκ ἔστιν ἐν ἡμῖν: Smyrn. 5 μέχρις ου μετανοήσωσιν εls το πάθος, δ έστιν ημών ανάστασις. It is a special feature of the treatment of the Atonement in Athanasius. See e.g. de Inc. 8, 37; c. Ar. ii 7.

3. ξργφ...ένεργήσας] explanatory of εποίησεν. 'Seeing that He has made life an accomplished fact.' $E_{\rho\gamma\psi}$ opposed to $\lambda\delta\gamma\psi$. Christ did not merely teach men how life could be found. He actually effected it in them through His assumption

of human nature.

4. συναποθεωθείσης] Cp. c. 37 $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \nu \sigma \nu$, and for the idea see c. 25 κατεμίχθη πρός τὸ ημέτερον, Ίνα τὸ ημέτερον τῆ πρὸς τὸ θεῖον ἐπιμιξία γένηται θεῖον. The idea of a θέωσις of human nature resulting from the Incarnation is

found as early as Irenaeus (iv praef. 3 fin., 38. 4). It appears in Clement and Origen, and is of common occurrence in Athanasius and later fathers. The scriptural starting point of the phrase is to be found in the two passages Ps. lxxxi (lxxxii) 6 and 2 Pet. i 4. Cp. also Eph. iv 17-24. By Athanasius it is frequently associated with the idea of immortality. He also has the expressions 'renew' and 'deify' c. Ar. ii 47, 'hallow' and 'deify' c. Ar. iii 39, 'adopt' and 'deify' c. Ar. i 39. In using such language, however, these writers carefully distinguish the Sonship of Christ from the adoption of Christians. See further on the history of the terms θέωσις and θεοποίησις Harnack Hist. of Dogm. (Eng. tr.) vol. iii 164 note 2; Inge Bampton Lectures p. 13 and App. C. pp. 356 ff. On the θέωσις of the Lord's humanity Origen says in c. Cels. iii 474 (Philocal. ed. Rob. p. 124) τὸ δὲ θνητόν αὐτοῦ σῶμα καὶ τὴν άνθρωπίνην έν αὐτῷ ψυχὴν τῆ πρὸς έκείνον ού μόνον κοινωνία άλλα και ένώσει και ανακράσει τα μέγιστά φαμεν προσειληφέναι, καὶ τῆς ἐκείνου θειότητος κεκοινωνηκότα είς θεὸν μεταβεβληκέναι: and again ibid. τί θαυμαστόν την ποιότητα του θνητου κατά τὸ τοῦ Ἰησοῦ σῶμα προνοία θεοῦ βουληθέντος μεταβαλεῖν εls αίθέριον και θείαν ποιότητα;

όμόφυλον] Cp. c. 5 ώς οἰκεῖον έκατέρου καὶ ὁμόφυλον...τῷ μὲν τὸν $d \ell \rho a$, $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau \hat{\sigma}$ $\delta \delta \omega \rho$ $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$ (note).

ην επινοηθηναί τινα τρόπου, εν ώ τις ην συγγένειά τε καλ όμοιότης εν τοις γινομένοις παρά του έπομένου πρός τὸν ήγούμενον. χρή τοίνυν ίδειν έν τίσιν ό της ζωής ήμων καθηγησάμενος έθεωρήθη, ίνα, καθώς φησιν ό ἀπόστολος. κατά τὸν ἀρχηγὸν τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν κατορθωθῆ τοῖς ς έπομένοις ή μίμησις. ώσπερ γαρ παρά τῶν πεπαιδευμένων τὰ τακτικὰ πρὸς τὴν ὁπλιτικὴν ἐμπειρίαν ἀνάγονται οί δι' ὧν βλέπουσιν πρὸς τὴν εὔρυθμόν τε καὶ ἐνόπλιον κίνησιν παιδευόμενοι, ό δὲ μὴ πράττων τὸ προδεικνύμενον αμέτοχος της τοιαύτης έμπειρίας μένει, κατά τὸν αὐτὸν 10 τρόπον τῶ πρὸς τὴν σωτηρίαν ἡμῶν ἐξηγουμένω πάντως οίς ίση πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθόν ἐστιν ἡ σπουδὴ ὁμοίως ἐπάναγκες διὰ μιμήσεως επεσθαι, τὸ παρ' αὐτοῦ προδειχθεν εἰς εργον άγοντας, οὐ γὰρ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ ἴσον καταντήσαι πέρας, μη διὰ τῶν ὁμοίων ὁδεύσαντας. καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ τὰς τῶν 15 λαβυρίνθων πλάνας διεξελθείν άμηχανουντες, εἴ τινος

3 καθιδειν $f^* \parallel 4$ εθεωρηθη $e^* = 6$ παιδευομενών $g^* = 6$ $e^* = 6$ καιδευομενών $g^* = 6$ $e^* = 6$ γονται efg $^1 \parallel 8$ δι ων βλεπουσιν οι l^1 vulg om οι $l^*g \parallel$ 11 παντας $h \parallel$ 14 αγαγοντας l*vid vulg | καταρτισαι l* vulg

 ἐπινοηθῆναι] Cp. c. 22 τὸν δίκαιον τρόπον ἐπινοηθῆναι.

ib. συγγένεια] used here as little more than a synonym for δμοιότης.

2. èν τ. γιν.] 'in what is done by him who follows.' The reference is, of course, to the rite of baptism. Πρός τον ήγι depends on ομοιότης.

3-4. ἐν τίσιν...ἐθεωρήθη] Θεωρεῖ- $\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ as usual is a mere synonym for είναι οτ γίγνεσθαι. For the expression είναι έν οτ γίγνεσθαι έν cp. c. 1 έν ζωῆ...είναι.

ib. o της (wης ... καθηγ.] the Author of life."

4. ὁ ἀπόστολος] Heb. ii 10. Gr., like Athanasius and Gregory of Nazianzus, includes the Epistle among those of St Paul.

5. κατορθωθ $\hat{\eta}$] lit. 'may be set right,' 'may be directed in accordance with. Cp. Aesch. Cho. 512 δράν κατώρθωσαι φρενί. Τοίς έπ.

dat. of interest.

6. ωσπερ γάρ] 'For as they who are trained by what they see into rhythmical and orderly movement are led on to skill in arms by trained tacticians.' Ένδπλιος is used of the metrical time adapted to the tune of a march. Cp. Ar. Nub. 651 έπαίονθ' όποιός έστι των φυθμών κατ' ένόπλιον. Hence it is used of a rhythmical movement.

11. $\tau \hat{\psi} \dots \hat{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \delta \delta \psi$ i.e. Jesus Christ. $T\hat{\varphi}$ $\epsilon \xi$, is governed by έπεσθαι. 'They who have an equal zeal for what is good must in like manner follow by way of careful imitation Him who conducts us to our salvation.'

16. λαβυρίνθων πλάνας] 'the windings of mazes.' Των οίκων shows that Gr. has in view some such building as the labyrinth of Minos of Crete.

έμπείρως έχουτος επιτύχοιεν, κατόπιν επόμενοι τας ποικίλας τε καὶ ἀπατηλάς τῶν οἴκων ἀναστροφάς διεξέρχονται, οὐκ αν διεξελθόντες, μη κατ' ἔχνος ἐπόμενοι τῷ προάγοντι, ούτω μοι νόησον καὶ τὸν τοῦ βίου τούτου λαβύρινθον 5 αδιεξίτητον είναι τη ανθρωπίνη φύσει, εί μή τις της αὐτης όδοῦ λάβοιτο δι' ής ὁ ἐν αὐτῷ γενόμενος ἔξω κατέστη τοῦ περιέχοντος. λαβύρινθον δέ φημι τροπικώς την αδιέξοδον τοῦ θανάτου φρουράν, ή τὸ δείλαιον τοῦ ἀνθρώπου γένος περιεσχέθη. τί οδυ περὶ τὸν ἀρχηγὸν τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν 10 έθεασάμεθα; τριήμερον νέκρωσιν καὶ πάλιν ζωήν. οὐκοῦν γρή τι τοιοῦτον καὶ ἐν ἡμῖν ἐπινοηθῆναι ὁμοίωμα. τίς οδυ έστιν ή επίνοια δι' ής και εν ήμιν πληρούται του παρ' ἐκείνου γεγονότος ἡ μίμησις; ἄπαν τὸ νεκρωθὲν οἰκεῖόν τινα καὶ κατά φύσιν ἔχει χῶρον, τὴν γῆν, ἐν ή 15 κλίνεται τε και κατακρύπτεται. πολλήν δὲ πρὸς ἄλληλα τὴν συγγένειαν ἔχει γῆ τε καὶ ὕδωρ, μόνα τῶν στοιχείων Βαρέα τε όντα καὶ κατωφερή, καὶ ἐν ἀλλήλοις μένοντα καὶ δι' ἀλλήλων κρατούμενα. ἐπεὶ οὖν τοῦ καθηγουμένου της ζωης ημών ο θάνατος ύπογειος κατά την κοινήν γέγονε 20 φύσιν, ή τοῦ θανάτου μίμησις ή παρ' ήμῶν γινομένη έν τῷ γείτονι διατυπούται στοιχείω. καὶ ώς ἐκείνος ὁ ἄνωθεν ἄνθρωπος ἀναλαβών τὴν νεκρότητα μετὰ τὴν ὑπόγειον

3 μη] ει μη l1 om l* || 6 om o dhn || 7 αδιεξοδευτον en || 8 φρουραν] $\phi\theta$ οραν vulg || 9 om ημων l* vulg || 11 om τι deg*hnp || 15 κλινεται τε] και αναλυεται f om τε l vulg | 19 υπογειος] + και ehl vulg || 21 αποτυπ- vulg

 όδοῦ] 'take to the same path.' For this use of the gen. with λαμβάνεσθαι cp. Thucyd. iii 24 λαβόμενοι τῶν ὀρῶν.

ib. δι' ήs] 'by which He who entered it succeeded in passing out-

side His environment.

8. φρουράν] Cp. c. 23 έν τῆ τοῦ θανάτου φρουρά. Krah. compares Plato Phaed. 62 Β έν τινι φρουρά ἐσμὲν οἱ ἄνθρωποι.

ib. τοῦ ἀνθρώπου] here used of 'mankind' as a whole.

10. νέκρωσιν] 'a state of death.'

- 17. κατωφερή] = κάτω φερόμενα. 18. δι' άλλ. κρατ.] 'held by one another.
- 21. διατυπουται] 'is represented in the neighbouring element.' Gr. has the same idea in in Bapt. Christi p. 585 (Migne) ἐπὶ δὲ τὸ συγγενές της γης στοιχείον, το ύδωρ, έρχόμενοι, έκείν ψέαυτούς έγκρύπτομεν, ώς ὁ σωτὴρ τῆ γῆ.

ib. ὁ ἄνωθεν ἄνθ.] Jn iii 31,

1 Cor. xv 47.

22. ἀναλαβών] 'after He had assumed a state of death.'

θέσιν τριταίος ἐπὶ τὴν ζωὴν πάλιν ἀνέδραμεν, οὕτω πᾶς ὁ συνημμένος κατὰ τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν ἐκείνω πρὸς τὸ αὐτὸ κατόρθωμα βλέπων, τὸ κατὰ τὴν ζωὴν λέγω πέρας, ἀντὶ γῆς τὸ ὕδωρ ἐπιχεάμενος καὶ ὑποδὺς τὸ στοιχείον ἐν τρισὶ περιόδοις τὴν τριήμερον τῆς ἀνα- 5 στάσεως χάριν ἀπεμιμήσατο. εἴρηται δὲ τὸ τοιοῦτον καὶ ἐν τοῖς φθάσασιν, ὅτι κατ' οἰκονομίαν ἐπῆκται τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη φύσει παρὰ τῆς θείας προνοίας ὁ θάνατος, ὥστε τῆς κακίας ἐν τῆ διαλύσει τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἐκρυείσης πάλιν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως σῶον καὶ τὸ ἀπαθῆ καὶ ἀκέραιον καὶ πάσης τῆς κατὰ κακίαν ἐπιμιξίας ἀλλότριον ἀναστοιχειωθῆναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ἀλλ' ἐπὶ μὲν τοῦ καθηγουμένου τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν τὸ τέλειον ἡ κατὰ τὸν θάνατον ἔσχεν οἰκονομία, κατὰ τὸν ἴδιον

3 βλεπει e | 4 επιχεομενος dp | 6 om το vulg | 9 ωστε] ως f vulg

3. κατόρθωμα] a philosophical term denoting 'right conduct' or 'duty.' On the patristic use of the word = 'a right action,' 'a good work,' see Suicer Thesaurus. So Krab. (following the Paris editors) translates here 'ad idem, quod ab ipso recte gestum est, intuens.' The word, however, seems here to be used in the simpler sense of 'a successful accomplishment.' 'Looking to the same successful issue.' So Oehler, 'in Aussicht auf das gleiche glückliche Werk.' Cp. c. 36 τŷ εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος.

ib. το κ. τ. ζ...πέραs] 'the goal, which is life.' For this use of κατά with the acc. as a circumlocution for the gen. see Blass N.T. Gramm. (Eng. tr.) p. 133.

4. επιχεάμενος] 'having water poured upon him.' This is the force of the mid. Cp. infra το ΰδωρ τρις επιχεάμενοι. The word suggests affusion, rather than immersion. But ὑποδύς which follows implies immersion.

5. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \delta o \iota s$] Gr. is thinking of the 'three separate times' that the

convert is immersed and rises again from the baptismal waters.

ib. τριήμερον] 'attained after three days.' Cp. in Bapt. Chr. p. 585 (Migne) την τριήμερον εαυτοις της άναστάσεως χάριν έξεικονίζομεν.

7. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ τοῦς $\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\sigma$.] i.e. in c. 8.

See also c. 16.

ib. κατ' οἰκονομίαν] 'by way of accommodation,' i.e. in view of the circumstances of man's Fall. Επη-κται, 'introduced.'

10. έκρυείσης] Cp. c. 16 τῆς έμμιχθείσης τῆ φύσει κακίας διὰ τῆς διαλύσεως ἡμῶν ἐκρυείσης.

11. ἀπαθη] 'free from passion.' Ακέραιον, 'pure.' Cp. Rom. xvi 19.

12. ἀναστοιχειωθῆναι] Cp. c. 8 πρὸς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κάλλος ἀναστοιχειώσει τὸ σκεῦος.

14. $\dot{\eta} \kappa . \tau . \thetaoi\kappa$.] Cp. c. 32 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau \dot{\psi}$ καιρ $\dot{\psi}$ $\tau \dot{\eta}$ consider $\dot{\psi}$ $\dot{$

ib. κατά τ. ίδ. σκοπόν] 'being

σκοπὸν ἐντελῶς πληρωθεῖσα. διεστάλη τε γὰρ διὰ τοῦ θανάτου τὰ ἡνωμένα καὶ πάλιν συνήχθη τὰ διακεκριμένα, ώς αν καθαρθείσης της φύσεως έν τη των συμφυών διαλύσει, ψυχής τε λέγω καὶ σώματος, πάλιν ή τῶν 5 κεχωρισμένων επάνοδος της άλλοτρίας επιμιξίας καθαρεύουσα γένοιτο: ἐπὶ δὲ τῶν ἀκολουθούντων τῷ καθηγουμένω οὐ χωρεί τὴν ἀκριβῆ μίμησιν δι' ὅλων ἡ φύσις, ἀλλ' οσον δυνατώς έχει, τοσούτον νύν παραδεξαμένη, το λείπον τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα ταμιεύεται χρόνω. τί οὖν ἔστιν ὃ μιμεῖται; 10 τὸ τῆς ἐμμιχθείσης κακίας ἐν τῆ τῆς νεκρώσεως εἰκόνι τῆ γενομένη διά του ύδατος τον αφανισμον έμποιησαι, ου μην τελείως αφανισμόν, αλλά τινα διακοπήν της του κακού συνεχείας, συνδραμόντων δύο πρός την της κακίας αναίρεσιν, της τε του πλημμελήσαντος μεταμελείας και της 15 του θανάτου μιμήσεως, δι' ών εκλύεταί πως ο άνθρωπος της πρός τὸ κακὸν συμφυίας, τῆ μεταμελεία μὲν εἰς μῖσός

4 om λεγω f \parallel 5–6 γενοιτο καθαρευουσα l om γενοιτο h \parallel 8 νυν] + δυνατως f \parallel 9 εν τω μετα l vulg \parallel τεταμιευται e \parallel 10 επιμιχθεισης d \parallel 11 εμφανισμον e \parallel 13 δυοω f vulg

completely fulfilled in accordance with its special aim.' For evten $\hat{\omega}$ s see note on evten $\hat{\eta}$ c. 24.

1. διεστάλη] For the argument see c. 16, upon which the language of the present passage is largely modelled.

συμφυῶν] Cp. c. 16 άλλήλοις συμφυομένων.

7. ου χωρεί] 'our nature does not admit of.'

 ταμεύεται] 'what is lacking it stores up for (lit. 'in') the time to conte.' For ταμεύεω cp. c. 8 έν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίω τεταμίευται ἡ θεραπεία.

10-11. το ... έμποιησαι] The action here described is the answer to the preceding question τί... ἔστω δ μιμεῖται; 'The effecting of the destruction of the evil mingled in our nature, represented in the image of mortification conveyed by the

water.' Διὰ τοῦ υδατος goes with γενομένη. The passing beneath the water is an εἰκών of mortification, just as the rising again is a type of the resurrection. Cp. infra τὴν σωτήριον ταφὴν καὶ ἀνάστασιν...ὑποκρινόμεθα.

12. $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\sigma\eta^{\dagger}\nu$] It is not so much a complete destruction of sin, as 'a kind of break in the continuity of sin.' $\Delta\iota\alpha\kappa\sigma\eta^{\dagger}$ is lit. 'a gash' or 'cleft.'

14. μεταμελείας] 'repentance,' used here in the same sense as μετάνοια.

14-15. τ. τ. θ. μιμήσεως] Gr. means by this expression the baptismal imitation of the death of Christ. The complete 'death unto sin' is only ideally and not actually realized in baptism.

16. συμφυίαs] Cp. συμφυών supra and c. 8 πολλήν γεγενήσθαι τη ψυχή πρός το κακόν συμφυίαν.

τε καὶ ἀλλοτρίωσιν τῆς κακίας χωρῶν, τῷ δὲ θανάτῳ τοῦ κακοῦ τὸν ἀφανισμὸν ἐργαζόμενος. ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν ἦν δυνατὸν έν τελείω τω θανάτω γενέσθαι τον μιμούμενον, οὐδ' αν μίμησις, άλλα ταὐτότης τὸ γινόμενον ήν, καὶ εἰς τὸ παντελές τὸ κακὸν ἐκ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἡφανίζετο, ώστε, ς καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, ἐφάπαξ ἀποθανεῖν τῆ άμαρτία. έπει δέ, καθώς είρηται, τοσούτον μιμούμεθα της ύπερεγούσης δυνάμεως όσον γωρεί ήμων ή πτωγεία της φύσεως, τὸ ὕδωρ τρὶς ἐπιγεάμενοι καὶ πάλιν ἀναβάντες ἀπὸ τοῦ ύδατος, την σωτήριον ταφην καὶ ανάστασιν την έν τριημέρω 10 γενομένην τῷ χρόνω ὑποκρινόμεθα, τοῦτο λαβόντες κατὰ διάνοιαν ὅτι, ὡς ἡμῖν ἐν ἐξουσία τὸ ὕδωρ ἐστί, καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι καὶ έξ αὐτοῦ πάλιν ἀναδῦναι, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐπ' ἐξουσίας ἦν ὁ τοῦ παντὸς ἔχων τὴν δεσποτείαν, ώς ήμεις εν τῷ ὕδατι, οὕτως ἐκείνος ἐν τῶ 15 θανάτω καταδυείς, πάλιν έπὶ την ιδίαν αναλύειν μακαριότητα. εί οθυ τις πρός τὸ είκὸς βλέποι καὶ κατά τὴυ ἐυ έκατέρω δύναμιν τὰ γινόμενα κρίνοι, οὐδεμίαν ἐν τοῖς

ι om τε f || 3 om τω h || 5 της φυσεως ημών το κακον l vulg || 6 αποθανη vulg \parallel \mathbf{Q} αναβαινοντες $\mathbf{f} \parallel$ 11 υποτυπουμεθα $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g}^1 \mathbf{l}^1$ αποκρινομεθα vulg (l* rasuram habet) || 12 το υδωρ εν εξουσια l vulg || 14 τω...επεχοντι f^1 || 15-16 εν τω θανατω] αθανατω $e \parallel 16$ καταδυναι $f^1 \parallel$ αναλυσαι $f^1g^1 \parallel 17$ om εν l vulg || 18 τα γιν. κρινοι δυναμιν f

1. άλλοτρ.] 'putting away of vice.'

3. $\tau \in \lambda \in l_{\psi}$] 'a death that was complete.' The adj. is used as a predicate.

6. ὁ ἀπόστολος] Rom. vi 10.

10. ταφ. καὶ ἀνάστασιν] Similarly Cyril of Jerusalem says C. M. ii 4, 5 κατεδύετε τρίτον είς τὸ ΰδωρ καὶ άνεδύετε πάλιν, και ένταθθα διά συμβόλου τὴν τριήμερον τοῦ Χριστοῦ αἰνιττόμενοι ταφήν...οὐκ ἀληθῶs ἀπεθάνομεν, οὐδ' άληθῶς ἐτάφημεν, οὐδ' άληθως σταυρωθέντες ανέστημεν, άλλ' έν είκονι ή μίμησις, έν άληθεία δέ ή σωτηρία. The basis of this exposition of baptism is of course Rom. vi 3-11.

11. τοῦτο] refers to what follows **δτι κ. τ.**λ.

ib. λaβ. κ. διάνοιαν] 'thus interpreting it with the mind.'

12. ev eξουσία] It was in the power of Christ to rise from the dead, just as it is in the power of man to rise out of the waters of baptism.

16. ἀναλύειν] 'return.' Cp. c. 23 τήν τε των τεθνηκότων έπὶ τον βίον άνάλυσιν (note) and c. 30 προς έαυτον

άναλύων.

γινομένοις εύρήσει διαφοράν, έκατέρου κατά τὸ τῆς φύσεως μέτρον ἐξεργαζομένου τὰ κατὰ δύναμιν. ὡς γὰρ ἔστιν ἀνθρώπῳ τὸ ὕδωρ πρὸς τὸ ἀκινδύνως ἐπιθιγγάνειν, εἰ βούλοιτο, ἀπειροπλασίως τῆ θεία δυνάμει κατ' εὐκολίαν 5 ὁ θάνατος πρόκειται, καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι καὶ μὴ τραπήναι πρὸς πάθος. διὰ τοῦτο τοίνυν ἀναγκαῖον ἡμῖν τὸ ἐν τῷ ὕδατι προμελετῆσαι τὴν τῆς ἀναστάσεως χάριν, ὡς ἀν εἰδείημεν ὅτι τὸ ἴσον ἡμῖν εἰς εὐκολίαν ἐστὶν ὕδατί τε βαπτισθῆναι καὶ ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου πάλιν ἀναδῦναι. 10 ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐν τοῖς κατὰ τὸν βίον γινομένοις τινὰ τινῶν ἐστὶν ἀρχηγικώτερα, ὧν ἄνευ οὐκ ἀν τὸ γινόμενον κατορ-

Ι διαφωνίαν $l^{*\text{vid}}$ vulg \parallel κατα \rfloor προς $f \parallel 2$ επεργα ζ - n εξεργασα μ - fl vulg $\parallel 4-5$ ο θανατος κ. ε. $f \parallel 5$ om ο vulg \parallel 6 το παθος $en \parallel 6-7$ το προ. εν υδατι αναγκαίον ημών $f \parallel 7$ om το $e \parallel$ 10 γενομένοις fl vulg \parallel 11 αρχικώτερα deghnp \parallel om ουκ vulg \parallel αν vulg

- 1. διαφοράν] The idea of Gr. is that in each case the result is in proportion to the capacity of each. Christ by His Passion and Resurrection effected a result proportionate to His supernatural character. Man by submitting to Baptism equally effects that which is within his capacity, i.e. such a death unto sin as he is capable of.
 - 2. ἔστιν] = ἔξεστιν.
- 3. ἐπιθιγγάνειν] A marginal note in e explains this as equivalent to ψαύειν, ἀπτεσθαι, while the margin of ρ has ἐγγίζειν. As used here it is a somewhat colourless term. 'Come in contact with.'

4. ἀπειροπλασίως] 'infinitely more,' strengthens κατ' εὐκολίαν.

- ib. κατ' εὐκολίαν] 'with facility' or 'ease.' Εὐκολία is used by Plato (Legg. 942 D) of bodily agility. Logically ἀπειροπλασίως and κατ' εὐκολίαν are connected with γενέσθαι and μη τραπῆναι, rather than with πρύκειται.
 - 5. πρόκειται] 'is set before.' The

following infinitives γενέσθαι and μὴ τραπῆναι are explanatory of πρόκειται.

- ib. τραπῆναι πρὸς πάθος] 'lo suffer any change involving weakness.' Cp. the argument of c. 16. 7. προμελετῆσαι] Baptism is a representation in act or 'preparatory rehearsal' of the resurrection.
- 8. τὸ Ισον...είς εὐκ.] Τὸ ἴσον modifies εἰς εὐκ. Cp. ἀπειροπλασίως κατ' εὐκολίαν στιρτα.
- 9. araboral suggested by the rising from the immersion of baptism.
- 10. ἀλλ' ἄσπερ] Baptism, Gr. says, may seem a humble beginning of a process which finds its climax in a resurrection to a life of blessedness. Yet it is a necessary beginning, if that final state is to be attained, just as the humble beginnings of human life are a necessary stage in the production of a man.
- 11. αρχηγικώτερα] 'there are some things which are primary in comparison with others.'

θωθείη, καίτοι, εἰ πρὸς τὸ πέρας ἡ ἀρχὴ κρίνοιτο, ἀντ' οὐδενὸς είναι δόξει τοῦ πράγματος ή ἀρχὴ συγκρινομένη τῷ τέλει τί γὰρ ἴσον ἄνθρωπος καὶ τὸ πρὸς τὴν σύστασιν τοῦ ζώου καταβαλλόμενον; αλλ' ὅμως, εἰ μὴ ἐκείνο εἴη. ούδ' αν τοῦτο γένοιτο· οὕτως καὶ τὸ κατὰ τὴν μεγάλην 5 ἀνάστασιν, μείζον ον τή φύσει, τὰς ἀρχὰς ἐντεῦθεν καὶ τας αιτίας έχει ου γάρ έστι δυνατον έκεινο γενέσθαι, εί μη τοῦτο προκαθηγήσαιτο. μη δύνασθαι δέ φημι δίχα της κατά το λουτρον αναγεννήσεως έν αναστάσει γενέσθαι τον ἄνθρωπον, οὐ προς την τοῦ συγκρίματος ήμῶν ἀνά- 10 πλασίν τε καὶ ἀναστοιγείωσιν βλέπων πρὸς τοῦτο γὰρ δεί πάντως πορευθήναι την φύσιν οἰκείαις ἀνάγκαις κατά

2 τα πραγματα d | 11 αναβλεποντες vulg | 12 δη παντως δει dgl*vid δει παντως δει hnd

1. πρός τό πέρας \ 'with reference to (or 'by comparison with') the end.'

ib. ἀντ' οὐδενός] 'as good as nothing,' 'of no account'.
3. τι γάρ κ.τ.λ.] Cp. c. 33.

What equality is there between a man and' etc.

4. ἐκεῖνο] refers to τὸ...καταβαλλόμενον, τοῦτο to ἄνθρωπος.

 την μεγάλην άν.] The words μεγάλην and μείζον contrast the resurrection with its symbolic beginning in baptism.

 6. ἐντεῦθεν] i.e. from baptism.
 9. κατὰ τὸ λουτρόν] Cp. c. 32 fin. ή κατά τὸ λουτρον οἰκονομία.

10-11. οὐ...βλέπων] By the resurrection Gr. does not mean the general resurrection of all men resulting from the mere 'refashioning' and 'renewal' of the composite elements of man's being, which were dissolved in death. He means the restoration to the blessed and divine life $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi l \ \tau \dot{\delta} \ \mu \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \dot{\delta} \nu \ \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ καί θείον...άποκατάστασιν).

ib. συγκρίματος] Cp. c. 16 τοῦ άνθρωπίνου συγκρίματος.

ιι. ἀναστοιχείωσιν] On the words άναστοιχειοῦν and άναστοιχείωσις see

c. 8 (notes). They are used commonly by Gr. to denote the renewal of humanity through the Incarnation. Here, however, Gr. uses avagrouχείωσις in a more general sense of the recombination of the elements of human nature, after the dissolution of death.

ib. προς τοῦτο] This clause, as far as μυήσεως, is a parenthesis, explaining what he means by the

general resurrection.

12. oik. dváykais] 'for to this our nature must in any case attain, impelled by its own fixed laws, in accordance with the plan of Him who so designed it.' Gr. means that the resurrection of mankind is due to the operation of natural laws, and distinct from the resurrection to a life of blessedness, which is the work of grace. Gr. assumes here, what he has already stated (cc. 5, 8), that man is by nature immortal. See esp. c. 8 ή νεκρότης οἰκονομικῶς περιετέθη τη είς άθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει.....τὸ αἰσθητὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου μέρος διαλαμβάνουσα, αὐτῆς δὲ τῆς θείας είκόνος οὐ προσαπτομένη.

την τοῦ τάξαντος οἰκονομίαν συνωθουμένην, κάν προσλάβη την έκ τοῦ λουτροῦ χάριν, καν άμοιρος μείνη της τοιαύτης μυήσεως αλλά την έπι το μακάριον τε και θείον και πάσης κατηφείας κεχωρισμένον αποκατάστασιν, οὐ γάρ 5 δσα δι αναστάσεως την έπι το είναι πάλιν επάνοδον δέγεται, πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν ἐπάνεισι βίον, ἀλλὰ πολύ τὸ μέσον τῶν τε κεκαθαρμένων καὶ τῶν τοῦ καθαρσίου προσδεομένων εστίν. εφ' ών γάρ κατά τον βίον τοῦτον ή διὰ τοῦ λουτροῦ προκαθηγήσατο κάθαρσις, πρὸς τὸ 10 συγγενές τούτοις ή αναχώρησις έσται τώ δε καθαρώ τὸ ἀπαθές προσωκείωται, ἐν δὲ τῆ ἀπαθεία τὸ μακάριον είναι οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλεται. οἱς δὲ προσεπωρώθη τὰ πάθη καὶ οὐδὲν προσήχθη τῆς κηλίδος καθάρσιον, οὐχ ὕδωρ μυστικόν, οὐκ ἐπίκλησις θείας δυνάμεως, οὐχ ἡ ἐκ μετα-15 μελείας διόρθωσις, ανάγκη πασα καὶ τούτους ἐν τῷ καταλλήλω γενέσθαι. κατάλληλον δὲ τῶ κεκιβδηλευ-

2 την] τινα $[\ \| \ 3 \ \mu\iota\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega s \ d \ \| \ 4 \ \kappa\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu]$ απηλλαγμένην $[\ 1 \ 9 \ om \ \tau ov \ f \ \| \ 10 \ \sigmav\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon s] + ηδη \ f \ \| \ om \ η \ l^* \ vulg \ \| \ 13 \ \pi\rho\sigma\eta\chi\theta\eta \ l^* \ vulg \ \| \ 15 \ o\rho\theta\omega\sigma\iota s \ l^* \ vulg \ \| \ 16 \ \tau o \ \kappa\epsilon\kappa\iota\beta\delta\eta\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu \ \chi\rho\upsilon\sigma\iota\sigma\nu \ f^*$

3. μνήσεως] Cp. antea c. 33 μνηθεῖσι (note).

4. κατηφείαs] Cp. James iv 9

(with Mayor's note).

5. επί το είναι] For this use of είναι cp. c. 32 μηδέν εμπόδιον πρὸς τὸ είναι ποιήσασθαι.

 τὸ μέσον] Cp. c. 6 πολλῷ τῷ μέσῳ, and c. 32 μηδενὶ μέσῳ.

7. καθαρσίου] Cp. antea c. 27

τῷ καθαρσίῳ (note).

9. πρὸς τὸ συγγενές] explained in what follows as τὸ ἀπαθές. Those who have been purified by baptismenter upon a life congenial (συγγενές) to their state. The appropriate state (προσφκείωται) for the pure is freedom from passion. In c. 6 Gr. speaks of man as originally ἀπαθής τῆν φύσυ. Man's nature first became ἐμπαθής through the Fall. Cp. de An. et Res. p. 148

(Migne), where he also says προς δὲ τὴν ἀπαθῆ μακαριότητα πάλιν ἀναδραμοῦσα οὐκέτι τοῖς ἐπακολουθοῦσι τῆς κακίας συνενεχθήσεται.

12. προσεπωρώθη] Cp. c. 8 έπιπωροῦται (note). 'But those whose natures have become crusted over with their passions.' The idea is that the passions have formed a covering or crust upon the heart. Cp. Rom. xi 7 (with Sanday and Headlam's note) and 2 Cor. iii 14.

 13.

 ύδωρ μυστικόν] 'sacramental water.' Cp. c. 34 τῆς μυστικῆς ταύτης

οίκονομίας (note).

15. διόρθωσις] 'amendment,' 'reform' of life, resulting from penitence.

ib. έν τῷ καταλλήλφ γεν.] 'should' be in their appropriate place.'

16. κατάλληλον] The appropriate place for gold which is adulterated

μένφ χρυσίφ τὸ χωνευτήριον, ὡς τῆς ἐμμιχθείσης αὐτοῖς κακίας ἀποτακείσης μακροῖς ὕστερον αἰῶσι καθαρὰν ἀποσωθῆναι τῷ θεῷ τὴν φύσιν. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἡυπτική τίς ἐστι δύναμις ἐν τῷ πυρὶ καὶ τῷ ὕδατι, οἱ διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος τοῦ μυστικοῦ τὸν τῆς κακίας ἡύπον ἀποκλυσάμενοι τοῦ 5 ἔτέρου τῶν καθαρσίων εἴδους οὐκ ἐπιδέονται· οἱ δὲ ταύτης ἀμύητοι τῆς καθάρσεως ἀναγκαίως τῷ πυρὶ καθαρίζονται.

36. Μὴ γὰρ εἶναι δυνατὸν ὅ τε κοινὸς δείκνυσι λόγος καὶ ἡ τῶν γραφῶν διδασκαλία ἐντὸς τοῦ θείου γενέσθαι 10 χοροῦ τὸν μὴ καθαρῶς πάντας τοὺς ἐκ κακίας σπίλους ἀπορρυψάμενον. τοῦτό ἐστιν δ μικρὸν δν καθ' ἐαυτὸ μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν ἀρχή τε καὶ ὑπόθεσις γίνεται. μικρὸν

τ ως] ωστε gl 1 \parallel 2 οπ καθαραν d \parallel 8 καθαριζονται] παραδιδονται f **36.** 11 τον \parallel το n \parallel εκ της κακιας f

is the refiner's furnace. For the idea see c. 26 (notes). Gr. is speaking of a purification which follows the resurrection, not of a purgatory in some intermediate state between death and the resurrection. The κάθαρσις of which he speaks refers only to those who have not passed through the waters of baptism. He nowhere states that the baptized person has to enter the χωνευτήριον. For the source of the idea see the passage of Origen quoted in the notes on c. 26. Other passages in Gr. dealing with the subject are de An. et Res. pp. 100, 152, 157, 160 (Migne), de Mortuis p. 524 (Migne).

1. χωνευτήριον] 'a smelting furnace.' The word is used of the refiner's furnace in Malachi iii 2 (LXX), a passage which was probably in Gr.'s mind.

2. μακροῖς θστ. αἰωσι] Cp. c. 26 ταῖς μακραῖς περιόδοις (note).

3. ἀποσωθήναι] Cp. c. 26. See further Or. in illud Tunc ipse filius (of doubtful authorship) p. 1316

(Migne) and passages quoted supra.

36. A complete purification from the stains of sin is necessary before man can enter the company of the blessed. The means of effecting this provided by baptism may seem insignificant and easy of performance. The efficacy of baptism however depends on the immanence of God, His special presence when invoked. and His activity in succouring the needy. The means by which baptism is effected is faith and water. The one is within the power of our wills. the other is an element closely connected with human life. The blessing which results from baptism is nothing less than kinship with God.

9. κοινός ... λόγος] 'the general reason' of mankind, 'common sense.' Cp. c. 5 των κοινων έννοιων.

10-11. θείου...χοροῦ] i.e. the company of the blessed.

13. ἀρχή τ. κ. ὑπόθεσις] Cp. c. 6 ἡ ἀπάθεια τῆς κατ' ἀρετὴν ζωῆς ἀρχὴ καὶ ὑπόθεσις γίνεται (note). δέ φημι τῆ εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος. τίς γὰρ πάρεστι πόνος τῷ πράγματι, πιστεῦσαι πανταχοῦ τὸν θεὸν εἶναι, εἰν πᾶσι δὲ ὄντα, παρεῖναι καὶ τοῖς ἐπικαλουμένοις τὴν ζωτικὴν αὐτοῦ δύναμιν, παρόντα δὲ τὸ οἰκεῖον ποιεῖν; 5 ἴδιον δὲ τῆς θείας ἐνεργείας ἡ τῶν δεομένων ἐστὶ σωτηρία. αὕτη δὲ διὰ τῆς ἐν ὕδατι καθάρσεως ἐνεργὸς γίνεται. ὁ δὲ καθαρθεὶς ἐν μετουσία τῆς καθαρότητος ἔσται, τὸ δὲ ἀληθῶς καθαρὸν ἡ θεότης ἐστίν. ὁρᾶς ὅπως μικρόν τι τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν ἐστι καὶ εὐκατόρθωτον, πίστις καὶ τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν ἐστι καὶ εὐκατόρθωτον, πίστις καὶ οῦδωρ, ἡ μὲν ἐντὸς τῆς προαιρέσεως ἡμῶν ἀποκειμένη, τὸ δὲ σύντροφον τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη ζωῆ. ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐκ τούτων ἀναφυόμενον ἀγαθὸν ὅσον καὶ οῖον, ὡς πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ θεῖον ἔγειν τὴν οἰκειότητα.

3 εν τοις επι. f || 7 καθαρισθεις l vulg

 κατορθώματος] Cp. c. 35 κατόρθωμα (note). 'I call it small owing to the ease with which it is successfully done.' Cp. εὐκατόρθωτον infra.

ib. τίς γάρ] There can be no difficulty, Gr. maintains, for the baptized person in believing that God is present in the baptismal rite. In what follows he summarizes the arguments of cc. 33, 34.

4. τὸ οἰκείον] 'that which befits His character,' explained in what follows as ἡ τῶν δεομένων σωτηρία. Gr. has used the same argument before. Cp. c. 27 ἔν πρέπον ἐστὶ τῷ θεῷ τὸ εὐεργετεῦν τὸν δεόμενον.

6. αὔτη] sc. ἡ σωτηρία. The purification effected in Baptism is the means by which the convert enters upon a state of σωτηρία. This σωτηρία is defined below as πρὸς

αὐτὸ τὸ θεῖον ἔχειν τὴν οἰκειότητα. The process is of course only ideally complete in baptism. Hence Gr. uses ἔσται, not ἐστίν, in the next sentence.

εὐκατόρθωτον] 'easily effected.'
 cp. supra τῆ εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος.

10. τὸ δὲ σύντροφον] 'while the other is intimately associated with man's life.' For σύντροφος cp. c. 23 πρὸς τὸ σύντροφόν τε καὶ συγγενὲς αὐτῷ βλέπων. For the idea cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 581 B (Migne) σύνθετος ὁ ἄνθρωπος, καὶ ούχ άπλοῦς...καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τῷ διπλῷ καὶ συνεξευγμένῳ τὰ συγγενῆ καὶ ὅμοια φάρμακα πρὸς θεραπείαν ἀπεκληρώθη σώματι μὲν τῷ φαινομένῳ, θδωρ τὸ αἰσθητὸν ψυχῷ δὲ τῷ ἀοράτῳ, Πνεῦμα τὸ ἀφανές, πίστει καλούμενον, ἀρρήτως παραγινόμενον.

37. 'Αλλ' ἐπειδὴ διπλοῦν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ψυχὴ τε καὶ σώματι συγκεκραμένον, δι' ἀμφοτέρων ἀνάγκη τοῦ πρὸς τὴν ζωὴν καθηγουμένου τοὺς σωζομένους ἐφάπτεσθαι. οὐκοῦν ἡ ψυχὴ μὲν διὰ πίστεως πρὸς αὐτὸν ἀνακραθεῖσα

37. 2-3 αναγκη...δι αμφοτερων τους σωζ. vulg || τω...καθηγουμενω l vulg || 3 εφεπεσθαι fl* vulg

ii. Chap. XXXVII. On the Eucharist.

37. In Baptism the soul is knit to God by faith. But the body too needs grace. The means by which the body is brought into union with the Author of salvation is the Eucharist. The antidote to the poison which has corrupted the body must, like the poison which it counteracts, be distributed through the body. Thus it is that the Body of Christ which was made immortal by God enters into our body and wholly transforms it. The means by which this is effected is eating and drinking. But how can the one body be given whole to thousands of believers? A study of the nature of the body supplies an answer. The human body retains its bulk by the continual influx of nourishment. Food and drink become the 'body' and 'blood' of man. The Word of God Himself, when on earth, received nourishment from bread and wine, while His Body also by its union with the Word was raised to the dignity of Godhead. In like manner the bread which is consecrated by the Word of God is transformed, no longer by eating, but immediately, into His Body by the Word. In the same way we may explain how the wine becomes the Blood of Christ. Thus He plants Himself in the bodies of the faithful that they may partake of incorruption. Gr.'s treatment of the Eucharist should be compared with

the contemporary language of Ambrose de Myst. cc. viii—ix, and with the later teaching of John of Damascus de Fid. Orth. iv 13, which shews clear traces of the influence of this chapter. For a discussion of the language of the present chapter see Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vol. iv pp. Cp. also Schwane Dogmengesch. vol. ii pp. 780 ff., Neander Ch. Hist. (Bohn) iv 438 ff. Other passages in which Gr. refers to the Eucharist are in Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne), in Chr. resurr. Or. i p. 612 (Migne), de Vita Moysis p. 368 (Migne), de perf. Christi p. 268 (Migne).

1. ἀλλ ἐπειδη διπλ.] In the preceding chapters Gr. has shewn the efficacy of baptism as a cleansing of the soul from sin. He now proceeds to discuss the provision made for the redemption of the body.

3. ἐφάπτεσθαι] 'lay hold of.' This reading is preferable to the reading τῶ...καθηγουμένω... ἐφέπεσθαι which is found in f. Fronto Ducaeus cites Chrys. Hom. 82 (83) in Matt. T. vii p. 787 D (ed. Montfaucon) εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἀσώματος εῖ, γυμνὰ ἀν αὐτά σοι τὰ ἀσώματα παρέδωκε δῶρα 'ἐπεὶ δὲ σώματι συμπέπλεκται ἡ ψυχή, ἐν αἰσθητοῖς τὰ νοητά σοι παραδίδωσι.

4. ἀνακραθείσα] Cp. c. 11 κατακιρναται and ibid. ἀνακράσεως (notes).

τὰς ἀφορμὰς ἐντεῦθεν τῆς σωτηρίας ἔχει· ἡ γὰρ πρὸς τὴν ζωήν ειωσις τήν της ζωής κοινωνίαν έχει το δε σώμα ετερον τρόπον εν μετουσία τε καὶ ανακράσει τοῦ σώζοντος γίνεται. ὤσπερ γὰρ οἱ δηλητήριον δι' ἐπιβουλῆς λαβόντες 5 άλλφ φαρμάκφ την φθοροποιον δύναμιν έσβεσαν, χρή δέ καθ' ομοιότητα του όλεθρίου και τὸ άλεξητήριον έντὸς των ανθρωπίνων γενέσθαι σπλάγχνων, ώς αν δι' εκείνων εφ' άπαν καταμερισθείη τὸ σῶμα ή τοῦ βοηθοῦντος δύναμις, ούτω του διαλύοντος την φύσιν ήμων απογευσάμενοι 10 πάλιν αναγκαίως καὶ τοῦ συνάγοντος τὸ διαλελυμένον έπεδεήθημεν, ώς αν έν ήμιν γενόμενον το τοιούτον άλεξητήριον την προεντεθείσαν τώ σώματι του δηλητηρίου βλάβην διὰ τῆς οἰκείας ἀντιπαθείας ἀπώσοιτο. τί οὖν έστι τοῦτο; οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἡ ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα ὁ τοῦ τε

2 ενωσις γνωσις [4 ωσπερ] exstant seqq in euth 3457 || 6 om και f || αλεξιτηριον cuth 7 vulg αλξιτηριον e | 6-7 εν τοις των ανθρωπων γενεσθαι στλαγγροις f | 8 μερισθειη d | 10 αναγκαιον ως l*vid vulg | 11 αλεξιτηριον hl euth 7 vulg αλξιφαρμακον e

2. τὸ δὲ σῶμα] The antithesis suggests that the Eucharist is regarded mainly as a principle of life for the body. For the view held as to the effects of the Eucharist on the body see Iren. adv. Haer. iv 18. 4, Cyril of Jer. C. M. iv 1, 3, v 9, 15. A similar view may possibly be implied in Ign. Eph. 20 eva άρτον κλώντες, ὅ ἐστιν φάρμακον ἀθανασίας, ἀντίδοτος τοῦ μη ἀπο- $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The starting point of such language is Jn vi 54, 58.

4. ωσπερ γάρ] The remainder of the chapter is reproduced in Euthym. Zig. Pan. Dogm. Tit. xxv pp. 1262 ff. (Migne), and in Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete (Mai

Script. Vett. vi 366 sq.).

ib. δηλητήριον] Gr. has used the same illustration in a different connexion in c. 26.

ib. δι' έπιβουλης Cp. ὁ έπιβουλεύων c. 26.

 ἔσβεσαν] a gnomic aorist. 7. σπλάγχνων] 'the vital organs'

of the body of man.

ib. δι' έκείνων] i.e. των σπλάγχνων. The antidote is distributed by means of the vital organs throughout the whole body.

 τοῦ διαλύοντος τὴν φύσιν] i.e. τοῦ θανάτου. Cp. de Hom. Opif. c. 20 ή δὲ βρωσις έκείνη θανάτου μήτηρ τοις ανθρώποις γέγονεν. The ref. in απογευσάμενοι is to the story in Genesis iii. On the dissolution of human nature resulting from the Fall see c. 8.

11-13. ως... άπωσοιτο] For this use of the fut. opt. in a final clause see Goodwin Greek Moods p. 39.

12. προεντεθείσαν] 'the mischief already introduced into the body by the deadly drug.'

 αντιπαθείας] 'the reaction' of the antidote upon the poison.

θανάτου κρεῖττον ἐδείχθη καὶ τῆς ζωῆς ἡμῖν κατήρξατο. καθάπερ γὰρ μικρὰ ζύμη, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, ὅλον τὸ φύραμα πρὸς ἑαυτὴν ἐξομοιοῖ, οὕτως τὸ ἀθανατισθὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ σῶμα ἐν τῷ ἡμετέρῳ γενόμενον ὅλον πρὸς ἑαυτὸ μεταποιεῖ καὶ μετατίθησιν. ὡς γὰρ τῷ φθοροποιῷ 5 πρὸς τὸ ὑγιαῖνον ἀναμιχθέντι ἄπαν τὸ ἀνακραθὲν συνηχρείωται, οὕτως καὶ τὸ ἀθάνατον σῶμα ἐν τῷ ἀναλαβόντι αὐτὸ γενόμενον πρὸς τὴν ἑαυτοῦ φύσιν καὶ τὸ πᾶν μετεποίησεν. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλως ἐντός τι γενέσθαι

Ι ημων ehl euth 457 vulg \parallel προκατηρξατο euth 345 \parallel 3 αυτην e \parallel συνεξομοιοι eghlp \parallel θανατισθεν l^* vulg αποθανατισθεν $f \parallel$ 4 om του $f \parallel$ 5–6 του φθοροποιου...αναμιχθεντος l vulg \parallel 6 συνηχρειωθη l l 8 om και euth \parallel 9 γινεσθαι l γιγν- vulg

1. κατήρξατο] 'became the source of life.'

2. ὁ ἀπόστολος] I Cor. v 6. For ζυμοί Gr. substitutes πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἐξομοιοῖ, 'assimilates to itself.'

3. ἀθανατισθέν] For the idea cp. infra ὁ δὲ φανερωθείς θεὸς διὰ τοῦτο κατέμιξεν ἐαυτὸν τῇ ἐπικήρφ φύσει, ἴνα τῇ τῆς θεότητος κοινωνία συναποθεωθῷ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον. Prob. in both passages the main benefit which Gr. connects with the Eucharist is that of immortality. Cp. Ign. Ερh. 20 (quoted above). See further note on συναποθεωθείσης c. 35.

5. μεταποιεί] 'transmutes and translates.' On Gr.'s use in the present chapter of the words µεταποιείν, μετατιθέναι, μεθιστάναι, and μεταστοιχειούν see Pusey Doctr. of Real Presence from the Fathers p. 162 ff. Μεταποιείν is used (1) in the present passage and in the following sentence of the transformation of our bodies by union with the immortal Body of Christ: (2) of the transformation of the Lord's human Body to a Divine dignity by the indwelling of the Word. See infra τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ένοικήσει του θεού λόγου πρός την $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{a} \xi l a \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$: (3) of the assimilation of bread by our Lord His human Body, infra o έν έκείνω τώ σώματι μεταποιηθεls άρτος: (4) of the sacramental change of the elements, infra τον τω λόγφ τοῦ θεοῦ ἀγιαζόμενον ἄρτον εἰς σῶμα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου μεταποιεῖσθαι $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$, and again $\dot{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma} \rho \tau \circ s \dots \epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta \dot{\nu} s$ πρός τὸ σῶμα διὰ τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος: (5) of the transmutation of the wine in our bodies into heat, infra: (6) of the change effected by baptism in the regenerate. Cp. c. 40. This variety of usage forbids us to attach to the word any particular idea of the kind of change denoted. The context alone must decide its force in each case.

ib. μετατίθησιν] used here as practically a synonym of μεταποιείν.

ib. ωs γάρ] for as when a deadly drug is mixed with a healthy body, the whole of what is mingled with it becomes as worthless as the drug.' Gr. returns to his illustration. The dat. is governed by the σύν in συνηχρ. Τὸ ἀνακρ. is the body which has assimilated the drug. Gr.'s point is that as the deadly drug affects the whole body into which it is infused, so the immortal Body affects the whole body of him who receives it.

9. ἀλλὰ μῆν] The remedy for

τοῦ σώματος, μὴ διὰ βρώσεως καὶ πόσεως τοῖς σπλάγχνοις καταμιγνύμενον. οὐκοῦν ἐπάναγκες κατὰ τὸν δυνατὸν τῷ φύσει τρόπον τὴν ζωοποιὸν δύναμιν τῷ σώματι δέξασθαι. μόνου δὲ τοῦ θεοδόχου σώματος ἐκείνου ταύτην δεξαμένου 5 τὴν χάριν, ἄλλως δὲ δειχθέντος μὴ εἶναι δυνατὸν ἐν ἀθανασία γενέσθαι τὸ ἡμέτερον σῶμα, μὴ διὰ τῆς πρὸς τὸ ἀθάνατον κοινωνίας ἐν μετουσία τῆς ἀφθαρσίας γινόμενον, σκοπῆσαι προσήκει, πῶς ἐγένετο δυνατὸν τὸ ἐν ἐκεῖνο σῶμα ταῖς τοσαύταις τῶν πιστῶν μυριάσι κατὰ πᾶσαν τοῦ τὴν οἰκουμένην εἰς ἀεὶ καταμεριζόμενον ὅλον ἑκάστου διὰ τοῦ μέρους γίνεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸ μένειν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ ὅλον. οὐκοῦν ὡς ἄν πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἡμῖν ἡ πίστις βλέπουσα

I kai] η deghine eith 35 \parallel 3 $\tau\omega$ swmati] tou previous 1 vilg \parallel 4 more vilg \parallel 6 $\epsilon\iota$ mg 1 vilg \parallel 7 genomerous eg^1l \parallel 8 $\epsilon\nu$ ekeeuw eg^1l 10 oikoumengh desunt sequ in f \parallel merifomerous eg^* \parallel $\epsilon\nu$ ekastw eg^1l vilg \parallel 11 genesdai l eith eg vilg \parallel eautw e with eauto e e

the body can only be applied to it, Gr. argues, through the processes of eating and drinking.

2. κατά τ. δ....τρόπον] i.e. διά Βρώσεως καὶ πόσεως.

 τῶ σώματι] dependent on ἐπάναγκες, 'necessary for the body.'

 ταύτην...τ. χάριν] i.e. τὴν ζ. δύναμιν. Cp. supra ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα, δ τοῦ θανάτου κρεῖττον ἐδείχθη καὶ τῆς ζωῆς ἡμῖν κατήρξατο.

8. $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau o$] Gr. asks how it was possible for the one Body of Christ to become in its entirety the possession of multitudes of believers through the portion received by each, and yet remain an undivided whole. His subsequent treatment of the Eucharist is intended as an answer to this question, and is accordingly limited in its scope.

10. ἐκάστου] The MSS are divided between ἐν ἐκάστψ and ἐκάστου. The former is probably a correction. With ἐν ἐκάστψ translate 'enters whole into each recipient through the part given.' For the phrase γίγνεσθαι

èv cp. antea c. 1 p. 9 (note). With έκάστου translate 'becomes in its entirety the possession of each recipient through the portion received.' Similarly Zinus, the Latin interpreter of Euthymius (ed. 1555), translates 'totum cuiusque per partem evadat.' Gr.'s idea appears to be that, as the Body of Christ is one and undivided, the recipient, although he receives only a portion, becomes through that portion possessor of the whole. There is no idea, as in some later discussions, that 'totus Christus' is present under each species, and under each particle of the species of bread and wine. See Franzelin de SS. Eucharistia pp. 155 sq.
11. μένειν έφ' έαυτοῦ] For the

μένειν ἐφ' ἐαὐτοῦ] For the constr. μένειν ἐπί τινος cp. c. 39 ἐπὶ τῆς τελειότητος τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μένον ἀεί.

12. πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον] 'with a view to logical consistency.' The question which Gr. has just been propounding seems to involve a contradiction in terms.

μηδεμίαν ἀμφιβολίαν περὶ τοῦ προκειμένου νοήματος ἔχοι, μικρόν τι προσήκει παρασχολησαι τὸν λόγον εἰς τὴν φυσιολογίαν τοῦ σώματος. τίς γὰρ οὐκ οἶδεν ὅτι ἡ τοῦ σώματος ἡμῶν φύσις αὐτὴ καθ' ἐαυτὴν ἐν ἰδία τινὶ ὑποστάσει ζωὴν οὐκ ἔχει, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῆς ἐπιρρεούσης αὐτῆς δυνάμεως συνέχει τε ἑαυτὴν καὶ ἐν τῷ εἶναι μένει, ἀπαύστῷ κινήσει τό τε λεῖπον πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἐφελκομένη καὶ τὸ περιττεῦον ἀπωθουμένη; καὶ ὥσπερ τις ἀσκὸς ὑγροῦ τινὸς πλήρης ὧν, εἰ κατὰ τὸν πυθμένα τὸ ἐγκείμενον ὑπεξίοι, οὐκ ἃν φυλάσσοι τὸ περὶ τὸν ὅγκον ἑαυτοῦ 10 σχῆμα, μὴ ἀντεισιόντος ἄνωθεν ἑτέρου πρὸς τὸ κενούμενον, ὥστε τὸν ὁρῶντα τὴν ὀγκώδη τοῦ ἀγγείου τούτου περιοχὴν εἰδέναι μὴ ἰδίαν εἶναι τοῦ φαινομένου, ἀλλὰ τὸ εἰσρέον ἐν αὐτῷ γινόμενον σχηματίζειν τὸ περιέχον τὸν ὅγκον· οὕτω καὶ ἡ τοῦ σώματος ἡμῶν κατασκευὴ ἴδιον 15

6 αυτην e euth 3 \parallel 8 απωθουμενη] desunt seqq in euth 3457 \parallel 10 φυλασσει dp φυλασσοιτο h

1. τοῦ πρ. νοήματος] 'the subject proposed for our thought.'

2. παρασχολήσαι] lit. 'to busy oneself by the way.' 'It is fitting that our argument should turn aside for a moment to discuss the physiology of the body.'

ib. την φυσιολογίαν] Cp. Arist. de Sens. c. 4 έν τῆ φυσιολογία τῆ περὶ τῶν φυτῶν. For Aristolle's doctrine of nutrition and growth see de Anima ii 4, de Gen. et Corr. i 5, de Part. Animalium ii 3. The importance of the following illustration for Gr.'s argument consists in the idea that bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, and become so actually by the processes of eating and drinking and digestion.

4. ὑποστάσει] Cp. c. I οὐδὲ ἐν ὑποστάσει πάντως ἐστίν (note).

 ξπιρρεούστης] Cp. antea c. 16 ἡ διὰ τοῦ ἐπιρρύτου τε καὶ ἀπορρύτου τῆς τροφῆς τοῦ ὑποκειμένου διαμονή.

6. συνέχει...έαυτήν] 'maintains

itself.' Cp. c. 5 p. 22 συνεκτική (note).

8. ὅσπερ] 'just as a leathern bottle full of some liquid, if its contents were to leak out at the bottom, would not preserve its own shape around the mass, unless there entered into it other liquid from above to fill up the void, so that he who sees the rounded circumference of this vessel knows that it does not belong to what he sees, but that it is the liquid flowing into it and occupying it which gives shape to the thing containing the mass.'

15. [διον] is a tertiary predicate. 'Has nothing that we can recognize of its own to maintain itself by.' That which maintains the body, coming as it does from without, cannot be said to belong to (ἴδιον) the body. Γνώριμον refers to that which the senses perceive. He is thinking specially of the shape and bulk referred to in his illustration.

μὲν πρὸς τὴν ἐαυτῆς σύστασιν οὐδὲν ἡμῖν γνώριμον ἔχει, διὰ δὲ τῆς ἐπεισαγομένης δυνάμεως ἐν τῷ εἶναι μένει. ἡ δὲ δύναμις αὕτη τροφὴ καὶ ἔστι καὶ λέγεται. ἔστι δὲ οὐχ ἡ αὐτὴ πᾶσι τοῖς τρεφομένοις σώμασιν, ἀλλά τις 5 ἐκάστῳ κατάλληλος παρὰ τοῦ τὴν φύσιν οἰκονομοῦντος ἀποκεκλήρωται. τὰ μὲν γὰρ τῶν ζώων ῥιζωρυχοῦντα τρέφεται, ἐτέροις ἐστὶν ἡ πόα τρόφιμος, τινῶν δὲ ἡ τροφὴ σάρκες εἰσίν, ἀνθρώπῳ δὲ κατὰ τὸ προηγούμενον ἄρτος. καὶ εἰς τὴν τοῦ ὑγροῦ διαμονὴν καὶ συντήρησιν πότον Ο γίνεται οὐκ αὐτὸ μόνον τὸ ὕδωρ, ἀλλ' οἴνῳ πολλάκις ἐφηδυνόμενον, πρὸς τὴν τοῦ θερμοῦ τοῦ ἐν ἡμῖν συμμαχίαν. οὐκοῦν ὁ πρὸς ταῦτα βλέπων δυνάμει πρὸς τὸν ὄγκον τοῦ ἡμετέρου σώματος βλέπει· ἐν ἐμοὶ γὰρ ἐκεῖνα γενόμενα αἶμα καὶ σῶμα γίνεται, καταλλήλως διὰ τῆς ἀλλοιω-15 τικῆς δυνάμεως πρὸς τὸ τοῦ σώματος εἶδος τῆς τροφῆς

3 η δε δυν.] rursus incipit euth \parallel εστι δε \mid ετι δε vulg \parallel 6 ριζορυχουντα dg^*lp ριζωορυχουντα g^1 \parallel 12 ουκουν \mid ουκ αν dgp \parallel 14 αιμα και σωμα \mid εγω l

κατάλληλος] Cp. c. 5 καταλλήλως ξκαστον τῷ τῆς ζωῆς εἴδει κατεσκεύασται.

6. ριζωρυχοῦντα] 'by digging up roots.'

7. τινῶν δέ] The fragment of this chapter preserved in Mai Script. Vett. vi 366 reads κυνός for τινῶν.

τοῦ ὑγροῦ] i.e. the element of moisture in the human body.

11. τ. θερμοῦ] Wine is a means of supplementing the natural heat of the body. See infra.

12. δυνάμει] 'virtually.' Used here in its Aristotelian sense as opposed to ἐνεργεία. Similarly below Gr. says of the human Body of Christ ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα ἄρτος τῆ δυνάμει ἦν.

14. καταλλήλως] 'respectively,' i.e. solid food becoming flesh, while liquid passes into blood.

ib. αλλοιωτικής δ.] the body's power of assimilating or digesting'

food. Cp. Theodoret in Ionam c. 2 καὶ ἡ ἀλλοιωτικὴ δύναμις τῆς γαστρὸς ἐνεργεῖν ἐκωλύετο. 'Αλλοίωτος feq. denotes 'change of quality or affection.' See Arist. de Gen. et Corτ. i 4, where it is defined in the words ὅταν ὑπομένοντος τοῦ ὑποκειμένου, αἰσθητοῦ ὅντος, μεταβάλλη ἐν τοῦς αὐτοῦ πάθεσυν.

15. $\pi p \circ s \tau \cdot \tau \cdot \sigma \cdot \epsilon l \delta o s$ 'the food being changed into the form of the body.' In using είδος here Gr. appears to have in mind the distinction between the 'form' of matter and its 'substance.' There is a passage in the de Hom. Opif. c. 27, which throws light upon the ideas which lie in the background of this passage. In that chapter Gr. is discussing the resurrection of the body. In spite of the continual flux going on in the body, its είδος remains unchanged, τῶν ἄπαξ ἐπιβληθέντων αὐτῷ παρά τῆς φύσεως σημείων ούκ έξιστάμενον, άλλα πάμεθισταμένης. τούτων ήμιν τοῦτον διευκρινηθέντων τὸν τρόπον ἐπανακτέον πάλιν πρὸς τὰ προκείμενα τὴν διανοίαν. ἐζητεῖτο γὰρ πῶς τὸ ἐν ἐκεῖνο σῶμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ πᾶσαν ζωοποιεῖ τὴν τῶν ἀνθρώπων φύσιν, ἐν ὅσοις ἡ πίστις ἐστί, πρὸς πάντας μεριζόμενον καὶ αὐτὸ οὐ μειούμενον. τάχα 5 τοίνυν ἐγγὺς τοῦ εἰκότος λόγου γινόμεθα. εἰ γὰρ παντὸς σώματος ἡ ὑπόστασις ἐκ τῆς τροφῆς γίνεται, αὕτη δὲ

I καθισταμένης l*vid vulg | 3 εν εκεινω l¹ vulg

σαις ταις κατά τὸ σῶμα τροπαις μετά τῶν Ιδίων έμφαινόμενον γνωρισμάτων. In the same chapter Gr. discusses the relation of the $\epsilon l \delta o s$ to the στοιχεία, and after stating that τάς κατὰ τὸ είδος διαφοράς αί ποιαί τῆς κράσεως παραλλαγαί μεταμορφοῦσιν, he proceeds: ή δὲ κρᾶσις οὐκ άλλη τις παρά τὴν τῶν στοιχείων μίξιν ἐστί, στοιχεία δέ φαμεν τὰ τῆ κατασκευῆ τοῦ παντὸς ὑποκείμενα, δι' ὧν καὶ τὸ άνθρώπινον συνέστηκε σώμα, άναγκαίως τοῦ είδους οίον έκμαγείψ σφραγίδος τη ψυχή παραμείναντος, οὐδὲ τὰ ἐναπομαξάμενα τῆ σφραγίδι τὸν τύπον ὑπ' αὐτῆς ἀγνοεῖται, άλλ' ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς ἀναστοιχειώσεως ἐκείνα δέχεται πάλιν πρὸς ἐαυτήν, ἄπερ αν έναρμόση τῷ τύπῳ τοῦ εἴδους, έναρμόσειε δὲ πάντως ἐκεῖνα, ὅσα κατ' ἀρχῆς ένετυπώθη τῷ είδει. The whole passage is important as throwing light upon Gr.'s language throughout the present chapter. In the parallel passage below (της τροφής... πρός την τ. σ. φύσιν μεθισταμένης) Gr. describes the change of the elements of food as a change of φύσις, where φύσις denotes the sum of the qualities, which are the γνωρίσματα of the είδος. Ambrose, in his discussion of the change of the Eucharistic elements uses both 'species' and 'natura.' See de Myst. ix § 52 non valebit Christi sermo ut species mutet elementorum?...non enim minus est novas rebus dare quam mutare naturas. Thus the idea of the whole clause is that the στοιχεία of the food have a new 'form' imposed upon them so that they become the body.

1. μεθισταμένης] Like the preceding word μεταποιεῖν, μεθιστάναι is used by Gr. in a variety of senses.
(1) Here and infra p. 148 it is used of the transformation of food into body. (2) In the words infra δ ἐν ἐκείνω τῷ σώματι μεταποιηθείς ἀρτος εἰς θείαν μετέστη δύναμιν it is used of the change of bread in the Lord's human body to Divine power.
(3) In cc. 39, 40 μετάστασις is used of the moral change effected in the regenerate.

ib. διευκρυ.] 'after this thorough analysis.' Τὰ προκ. 'the subject of our enquiry,' which he re-states in the next sentence.

3-4. πᾶσαν...τ.τ.ἀνθ.φύσω] i.e. 'all mankind,' rather than 'the whole nature of man,' body as well as soul, since acc. to Gr. the Eucharist is specially intended for the body, and he would scarcely introduce a further thought at this point.

6. τ . $\epsilon i\kappa$. $\lambda \delta \gamma ov] 'the probable account of the matter.' Gr. is conscious of the tentative character of his explanation <math>(\tau \delta \chi a)$.

ib. εl γάρ] The protasis is continued through the following series of clauses, and again taken up by ωσπερ τοίνυν. The apodosis begins with οῦτω κἀκεῖ.

βρώσις και πόσις εστίν, έστι δε εν τη βρώσει άρτος, έν δὲ τῆ πόσει τὸ ὕδωρ ἐφηδυσμένον τῷ οἴνῳ, ὁ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, καθώς ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις διήρηται, ὁ καὶ θεὸς ὧν καὶ λόγος, τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη συνανεκράθη φύσει καὶ ἐν τῷ 5 σωματι τῶ ἡμετέρω γενόμενος οὐκ ἄλλην τινὰ παρεκαινοτόμησε τη φύσει την σύστασιν, άλλα δια των συνήθων τε καὶ καταλλήλων ἔδωκε τῷ καθ' ἐαυτὸν σώματι τὴν διαμονήν, βρώσει καὶ πόσει περικρατών τὴν ὑπόστασιν, ή δὲ βρῶσις ἄρτος ἡν. ἄσπερ τοίνυν ἐφ' ἡμῶν, καθὼς 10 ήδη πολλάκις εξρηται, ό τὸν ἄρτον ἰδών τρόπον τινὰ τὸ σωμα τὸ ανθρώπινον βλέπει, ὅτι ἐν τούτω ἐκεῖνο γινόμενον τοῦτο γίνεται, οὕτω κάκεῖ τὸ θεοδόχον σῶμα τὴν τροφὴν τοῦ ἄρτου παραδεξάμενον λόγω τινὶ ταὐτὸν ην ἐκείνω, τῆς τροφής, καθώς εξρηται, πρός την του σώματος φύσιν 15 μεθισταμένης· τὸ γάρ πάντων ίδιον καὶ ἐπ' ἐκείνης τῆς σαρκὸς ώμολογήθη, ὅτι ἄρτω κάκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα διεκρατεῖτο,

1 $\epsilon \nu$] + $\mu \epsilon \nu$ euth \parallel 2 $\epsilon \phi \eta \delta \nu \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \rho \nu$ g $^{1}l^{1}$ \parallel 4 συν $\epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \theta \eta$ l euth 5 vulg ανεκραθη euth 4 || 6 ανθρωπινη φυσει l vulg || 9 om τοινυν euth || 10 om πολλακις euth | 11 ανθρωπινον βλεπει σωμα 1: rursus incipit $f \parallel εκεινος$ euth 345 | γενομένος euth 345 γενομένον dghnp euth 7

1. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \beta$.] lit. 'included under the head of food is bread.'

4. συνανεκράθη] Cp. c. 11

ανακράσεως (note).

5. παρεκαινοτόμησε] The idea of καινοτομεῦν is that of opening up fresh ground, e.g. the cutting into fresh veins in a mine. Thus it comes to be used of any innovation. 'Did not invent some different composition for human nature.'

6. συν. τ. κ. καταλλ.] 'by the usual and appropriate means.' The thought and much of the language of the following passage is reproduced in John Damasc. F. O. iv 13.

8. περικρατών τ. ύπ.] 'maintaining' (or 'holding fast') its sub-stance.' Περικρατών is used like διεκρατείτο below.

11. ἐν τούτω] refers, as also does the following τοῦτο, to σῶμα. Ἐκεῖνο=ό ἄρτος.

13. λόγφ τινί] 'in a manner.' ib. της τροφής κ.τ.λ.] Cp. supra, where, however, Gr. uses elbos instead of φύσιν, which here refers to the natural qualities or properties of body. The change effected by the rearrangement of the στοχεία of the food, so as to form 'body,' resulted in the acquisition of new properties or qualities. Cf. supra, notes on άλλοιωτικής and ϵ lδος.

15. τὸ γὰρ πάντων] 'for that which is characteristic of all men was admitted in the case of that flesh also, that that body too was maintained by bread.'

τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ἐνοικήσει τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου πρὸς τὴν θεικὴν άξίαν μετεποιήθη. καλώς οὖν καὶ νῦν τὸν τῷ λόγω τοῦ θεοῦ ἀγιαζόμενον ἄρτον εἰς σῶμα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου μεταποιείσθαι πιστεύομεν. και γαρ έκείνο το σώμα άρτος τη δυνάμει ήν, ήγιάσθη δὲ τῆ ἐπισκηνώσει τοῦ λόγου τοῦ 5 σκηνώσαντος έν τη σαρκί. οὐκοῦν ὅθεν ὁ ἐν ἐκείνω τῷ σώματι μεταποιηθείς ἄρτος είς θείαν μετέστη δύναμιν, διὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ καὶ νῦν τὸ ἴσον γίνεται. ἐκεῖ τε γὰρ ἡ τοῦ λόγου γάρις ἄγιον ἐποίει τὸ σῶμα ὧ ἐκ τοῦ ἄρτου ή σύστασις ήν, καὶ τρόπον τινὰ καὶ αὐτὸ ἄρτος ήν εν- 10 ταθθά τε ώσαύτως ὁ ἄρτος, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος,

3 αγιάζομενον] μεταποιουμενον f || om λογου f || 4 πιστευομαι vulg || 5 σκηνωσει d | 6 om οθεν df | 9 εποιειτο σωμα l*vid vulg | 10 autos f

1. $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$] A further step in the argument. Not only did bread become the Lord's Body, but that Body itself was transmuted by the indwelling of the Word to the dignity of Godhead. This additional thought is further developed below in the words οὐκοῦν ὅθεν ὁ ἐν ἐκείνω κ.τ.λ.

 $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \xi$.] Cp. c. 35 $\tau \dot{\eta} s$ άναληφθείσης παρ' αύτοῦ και συνα-

ποθεωθείσης σαρκός (note).

2. τψ λόγψ] Gr. has in mind the passage 1 Tim. iv 5, which he quotes below. In $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \varphi \tau$. θ . here, as also in διά λόγου θεοῦ in the quotation from St Paul, Gr. intends his readers to see a reference to the personal Word, to which he also refers below in the words διά τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος. This is shown by the parallel which he draws with the action of the Word in the Incarnation. In the present passage Gr. is referring to Christ's institution of the Eucharist, when He consecrated bread and wine to the purposes of the Sacrament. is in virtue of that original consecration by Christ that each succeeding particular consecration is ef-

fected. Cp. Ambrose de Myst. ix §§ 52, 54; Chrys. de Prod. Judae hom. i 6 (ed. Montf. ii 384); Jo. Damasc. F. O. iv 13. Cp. also Justin Martyr's reference (Apol. i 66) to την δι' εύχης λόγου τοῦ παρ' αὐτοῦ εύχαριστηθείσαν τροφήν. See Scudamore Notit. Eucharist. (2nd ed.) pp. 572 sq.

4. τη δυνάμει] Cp. supra ταθτα βλέπων δυνάμει πρός τον δγκον τοῦ ήμετέρου σώματος βλέπει (note). In that passage bread is said to be 'virtually' the body, because it was capable of being converted into it. Here Christ's Body is spoken of as virtually bread, because bread had been converted into it.

ἐπισκηνώσει] 'indwelling.' Σκην. Jn i 14.

6. $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$] i.e. through the indwelling or operation of the Logos.

11. καθώς φησιν] The words are similarly applied to the Eucharist by Origen Comm. in Matt. tom. xi (Migne p. 948). On their application in this sense see Scudamore l.c. p. 578. In εντεύξεως there is a reference to the prayer of consecration.

άγιάζεται διὰ λόγου θεοῦ καὶ ἐντεύξεως, οὐ διὰ βρώσεως προιὼν εἰς τὸ σῶμα γενέσθαι τοῦ λόγου, ἀλλ' εὐθὺς πρὸς τὸ σῶμα διὰ τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος, καθὼς εἴρηται

1 βρωσεως] + και ποσεως l vulg || 2 om γενεσθαι vulg || 3 om δια l vulg

1. οὐ διὰ βρώσεως] Gr. is thinking of the analogy of the Lord's earthly life. Then bread and wine became His Body and Blood mediately through the natural processes of eating and drinking. Now they become such immediately $(\epsilon i\theta is)$ through the power of the Word and by means of the prayer of consecration.

2-3. εὐθὺς...μεταποιούμενος] Cp. infra τῆ τῆς εὐλογίας δυνάμει πρὸς έκείνο μεταστοιχειώσας των φαινομένων την φύσιν. Gr.'s language in these passages has been generally regarded as teaching a doctrine of the transformation of the elements, resembling in idea, though not in form, the later Western doctrine of His language transubstantiation. is interpreted in this sense by Fronto Ducaeus, and in more modern times by Franzelin de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 232 f., Hilt Des hl. Greg. von Nyssa Lehre vom Menschen pp. 207 f., and Schwane Dogmengesch. (2e Aufl.) ii p. 780 f. Neander (Ch. Hist. iv p. 438, Bohn) thinks that some such view underlies the present chapter, but he qualifies it by reference to Gr.'s language in in Baptismum Christi p. 581 (Migne), where Gr. compares the effects of consecration in the case of the baptismal water, the anointing oil, ordination, and the bread and wine, as though they were changes of the same class. Pusey Real Presence from the Fathers pp. 180 ff., by an examination of the terms employed by Gr., contests the view that any transubstantiation is implied. His argument is conclusive so far as the terms go, but he scarcely does justice to Gr.'s treatment as a whole. For a discussion of the whole ques-

tion see Introd. pp. xxxvi foll. In the present passage no argument can be drawn from the word μεταποιούmeror alone. The crucial point of the passage is the statement that bread and wine become actually and immediately (in contrast with διά βρώσεως κ.τ.λ.) the Body and Blood of the Lord in the Eucharist. Gr.'s language certainly implies much more than a change of use, such as takes place in the water of baptism. The illustration which he employs points to a change of properties or qualities due to the new relation into which the elements of bread and wine have been brought. suggests, however, a change of 'form' only, not a change of 'substance.' See notes supra on άλλοιωτικής and είδος, and on μεταστοιχειώσας τῶν φαινομένων τὴν φύσιν infra. Hence Hamack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296 rightly says that Gr. teaches 'a qualitative unity' between the bread and the Body of Christ, rather than a complete identity, such as is stated by John of Damascus F. O. iv 13.

καθώς είρ.] Mk xiv 22 [Mt. xxvi 26; Lk. xxii. 19]. change of the elements, following upon the act of consecration, depends upon the original institution of Christ, and the promise implied in the words τοῦτο ἐστι τὸ σῶμά Franzelin (de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 76) sees in Gr.'s reference to these words a proof that he regarded the consecration as effected by the recital of the words of institution. But such a deduction is not justified, as Gr. merely quotes the words to show that the bread is

Christ's Body.

ύπὸ τοῦ λόγου ὅτι Τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ σῶμά μου. πάσης δὲ σαρκὸς καὶ διὰ τοῦ ὑγροῦ τρεφομένης οὐ γὰρ ἃν δίχα τῆς πρὸς τοῦτο συζυγίας τὸ ἐν ἡμῖν γεῶδες ἐν τῷ ζῆν διαμένοι ὅσπερ διὰ τῆς στερρᾶς τε καὶ ἀντιτύπου τροφῆς τὸ στερρὸν τοῦ σώματος ὑποστηρίζομεν, τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον 5 καὶ τῷ ὑγρῷ τὴν προσθήκην ἐκ τῆς ὁμογενοῦς ποιούμεθα φύσεως, ὅπερ ἐν ἡμῖν γενόμενον διὰ τῆς ἀλλοιωτικῆς δυνάμεως ἐξαιματοῦται καὶ μάλιστά γε εἰ διὰ τοῦ οἴνου λάβοι τὴν δύναμιν πρὸς τὴν εἰς τὸ θερμὸν μεταποίησιν. ἐπεὶ οῦν καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος ἡ θεοδόχος ἐκείνη σὰρξ πρὸς 10 τὴν σύστασιν ἑαυτῆς παρεδέξατο, ὁ δὲ φανερωθεὶς θεὸς διὰ τοῦτο κατέμιξεν ἑαυτὸν τῆ ἐπικήρφ φύσει, ἵνα τῆ τῆς

2. διὰ τ. ὑγροῦ] For the need of τὸ ὑγρόν in nutrition cp. Arist. de Gen. Anim. iii 2. 753^{5} 25 δεῖ γὰρ τὴν τροφὴν σωματώδη οὖσαν ὑγρὰν εἶναι καθάπερ τοῖς φυτοῖς. The clause οὐ γὰρ ὰν δίχα...διαμένοι is a parenthesis. The main clause is resumed with ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ., and completed in the sentence beginning τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον.

4. ἀντιτύπου] 'solid,' 'firm.'

Cp. ἀντιτυπίαs, c. 23.

7. ἀλλ. δυν.] Cp. supra p. 146 note.

8. έξαιματοῦται] 'is changed into blood.' The word is similarly used in Arist. de Somn. et Vigil. c. 3.

9. δύναμν] 'the power of being changed into heat.' The addition of wine enables the water to become heat in the body.

10. τοῦτο τὸ μέρος] 'this part also,' i.e. wine for the nourishment of the blood.

12. τη έπικήρω φ.] Cp. c. 1 η ημετέρα φύσις έπίκηρος οὖσα.

ib. $[va...\sigma vva\pi o\theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta}]$ Cp. supra

τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ἐνοικήσει τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου πρός την θεικήν άξίαν μετεποιήθη. According to Gr. the object of the Incarnation was to effect the θέωσις of humanity. This was effected in the case of the Lord's human nature by the indwelling of the Word. It is continuously being effected in mankind as a whole by the dissemination (ἐνσπείρει) in believers of that Body which was exalted to Divine dignity. The Eucharist is the 'extension' of the process of the Incarnation. For the idea compare the language of Hilary de Trin. viii 13 Si enim vere Verbum caro factum est, et vere nos Verbum carnem cibo dominico sumimus; quomodo non naturaliter manere in nobis existimandus est, qui et naturam carnis nostrae iam inseparabilem sibi homo natus assumpsit, et naturam carnis suae ad naturam aeternitatis sub sacramento nobis communicandae carnis admiscuit? See further ibid. § 14.

θεότητος κοινωνία συναποθεωθή το ανθρώπινον, τούτου χάριν πασι τοις πεπιστευκόσι τή οικονομία τής χάριτος έαυτον ένσπείρει δια τής σαρκός, ής ή σύστασις έξ οίνου τε και άρτου έστί, τοις σώμασι των πεπιστευκότων κατα-5 κιρνάμενος, ως αν τή προς το αθάνατον ένωσει και ο άνθρωπος τής αφθαρσίας μέτοχος γένοιτο. ταῦτα δὲ δίδωσι τή τής εὐλογίας δυνάμει προς ἐκεῦνο μεταστοιχειώσας των φαινομένων τὴν φύσιν.

ι συναποθεωρηθη l*rid vulg \parallel 3 ης] oις vulg \parallel 5 om o vulg \parallel 6 γενηται f euth 7 \parallel 8 φυσ ω] desinit euth

3. evorelpei] 'sows or plants Himself in,' as a seed or principle of life.

ib. διὰ τῆς σαρκός] 'by means of that Flesh, composed of wine and bread.' The Paris edd. read ols, thus introducing a fresh and irrelevant thought.

δ ἄνθρ.] 'man,' corresponding to τὸ ἀνθρώπινον above.

7. της εὐλογίας] i.e. the prayer of consecration, the μυστική εὐχή, on which see Suicer sub vocc. Εὐχή, Σύναξις. The use of the word in this connexion is derived from Mk xiv 22; Mt. xxvi 26; 1 Cor. x 16. On its relation to the word εὐχαριστία compare with the passages just cited Mk xiv 23; Mt. xxvi 27; Lk. xxii 19; 1 Cor. xi 24, and see Scudamore l.c. p. 573 sq. From its use in this sense εύλογία came to denote the elements, whether before or after consecration. See Brightman Liturgies E. and W., Index.

ib. μεταστοιχειώσαs] 'transforming the nature of the visible objects to that thing.' Έκεῖνο refers to τὸ ἀθάνατον i.e. the Lord's Body. Μεταστοιχειοῦν is used by Philo de Somnis p. 674 (Mangey) of the change of earth into water by Xerxes; also in de Migr. Abrah. i 449 (Mangey) of the change of rods into serpents. But more usu-

ally it appears to be used not so much of the substitution of one element for another, as of the rearrangement of the same elements. and the imposition upon them of a new form. Cp. the similar words άναστοιχειώσει c. 8 (note), and άναστοιχείωσιν c. 35. Gr. uses the word elsewhere: (1) of the change of the body after the Resurrection. Cp. Hom. i in Cant. p. 777 (Migne) τό μέν σώμα μεταστοιχειωθέν πρός τὸ ἄφθαρτον, (2) of the Lord's Body being made impassible after the Resurrection. Cp. de Vit. Moysis p. 336 (Migne) τὸ τρεπτόν τε καὶ έμπαθές είς ἀπάθειαν μετεστοιχείωσεν. Βυτ he also uses it in a much more general sense of moral and spiritual changes. Cp. Ep. ad Eustathiam p. 1021 (Migne) ὁ οὖν τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν πρὸς τὴν θείαν δύναμιν μεταστοιχειώσας, and Ep. Can. ad Letoium p. 22 (Migne) τούς έκ παλιγγενεσίας μεταστοιχειουuévous. For further reff. to the patristic use of the word see Pusey Real Presence pp. 198 ff. Thus the word does not alter the conclusion already drawn that Gr. indicates in this chapter a change of 'form' rather than a change of 'substance.' For the latter idea see Hilt op. cit. p. 208. For φύσις see notes supra on elδos and on the words πρὸς τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν μεθισταμένης.

- 38. Οὐδὲν οίμαι τοῖς εἰρημένοις ἐνδεῖν τῶν περὶ τὸ μυστήριον ζητουμένων, πλὴν τοῦ κατὰ τὴν πίστιν λόγου, ὂν δι' ὀλίγου μὲν καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς παρούσης ἐκθησόμεθα πραγματείας. τοῖς δὲ τὸν τελεώτερον ἐπιζητοῦσι λόγον ἤδη προεξεθέμεθα ἐν ἑτέροις πόνοις, διὰ τῆς δυνατῆς ἡμῖν 5 σπουδῆς ἐν ἀκριβεία τὸν λόγον ἀπλώσαντες, ἐν οἶς πρός τε τοὺς ἐναντίους ἀγωνιστικῶς συνεπλάκημεν καὶ καθ' ἑαυτοὺς περὶ τῶν προσφερομένων ἡμῖν ζητημάτων ἐπεσκεψάμεθα. τῷ δὲ παρόντι λόγῳ τοσοῦτον εἰπεῖν περὶ τῆς πίστεως καλῶς ἔχειν ῷἡθημεν ὅσον ἡ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου το περιέχει φωνή, τὸ τὸν γεννώμενον κατὰ τὴν πνευματικὴν ἀναγέννησιν εἰδέναι παρὰ τίνος γεννῶται καὶ ποῖον γίνεται
- - iii. Chaps. XXXVIII-XL. On Faith and Repentance.
- **38.** Our remaining task is to speak of the importance of faith. This has been dealt with more fully in other treatises. Here it suffices to show the importance for him who is regenerate of a right knowledge of the Author of his new birth, and of the nature of the life into which he is admitted.

The three remaining chapters of the treatise are intended to deal with the moral conditions required for a right use of Sacraments. Gr. refers only to Baptism, because that is the initiation of the new life, and the moral conditions of which he speaks begin with Baptism. Moreover this is a 'catechetical oration' designed to help in the preparation of candidates for baptism. Hence there is no need to assume, as has been done by Aubertin de Sacr. Euch. ii 487 (quoted by Rupp p. 147), that c. 40 is an interpolation, because it returns to the discussion of Baptism.

τὸ μυστ.] here, as elsewhere,

means the Christian religion.

4. πραγματείαs] Cp. note c. 6 P. 33.

5. ἐτέροις πόνοις] Gr.'s larger work, contra Eunomium, and also the de Deitate Filii et Spiritus Sancti, both written before this time, deal with this question. See Introd. p. xiv.

ib. διὰ τῆς δ. ἡ, σπουδῆς] 'unfolding the subject with as great pains as lay in our power.'

- 6. $\pi \rho \delta s \ \tau e \ \tau e \ \dot{e} \nu$.] The purpose of these works was twofold (1) controversial, (2) critical and constructive. Gr. claims not only to have replied to opponents, but to have given an independent $(\kappa \alpha \theta' \ \dot{e} a \nu r o \dot{\nu} s)$ consideration of the questions involved.
- 10. δσον] Gr. is prob. thinking of such passages as Jn i 13, iii 6, 7, the latter of which he quotes in c. 39. The clause τδ τδυ γενν.... ξώρν is in apposition to τοσοῦτον, viz. 'that he who is begotten with the spiritual generation knows' etc.

ζφον· μόνον γὰρ τοῦτο τὸ τῆς γεννήσεως εἶδος κατ' έξουσίαν ἔχει, ὅ τι περ ἄν ἕληται, τοῦτο γενέσθαι.

39. Τὰ μὲν γὰρ λοιπὰ τῶν τικτομένων τῆ ὁρμῆ τῶν ἀπογεννώντων ὑφίσταται, ὁ δὲ πνευματικὸς τόκος τῆς ξἔσυσίας ἤρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἐν τούτῳ ἐστὶν ὁ κίνδυνος, ἐν τῷ μὴ διαμαρτεῖν τοῦ συμφέροντος, κατ' ἐξουσίαν προκειμένης παντὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως, καλῶς ἔχειν φημὶ τὸν πρὸς τὴν γέννησιν τὴν ἰδίαν ὁρμῶντα προδιαγνῶναι τῷ λογισμῷ, τίς αὐτῷ λυσιτελήσει πατὴρ το καὶ ἐκ τίνος ἄμεινον αὐτῷ συστῆναι τὴν φύσιν εἴρηται γὰρ ὅτι κατ' ἐξουσίαν τοὺς γεννήτορας ὁ τοιοῦτος αἰρεῖται τόκος. διχῆ τοίνυν τῶν ὅντων μεμερισμένων εἰς τὸ κτιστὸν

1 τουτο...το είδος l vulg τουτο...είδος f 39. 4 αυτο γεννωντων dp αυτα γενν. ehn \parallel om τοκος vulg \parallel 8 γενεσιν ehnp \parallel 10 om και f \parallel αυτω αμείνων e αυτου $a\mu$. vulg \parallel 11 του γεννητορος f

 μόνον γάρ] 'for this form of generation alone has it in its power to become whatsoever it chooses.' By γενν. είδος Gr. of course means more exactly the person who is regenerated. Cp. infra c. 39 ο δε πνευματικός τόκος της εξουσίας ήρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου. For the special form of expression cp. ibid. kar' έξουσίαν τούς γεννήτορας αίρειται ο TÓROS. Gr.'s statement is not of course exact. A man cannot really choose to be born again of a created Son and Spirit. But as the moral condition of the recipient affects the value of baptism, his imperfect faith may deprive him of the full benefits of the rite. Gr. is here asserting the moral value of a right

faith. See Introd. pp. xxxv fol.

39. The spiritual birth, unlike natural birth, depends upon the will of him who is being born. It is important that such an one should know what kind of parent he needs for the development of his nature, seeing that it is in his power to choose his parents. It is possible for

him to be the child of a nature which is uncreated and so unchanging, or of a nature which is created and subject to change. The Gospel sets before us a Trinity of Persons by Whom regeneration is effected. To believe that the Holy Trinity belongs to the uncreated world is to enter upon a stedfast, unchangeable life. To believe in a created Son and Spirit is to trust to an imperfect nature, which itself needs redemption. This is to be born, not from above, but from below.

3-4. τ. ὁρμῆ...ὑφ.] 'attain existence by the impulse of,' i.e. as contrasted with τῆς εξουσίας ἥρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου, which follows.

6. ὁ κίνδυνος] The fact that the spiritual birth depends upon the will of him who is born involves the danger of his failing to choose that which is advantageous to him.

12. $\delta i \chi \hat{\eta} \tau o l \nu i \nu$] Gr. now explains the nature of the choice which he has indicated as belonging to the catechumen. It is the choice between a spiritual birth into an

καὶ τὸ ἄκτιστον, καὶ τῆς μὲν ἀκτίστου φύσεως τὸ ἄτρεπτόν τε καὶ ἀμετάθετον ἐν ἐαυτῆ κεκτημένης, τῆς δὲ κτίσεως πρὸς τροπὴν ἀλλοιουμένης, ὁ κατὰ λογισμὸν τὸ λυσιτελοῦν προαιρούμενος τίνος αίρήσεται μάλλον γενέσθαι τέκνον. της έν τροπη θεωρουμένης η της αμετάστατόν τε καὶς παγίαν καὶ ἀεὶ ὡσαύτως ἔχουσαν ἐν τῷ ἀγαθῶ κεκτημένης την φύσιν; επεὶ οὖν εν τῷ εὐαγγελίω τὰ τρία παραδέδοται πρόσωπά τε καὶ ὀνόματα δι' ὧν ή γέννησις τοῖς πιστεύουσι γίνεται, γενναται δε κατά το ίσον ο έν τη τριάδι γεννώμενος παρά πατρός τε καὶ υίοῦ καὶ πνεύματος 10 άγίου ούτω γάρ φησι περί του πνεύματος τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ότι Τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τοῦ πνεύματος πνεῦμά ἐστι, καὶ ό Παῦλος ἐν Χριστῷ γεννᾳ, καὶ Ὁ πατὴρ πάντων ἐστὶ πατήρ· ἐνταῦθά μοι νηφέτω τοῦ ἀκροατοῦ ἡ διάνοια,

8 γενεσις deghnp || 9 om τη df || 10 παρα τε πατρος και υιου d του πατροs egp vulg του υιου...του πνευματος vulg | 11 om το vulg

eternal and uncreated nature or into a nature which is created and subject to change.

1. τὸ ἀτρεπτον] For the unchangeableness of the Divine Nature cp. Mal. iii 6, where the LXX has οὖκ ήλλοίωμαι: and for a discussion of the word ἄτρεπτος see W. R. Churton Theological Papers pp. 22 ff. For Greek thought cp. Plato Rep. ii 381 C άδύνατον άρα, έφην, καἶ θεῷ ἐθέλειν αὐτὸν άλλοιοῦν: Arist. de Caelo (p. 279 a) πολλάκις προφαίνεται τοις λόγοις ότι τὸ θείον άμετάβλητον άναγκαιον είθαι παν το πρώτον και άκρότατον. Cp. Philo de Nom. Mut. (p. 582, ed. Mangey) άτρεπτον γάρ καὶ άμετάβλητον, χρήζον έτέρου τὸ παράπαν οὐδενός.

3. προς τροπην άλλ.] 'subject to alteration and change,' lit. 'in the direction of change.' Cp. c. 21 ή γὰρ ἐκ τοῦ μὴ δντος εἶς τὸ εἶναι πάροδος άλλοιωσίς τις έστι.

5. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\rho$. $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho$.] For this use of θεωρείσθαι cp. prol. έν τοις αὐτοις θεωρουμένων.

7. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \ o \hat{v} \nu$] The sentence is

finally resumed in the words ἐνταῦθά μοι κ.τ.λ. 'Εν τ. εὐ.] i.e. Mt. xxviii 1 Q.

 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$ On the history of this word see Bethune-Baker Texts and Studies vii 1 pp. 72 ff. 'Ovóματα seems to refer to the words els tò ovoua in Mt. xxviii 19. The phrase έν τη τρ. γεννώμενος is probably due to the influence of the passage 1 Cor. iv 15 which Gr. subsequently quotes.

11. οῦτω γάρ] Gr. gives three quotations to prove his contention that the grace of baptism proceeds equally from all three Persons of the Trinity.

 ib. τὸ εὐαγγ.] Jn iii 6.
 i3. ὁ Παῦλος] 1 Cor. iv 15.
 i6. Ὁ πατήρ] The source of this third quotation is possibly Eph. iv 6, where the words είς θεδς καὶ πατήρ πάντων follow immediately upon the mention of the εν βάπτισμα.

14. $\nu\eta\phi\epsilon\tau\omega$] used here, as often in the N.T., of 'a mental state free from all perturbations or stupefactions' (Hort on 1 Pet. i 13).

μη της αστατούσης φύσεως έαυτην έκγονον ποιήση, έξον την άτρεπτόν τε καὶ ἀναλλοίωτον ἀρχηγὸν ποιήσασθαι της ίδιας ζωής. κατά γάρ την διάθεσιν της καρδίας του προσιόντος τη οἰκονομία καὶ τὸ γινόμενον την δύναμιν ς έχει, ώστε τὸν μὲν ἄκτιστον ὁμολογοῦντα τὴν ἀγίαν τριάδα είς την άτρεπτόν τε καὶ ἀναλλοίωτον εἰσελθεῖν ζωήν, τὸν δὲ τὴν κτιστὴν φύσιν ἐν τῆ τριάδι διὰ τῆς ἠπατημένης ύπολήψεως βλέποντα, ἔπειτα ἐν αὐτῆ βαπτιζόμενον, πάλιν τῷ τρεπτῷ τε καὶ ἀλλοιουμένω ἐγγεννηθῆναι βίω τῆ γὰρ το των γεννώντων φύσει κατ' ανάγκην όμογενές έστι καὶ τὸ τικτόμενον. τί οῦν αν είη λυσιτελέστερον, είς τὴν ἄτρεπτον ζωήν είσελθειν ή πάλιν τῷ ἀστατοῦντι καὶ ἀλλοιουμένω έγκυματοῦσθαι βίω; ἐπεὶ οὖν παντὶ δῆλόν ἐστι τῷ καὶ ὁπωσοῦν διανοίας μετέχοντι, ὅτι τὸ έστὼς τοῦ 15 μη έστωτος παρά πολύ τιμιώτερον, και του έλλιπους τὸ τέλειον, καὶ τοῦ δεομένου τὸ μὴ δεόμενον, καὶ τοῦ διὰ προκοπής ανιόντος τὸ μὴ έχον εἰς ὅ τι προέλθη, άλλ' έπὶ τῆς τελειότητος τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μένον ἀεί, ἐπάναγκες αν είη

1 εαυτον eh \parallel 2 την \parallel τον f \parallel 3 om ζωης vulg \parallel 6 τον δε την \parallel την δε vulg \parallel 9–12 εγγεννηθηναι...αλλοιουμενω om l \parallel 16 του μη δεομενου το δ. d*ehn \parallel 17 προκ. αν. \parallel τροπης ανιεντος f \parallel 18 επαναγκες αν ειη \parallel om d om αν ειη \parallel 1*

 ἀρχηγόν] Cp. antea c. 33 ζωῆς ἀρχηγός (note).

3. τ. διάθ. τ. καρδ.] may possibly contain a reference to Ps. lxxii (lxxiii) 7 (LXX).

4. οἰκονομία] Cp. c. 34 τῆς μυστικῆς ταύτης οἰκονομίας.

ib. το γινόμενον] 'that which takes place' i.e. the birth which results from Baptism. Two of the MSS used by Fronto Ducaeus read το γεννώμενον.

6. τὸν δὲ τ. κτιστήν] sc. the Anomæan, to whose teaching he refers more fully below in the clause beginning η, εἰ ἔξω τῆς τοῦ πρώτου κ.τ.λ. Τὴν is used in a generic sense.

9. ἐγγεννηθηναι] 'is again born into an existence which is subject to

variation and change.' Gr. will not call such a life $\xi \omega \dot{\eta}$. It is merely βlos , 'existence.' Cp. c. $8 \tau \delta \tau \delta v$ $\delta low \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \delta \tau \eta \tau$: $\sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$.

12-13. πάλιν... έγκυμ.] The new birth into a created Son and Spirit carries a man no higher than he was before. It still leaves him in an existence which is unstable. Έγκυματ. 'to be tossed about in.'

16. διὰ προκοπῆs] 'by a gradual advance.' So Athanasius de Syn. 4 represents Paul of Samosata as teaching concerning Christ υστερον αυτόν μετὰ τὴν ἐνανθρώπησιν ἐκ προκοπῆς τεθεοποιῆσθαι.

18. $\epsilon \pi l \tau. \tau....\mu \epsilon vov$] Cp. c. 37 $\mu \epsilon v \epsilon v \epsilon \phi' \epsilon a v \tau o \hat{v}$ (note).

ἐν ἐξ ἀμφοτέρων αἰρεῖσθαι πάντως τόν γε νοῦν ἔχοντα, ἢ τῆς ἀκτίστου φύσεως εἶναι πιστεύειν τὴν ἀγίαν τριάδα καὶ οὕτως ἀρχηγὸν διὰ τῆς πνευματικῆς γεννήσεως ποιεῖσθαι τῆς ἰδίας ζωῆς, ἤ, εἰ ἔξω τῆς τοῦ πρώτου καὶ ἀληθινοῦ καὶ ἀγαθοῦ θεοῦ φύσεως, τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς 5 λέγω, νομίζοι εἶναι τὸν υίὸν ἢ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον, μὴ συμπαραλαμβάνειν τὴν εἰς ταῦτα πίστιν ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς γεννήσεως, μήποτε λάθῃ τῆ ἐλλιπεῖ φύσει καὶ δεομένη τοῦ ἀγαθύνοντος ἐαυτὸν εἰσποιῶν καὶ τρόπον τινὰ πάλιν εἰς τὸ ὁμογενὲς ἑαυτὸν εἰσαγάγῃ, τῆς ὑπερεχούσης φύσεως 10 ἀποστήσας τὴν πίστιν· ὁ γάρ τινι τῶν κτιστῶν ἑαυτὸν ὑποζεύξας λέληθεν εἰς αὐτὸ καὶ οὐκ εἰς τὸ θεῖον τὴν

4. $\pi \rho \omega \tau ov$] For a summary of Eunomius' teaching see c. Eunom. Or. i pp. 297, 324 (Migne). In the latter passage Gr. speaks of Eunomius' definitions $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \eta s$, $\tau \rho \omega \tau \eta s$ $\tau \epsilon$ kal $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho s$ kal $\tau \rho l \tau \eta s$ où clas.

 μη συμπ.] dependent like πιστεύειν and ποιείσθαι upon aiρείσθαι. 'Not to include the belief in these Persons in the faith which he adopts at the time of his birth.' Gr. argues that, in order to be consistent, the Anomeeans should not include the Son and the Holy Spirit in their baptismal confession. For on their own principles baptism into the Son and the Spirit involves birth into a created life of the same kind (ὁμογενές) as their own. For the use of the neuter ταῦτα cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxi 5 οι τρία μέν είναι καθ' ἡμᾶς ὸμολογοῦσι τὰ νοούμενα with Dr Mason's note.

8. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\iota\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}$] i.e. imperfect, because belonging to the created order. Cp. the argument in *prol.*, where Gr.

adduces the perfection of the Divine Nature as an argument for the unity of God.

9. ἀγαθύνοντος] 'someone to make it good.' 'Αγαθύνειν, 'to make good' or 'do good to,' occurs frequently in the LXX. Cp. 3 Reg. i 47; Pss. 1 (li) 20, cxxiv (cxxv) 4; Jer. li (xliv) 27.

ib. εἰσποιῶν] 'cause himself to be adopted into.' The exact phrase εἰσποιεῦν τινά τινι occurs in Plato Legg. ix 878.

111. ἀποστήσας τ. π.] 'withdrawing his faith from the transcendent nature,' i.e. by resting it in a created Son and Spirit who are, according to the Anomœan view, external to the Supreme Being.

12. $\lambda \ell \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$] The MSS are here corrupt. Krabinger's conjecture $\epsilon l s$ a $\nu \tau \delta$ for $\ell a u \tau \delta \nu$ or ℓs $\ell a u \tau \delta \nu$ has been adopted, as it explains the corruption and supplies the necessary sense. A $\nu \tau \delta = \tau \delta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ referred to in $\tau \iota \nu l \tau \delta \nu$ $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$.

έλπίδα της σωτηρίας έχων. πάσα γάρ ή κτίσις τῶ κατά τὸ ἴσον ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι προήκειν οἰκείως πρὸς έαυτὴν ἔχει· καὶ ὥσπερ ἐπὶ τῆς τῶν σωμάτων κατασκευής πάντα τὰ μέλη πρὸς έαυτὰ συμφυῶς ἔχει, 5 καν τα μεν υποβεβηκότα, τα δε υπερανεστώτα τύχη, ούτως ή κτιστή φύσις ήνωται πρός έαυτήν κατά τὸν λόγον της κτίσεως καὶ οὐδὲν ή κατὰ τὸ ὑπερέχον καὶ ἐνδέον ἐν ήμεν διαφορά διίστησιν αὐτὴν τῆς πρὸς έαυτὴν συμφυίας. ών γὰρ ἐπ' ἴσης προεπινοεῖται ἡ ἀνυπαρξία, κὰν ἐν τοῖς το ἄλλοις τὸ διάφορον ή, οὐδεμίαν κατὰ τὸ μέρος τοῦτο τῆς φύσεως παραλλαγήν έξευρίσκομεν. εί οὖν κτιστὸς μὲν ό ἄνθρωπος, κτιστὸν δὲ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα καὶ τὸν μονογενή θεον είναι νομίζοι, μάταιος αν είη εν ελπίδι της επί το κρείττον μεταστάσεως, πρὸς έαυτὸν ἀναλύων. ὅμοιον γὰρ 15 ταις του Νικοδήμου υπολήψεσιν έστι το γινόμενον, δς περί τοῦ δείν ἄνωθεν γεννηθήναι παρά τοῦ κυρίου μαθών διὰ τὸ μήπω χωρησαι τοῦ μυστηρίου τὸν λόγον ἐπὶ τὸν

1 τω] το efh \parallel 2 προσηκειν f \parallel 5 υπερανεστηκοτα fg¹ \parallel 9 επινοειται f \parallel 11 κτιστος μ εν] + ων vulg \parallel 13 θεον] vιον 1 \parallel om ειναι 1 \parallel επ ελπιδι 1 ανελπιδι vulg

τῷ...προήκεω] The dat. is causal, 'because it proceeds in an equal degree from non-existence into existence.'

3. τ.τ. σ. κατασκευής] Cp. c. 28 πάσα πρός έαντην ή κατασκευή τοῦ σώματος όμοτιμως έχει. For συμφυώς cp. c. 32 συμφυής and ibid. συμφυομένων.

5. ὑποβεβ... ὑπεραν.] i.e. the 'lower' and 'upper' parts of the body

7. Kal ovoler] 'and the difference between what is superior and inferior in us makes no division in the cohesion of all its parts.'

9. ww yap for if things alike are thought of as coming out of a

previous nothingness.'

11. παραλλαγήν] 'variation.' Cp. James i 17 (Mayor's note).

ib. κτιστὸς μέν...κτιστὸν δέ] The two clauses are logically correlative.

With the former $\ell \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ must be supplied.

12. μονογενή θεόν] Cp. prol. p. 2 (note).

13. τ. ἐπὶ τ. κ. μεταστάσεως] Cp. c. 40 ἐπὶ ἀνακαινισμῷ καὶ μεταβολῆ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν σωτήριον παραλαμβάνεσθαι γέννησιν.

14. πρὸς ἐαυτὸν ἀναλύων] 'seeing that he returns to himself,' i.e. to one who is like himself a created being, and cannot bring him to perfection. For this sense of ἀναλύειν cp. c. 23 την τε τῶν τεθνηκότων ἐπὶ τὸν βίον ἀνάλυσιν, and c. 35 ἀναλύειν.

15. τ. Νικοδήμου] Jn iii 4.

ib. τὸ γιν.] i.e. that which happens
when a man is baptized into that
faith.

17. τοῦ μυστ.] used here of the revelation made by Christ about the new birth.

5

μητρώου κόλπου τοῖς λογισμοῖς κατεσύρετο. ὅστε εἰ μὴ πρὸς τὴυ ἄκτιστου φύσιυ, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὴυ συγγευῆ καὶ ὁμόδουλου κτίσιυ έαυτὸυ ἀπάγοι, τῆς κάτωθευ, οὐ τῆς ἄνωθέυ ἐστι γευνήσεως. φησὶ δὲ τὸ εὐαγγέλιου ἄνωθευ εἶναι τῶυ σωζομένων τὴυ γέννησιυ.

40. 'Αλλ' οὐ μοι δοκεῖ μέχρι τῶν εἰρημένων αὐτάρκη τὴν διδασκαλίαν ἡ κατήχησις ἔχειν. δεῖ γάρ, οἰμαι, καὶ τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο σκοπεῖν, ὁ πολλοὶ τῶν προσιόντων τῆ τοῦ βαπτίσματος χάριτι παρορῶσι, δι' ἀπάτης ἐαυτοὺς παράγοντες, καὶ τῷ δοκεῖν μόνον, οὐχὶ τῷ ὄντι γεννώμενοι. 10 ἡ γὰρ διὰ τῆς ἀναγεννήσεως γινομένη τῆς ζωῆς ἡμῶν μεταποίησις οὐκ ἃν εἴη μεταποίησις, εἰ ἐν ῷ ἐσμεν διαμένοιμεν. τὸν γὰρ ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὄντα οὐκ οἶδα πῶς ἔστιν ἄλλον τινὰ γεγενῆσθαι νομίσαι, ἐφ' οῦ μηδὲν τῶν γνωρισμάτων μετεποιήθη. τὸ γὰρ ἐπὶ ἀνακαινισμῷ καὶ μεταβολῆ 15 τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν σωτήριον παραλαμβάνεσθαι γέννησιν παντὶ δῆλόν ἐστιν. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἡ ἀνθρωπότης αὐτὴ καθ'

2 om the aktistof nully \parallel 3 epayoi l^* apayagoi g^{1l^1} . 40. 7 exel l \parallel 10 to dokein lnp tou d. h \parallel 15 th metabolh e

1. κατεσύρετο] 'whose thoughts drew him back to.'

2. ομόδουλον] 'which shares his own bondage.' Cp. Rom. viii 21.

3. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tilde{a} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$] In iii 3. The contrast with the preceding $\kappa a \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ is decisive as to Gr.'s interpretation

of that passage.

40. The regeneration which comes through Baptism only becomes effectual in those who exhibit a change of life and wholly abandon evil. Otherwise the water is but water, and the gift of the Holy Spirit in no wise appears in what takes place. God rewards godliness and punishes sin in ways that surpass anything of which we have experience in this life. This being so, it is our duty to lay the foundations of blessedness in this fleeting life and put away evil from us.

6. μέχρι τ. εlp.] 'so far as our

remarks have gone (or 'with these remarks') our instruction does not seem to me to be complete in its teaching.' On this inclusive sense of μέχρι see Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 9 οὐδὲ ἐκεῖ στήσεται μέχρι τοῦ εἰπεῖν (with Dr Mason's note). Οn κατήχησις see prol. ὁ τῆς κατηχήσεως λόγος (note).

9. δι' ἀπάτης] Cp. c. 7 δι' ἀπατης παρασυρέντες.

12. μεταποίησις] See note on μεταποιεί, c. 37.

14. γνωρισμάτων] 'characteristic features,' i.e. the traits of character by which a man is recognized. Gr. has of course in view the bad traits of character. Cp. infra τῶν πονηρῶν γνωρισμάτων.

15. $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \hat{\iota}$ $\hat{a}\nu a\kappa$.] For this use of $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \hat{\iota}$ cp. c. 5 $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \hat{\iota}$ $\hat{\tau}\hat{\eta}$... $\hat{a}\pi o\lambda a\hat{\iota}\sigma \epsilon \iota$.

17. ἡ ἀνθρωπότης] 'humanity in itself,' i.e. the abstract conception

έαυτην μεταβολην έκ τοῦ βαπτίσματος οὐ προσίεται, ούτε τὸ λογικὸν ούτε τὸ διανοητικὸν ούτε τὸ ἐπιστήμης δεκτικον οὐδε ἄλλο τι τῶν χαρακτηριζόντων ἰδίως τὴν ανθρωπίνην φύσιν εν μεταποιήσει γίνεται. ή γαρ αν προς 5 τὸ χειρον ή μεταποίησις είη, εί τι τούτων ὑπαμειφθείη τῶν ίδίων της φύσεως. εί οθν ή άνωθεν γεννησις άναστοιγείωσίς τις τοῦ ἀνθρώπου γίνεται, ταῦτα δὲ τὴν μεταβολὴν ου προσίεται, σκεπτέον τίνος μεταποιηθέντος έντελης της αναγεινήσεως ή χάρις έστί. δήλον δτι των πονηρών 10 γνωρισμάτων έξαλειφθέντων της φύσεως ήμων ή πρὸς τὸ κρεῖττον μετάστασις γίνεται. οὐκοῦν εἰ, καθώς φησιν προφήτης, λουσάμενοι τῷ μυστικῷ τούτῳ λουτρῷ καθαροί τὰς προαιρέσεις γενοίμεθα, τὰς πονηρίας τῶν ψυγῶν ἀποκλύσαντες, κρείττους γεγόναμεν καὶ πρὸς τὸ 15 κρείττον μετεποιήθημεν. εί δὲ τὸ μὲν λουτρὸν ἐπαχθείη τῷ σώματι, ἡ δὲ ψυχὴ τὰς ἐμπαθεῖς κηλίδας μὴ ἀπορρύψαιτο, άλλ' ὁ μετὰ τὴν μύησιν βίος συμβαίνοι τῷ ἀμυήτῳ Βίω, καν τολμηρον είπειν ή, λέξω και ουκ αποτραπήσομαι, ότι ἐπὶ τούτων τὸ ὕδωρ ὕδωρ ἐστίν, οὐδαμοῦ τῆς δωρεᾶς

3 ουδε] ουτε $f \parallel \chi$ αρακτηριζομενων ητοι των χαρακτηριζοντων $f \parallel 7$ τις] τε vulg \parallel 10 γνωρισματων] βουλευματων $f \parallel$ 13 γενωμεθα d γινομεθα f γινοιμεθα vulg \parallel 15 το λουτρον μεν e vulg \parallel 16 απορριψοιτο e vulg απορυψοιτο $f \parallel$ 17 συμβαινει e l vulg συμβαινη f

of man. Grace does not alter any of the essential properties of human nature.

2. ούτε τὸ λογ.] For this description of man's faculties see c. 15 sub fin. and c. 33 (note).

6. η av. γένν.] Cp. c. 39 sub fin.

(note).

ib. ἀναστοιχείωσις] Cp. c. 8 ἀναστοιχειώσει (note).

8. ἐντελής] Cp. antea c. 24 p. 93 (note).

11. μετάστασις] See note on

μεθισταμένης C. 37.

12. ὁ προφήτης] Isaiah i 16(LXX) λούσασθε, καθαροί γένεσθε, ἀφέλετε τὰς πονηρίας ἀπὸ τῶν ψυχῶν ὑμῶν. 13. $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho ol \tau. \pi.$] 'clean in our

15. $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\pi oi\eta\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$] For this use of the aorist cp. $\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu$ c. 37 p. 142 (note).

16. έμπαθεις κηλ.] 'the stains caused by its passions.' For έμπαθεις cp. έμπαθές c. 5.

17. μύησιν] Cp. c. 35 της τοιαύτης μυήσεως.

ib. συμβαίνοι] 'accord with,' 'be of the same character as.'

19. έπὶ τούτων] 'in their case the water is water, and the gift of the Holy Spirit is nowhere manifested in what takes place.' The margin of c has γεννωμένω, which is a con-

τοῦ άγίου πνεύματος ἐπιφανείσης τῷ γιγνομένω, ὅταν μὴ μόνον τὸ κατὰ τὸν θυμὸν αἶσχος ὑβρίζη τὴν θείαν μορφὴν η το κατά πλεονεξίαν πάθος και ή ἀκόλαστος και ἀσχήμων διάνοια καὶ τῦφος καὶ φθόνος καὶ ὑπερηφανία, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ ἐξ ἀδικίας κέρδη παραμένη αὐτῷ καὶ ἡ ἐκ μοιχείας ς αὐτῶ κτηθεῖσα γυνη ταῖς ήδοναῖς αὐτοῦ καὶ μετὰ τοῦτο ύπηρετήται. ἐὰν ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα όμοίως πρότερόν τε καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα περὶ τὸν βίον τοῦ βαπτισθέντος ή, τί μεταπεποίηται ίδειν οὐκ ἔχω, τὸν αὐτὸν βλέπων ὅνπερ καὶ πρότερον. ὁ ήδικημένος, ὁ σεσυκοφαντημένος, ὁ τῶν το ιδίων απωσθείς οὐδεμίαν όρωσιν έφ' έαυτων την τοῦ λελουμένου μεταβολήν. οὐκ ήκουσαν καὶ παρὰ τούτου την τοῦ Ζακχαίου φωνην ὅτι Εἴ τινά τι ἐσυκοφάντησα, αποδίδωμι τετραπλασίονα. ὰ πρὸ τοῦ βαπτίσματος έλεγον, τὰ αὐτὰ καὶ νῦν περὶ αὐτοῦ διεξέρχονται, ἐκ τῶν 15 αὐτῶν ὀγομάτων κατονομάζουσι πλεονέκτην, τῶν ἀλλοτρίων ἐπιθυμητήν, ἀπὸ συμφορών ἀνθρωπίνων τρυφώντα. ό τοίνυν ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὤν, ἔπειτα ἐπιθρυλῶν ἑαυτῷ διὰ

τ γινομενω ef vulg || 3 η ασχημων el vulg || 4 διανοια] ηδονη f || 5 παραμενει f || 6 om kai l || 7 un $\eta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon_i \tau a_i$ vulg $u \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ fl || om τa_i vulg || 0 $\epsilon_i \gamma \omega$] ετι vulg || 10 εσυκοφ- e || 12 λελουμενου] λεγομενου eh vulg ελουσμενου f || 13 om του deghn vulg | τινος en vulg | 14 α αλλ α g αλλ απερ l1 | 15 περι του αυτου vulg || 17 ανθρωπινων] αλλοτριων f

jecture of Maximus Margunius. But no alteration is necessary. means that the grace of Baptism is not given when men do not fulfil its conditions. In $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \omega \rho$. τ . \dot{a} . π . Gr. appears to be thinking of 'unction,' which would be included by him in baptism.

2. το κ. τ. θ. aloxos] 'the deformity of anger.' Aloxos is used of a deformity of mind or body. Cp. Plat. Symp. 201 A άλλο τι ο Ερως κάλλους αν είη έρως, αίσχους δ' ου;

ib. μορφήν] rightly used here of that which is a permanent characteristic of man in virtue of his having been made κατ' εἰκόνα θεοῦ (c. 5).

10. ο σεσυκ.] Cp. συκοφαντίαν

11. $\epsilon \phi' \epsilon a v \tau \hat{\omega} v$] 'in their own case,' 'to take themselves as an instance.'

12. καὶ παρά τούτου] i.e. from him as well as from Zacchaeus.

13. φωνήν] Lk. xix 8.

 διεξέρχονται] 'recount in full,' because such sins remain undiminished.

17. aπò συμφ.] 'who lives luxuriously on other men's misfortunes.'

18. $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \rho \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$] Cp. c. 28 διαθρυλοῦσι.

τοῦ βαπτίσματος τὴν πρὸς τὸ κρεῖττον μεταβολήν, ἀκουσάτω της Παύλου φωνής ὅτι Εἴ τις δοκεῖ εἶναί τι, μηδέν ών. φρεναπατά έαυτόν. δ γάρ μη γέγονας, οὐκ εί. "Οσοι έλαβον αὐτόν, φησὶ περὶ τῶν ἀναγεννηθέντων τὸ εὐαγγέλιον. 5 ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς ἐξουσίαν τέκνα θεοῦ γενέσθαι. τὸ τέκνον γενόμενόν τινος όμογενες πάντως έστι τῶ γεννήσαντι. εί ουν έλαβες τον θεον και τέκνον εγένου θεου, δείξον διά της προαιρέσεως καὶ τὸν ἐν σοὶ ὄντα θεόν, δεῖξον ἐν σεαυτῷ τον γεννήσαντα. έξ ών τον θεον γνωρίζομεν, δι' έκείνων 10 προσήκει δειχθήναι τοῦ γενομένου υίου θεού την πρός τὸν θεὸν οἰκειότητα. ἐκεῖνος ἀνοίγει τὴν χεῖρα καὶ ἐμπιπλά παν ζώον εὐδοκίας, ὑπερβαίνει ἀνομίας, μετανοεί ἐπὶ κακίας · χρηστὸς κύριος τοῖς σύμπασι, μὴ ὀργὴν ἐπάγων καθ' έκάστην ήμέραν· εὐθής κύριος ὁ θεός, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν 15 αδικία εν αὐτῷ, καὶ ὅσα τοιαῦτα σποράδην παρὰ τῆς γραφής διδασκόμεθα. εαν εν τούτοις ής, αληθώς εγένου τέκνον θεοῦ εἰ δὲ τοῖς τῆς κακίας ἐπιμένεις γνωρίσμασι, μάτην επιθρυλείς σεαυτώ την άνωθεν γέννησιν. έρει πρός σὲ ή προφητεία ὅτι Τίὸς ἀνθρώπου εἶ, οὐχὶ υίὸς ὑψίστου. 20 ἀγαπᾶς ματαιότητα, ζητεῖς ψεῦδος. οὐκ ἔγνως π ῶς θαυμαστούται ἄνθρωπος, ὅτι οὐκ ἄλλως, εἰ μὴ ὅσιος

2 του Παυλου $1 \parallel$ om τι vulg \parallel 3 μη] ου gp \parallel 5 το]+δε egh vulg + γαρ $n \parallel$ 6 γινομένον $f \parallel$ 13 om κυριος $l^* \parallel$ 14 ευθυς vulg \parallel 15 παρα τ. γ. σποραδην $f \parallel$ 18 εαυτω $f \parallel$ ερει]+ γαρ $f \parallel$ 19 om οτι $d \parallel$ 20 ματαιοτητα]+ και $l \parallel$ 20–1 πως θαυμαστουται...αλλως] οτι ανθρωπος ουκ αλλως υιος θέου γινέται vulg

2. Παύλου] Gal. vi 3.

3. μη γέγονας] i.e. 'which you have not really become.' It is explained by τέκνα θ. γενέσθαι.

ib. "Οσοι κ.τ.λ.] Jn i 12.

6. our evers or seems to draw no distinction here between similarity of moral character and identity of nature.

8. προαιρέσεως] The 'purpose' or 'aim' of the life.

11. ἀνοίγει] Ps. cxliv (cxlv) 16. 12. ὑπερβαίνει ἀν.] 'passes over iniquities.' Mic. vii 18 (LXX) έξαίρων ανομίας και υπερβαίνων ασεβείας.

ib. μετανοεί] Joel ii 13 (LXX). 13. χρηστόs] Ps. cxliv (cxlv) 9 (LXX).

ib. μη οργην κ.τ.λ.] Ps. vii 12 (LXX).

14. εὐθήs] Ps. xci (xcii) 16.
19. ἡ προφητεία] The passage which follows is an adaptation of Ps. iv 3, 4 (LXX). The words οὐχὶ τὸὸ ὑψ. are a comment of Gr., with perhaps a reminiscence of Ps. lxxxi (lxxxii) 6, 7.

21. θαυμαστούται] 'how man is

γένηται. ἀναγκαῖον αν είη τούτοις προσθεῖναι καὶ τὸ λειπόμενον, ὅτι οὕτε τὰ ἀγαθὰ τὰ ἐν ἐπαγγελίαις τοῖς εὖ βεβιωκόσι προκείμενα τοιαῦτά ἐστιν ώς εἰς ὑπογραφὴν λόγου έλθειν. πώς γὰρ ὰ οὔτε ὀφθαλμὸς είδεν, οὔτε οὖς ήκουσεν, ούτε επὶ καρδίαν άνθρώπου άνέβη; ούτε μην ς ή άλιγεινή τῶν πεπλημμεληκότων ζωή πρός τι τῶν τῆδε λυπούντων την αἴσθησιν όμοτίμως ἔχει. άλλα καν έπονομασθή τι τῶν ἐκεῖ κολαστηρίων τοῖς ὧδε γνωριζομένοις ονόμασιν, οὐκ ἐν ολίγω τὴν παραλλαγὴν ἔχει. πῦρ γὰρ άκούων ἄλλο τι παρά τοῦτο νοεῖν ἐδιδάχθης ἐκ τοῦ 10 προσκείσθαί τι τῷ πυρὶ ἐκείνῳ δ ἐν τούτῳ οὐκ ἔστι· τὸ μὲν γὰρ οὐ σβέννυται, τούτου δὲ πολλά παρὰ τῆς πείρας έξεύρηται τὰ σβεστήρια, πολλή δὲ τοῦ σβεννυμένου πρός τὸ μὴ παραδεχόμενον σβέσιν ἡ διαφορά. οὐκοῦν άλλο τι, καὶ οὐχὶ τοῦτό ἐστι. πάλιν σκώληκά τις ἀκούσας 15 μή διὰ τῆς ὁμωνυμίας πρὸς τὸ ἐπίγειον τοῦτο θηρίον αποφερέσθω τη διανοία: ή γαρ προσθήκη τοῦ ατελεύτητον είναι ἄλλην τινὰ φύσιν παρὰ τὴν γινωσκομένην νοείν ύποτίθεται. ἐπεὶ οὖν ταῦτα πρόκειται τῆ ἐλπίδι τοῦ μετὰ ταῦτα βίου, καταλλήλως ἐκ τῆς ἐκάστου προαιρέσεως 20

1 γενοιτο $f \parallel 2$ λειπομενον $\mid e$ πομενον $f \parallel$ om στι $l \parallel 4-6$ ους...ζωη προς desunt in $l^* \parallel 7$ ομωνυμως $fl^*vid \parallel 9$ ονομασιν $\mid v$ υημασιν $l \parallel 11$ προκεισθαι l^*vid vulg $\parallel 16$ εγγιον $f \parallel$ του θηριου $h \parallel 18$ γινομενην g^1

magnified.' The LXX of Ps. iv 4 has και γνώτε ότι έθαυμάστωσεν Κύριος τὸν ὅσιον αὐτοῦ.

1. ἀναγκαῖον] Gr. now passes on to speak of the rewards and punishments with which God visits men.

3. ὑπογραφήν] 'outline sketch.' 'Such as cannot be indicated in any account.'

4. α οὐτε κ.τ.λ.] 1 Cor. ii 9. Cp. Is. lxiv 4 (3) (LXX).

7. ouoritum Exel 'has no equal in any of the things which in this life give pain to the sense.' For ouoritums cp. antea c. 28 p. 106.

οὐκ ἐν ὀλίγω] 'it exhibits no

slight variation in meaning.'

zb. πῦρ γὰρ ἀκούων] Cp. Isaiah lxvi 24 (LXX), Mk ix 48, Mt. iii 10, Lk. iii 9.

10. έκ τοῦ προσκ.] 'because something is added to that fire which is not in this.'

20. καταλλ.] 'being the natural and suitable outcome in the life of each man's bent of character, and expressing the righteous judgment of God.' God's rewards accompany and are the natural result of a man's character. There is nothing arbitrary about them.

κατὰ τὴν δικαίαν τοῦ θεοῦ κρίσιν ἀναφυόμενα τῷ βίῳ, σωφρονούντων ἄν εἴη μὴ πρὸς τὸ παρὸν ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο βλέπειν, καὶ τῆς ἀφράστου μακαριότητος ἐν τῆ ὀλίγῃ ταὑτῃ καὶ προσκαίρῳ ζωῇ τὰς ἀφορμὰς καταβάλ-5 λεσθαι καὶ τῆς τῶν κακῶν πείρας δι' ἀγαθῆς προαιρέσεως ἀλλοτριοῦσθαι, νῦν μὲν κατὰ τὸν βίον, μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ κατὰ τὴν αἰωνίαν ἀντίδοσιν.

1 om του θεου l [7 τ. a. αντιδοσιν] Desinunt in haec verba codd pler. In m et edd. Paris. haec quoque exstant: ο Χριστος βουλεται θεωρειν δυο φυσεις ουσιωδως ηνωμένας ομολογούσα κακ τουτού παριστώσα το μεγαλείον του ελεους και των οικτιρμών του θεου περι ημας καταδεξαμένου δια την προς ημας στοργην σινειναι τε και συναριθμεισθαι τη εαυτου φυσει την ημετεραν. και χαρις τω θεω επι τη ανεκδιηγητω αυτου δώρεα, και ταυτα μεν εις τοσοιτον επειδη δε ο Σειηρος ψιλαις προκαθεζεται φωναις εν ρημασι τε μονοις και ηχοις την ευσεβειαν υποτιθεται καιτοι γε του αποστολου λεγοντος. οι γαρ εν λογω η βασιλεια του θεου αλλ εν δυναμει και αληθεια ουτος δε παρ αιτω Σειηρω κρατιστος θεολογος γρωριζεται ος αν τας κατηγοριας Αριστοτελους και τα λοιπα των εξω φιλοσοφων κομψα ησκημενος τυγχανοι αναγκαιον ημας τα σημαινομένα εκαστης λέξεως των εις τα προς αυτύν Σευπρον λέγομενα χρειωδως λαμβανομενων επι καιρου σαφηνισαι κατα τον νουν των εκκλησιαστικών διδασκαλων καθ ον ταυτα εξειληφασιν ινα εχοιεν οι εντυγχανοντες τοις υπογεγραμμενοις λογοις εκ πρωτης εντευξεως νοειν των λεγομενων δυναμιν. και μη δια την αγνοιαν του σημαινομένου των λέξεων προς την καταληψιν των εν αυτοις θεωρηματών παραποδιζώνται

7. την αἰωνίαν ἀντίδοσιν] i.e. the future life, conceived of as the reward of a man's conduct in this life.

Here the treatise ends according to the bulk of the MSS. But in m and in the Codex Vulcobianus, used by Fronto Ducaeus, there follows a long additional section beginning δ Χριστὸς βούλεται and ending τῶν ἐν αὐτοῖς θεωρημάτων παραποδίζωνται. The section appears in the Latin translation of Hervetus and in the Paris editions. It deals with the heresy of Severus, the head of the sect of the Acephali, who was con-

demned at the Council of Constantinople in A.D. 536. The whole section forms the conclusion of a work on the Incarnation, in reply to the Manichaean, Paulianist, Apollinarian, Nestorian, and Eutychian heresies, by Theodore, a priest and monk of Rhaithu or Raythu circa A.D. 650. Theodore's work is printed in Migne P. G. xci p. 1479 sq., and in Galland Vet. Patr. Bibl. xiii. The passage has crept into the text of Gr. owing to some scribe's blunder in transcription.

INDEX I.

SUBJECTS.

A

Ambrose, on the Atonement, xxxiii, 89; on the Eucharist, xli, 147, 149 Angel of the Earth, 32 Anomoeans, attacked by Gregory, xiv; their teaching, 2; referred to, 153, 156 foll. Anselm, his Cur Deus homo, xxxiii, Apollinaris, his teaching on the Lord's human body, 102 Aristotle, use made of, by Gregory, xxx; his doctrine of 'form' and 'matter,' 125; quoted or referred. to, 21, 23, 67, 106, 145, 146, 151 Assyrians, 109 Athanasius, his teaching compared with that of Gregory, xxviii foll., xxxiv; quoted or referred to, xxii, 1, 2, 3, 16, 22, 27, 29, 64, 75 (bis),

Atonement, see Ransom, Redemption

156

Atheism, 3

Aubertin, referred to, xvi, 153

Augustine, on the Trinity, 9;
quoted or referred to, 27, 89, 120

95, 108, 115, 119, 130 (his), 131,

В

Baptism, Gregory's teaching on, xxxiv, 123 foll.; its purpose distinguished from that of the Eucharist, xxxvii, 141 foll.; analogy of human birth to, 124 foll.; grounds of the efficacy of, 126

foll., 139; inner significance of, 129 foll.; necessity of, 137; effects of, 138, 140; moral conditions of, 153 foll., 159 foll. Bardenhewer, Dr, referred to, xxx, Basil, referred to, xxii, 7, 12, 27, 32 Basilides, 2 Bentley, referred to, xlv Bergades, I. C., referred to, xxiv Bernard, quoted, 17 Bethune-Baker, J. F., referred to, 7, 12, 155 Bigg, Dr C., referred to, xi, xxiii, 42, 118 Butler, his Analogy, referred to, xxix, III

C

Cain, 109
Cappadocian Fathers, their importance, x
Catechetical instruction, t
Christ, union of Godhead and man-

Christ, union of Godhead and manhood in, 54 foll., 57 foll.; Virgin-Birth of, 60 foll., 86; why a human birth was necessary, 101 foll.; His humanity complete, 101 foll.; His Godhead veiled from Salan by the 'screen' of the human nature, 89, 93, 98; why His death was necessary, 114 foll.; His resurrection and its effects, 60 foll., 70 foll.; representative character of His death and resurrection, 130; altars in the name of, 75. See also Incarnation, Miracles.

Chrysostom, his teaching on the Eucharist, xli, 149; on the sacramental principle, 141

Churton, W. R., referred to, 155 Clement of Alexandria, referred to, 42, 122, 130

Coats of Skin, Gregory's interpretation of, 42 foll.

Constantinople, Council of, ix; sy-

nod at, in 383, xiv Cross, reasons for death upon, 114 foll.; symbolism of, 119 foll.

Cyril of Jerusalem, on baptism, xxxvi, 135; referred to, 76, 142

D

de Principiis, of Origen, compared with the Or. Cat., xi
Deification of man, through Christ, 45, 130, 151
Demons, connexion of, with idolatry, 75
Didache. referred to, 1
Diekamp, F., referred to, xiii (bis), xiv (bis), xxiv, xxx, 1
Dionysius the Areopagite, quoted, 85

Е

Election, unscriptural form of, denied by Gregory, 111 Eparchus, Antonius, xlv Epicureans, 3

Eucharist, Gregory's doctrine of, xxxvi foll.; 141 foll., 150; a principle of life for the body, xxxvii, 142 foll.; how Christ's Body and Blood are given in, 144 foll.; an extension of the Incarnation, xxxiv foll., 151; institution of, by Christ, 150; the prayer of consecration in, 152 Eunomius, orations of Gregory

Eunomius, orations of Gregory against, x, xiii foll.; his reply to Basil's *Refutation*, xiii; teaching of, 2, 4, 157

Euthymius Zigabenus, his quotations of the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, 3,

25, 49, 51, 102, 122, 142 Eutychianism, Gregory accused of, 56

Evil, negative character of, xxii, 27 foll., 83; its seat in the will, 40

F

Fatalism, of heathen world, xii Franzelin, Cardinal, on the Eucharist, referred to, 144, 150 (bis) Free-will, of man, insisted on by Gregory, xxii foll., 111 foll., 113 foll.

Fronto Ducaeus, quoted or referred to, xxxviii, xliii, 56, 150, 164

G

Gelasian Sacramentary, quoted, 91 Generation, human, Gregory's vindication of, xii (note), 105 foll. Germanus, bp of Constantinople, re-

ferred to, xv, 100

God, His existence, 3; perfection, 5; unity, 5; unchangeableness, 155; transcendence, xxiv; immanence, xxviii, xxxiv, 95, 104; attributes, xxxii, 78 foll.; goodness, 22 foll., 79 foll.; wisdom, 80 foll.; justice, 81 foll.; power, 90 foll.

Godet, referred to, 32

Gregory the Great, quoted or referred to, 89, 93

Gregory of Nazianzus, his Origenism, x; his relations with Gregory of Nyssa, xvii (note); on the μοναρχία, 16; his rejection of the theory of a ransom to Satan, 89 Gregory of Nyssa, his banishment, ix; summoned to Council of Constantinople, ix; his books against Eunomius, xiii foll.; his journey to Armenia, xiii; present at synod at Constantinople in 383, xiv; his de Deitate Filii et Sp. Sancti, xiv; his style, xvii; his rhetorical studies, xvii

Н

Harnack, Dr, referred to, x, xi, xxvii, xl, 24, 37, 95, 130, 141, 150
Hebrews, Epistle to, attributed to

St Paul by Gregory, 131 Hell, harrowing of, allusion to, 89 Herod, 109 Ilervetus, Gentianus, his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, 87
Heyns, S. P., referred to, xiii
Hilary of Poitiers, resemblance of his teaching on the Sacraments to that of Gregory, xxxv, 151
Hilt, F., referred to, xl, 24, 150, 152
Hoeschel, D., referred to, xliv
Holy Spirit, existence of in the Godhead, illustrated from the analogy of human nature, 13; inseparable from God and the Word of God, 15; self-subsistent, possessing will,

creature, 156 foll. Hort, Dr F. J. A., referred to, 2

activity, and power, 15; not a

Ι

Ignatius, quoted or referred to, 89, 120, 130, 142, 143
Image of God, in Man, 24
Incarnation, Gr.'s teaching on, xxviii foll.; delay of, xxix, 107
foll.; a stumbling-block to non-believers, 52; proved by miracles, 58 foll.; involved no degradation or weakness on God's part, 65
foll.; beneficent purpose of, 73; effects of, 74 foll.; exhibits God's goodness, 79 foll.; wisdom, 80
foll.; justice, 81 foll.; power, 91
foll.; Satan deceived by, 89, 93, 98
Inge W. R. referred to 130

Inge, W. R., referred to, 130 Irenaeus, relation of his teaching to that of Methodius and Gregory, xxvii; quoted or referred to, 29, 42, 102, 130, 142

I

Jerome, his acquaintance with Gregory at Constantinople, x Jerusalem, destruction of, 77 foll. Jews, disappearance of their worship and temple, 76 foll.

John of Damascus, his indebtedness to the Or. Cat., xv, xxxvii, xli foll., xlviii, 8, 13, 17; his Eucharistic doctrine, xli foll.; his rejection of the theory of a ransom

to Satan, 89; quoted or referred to, 32, 93, 149, 150 Justin Martyr, quoted or referred to, 1, 15, 29, 111, 122, 123, 124, 149

K

Krabinger, his edition of the Or. Cat., xliv.

L

Labyrinth, of Minos, 131
Lactantius, on the Cross, quoted,
119
Leontius of Byzantium, quotation
of Or. Cat. in, xv, xlvii, 54
Lightfoot, Bp, referred to, 20, 51,
75, 125
Logos, see Word
Loofs, Dr, referred to, xliii
Love of God, conjoined with power,
91

M

Man, his creation due to God's goodness, 22; his possession of reason, 23; immortality, 23; free-will, 26; his nature the meeting-point of the worlds of sense and spirit, xxiv, 30 foll.; envied by Satan, 32 foll.; his fall, 36 foll.; originally free from passion, 25, 35, 138

Manichaeans, xii, 2, 27, 37

Marcion, 2

Mason, Dr A. J., referred to, xxiii, 22, 55, 57, 72, 89

Maximus, his comment on Ps.-Dionys. de Eccl. Hier., xiv, 85

Maximus Margunius, xlv
Mayor, Dr J. B., referred to, 30,
138
Methodius, indebtedness of Gregory
to, xxv foll., xxx; referred to, 32.

42, 44, 119, 124 Metrophanes Critopulus, xlvi Mind and matter, relations of, according to Gregory, 31 Minucius Felix, quoted, 76 Miracles of Christ, 58 foll., 86 foll.,

127 foll. Moberly, Dr, referred to, 7 Moeller. E. G., quoted, 30 Moore, W., referred to, 87 Morel. P., his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, 21 Moses, 43, 88

N

Neander, referred to, xl, 141, 150 Neoplatonism, influence of, on heathen world, xi; points of contact with, in Gregory's teaching, xxiv, xxx, 27, 31, 95 Nestorianism, tendency towards in Gr.'s language, 72, 103, 116 Noah, 109

О

Oehler, Fr., quoted, 133
Oratio Catechetica, purpose of, xii;
date of, xiii foll.; teaching of,
xvii foll.

Origen, his allegorical interpretation of Scripture, xix, 13, 24, 118; on the union of philosophy and religion, xix; on the free-will of man, xxii; on the negative character of evil, xxii, 27; on the purification of souls, xxiii, 46, 99 (bis); on the universal restoration of spirits, xxiii, 98; his threefold division of human nature, xxiv, 29; on the ransom to Satan, xxxiv, 89; on the 'deification' of the Lord's humanity, 130; quoted or referred to, 29, 32, 42, 47 (bis), 64, 76, 111, 130, 149

Origenism, of Basil and Gregory Nazianzen, x; of Gregory of Nyssa, x, xv, xix foll., 100 Oxenham, H. N., referred to, 93

P

Pantheism, Christian, 95
Persons in the Trinity, 7, 155; illustrations of, from human consciousness, 9; individual existence of, 15
Petavius, referred to, 57
Philo, Logos doctrine of, 7, 11; quoted, 155
Philosophy and religion, xix

Photius, quoted, xv foll., xvii Plato, indebtedness of Gregory and Origen to, xxii, xxiii, xxx; psychology of, xxxi; on negative character of evil, xxii, 27; on purification of souls, xxiii, 46; his division of the Universe, xxiv; referred to or quoted, 23, 27, 31, 55, 82, 95, 117, 155

Plotinus, on the Divine Being, xi; Trinity of, xi; Gregory's points of contact with, xxiv; referred to, 27, 54, 117

Polytheism, 2, 4 foll.

Prayer, its place in the baptismal rite, xxxiv, 123, 127 foll.; prayer of consecration in the Eucharist, xxxviii

Priesthood, Christian, referred to,

Providence, the Divine, Gregory's treatment of, xxi

Pseudo-Cyril, his indebtedness to the Or. Cat., xv, xlviii, 8, 13 Punishment, remedial character of, xxiii, 47, 99; future, character of,

Purification of souls, Gregory's doctrine of, xxiii, xxxvi, 46, 99, 138 foll.; contrasted with Western doctrine of Purgatory, xxiii, 47,

Pusey, Dr, referred to, xli, 143, 150,

R

Ransom, to Satan, Gregory's idea of, xxxiii, 84 foll.

Redemption, Gregory's teaching upon, xxvii foll.; relation of, to attributes of God, xxxii foll.

Refining fire, xxxvi, 139
Restoration, final, of all created spirits, xv, xxiii, 100

Resurrection, two kinds of, distinguished, 137; see Christ

Ritter and Preller, referred to, 117 Robertson, Dr A., referred to, 3 Robinson, Dr J. A., referred to, 27,

Rufinus, referred to, 32, 120 Rupp, J., referred to, xiv, xvi, xviii, xix, xxx, 1, 12 S

Sabellius, teaching of, 3 Gregory's Sacraments, teaching upon, xxxiv foll.; continuation of process of Incarnation in, xxxiv; moral conditions of right use of, 153 foll. Sacrifices, cessation of heathen and Jewish, 75, 77 Sanday and Headlam, referred to, Satan, envy of, 34 foll.; his love of rule, 86; deceived by Incarnation, 89 foll., 97; ransom paid to, xxxiii, 84 foll.; purgation and future salvation of, 99 foll., 101 Schwane, Dr J., referred to, 32, 86, 100, 141, 150 Scripture, Gregory's interpretation of, xix foll., 42, 118 Scudamore, W. E., referred to, 149 (bis), 152, Severus, bishop of Antioch, 164 Sextus, quoted, 16 Sight, Gr.'s theory of, 23 Similes in the Or. Cat., xvii Sin, continuance of, since Incarnation, 109 foll. Sodom, 109 Soul, relations of, with body, 54 Spirit, see Holy Spirit Stoicism, referred to, 95, 117 Synesius, quoted, 117

Т

Tertullian, materialism of, xi; referred to, 7, 42
Theodore of Rhaithu, on the Incarnation, xvi, 164
Theodoret, his quotations from the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, 54, 72, 116, 118; his language on the Eucharist, xxxix
Theophanes, quoted, 47
Theophrastus, referred to, 23
Theorianus, his Disputatio cum Nersele, xv, xlvii, 142

Transubstantiation, Gregory's teaching on the Eucharist distinguished from, xxxviii foll., 150

Trinity, illustration of, from psychology, xxxi, 9; doctrine of, mysterious, 15; mediates between opposing doctrines of Judaism and Hellenism, 16 foll.; importance of right belief in, 155 foll.; baptism into, 155 foll.; uncreated, 157 Tritheism, Gr.'s doctrine of the Trinity guarded against, 16

U

Ueberweg, his judgment on Gregory, xvi
Universalism, of Gregory, xv, xxiii
Universality, want of, in the spread of Christianity, 110 foll.

v

Valentinus, 2 Venantius, Fortunatus, his hymn 'Pange lingua,' 97 Vincent of Lerins, quoted, 102 Vincenzi, A., referred to, 100 Virgin-Birth of Christ, 60 foll., 86 Vulcobius, Dn J., referred to, xliii

w

Westcott, Bp, referred to, 35, 75 Wilson, H. A., referred to, 4 Word of God, existence of, illustrated from human word, 7; possesses life absolutely, 9; possesses will and power to do what He wills, 9; one with God in nature, 12 foll.; inseparable from the Holy Spirit, 15

Z

Zahn, Dr, referred to, 3 Zeller, referred to, 117 Zeno, Apostolo, xlvi Zinus, his Latin version of Euthymius Zig., 56, 144

INDEX II.

SCRIPTURE TEXTS.

GENESIS	AMOS
i. 27 24. 4 28-30 36, 4	ix. 2, 3 95, 5
ii. 7 31, 6	MICAH
EXODUS	vii. 18 162, 16
iii. 8 64, 1 14 95, 6; 116, 1	HABAKKUK
iv. 31 64, 1	ii. 4, 5 109, 5
PSALMS	4, 3
iv. 3, 4 162, 23; 163, 2	MALACHI
vii. 12 162, 17 xv. (xvi.) 10 60, 9	iii. 2
xxx. (xxxi.) 20 73, 17	155, 1
xxxii. (xxxiii.) 6	WISDOM
lxxii. (lxxiii.) 7	i. 7 18, 5
lxxxix. (xc.) 2 116, 1	vii. 1 43, 5
xci. (xcii.) 16	MATTHEW
3 95, 5	ii. 16–18 109, 7
cv. (cvi.) 4, 5	iii. 10 163, 9 vii. 7 127, 5
cxxxviii. (cxxxix.) 7 95. 5 8–10 120, 3	xviii. 20 127, 6
cxliv. (cxlv.) 9 162, 13	xxiii. 34, 35 109, 6 xxvii. 49 121, 13
16 162, 11	xxviii. 20 122, 3; 127, 6
ISAIAH	MARK
i. 16 160, 12	
xxxvii. 23 109, 5	vi. 48-9 87, 8
xl. 22 95, 5	ix. 48 163, 9 xiv. 22 151, 1
lxiv. 4 (3) 163, 4	XIV. 22
lxvi. 24 163, 9	
	LUKE
JEREMIAH	iii. 9 163, 9
xxiii. 4 95, 5	v. 31 48, 3
JOEL	xix. 8 161, 13
ii. 13 162, 12	xxiv. 36 121, 16

JOHN	GALATIANS
i. 12	vi. 3 162, 2 7 97, 20
iii. 3	EPHESIANS
6	i. 10 20, 3
xiv. 13 127, 5	v. 26 155, 13
Xv. 4 sq	PHILIPPIANS
xix. 34	ii. 10 121, 3
22 122, 2	I TIMOTHY
ACTS	iii. 16 1, 2; 58, 9
i. 9 122, 5 ii. 8-11 112, 4	iv. 4 31, 1 5
10	TITUS
ROMANS	i. 9 t, 3 ii. 11 75, 7
vi. 10 135, 6 viii. 21 159, 5	iii. 4
I CORINTHIANS	HEBREWS
ii. 8	ii. 9
v. 6	REVELATION
xv. 47 132, 21	i. 8 116, 1

INDEX III.

GREEK WORDS.

ἄβατος 77, 16 αβούλητος τιι, 4 άβουλία 28, 10; 37, 12 **Δβυσσος** 55, 4 άγαθύνειν 157, 9 άγγείον 54, ΙΙ άγιάζειν 149, 35; 150, 1 άγιασμός 126, 7 άγκιστρον 84, 5 άγνωμοσύνη 125, 13 άγωνιστικώς 153, 7 αδελφοκτονία 109, Ι άδέσποτος 26, 8; 27, 2; 28, 9 άδιεξίτητος 132, 5 αδιέξοδος 132, 7 άδοξος 102, 14; 104, 2 άδούλωτος 112, 12 άδυναμία 10, 10 **ἀηδία 35, 4** άθανατίζειν 143, 3 άθέατος 22, 9 $\tilde{a}\theta\epsilon$ os 3, 12 άθεράπευτος 46, ΙΙ άθρόως ττ2, 6 άιδιότης 6, 6; 23, 16; 24, 2, 11 αίνιγμα 43, Ι, 2; 77, 2 αίρεσις 2, 8, 14; 17, 1 αίρετικός 38, 12 αίσθητήρια, τά ΙΙ7, 2 αίσθητικός 45, 7; 107, 2 αἰσθητός 29, 3, 7, 8, 10, 12; 30, 6, 13; 31, 4; 70, 11; 72, 1 άκατανόητος 62, ΙΙ ἀκέραιος 133, II άκίνδυνος 114, 3 άκυνδύνως 136, 3 άκι**νησία ΙΙ**4, Ι άκύλαστος 161, 7 άκρατος y2, 17 άκριτος 114, 3 άκροχορδών 47, 10 ἀκρώρεια 35, 11

åktls 33, 15 άκτιστος 34, 1; 155, 1 (bis); 156, 5; 157, 2 άλγηδών 49, 3; 50, 2; 103, 3 άλεξητήριον 98, 4; 142, 6, 10 άλλοιοῦν 82, 8; 155, 3; 156, 9, 12 άλλοίωσις 34, 2, 3, 4; 51, 5, 7; 81, 9 foll. άλλοιωτικός 146, 14; 151, 7 άλλοιωτός 83, 6 άλλοτριοῦν 164, 6 άλλοτρίωσις 50, 10; 135, 1 άλογία 21, 4; 54, 6 άλογος 7, 5 foll.; 43, 10, 15 άμαυροῦν 37, Ι άμαύρωσις 37, 3 άμετάβλητος 79, 20 άμετάθετος 155, 2 άμετάστατος 155, 5 άμετρία 50, 16 $d\mu\gamma\gamma$ 45, 9 άμοιρείν ΙΙΙ, 10; ΙΙ2, 7 άμοιρος 138, 2 άμύητος 139, 7; 16**0,** 17 άμφίβολος 62, 10 άναβολή 108, 7 άναγεννᾶν 129, 2; 162, 4 άναγέννησις 124, Ι; 125, 7; 137, 9; 153, 12; 159, 11; 160, 9 άναγκαστικώς 113, 8 άναγωγικώς Ι3, 5 άναδεικνύναι 31, 5; 32, 11; 35, 8; 40, 11 άναδύειν 135, 13 άναίμακτος 76, ι άνακαινισμός 159, 15 άνακεραννύναι 141, 4; 143, 6 άνακίρνασθαι 101, ΙΙ άνάκλησις 49, 17; 85, 17 άνάκρασις 30, 7; 57, 16; 142, 3 άναλλοίωτος 156, 3, 7 άναλύειν 135, 16

άνάλυσις 87, 5 άπατηλός 132, 2 άναλωτικός 99, 10 άναμφίβολος 76, 7 ἀνάπλασις 137, 10 άναπόλαυστος 22, 10 άνάρρυσις 87, 6 άναστοιχειοῦν 45, ΙΙ; Ι33, Ι2 άναστοιχείωσις 137, 11; 160, 6 άναστροφή 132, 2 άνατρέχειν 133, ι άναφής 29, 10 άναφύειν 140, 12; 164, 1 $dva\chi \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota v$ 15, 5 άνείδεος 29, 10 ἀνεκλάλητος 62, ΙΙ άνέκφραστος 49, 3 άνενέργητος 10, 17; 19, 6; 35, 3; 57, 13; 125, 11 $d\nu \epsilon \pi i \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau o s 13, 1; 61, 10$ άνερμήνευτος 58, 8 **ἄν**ετος 28, 10 ἀνήνυτος 107, 13 άνθρωποποιία 39, 12; 128, 3 ἀνόνητος 128, 7 ἀντάλλαγμα 86, 10; 93, 1 άνταπαντᾶν 97, ιδ άνταριθμείν 51, 16 άντεισάγειν 107, 4, 14 άντεισιέναι 145, 11 άντερωτᾶν 124, 8 άντιδιαιρείσθαι 33, 5, 7, 11; 66, 2; 83, 5 άντιδιαίρεσις 65, 9 αντιδιαστέλλεσθαι 33, 6 άντιδιαστολή 33, 4; 83, 4 άντίδοσις 97, 16; 164, 7 άντιπάθεια 142, 13 ἀντιποιεῖσθαι 45, Ι άντίρρησις 74, Ι άντιτυπία 88, 4 άντίτυπος 151, 4 άντιτύπως 124, 6 άνύπαρκτος 8, 16; 15, 5; 28, 5 άνυπαρξία 81, 11; 83, 5; 158, 9 άνυπόστατος 8, 10; 9, 7; 14, 9; 19, 7, 9 άξία 87, 1 άξίωμα 36, 4 άπαγής 8, 11 άπάθεια 35, 6; 64, 4; 138, 11 άπαθής 36, 6, 7; 42, 9; 138, 11 άπαθώς 39, 15 άπαρίθμησις 24, 6 απατεών 97, 16

άπεικόνισμα 32, 6; 36, 5 άπειρία 54, ΤΙ απειροπλασίως 136, 4 άπεμπολάν 85, 10, 15 απεμφαίνειν 10, 6; 65, 17 άπλοῦν 153, 6 απόβλητος 31, 1; 99, 6 άπογεννᾶν 154, 4 απογεύεσθαι 142, 9 άποικίζειν 39, 15 άποκατάστασις 100, 7; 138, 4 ἀπόκληρος 23, 17; 31, 3 ἀποκληροῦν 111, 8; 146, 6 **ἀποκλύζειν 139, 5; 160, 1**4 άποκρίνειν 104, 12 άπολεπτύνειν 48, 12 άπολιμπάνειν 105, 7 άπολυπραγμόνητος 58, 6 άπομιμεῖσθαι 133, 6 άποξύειν 47, 11; 48, 2 άπορρείν 63, 13; 83, 16 άπόρρητος 115, 4 άπορρύπτειν 101, 14; 139, 12; 160, 16 άπόρρυτος 68, 2 άποσαρκοῦν 48, Ι άποσώζειν 139, 3 άποτήκειν 139, 2 άποφέρειν 163, 17 άποφοιτᾶν 113, 4 άπραγμάτευτος 87, 3 **Δπρακτος** 19, 8; 107, 12; 113, 17; 128, 7 άπροαίρετος 10, 1 άπρόσιτος 104, 6, 11 άπροσπέλαστος 104, 7 άπρόχυτος 45, Ι äπτεσθαι 66, 7; 68, 6; 116, 7 άπωθείν 145, 8; 161, 11 ἀριθμητός 15, 15 άρμονία 30, Γ άρρώστημα 39, 9; 47, 4; 69, 5, 10; άρχέτυπος 26, 11; 36, 6; 82, 5 άρχηγικός 136, 11 $\dot{a}\rho\chi\eta\gamma\delta$ 5 124, 5; 131, 5; 132, 9; 157, 3 ἀσθμα 14, 17; 18, 11; 19, 1 ἀστατεῖν 156, 1, 12 άσυνδύαστος 86, 14 άσχήμων 161, 3 άσχολία 103, 10 άτελεύτητος 163, 17

```
δεισιδαιμονία 77, 7
 άτεχνία 19. 4
 άτιμοθν 114, 2
                                        δέλεαρ 93, 3
                                        δελέασμα 98, 13
 ἀτόλμητος 109, 13
                                        δέρειν 43, 8
 ₫τομος 54, 10
 άτονείν 125, 14
                                        δέρμα 43, 6, 9; 46, 6
 атренто 82, 6; 155, 1; 156, 2, 6
                                        δερμάτινος 43, 5
 αδθεντία 85, 1
                                        δευσοποιός 38, 11
 αὐθεντικός 64, 7
                                        δηλητήριον 42, 7; 98, 4 (bis); 142,
 αίτεξούσιος 26, 8; 34, 7; 42, 6;
                                          4, 12
   81, 6; 112, 12; 113, 10
                                        δημιουργία 38, 8
 αύτεξουσιότης 28, 7
                                        δημιουργός 40, 7 (bis); 49, 9; 106, 2
 αυτοδύναμις 50, 22
                                        διάθεσις 39, 15; 156, 3
                                        διαιρείν 72, 13; 77, 2; 115, 11
 αὐτοζωή 9, 15
 αίτοκρατής 27, Ι
                                        διακόσμησις 92, 10
 αὐτομάτως 35, 14
αὐτομολεῖν 85, 9
                                        διακρατεΐν 148, 16
                                        διακρίνειν 134, 2
 αιτοσοφία 65, 18
                                        διαλαμβάνειν 78, 4; 102, 10; 121, 7
 αι τοχειρ 36, 2
                                        διάλεξις 3, 7, 9
 άφθαρσία 6, 6; 144, 7; 152, 6
                                        διαλύειν 41, 5; 142, 9, 10
                                        διαλυμαίνεσθαι 98, 6
 άφθονος 34, 8
 άφθορος 86, 15
                                        διάλυσις 39, το; 46, 5; 133, 9;
 άφίδρυμα 75, 14
                                          134, 4
άφορμή 26, 5; 37, 10; 85, 3;
                                        διαμαρτία 44, 14
   124, 10; 142, 1; 164, 4
                                        διαμερισμός 16, 7
                                        διαμονή 119, 3; 146, 9; 148, 8
 άφραστος 49, 4
                                        διανοητικός 35, 16; 66, 9; 125, 2;
άχρειούν 44, 15
άχώρητος 62, 11; 90, 14
                                          160, 2
                                        διάνοια 5, 3; 6, 7; 39, 14; 43, 7
άψευδής 129, Ι
                                        διαπλάσσειν 128, 6
άψύχως 9, 3
                                        διαπτύειν 102, 13
βαπτίζειν 156, 8
                                        διαρθροῦν 7, 7
βάπτισμα 122, 12; 160, 1; 161, 14
                                        διαρκής 59, 10
βασίλειον 76, 12
                                        διασαφείν τ5, τ3
βία 36, 10, 13
                                       διασκευή 106, 17
Bios 41, 6; 110, 9; 114, 2; 156,
                                       διαστέλλειν 134, Ι
                                       διαστολή 7, 3
   9, 13
βιούν 163, 3
                                       διατειχίζειν 122, 4
βλαστάνευν 109, ΙΙ
                                       διατυπούν 132, 21
                                       διαφέρειν 21, 20
βλέφαρον 34, 9; 4I, I
                                       διαφθορά 61, 6
βρίθεω 35, 14
                                       διάχυσις 44, 7
                                       διαχωρείν 87, 8
γειτνιᾶν 104, IQ
γενικός 71, 16
                                       διαψεύδειν 84, Ι
γέννησις and γένεσις 61, 10
                                       δίδαγμα 18, 2
                                       διδαχή Ι, 4
γεννήτωρ 154, ΙΙ
γήινος 31, 9; 32, 6; 44, 11
                                       διεξάγειν 107, 9; 118, 6
γνωρίσμα 12, 13; 159, 14; 160, 10;
                                       διεξιέναι 68, 10
                                       διεξοδεύειν 82, Ι2
  162, 17
                                       διεξοδικώς 67, 9
γνωστικός 49, 14
                                       διευκρινείν 50, 19; 147, 1
γραφικός 20, Ι
                                       διήγημα 59, 15; 61, 2; 77, 11
                                       διηρημένως 16, 1
δαψιλής 88, 6
                                       δικαιολογία 85, 3
δεικνύναι 61, 13
                                       δικαστήριον 52, 13
δεικτικός 21, 20
```

έμφασις 8, 9 διορατικός 38, 14 διόρθωσις 87, 3; 103, 3; 138, 15 έμφύειν 27, 8; 48, 8 διορθωτικός 102, 8 έμφυτεύειν 31, 8 **ξμφ**υτος 23, 2 διοχλείν 110, 9 évaépios 23, 6 διπλόη 9, 10 ένανθρώπησις 101, 2 διώνυμος 76, 12 δόγμα 2, 15; 3, 12; 6, 10; 7, 5; ένδιαιτασθαι 104, 10 16, 9; 24, 8; 37, 15; 39, 6; ένδομυχείν 108, 12 ένδύειν 95, 5 124, 7 δογματίζειν 18, 14 $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{u} \nu + 4, 9; 125, 3$ δοκιμασία 44, 13 ένισχύειν 122, 2 δριμύσσειν 48, 7; 101, 1 **ἔννοιαι, κοιναί 20, Ι** δυσαρεστείν 106, 12 ένόπλιος 131, 8 ένσκήπτειν 108, 14 δυσκληρία 113, 5 ένσπείρειν 152, 3 δύσκολος 18, 1; 46, 14 έντελής 93, 9 έντελώς 134, 1 δυσωπείν 64, Ι έντευξις 150, 1 έγγεωργείν 88, 11 έγγίγνεσθαι 39, 7; 156, 9 έντυγχάνειν 75, 16 ένυδρος 23, 6 έγκαθησθαι 95, 5 έγκατασπείρειν 88, 15 ένυπόστατος 50, 20 έξαιματούν 151, 8 έγκαταφέρειν 94, 16 έξαιρεῖσθαι 85, 12, 16 έγκρατεῖν 94, ΙΙ έξαίρετος 43, 11 έγκυματοῦν 156, 13 έδεσμα 98, 2 έξανθρωπίζειν 18, 13 €180\$ 146, 15 έξάπτειν 56, 4 είδωλομανία 75, 3 έξάπτεσθαι 21, 14; 56, 10; 95, 6 έξαρίθμησις 15, 15 είδωλον 83, 19; 103, 2 εἰκών 24, 4, 5; 26, 13; 125, 17 εἰρμός 30, 5; 67, 9; 80, 12 έξέτασις 96, 11 έξεταστικώς 65, 2 έξηγείσθαι 131, 11 είσοικίζειν 93, 4; 102, 12 έξις 21, 8; 33, 13; 44, 15 *είσρεῖν* 145, 14 έξομοιοῦν 143, 3 έκατόμβη 75, 12 έπαγγέλλεσθαι 127, 6; 128, 9; 129, έκκαθαίρειν 101, 15 έκκλησία 112, 17 1, 5 $\epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ 133, 7 έκλαμβάνειν 77, 8 *ἐκ*λύειν 134, 15 έπαίειν 77, 2 έπαιτιᾶσθαι 113, 5 ἐκπλήρωσις 125, 15 έκπλύνειν 102, 4 έπανθίζειν 83, 11 ἐκρεῖν 133, 10 έπανορθούν 7, 4 έπανδρθωσις 47, 5 **ἔκτασις Ι2Ι, 2** έπεισάγειν 146, 2 έκτήκειν 48, 13; 99, 11 έπέρχεσθαι 55, 5 έλεγμός **48**, 13 έπιβατεύειν 55, 4 έλευθερωτής 63, 16 έλληνίζειν 3, 9; 17, 4 έπιβοᾶσθαι 85, 8 έπιβολή 46, 6 έλληνισμός 2, 5; 17, 8 έπιβουλεύειν 97, 4; 98, 3 (bis) $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\theta\eta$ s 25, 7 $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \circ \nu \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 44, 18; 142, 4 έμπεδαν 114, Ι έπιγράφεσθαι 27, 7 έμπεριέχειν 95, 5; 129, 10 έμπερικρατείν 49, 14 έπιδεῖσθαι 139, 6; 142, 11 έμπεριλαμβάνειν 50, 21 έπιθιγγάνειν 136, 3 έπιθρυλείν 161, 18; 162, 18 έμπιπλαν 162, ΙΙ έπιίστωρ 117, 11 ξμπνευσις 31, 7 έπικαλείν 127, 6; 140, 3 *ἐμφαίνειν* 118, 4

έπίκηρος 8, 17 (bis); 25, 7; 57, 16; ζίμη 143, 2 ζωοθυσία 75, 6 151, 12 έπίκλησις 123, 11; 124, 5; 127, 3; ζωοποιείν 59, 3; 63, 12; 147, 4 ζωοποιός 144, 3 138, 14 ζωτικός 110, 6; 140, 4 επικύπτειν 116, 6 έπιμιξία 62, 14; 96, 5; 103, 5 έπιμύειν 34, 8; 86, 5 ήλος 47, 10 έπινοείν 21, 15; 43, 8; 85, 17; 131, $\dot{\eta}$ μιτελής [15, [2 1; 132, 11 έπίνοια 4, 12; 37, 1; 98, 17; 132, 12 θαθμα 57, 19; 59, 1; 88, 16; 90, 2; 91, 8; 127, 1, 10; 129, 6 έπίπνοια 112, 5 έπιπόλαιος 38, 13 θαυμαστούν 162, 21 θαυματουργία 88, 2 έπιπωρούν 48, 2 έπιρρείν 14, 7; 88, 7; 145, 5 θεικός 90, 8; 149, 1 θείον, τό 3, 11; 4, 6, 11; 5, 15; επιρρεπώς 38, 10 έπιρρυτος 68, 2 7, 6; 10, 5; 19, 10; 93, 2; 125, έπίσκεψις 64, 1; 106, 2 15; 126, 6; 128, 5; 129, 7 θεογνωσία 15, 12 έπισκήρωσις 149, 5 έπωπᾶν 42, 7 θεοδόχος 116, 10; 144, 4; 148, 12; έπωτατεῦν 30, 2; 59, 8 151, 10 έπιστήμη 21, 8; 125, 2; 160, 6 $\theta \in o \in i \delta \eta s 32, 7$ θεοειδώς 51, Ι έπιτελής 128, 13 έπιφάνεια 48, 7; 88, 3; 108, 9 $\theta \epsilon o \mu a \chi l a 109, 5$ θεόπνευστος 18, 5 έπιφαύευ 128, 14 $\theta \epsilon 0 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s$ 4, 14; 14, 8; 23, 13; **ἐπιχέειν** 135, 9 έπιχωριάζειν 102, 16 52, 9; 53, 5; 57, 3; 63, 1; 93, 14; 105, 10; 121, 11 έριστικός 113, 7 θεότης 4, 9; 6, 1, 3; 16, 8; 89, 7; έστίασις 88, 6 εύαγγελικός 88, τδ 90, 15; 92, 15; 95, 1; 117, 1; (18, 11; 120, 5, 13; 129, 6; εὐαγής 9, 4 140, 8; 152, 1 εὐάλωτος 36, 14 θεοφάνεια 76, 11 εὐδοκία 162, 12 θεραπευτής 99, 6 εὐεκτεῶν 35, 2 θεωρία 4, 14 εὐεργεσία 58. 14; 63, 4 $\theta \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 86, 15 εύημεροῦν 86, 5 θηριώδης 79, 7 *εὐθή*ς 162, 18 θρησκεία 2, 1; 77, 4, 11 εὐκατόρθωτος 140, 9 θρυαλλίς 36, 16 εὐκίνητος 30, 10 εὐκολία 136, 8; 140, 1 θυμός 110, 6 θυσιαστήριον 75, 19 εύληπτος 104, 19 εύλογία 36, 3, 12; 37, 4; 152, 7 ευμηχάνως 36, 1; 97, 12 *lδιάζειν* 15, 3; 30, 11 ίδιαζόντως 5, 9; 103, 6 *εὐοδοῦν 72, 2* ίδιότης 6, 1 εύπατρίδης 85, 8 *ιδίωμ*α 61, 7; 101, 3, 11; 104, 1; εύπερίγραπτος 54, 8 115, 10, 13 *ε*ύρυθμος 131, 8 *ιερωσύνη 7*6, 1 εύωχείν 88, 7 lκετεύειν 128, 18 έφαπλοῦν 55, 3 εφάπτεσθαι 68, 11; 94, 18; 103, 8; loυδαίζευν 2, 4 Ίουδαικός 16, 9; 17, 7 104, 11; 105, 15; 141, 3 ίστορείν 57, 18; 59, 2; 61, 16; 86, *ἔφεσι*ς 23, 5 έφηδύνειν 146, 11; 148, 2 lστορία 59, 13; 109, 9; 122, 6 **ἔφοδος** 58, Γ ίστορικώς 24, 7; 42, Ι3 έφόλκιον 55, 8

```
καθαίρειν 134, 3; 138, 7; 140, 7
                                       κεραμεύειν 45, 2
καθαρεύειν 134, 5
                                       κηδεμονία 41, 10
καθαρίζειν 139, 8
                                       κηλίς 46, 9; 101, 15; 138, 13; 160,
\kappa a \theta a \rho \delta \tau \eta s 140, 7
καθάρσιον 102, 5; 138, 7, 13; 139,
                                       κήρυγμα 61, 1, 6
                                       κιβδηλεύειν 138, 16
κάθαρσις 100, 9; 101, 8; 139, 7;
                                       κίνησις 60, 18; 82, 9 foll.; 110, 6
  140, 6
                                       κλήσις 111, 10
καθείργειν 63. 15; 89, 3
                                       κολαστήριον 163, 8
καθηγείσθαι 21, 3; 131, 4; 132,
                                       κόρος 88, 12
                                       κοσμογονία 24, 3; 31, 5
  18; 133, 13; 141, 3
κάθοδος 91, 15
                                       κριτήριον 83, 12
καθυβρίζειν 115, 5
                                       κρυπτός 117, 11; 118, 6
καίριος 110, 4
                                       κύπτειν 117, 8
καταβάλλειν 97, 21; 98, 11; 124,
                                       λαβύρινθος 131, 16; 133, 4, 7
  11; 137, 4; 164, 4
κατάδικος 87, 6
                                       λαμπηδών 99, 8
καταδύειν 135, 16
                                       λείψανον 110, 8
καταθύμιος 28, 8; 41, 10
                                       λεπτός, 30, 10
κατακιρνασθαι 57, 6; 152, 4
                                       λήξις 30, 11
                                       λίχνος 93, 2
κατακρύπτειν 132, 15
καταλαμβάνειν 53, 14; 82, 12
                                        λογικός 66, 9; 125, 1; 160, 2
καταλήγειν 123, 5
                                        λουτρόν 122, 11; 137, 9; 138, 2;
κατάληψις 13, 3
                                          160, 12, 15
                                        λύθρος or λύθρον 62, 13
κατάλληλος 23, 5; 30, 9; 138, 16
  (bis); 146, 5; 148, 7
                                        λυσιτελείν 154, 9; 155, 3
καταλλήλως 23, 7; 146, 15; 163, 20
                                        λύτρον 85, 19
κατάλογος 2, 7
                                        λύτρωσις 90, 12
καταμανθάνειν 115, 14
                                        λυτρωτής 63, 15
καταμερίζειν 142, 8; 144, 10
                                        λύχνος 36, 15
καταμιγνύναι 62, 13; 101, 2; 102,
                                        μακαριότης 42, 9; 135, 16
   17; 107, 15; 144, 2; 151, 12
                                        μάννα 88, 7
καταναλίσκειν 99, 7
                                        μαντεία 75, ΙΙ
κατανοείν 34, ΙΙ
κατανόησις 15, 12; 29, 3; 120, 13
                                        ματαιότης 163, Ι
καταντᾶν 131, 14
                                        μεγαλείον 8, 12; 14, 18
κατάρα 37, 7
                                        \mu \in \thetaιστάναι 147, 1; 148, 15; 149, 7
κατάρχειν 143, Ι
                                        μεθοδεύειν 108, ΙΙ
κατασκευάζειν 10, 4; 19, 9
                                        μέθοδος 96, 13
κατασκευή 2, 3
                                        μεθόριον 72, Ι2
 κατάστασις 38, 19
                                        μειούν 147, 5
κατασύρειν 159, 4
                                        μερίζειν 147, 5
                                        μεταβολή 34, 2; 160, 5; 161, 12;
 κατατρέχειν 25, 9; 65, 16
 καταφαρμακεύειν 108, 10
                                           162, 1
 καταχθόνιος 121, δ
                                        μεταμέλεια 138, 14, 16
 κατάχρησις 67, 6
                                        μετάνοια 50, Ι
 κατήφεια 138, 4
                                        μεταποιείν 125, 13; 143, 5, 8; 149,
                                           2, 3, 7; 150, 3; 159, 15; 160, 8,
 κατήχησις Ι, Ι; 2, 2; 159, 7
 κατορθούν 76, 2; 113, 11; 131, 5
                                           15; 161, 9
 κατόρθωμα 133, 3; 140, 1
                                        μεταποιείσθαι 79, 18
 κατωφερής 122, 17
                                        \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\pi oly \sigma\iota s 151, 9; 159, 12 (bis);
 καῦσις 100, 2, 3
                                          160, 4, 5
                                         μετασκευάζειν 126, 4
 καυτήριον 46, 15
 κεραία 121, 6
                                         μετάστασις 160, ΙΙ
```

```
μεταστοιχειούν 152, 7
                                          δμογενής 157, 10; 162, 10
 μετατιθέναι 143, 5
                                         δμόγλωσσος 112, 4
 μεταχώρησις 99, 3
                                         δμόδουλος 159, <u>5</u>
 μετουσία 9, 11, 15
                                         ομοιοτρόπως 2, 3; 59, 8
 μαιφονία 109, 7
                                         όμοίωσις 24, 5; 81, 7
 μίγμα 31, 4
                                         όμότιμος 31, 9; 101, 16
                                         ομοτίμως 104, 5; 106, 14
 \mu \kappa \rho o \psi v \chi i \alpha 49, 9; 50, 6
 μικρόψιχος 53, 3
                                         δμόφυλος 23, 8
 μικροψύχως 95, 2; 103, 13
                                         δμόφωνος 100, 8
 μίξις 30, 7
                                         δμωνυμία 8, 6
 μοίρα 53, 14; 89, 5
                                         όμωνύμως 7, Ιο
 μόλιβδος 44, 19 (bis); 45, 3
                                         δνομασία 122, 13
 μοναρχία 16, 7
                                         οπλιτικός 131, 7
 μονάς 16, 1
                                         δργανικός 106, 17
 μονογενής 2, 13; 158, 12
                                         δργανον 106, 19
 μορφή 161, 2
                                         όρμή 10, 14
 μύειν 124, 6
                                         δρος 124, 13
 μύησις 138, 3; 160, 17
                                         δστράκινος 42, ΙΙ
 μυθοποιία 2, 15; 39, 6
                                         ὄστρακον 45, 3
                                         ούραῖον 110, 5
 μυθώδης 29, 1
 μυρμηκία 48, 7
                                         ούσία 19, 14; 21, 9; 30, 10; 66, 11;
μυσταγωγείν 120, 15
                                            72, 1; 92, 2
μυστήριον Ι, 2; ΙΙ, Ι4; Ι5, ΙΟ; 52,
                                         ούσιοῦν 91, 14
   10; 53, 2; 65, 3; 115, 14; 117,
                                         ούσιώδης 15, 2; 50, 20
   8; 124, 2; 129, 10; 153, 2
                                         οὐσιωδώς 18, 4
иυσтіко́s 122, 10; 129, 2; 138, 14;
                                         ŏψις, 34, 9
                                         όψοφαγία 88, 13
   139, 5; 160, 12
μυστικώς 77, 2
                                         πάθημα 25, 8
                                         παθητικός 69, 1
ναός 75, 19
νεκρότης 41, 6; 43, 16; 116, 7;
                                         πάθος 67, 1 foll.; 87, 7
                                         παιδοφονία 109, 7
   132, 22
νεκροῦν 43, 10; 132, 13
                                         παλιγγενεσία 122, 12
                                         παντοδύναμος 14, 15; 91, 5
νέκρωσις 134, 10
                                         παραγράφειν 17, 6; 59, 14
νήφειν 156, 1
                                         παράδεισος 24, 9
νοερός 9, 4; 44, 12; 54, 13; 70, 12;
                                         παράδοξος 126, 1
   72, 1; 113, 13
νοητός 29, 3, 7, 9; 30, 6, 10, 12;
                                         παράδοσις 118, 1
                                         παρακαινοτομέῖν 148, 5
   31, 1, 3, 11; 32, 8
                                         παραλογισμός 97, 3
νόθος 99, 10
                                         παραμυθεῖσθαι 104, Ι
                                         παρανομία 109, .
όγκος 145, 10, 15; 146, 12
                                         παραρτύειν 42, 8
όγκώδης Ι45, Ι2
                                         παρασύρειν 37, 16
ὸδεύειν 131, 15
                                         παρασχολείν 145, 2
οἰκονομεῖν 4, 2; 32, 5; 70, 14; 107,
  3; 146, 5
                                         \pi a \rho a \tau \rho \ell \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 59, 6; 97, 9
οίκονομία 20, 3; 32, 10; 56, 1; 58,
                                        \pi a \rho a \tau \rho o \pi \eta 49, 16
  13; 72, 8; 78, 14; 79, 15; 80,
                                        παρθενία 62, Ι
  13; 92, 11; 94, 5; 95, 9; 119, 7;
                                        παρομαρτέῖν 15, 4
                                        παρρησία 36, 8; 37, 7
  128, 1; 129, 2; 133, 7, 14; 138, 1
                                        παχύς 44, ΙΙ
olκονομικώ<del>ς</del> 43, 16
                                        \pi\epsilon\hat{i}
hoa 54, 1; 115, 1; 163, 13
όλέθριος 142, 6
                                        περίγειος 32, 3, 9
όλκή 13, 10
όλκός 110, 5
                                        περιγράφειν 55, Ι
```

```
περιγραφή 54, 10; 57, 4
                                       προίστασθαι 1, 2
περιδράσσειν 36, 16
                                       προκαθηγεῖσθαι 137, 8; 138, 9
περιεκτικός 18, 5
                                       προκάλυμμα 93, τ
περιέρχεσθαι 36, 1
                                       προκαταβάλλειν 97, 20
περιέχειν 122, 6; 132, 7, 9; 145,
                                       προκοπή 156, 17
  14; 153, 11
                                       προλαμβάνειν 2, 5, 9
περιθρύπτειν 45, 3; 71, 8
                                       \pi \rho \delta \lambda \eta \psi \iota s 3, 4
περικρατεΐν 32, 3; 148, 8
                                       προορατικός 22, 4
περιληπτικός 24, 2
                                       προπύλαια 75, 14
περίνοια 55, 7
                                       προσάγειν 138, 13
περίοδος 64, 10, 15; 73, 6; 133, 5
                                       προσάπτειν 115, 12
                                       προσδείσθαι 122, 1, 7; 127, 9
περιουσία 91, 15; 115, 2; 128, 1
                                       προσεγγίζειν 99, 1; 116, 6
περιοχή 145, 13
περιπλάσσειν 84, 6
                                       προσεγγισμός 57, 2, 4; 90, 1; 99,
περιπλέκειν 103, Ι
περιστέγειν 71, 10
                                       προσηγορία 5, 15
περίττωμα 48, Ι
                                       προσίεσθαι 53, 6
περιφύεσθαι 99, 14
                                       προσίσχειν 48, 9
περιχάσκειν 83, 16
                                       πρόσκαιρος 164, 4
πήρωσις 27, 11; 33, 11, 12; 40, 10
                                       προσκείσθαι 163, 11
                                       προσμαρτυρείν 125, 14
πλάγιος 119, 12; 120, 11; 121, 2
πλάσμα 32, 6
                                       προσοικειούν 138, ΙΙ
πληθυντικός 17, 6
                                       προσπωροῦν 138, 12
                                       πρόσφατος 5, 15
πλημμελείν 109, 18; 134, 14; 163, 6
ποικίλος 132, 1
                                       προσφύσησις 122, 2
ποιός, ο 23, 9
                                       πρόχειρος 114, δ; 125, 8
ποιότης 125, Ι
                                       πρόσωπον 155, 8
πολυάνθρωπος 112, 17
                                       πρότασις 3, 6
\pio\lambda v\theta \epsilon la 2, 12
                                       πρωτόπλαστος 43, 5
πολύθεος 17, 5
                                       πτοείν 89, 8
πολυπραγμονείν 118, 10
                                       πτῶμα 116, 6
πολυπραγμοσύνη 55, 6
                                       πυθμήν 88, 1; 145, 9
πολυτρόπως 100, 10
                                       πυκνοῦν 108, II
πομπή 75, ΙΙ
πρεσβεύειν 11, 15; 65, 15
                                       ραστώνη ΙΙΞ, 3
προάγειν 132, 3
                                       ρευστός 50, 3
                                        βημα 18, 11; 19, 1 foll.; 21, 8
προαιρεῖσθαι 113, 16
προαίρεσις 10, 13; 27, 9; 37, 2;
                                        ἡιζωρυχεῖν 146, 6
  40, 5, 11; 113, 17; 114, 1, 8;
                                        ροπή 10, 13; 35, 8, 10; 86, 7
  128, 11; 140, 10; 162, 8; 163,
                                        ρυπαρία 42, Ι2
  20; 164, 5
                                        δύπος 139, 5
προαιρετικός 9, 16; 14, 14; 15, 7;
                                        ρυπτικός 139, 3
   21, 10
προαπαντάν 120, 3
                                        σαθρός 38, 14
πρόβλημα 98, 12
                                        σαρκώδης 38, 18
                                        σβεστήριον ι63, 13
προβολή 119, 6; 120, 18
προδεικνύναι 131, 9, 13
                                        σεβάσμιος 77, 15
προδιαγιγνώσκειν 154, 9
                                        σεμνός 75, 19
προεκτιθέναι 153, 5
                                        σημασία ΙΙ, 4, Ι2
προεκτικός 21, 12
                                        σιτοποιείν 88, 8
προεντιθέναι 142, 12
                                        σκηνούν 149, 6
                                        σκυθρωπός 41, 7; 47, 5
προηγεῖσθαι 127, 13
                                        σκώληξ 163, 15
\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s 10, 8, 11, 17; 15, 8; 115,
                                        σπίλος 139, τι
```

σπουδάζειν 128, 12, 14 σύνδρομος 15, 8; 50, 24 συνδυασμός 61, 5 στάσις 82, 12 στερεούν 18, 9; 19, τι στοιχείον 132, 16, 21; 133, 5 συνεκτικός 22, 3 στοχασμός 49 6; 55, 12; 86, 1 συγγένεια 131, 1; 132, 6 συγγενής 89, 8; 130, 5; 138, 10; 159, 2 σιγκατάβασις 92, 12 σιγκατάθεσις 26, 3; 112, 15; 124, 8 145, 6 συγκεραννύναι 141, 2 συνεχής 117, 6 σιγκιρνάσθαι 31, ΙΙ σύγκριμα 70, 12; 137, 10 συγκρίνευ 125, 1; 137, 2 σύγκρισις 105, 2 συνθλίβειν 6, 3 $\sigma v \zeta \hat{\eta} v 2, 5$ συζυγία 151, 3 συκοφαντέων 161, 10, 13 συκοφαντία 52, 13 συντηρείν 59, 4 σύμμικτος 4, ΙΟ συμπάθεια 48, 8 συμπαραλαμβάνευν 128, 12; 157, 7 συμπαρεκτείνειν 118, 12 συνωθείν 138, 1 συμπαρομ**α**ρτεΐν 14, ιδ συμπλέκειν 92, 15; 153, 7 συμπλοκή 105, 5 σύμπνοια 30, 4; 119, 10 συμφύειν 71, 5; 100, 6; 120, 12 152, 3 συμφυής 120, 10; 134, 3 σχετικός ΙΙ, Ι2 συμφυία 35, 13; 48, 6; 57, 8; 134, 16; 158, 8 σχίζειν 16, 8 συμφυῶς 158, 4 συμφωνία 30, 3 ταμείον 88, 9 συνάγειν 119, 10; 142, 10 συναγωνιστής 63, 16 τέμενος 75, Ι4 συναίσθησις 117, 3 συνάλλαγμα 89, τ συναλλαγματικός 90, ΙΙ συνανακεραννύναι 148, 4 συνανάκρασις 31, 1; 48, 10; 70, 13; $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \delta s$ 83, 6 101, 12; 102, 18 συνανιστάναι 116, 8 τροπικώς 132, 7 συναπαρτίζειν 60, 6 συναποδεικνύναι 127, 2 τρυφᾶν 161, 17 τύπος 120, 8 συναποθεοῦν 130, 4; 152, Ι συναπολλύναι 113, 15 συνάπτειν 97, 11; 119, 6 τυραννίς 96, 7 συναρμόζειν 119, 8 συναρτάν 94, 2 συνάφεια 69, 18 ύγεία 35, 2 συναχρειούν 143, 6 ύγρότης 125, 17 συνδείν 119, 8 ύλώδης 48, [σύνδεσις 45, ΙΙ ύπαμείβειν 160, 5 συνδιασώζειν 130, 5

συνείναι 127, 8; 129, 4 συνεκδιδόναι 117, 7 συνεπαίρειν 31, 8; 117, 1 συνευτελίζειν 62, 15 συνέχεια 134, 13 συνέχειν 32, 3; 96, 3; 107, 1; συνηγορία 126, το συνήγορος 38, 16 σύνθεσις 9, 11, 13 σύνθετος 39, 10; 104, 16 συννεκρούν ΙΙΟ, 4 συνταπεινούν 8, 8 συντελείν 106, 15 συντηρητικός 58, 16 συντρέχειν 134, 13 σύντροφος 18, 2; 89, 7; 140, 11 σύστασις 13, 11; 19, 13; 21, 3; 37, 16; 120, 2; 124, 10; 151, 11; συσχηματίζειν 104, 2 σχηματίζειν 145, 14 τακτικά, τά 131, 7 ταμιεύειν 46, 12; 134, 9 τεχνικός 7, 4; 80, 13 τεχνικώς 4, 1; 10, 19 τομή 46, 15; 48, 7 τριήμερος 132, 10; 133, 5; 135, 10 τροπή 34, 1; 51, 5; 66, 12; 81, 12 τυραννικός 79, 8; 84, 16; 85, 16 τυραννικώς 85, 12 τῦφος 86, 11; 161, 4

```
ύπέκκαυμα 36, 10
                                      φιλαρχία 86, 8
ύπερείδειν 88, 4
                                      φιλοσοφία 76, τ
ύπερηφανία 109, 5
                                      φιλοτιμία 88, 10
ύπερκείσθαι 4, 4; 8, 11; 13, 6; 24,
                                      φιλότιμος 18, 7
  1; 58, 15; 120, 7
                                      φρόνησις 51, 18
ύπερκόσμιος 30, 11; 31, 10; 102, 15
                                      φρουρά 89, 3
ύπεροψία 88, 5
                                      φύραμα 116, 10
ύπηρετείν 161, 7
                                      φύσημα 36, 16
                                      φυσικός 27, 12; 30, 4
ύποβάλλειν 34, 9; 41, 1
ύπόγειος 132, 22
                                      φυσικώς 23, τ
ύποδύειν 133, 4
                                      φυσιολογία 145, 3
ύπόθεσις 86, 7; 139, 13
                                      φύσις 7, 1; 12, 2; 16, 6; 30, 8; 96,
ύποκείμενον, τό 5, 5; 12, 8; 16, 2;
                                        4; 117, 4
  21, 20; 48, 9; 68, 3; 125, 11
                                      φωστήρ 92, 9
ύποκρίνεσθαι 135, 11
ύπονοείν 8, 1, 7
                                      χαρακτηρίζειν 5, 6; 24, 6; 29, 9;
ύπόνοια 2, 10; 4, 5
                                        40, 3; 59, 2
ύπόστασις 7, 1; 8, 14; 9, 2; 15, 6;
                                      χαῦνος 47, 4
  16, 2, 3; 17, 8; 19, 14; 21, 13;
                                      χειραγωγείν 120, 13
  40, 4; 44, 15; 147, 7
                                      χειραγωγία 52, τ
ύποτιθέναι 163, 19
                                      χειρούν 8g, 6
ύποχείριος 32, 10
                                      χιτων 43, 5, ι3
ύποχερσοῦσθαι 88, 3
                                      χορηγός 42, 4
ύποχθόνιος 120, Ι
                                      χούς 3τ, 6
ΰφασμα 101, 15
                                      χρηστήριον 75, 10
ύφηγείσθαι 19, 15
                                      χυμός το8, 8
ύφήγησις 130, 1
                                      χωνευτήριον 139, 1
                                      χωρητός 55, 12; 90, 6, 13
φαντασία 6, 4; 17, 6; 83, 12; 89, 5
                                      χωρίον 30, 10
Φαρμακοποσια 47, ι
φθόνος 34, 12; 35, 11; 36, 10
                                      ψιλός 87, 3
φθορά 60, 9
                                      \psi \nu \chi o \hat{\nu} \nu 110, 6
φθοροποιός 142, 5; 143, 5
φιλανθρωπία 63, 8; 91, 4; 97, 10
                                      ώκύμορος 8, 10; 25, 7
```

CORRIGENDA.

PAGE

- 28 col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.
- 30 col. I for the intelligent nature read the intelligible nature.
- ibid. col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.
 - 37 line 7 for ή αλοχύνη και read ή αλοχύνη, και.
 - 63 col. 2 for Απορρύειν read Απορρείν.
- 141 col. 2 for the reading τψ...καθηγουμένψ...έφέπεσθαι read the reading έφέπεσθαι.